Effects of Advanced Treatment of Municipal Wastewater on the White River near Indianapolis, Indiana: Trends in Water Quality, 1978–86

AVAILABILITY OF BOOKS AND MAPS OF THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Instructions on ordering publications of the U.S. Geological Survey, along with prices of the last offerings, are given in the current-year issues of the monthly catalog "New Publications of the U.S. Geological Survey." Prices of available U.S. Geological Survey publications released prior to the current year are listed in the most recent annual "Price and Availability List." Publications that may be listed in various U.S. Geological Survey catalogs (**see back inside cover**) but not listed in the most recent annual "Price and Availability List" may be no longer available.

Prices of reports released to the open files are given in the listing "U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Reports," updated monthly, which is for sale in microfiche from U.S. Geological Survey ESIC—Open-File Report Sales, Box 25286, Denver, CO 80225. Reports released through the NTIS may be obtained by writing to the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161; please include NTIS report number with inquiry.

Order U.S. Geological Survey publications **by mail** or **over the counter** from the offices given below.

BY MAIL

Books

Professional Papers, Bulletins, Water-Supply Papers, Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, Circulars, publications of general interest (such as leaflets, pamphlets, booklets), single copies of Earthquakes & Volcanoes, Preliminary Determination of Epicenters, and some miscellaneous reports, including some of the foregoing series that have gone out of print at the Superintendent of Documents, are obtainable by mail from

U.S. Geological Survey, Map Distribution
Box 25286, Bldg. 810, Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225

Subscriptions to periodicals (Earthquakes & Volcanoes and Preliminary Determination of Epicenters) can be obtained ONLY from the

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Check or money order must be payable to Superintendent of Documents.)

Maps

For maps, address mail orders to

U.S. Geological Survey, Map Distribution
Box 25286, Bldg. 810, Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225

Residents of Alaska may order maps from

U.S. Geological Survey, Earth Science Information Center
101 Twelfth Ave. - Box 12
Fairbanks, AK 99701

OVER THE COUNTER

Books and Maps

Books and maps of the U.S. Geological Survey are available over the counter at the following U.S. Geological Survey offices, all of which are authorized agents of the Superintendent of Documents:

- **ANCHORAGE, Alaska**—Rm. 101, 4230 University Dr.
- **LAKEWOOD, Colorado**—Federal Center, Bldg. 810
- **MENLO PARK, California**—Bldg. 3, Rm. 3128, 345 Middlefield Rd.
- **RESTON, Virginia**—USGS National Center, Rm. 1C402, 12201 Sunrise Valley Dr.
- **SALT LAKE CITY, Utah**—Federal Bldg., Rm. 8105, 125 South State St.
- **SPOKANE, Washington**—U.S. Post Office Bldg., Rm. 135, West 904 Riverside Ave.
- **WASHINGTON, D.C.**—Main Interior Bldg., Rm. 2650, 18th and C Sts., NW.

Maps Only

Maps may be purchased over the counter at the following U.S. Geological Survey offices:

- **FAIRBANKS, Alaska**—New Federal Bldg., 101 Twelfth Ave.
- **ROLLA, Missouri**—1400 Independence Rd.
- **STENNIS SPACE CENTER, Mississippi**—Bldg. 3101

Effects of Advanced Treatment of
Municipal Wastewater on the White
River near Indianapolis, Indiana:
Trends in Water Quality, 1978–86

By CHARLES G. CRAWFORD and DAVID J. WANGSNESS

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER-SUPPLY PAPER 2393

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Dallas L. Peck, Director

Any use of trade, product, or firm names
in this publication is for descriptive purposes only
and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1993

CONTENTS

FIGURES

TABLES

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

For readers who wish to convert measurements from the inch-pound system of units to the metric system of units, the conversion factors are listed below:

Multiply	By	To obtain
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer (km)
square mile (mi^2)	2.590	square kilometer (km^2)
cubic foot per second (ft^3/s)	0.0283	cubic meter per second (m^3/s)
million gallons per day (Mgal/d)	0.04381	cubic meter per second (m^3/s)
pound per day (lb/d)	0.4536	kilogram per day (kg/d)

Temperature in degrees Celsius (°C) can be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) as follows:

$$°F = (1.8 \times °C) + 32$$

Effects of Advanced Treatment of Municipal Wastewater on the White River near Indianapolis, Indiana: Trends in Water Quality, 1978–86

By Charles G. Crawford *and* David J. Wangsness

Abstract

The City of Indianapolis has constructed state-of-the-art advanced municipal wastewater-treatment systems to enlarge and upgrade the existing secondary-treatment processes at its Belmont and Southport treatment plants. These new advanced-wastewater-treatment plants became operational in 1983.

A nonparametric statistical procedure—a modified form of the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum test—was used to test for trends in time-series water-quality data from four sites on the White River and from the Belmont and Southport wastewater-treatment plants. Time-series data representative of preadvanced- (1978–1980) and postadvanced- (1983–86) wastewater-treatment conditions were tested for trends, and the results indicate substantial changes in water quality of treated effluent and of the White River downstream from Indianapolis after implementation of advanced wastewater treatment. Water quality from 1981 through 1982 was highly variable due to plant construction. Therefore, this time period was excluded from the analysis. Water quality at sample sites located upstream from the wastewater-treatment plants was relatively constant during the period of study (1978–86).

Analysis of data from the two plants and downstream from the plants indicates statistically significant decreasing trends in effluent concentrations of total ammonia, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand, fecal-coliform bacteria, total phosphate, and total solids at all sites where sufficient data were available for testing. Because of in-plant nitrification, increases in nitrate concentration were statistically significant in the two plants and in the White River. The decrease in ammonia concentrations and 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand in the White River resulted in a statistically significant increasing trend in dissolved-oxygen concentration in the river because of reduced oxygen demand for nitrification and biochemical oxidation processes. Following implementation of advanced wastewater treatment, the number of river-quality samples that failed to meet the water-quality standards for ammonia and dissolved oxygen that apply to the White River decreased substantially.

INTRODUCTION

Background of Study

The Clean Water Act of 1972 established rigorous effluent-quality standards for industrial and municipal wastewater. In response to the Act, and to subsequent Federal and State regulations, the City of Indianapolis constructed state-of-the-art advanced-wastewater-treatment (AWT) systems to enlarge and upgrade the two existing secondary wastewater-treatment plants. The new AWT plants began operation in January 1983. The plants have ozonation of the final effluent, rather than chlorination, and an oxygen-nitrification system. The ozone-production system and oxygen-nitrification system are among the largest systems in the world of this type used for wastewater treatment. The new AWT plants are designed to process up to 245 million gallons of effluent per day (368 ft^3/s (cubic feet per second)) at a quality that approaches drinking-water standards.

The study area is in the middle of the White River drainage basin. The basin drains a 2,655-mi^2 (square mile) area in central Indiana (fig. 1). The river flows generally west and southwest to its confluence with the Wabash River. Land use in the drainage basin is about 68 percent agriculture, 19 percent urban, 7 percent forest, and 6 percent other land uses (U.S. Soil Conservation Service, 1968). Several major population centers, including Muncie, Anderson, Noblesville, Indianapolis, and Martinsville, discharge effluents into the White River. According to studies by Shampine (1975) and the Indiana Heartland Coordinating Commission (1976), the water quality of the river was affected most by the Indianapolis area. Most water-quality problems in the White River downstream from the Indianapolis area were attributed to stormwater runoff from combined sanitary and storm sewers and from separate storm sewers and to effluent from the city's wastewater-treatment plants.

Figure 1. Upper White River basin and study area.

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), in cooperation with the City of Indianapolis, Department of Public Works, began studying the effects of municipal wastewater on the water quality of the White River downstream from Indianapolis in October 1981. Since that time, the study has included (1) collection of data used to calibrate and verify two dissolved oxygen (DO) models, (2) collection of biological samples to determine changes in aquatic flora and fauna, (3) collection of water-quality data at a fixed-station monitoring network, and (4) collection of continuous DO monitoring data to evaluate the effects of combined storm and sanitary sewer flows and of separate storm sewer flows on the DO dynamics of the White River during various flow conditions. This report describes trend analyses of water-

quality data from the fixed-station monitoring network. For this report, the period of study before January 1981 is defined as pre-AWT, and the period of study after January 1983 is defined as post-AWT. Data collected from January 1981 to January 1983 were not used in the analyses, as water-quality conditions were variable because of plant construction.

Purpose and Scope

This report describes changes in the water quality of the White River that occurred after the implementation of AWT. The report includes analyses of data collected from

Table 1. Location of and period of record for municipal wastewater effluent discharge and sampling sites on the White River

[DPW, Department of Public Works; ISBH, Indiana State Board of Health; USGS, U.S. Geological Survey; n.d., no data]

Sampling site name	Sampling site number (fig. 2)	Location (river mile)	DPW period of record	ISBH period of record	USGS period of record
White River at 82nd Street[1]	1	247.87	n.d.	01/58 to 12/86	n.d.
White River at Morris Street[1]	2	230.30	01/78 to 12/86	n.d.	08/82 to 12/86
Belmont plant effluent	3	[2]227.00	01/78 to 12/86	n.d.	n.d.
Southport plant effluent	4	[3]221.90	01/78 to 12/86	n.d.	n.d.
White River at Waverly	5	212.20	01/78 to 07/82	n.d.	08/82 to 12/86
White River near Centerton[1]	6	199.31	01/78 to 07/82	n.d.	08/82 to 12/86

[1]USGS continuous-record gaging station.
[2]Present location of effluent discharge; prior to 1983 located at river mile 227.50.
[3]Present location of effluent discharge; prior to 1983 located at river mile 222.11.

three locations on the White River between 1978 and 1986 by the City of Indianapolis, Department of Public Works, and by the USGS and data from one location on the White River collected by the Indiana State Board of Health between 1958 and 1986. This report also includes analyses of daily effluent data from the Belmont and Southport municipal wastewater-treatment plants from 1978 through 1986.

Constituents analyzed include ammonia, biochemical-oxygen demand, fecal-coliform bacteria, nitrate, phosphate, and total solids for the effluent data and ammonia, biochemical-oxygen demand, dissolved oxygen, and nitrate for the river data. The data were statistically analyzed for significant changes in water quality and trends. The water-quality analyses reflect water-quality conditions over a wide range of streamflow conditions and seasonal differences. Periods of record at the sampling sites on the White River are variable, but adequate data are available to compare water quality upstream and downstream from the two Indianapolis municipal wastewater-treatment plants and to compare water quality before and after implementation of AWT by using statistical techniques. Sampling sites having data that were analyzed in this report are listed in table 1; their locations are shown on figure 2.

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

The study area for this report is a 48-mi (mile) reach of the White River, extending from 82nd Street on the north side of Indianapolis to near Centerton (fig. 2). The major influences on water quality in the study area are the Belmont and Southport municipal wastewater-treatment plants. Four tributaries, Fall Creek, Pogues Run, Pleasant Run, and Eagle Creek, also influence the White River because of the combined sewer overflows (CSO's) that enter them and ultimately flow to the White River. Three USGS continuous-record gaging stations are located on the White River within the study area: one at 82nd Street, one at Morris Street, and one near Centerton.

DATA COLLECTION

Water was collected by the USGS from at least five points on the cross section by the equal-width-increment technique. The water was composited in a churn and thoroughly mixed, and a sample drawn off and analyzed for total concentrations of ammonia, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand (BOD_5), nitrate, organic nitrogen, and orthophosphate. A sample to be analyzed for fecal-coliform bacteria was collected from the center of flow in a sterilized biochemical-oxygen demand (BOD) bottle. BOD_5 was analyzed according to techniques described by the American Public Health Association and others (1976). Nutrient analyses were done according to techniques described by Skougstad and others (1979). Techniques for analysis of fecal-coliform bacteria are described by Greeson and others (1977). The dissolved-oxygen concentration was measured at each point on the cross section, and the measurements were averaged for each site. Field instruments were calibrated each day according to the manufacturer's specifications. Water samples were collected by other agencies from the center of flow and analyzed by using standard methods described by the American Public Health Association and others (1976). Nitrogen species are reported in concentrations and loads as nitrogen. Phosphorus species are reported in concentrations and loads as phosphorus.

For the sampling sites on the White River at 82nd Street, Morris Street, and near Centerton, flow was obtained directly from the USGS gage. River stage was measured at the time of sampling, and a corresponding flow was selected from the rating table for that site. For the sampling site on the White River at Waverly, where no gaging stations existed, several flow measurements were made over a wide range in stage, and a correlation was developed between the flow at this site and the flow at the USGS gage near Centerton. Flow was then estimated for the ungaged site for the date of sample collection on the basis of discharge near the Centerton gage for that date. Flow from the Belmont and Southport treatment plants was measured

Figure 2. Location of sampling sites on the White River.

Table 2. Summary of instantaneous flow data for municipal wastewater effluent discharge and sampling sites on the White River

[ft³/s, cubic foot per second; Post-AWT, period of study after implementation of advanced wastewater treatment; Pre-AWT, period of study before implementation of advanced wastewater treatment]

Sampling site name	Sampling site number (fig. 2)	River mile	Period of record[1] (month/year)	Number of observa- tions	Mean flow (ft³/s)	Median flow (ft³/s)	Inter- quartile range[2] (ft³/s)	Maximum flow (ft³/s)	Minimum flow (ft³/s)
White River at 82nd Street	1	247.87	01/58 to 12/86	497	1,140	502	786	23,100	104
Pre-AWT									
White River at 82nd Street	1	247.87	01/78 to 12/80	35	1,390	640	1,420	9,980	237
White River at Morris Street	2	230.30	01/78 to 12/80	143	1,810	956	1,300	20,000	180
Belmont plant effluent	3	227.50	01/78 to 12/80	[3]1,033	143	140	39	226	68
Southport plant effluent	4	222.11	01/78 to 12/80	[3]1,061	79	79	20	124	24
White River at Waverly	5	212.20	01/78 to 12/80	145	2,550	1,400	1,780	18,600	371
White River near Centerton	6	199.31	01/78 to 12/80	142	2,860	1,650	2,070	21,100	446
Post-AWT									
White River at 82nd Street	1	247.87	01/83 to 12/86	38	2,010	714	975	23,100	176
White River at Morris Street	2	230.30	01/83 to 12/86	47	1,570	757	1,540	16,700	103
Belmont plant effluent	3	[4]227.00	01/83 to 12/86	[3]1,458	145	140	38	255	71
Southport plant effluent	4	[4]221.90	01/83 to 12/86	[3]1,459	117	113	24	299	42
White River at Waverly	5	212.20	01/83 to 12/86	48	1,970	1,320	1,970	9,630	190
White River near Centerton	6	199.31	01/83 to 12/86	48	2,290	1,540	2,260	11,200	236

[1]Period of record includes the time period for which flow data correspond to the collection of a water-quality sample. Period of record does not include, in the case of a continuous-record gaging station, the complete record of daily mean flows.

[2]Interquartile range is the difference between the 75th and 25th percentiles.

[3]Flow data provided by Department of Public Works.

[4]Effluent outfalls for both the Belmont and Southport wastewater-treatment plants were relocated during the construction.

by continuous-recording flow meters operated by plant personnel.

DATA SUMMARY

Flow Data

A summary of the flow data that are discussed in this report is listed in table 2. The data represent long-term water quality and sampling conditions prior to and following implementation of advanced wastewater treatment.

Figure 3 shows the relation of flow to time at four river sites: on the White River at 82nd Street and at Morris Street (upstream from both plants) and on the White River at Waverly and near Centerton (downstream from both plants). The relation of flow to time at the Belmont and Southport wastewater-treatment plants is shown in figure 4. The data for 1981 through 1982 are not shown because of the effects that plant construction had on the quality of the effluents.

Flow from the Southport plant increased because of increased design capacity and installation of a connector system that allows the Belmont plant to transfer sewage to Southport. Previously, wastewater that had been routed to the Belmont plant that was in excess of that plant's capacity had to be stored or diverted to the White River. The

expansion of the Southport plant and construction of the new connector system allow excess wastewater to bypass the Belmont plant and go to the Southport plant for treatment. Thus, there has been (1) an increase in flow from the Southport plant; (2) no change in treated flow from the Belmont plant; (3) elimination of the bypass flow; but (4) no detectable change in flow in the river downstream from the plants.

Flow-duration tables of daily mean flow were calculated for the White River at 82nd Street and at Morris Street, by using daily values for the period of record from 1931 through 1984. Duration curves were drawn by using the information from the tables; these curves are shown in figure 5. The maximum, minimum, and median flows at which water-quality samples were collected and analyzed also are shown in figure 5. The maximum flows at which water-quality samples were collected are near the maximum flows recorded for the gages. The minimum flows sampled are near the minimum recorded flows and are only about twice the calculated 7-day, 10-year low flow for both gages. Median flows at which water-quality samples were collected and analyzed were equaled or exceeded during the period of record 35 to 50 percent of the time. The data discussed in this report are representative of nearly the entire range of flow at the gaging stations at 82nd Street and Morris Street.

Figure 3. Comparison of flow in the White River at 82nd Street, at Morris Street, at Waverly, and near Centerton before and after construction, 1978–86.

The two duration curves cross at the lower end of the curves. The more typical curve is that shown for the 82nd Street gage. Between the two gages, river water is diverted for use as a municipal-water supply. The diverted water enters the White River again as treated effluent from the Belmont wastewater-treatment plant downstream from the Morris Street gage and, therefore, is never measured at the Morris Street gage.

Effluent discharge from both treatment plants represent a large percentage of the total flow in the White River downstream from the plants. For example, if median flows at the Belmont and Southport plants are compared with the change in median flows between Morris Street and Waverly, about 42 percent of the flow entering the White River is treated effluent. When the same comparison is made by using minimum values of flow, 100 percent of the increase in flow between Morris Street and Waverly is treated effluent. These numbers are based on monthly medians, rather than on an analysis of daily mean flow values, but the comparison does show that treated effluent is a significant percentage of flow in the White River downstream from Indianapolis.

Daily Effluent-Quality Data

A summary of daily effluent-quality data from the Belmont and Southport wastewater-treatment plants discussed in this report is listed in table 3. The relations of concentrations of ammonia, BOD_5, fecal-coliform bacteria, nitrate, phosphate, and total solids in the Belmont and Southport wastewater-treatment-plant effluents to time are shown in figures 6 and 7. The methods of Gilliom and Helsel (1986) were used to estimate summary statistics for nutrient data for which observations having concentrations less than the detection limit were found. All observations less than the highest detection limit used during the period of record for this study were considered to be nondetected concentrations. The highest detection limit used for ammonia, nitrate, and phosphate was 0.1 mg/L (milligrams per liter).

In the Belmont plant effluent, BOD_5 concentrations ranged from 1 to 101 mg/L with a median of 24 mg/L, and loads from 660 to 87,200 lb/d (pounds per day), with a median of 18,600 lb/d, prior to AWT. Concentrations ranged from 1 to 65 mg/L, with a median of 5 mg/L, and

BELMONT WASTEWATER–TREATMENT PLANT

SOUTHPORT WASTEWATER–TREATMENT PLANT

Figure 4. Comparison of flow at the Belmont and South-port municipal wastewater-treatment plants before and after construction, 1978–86.

loads from 597 to 52,200 lb/d, with a median of 3,670 lb/d, after implementation of AWT.

A similar reduction in BOD₅ occurred in the South-port effluent. Concentrations ranged from 1 to 99 mg/L, with a median of 13 mg/L, and loads from 284 to 26,400 lb/d, with a median of 5,550 lb/d, prior to AWT. Concentrations ranged from 1 to 70 mg/L, with a median of 3 mg/L, and loads from 241 to 48,000 lb/d, with a median of 1,620 lb/d, after implementation of AWT. The average decrease in the load of BOD₅ was 70 percent in the Belmont effluent and 60 percent in the Southport effluent. The decrease was greater in the Belmont effluent than in the Southport effluent because of the wastewater that was diverted from the Belmont plant to the Southport plant for treatment.

Only limited nutrient data are available for the Belmont plant; no nutrient data are available for the Southport plant prior to the implementation of AWT. Ammonia concentrations in the Belmont wastewater-treatment plant

prior to AWT ranged from 5.9 to 23.4 mg/L, with a median of 14.3 mg/L. Loads ranged from 2,600 to 14,700 lb/d, with a median of 8,480 lb/d. After implementation of AWT, ammonia concentrations ranged from <0.1 to 23.1 mg/L, with a median of <0.1 mg/L. Loads ranged from 23 to 15,000 lb/d, with a median of 52 lb/d. Phosphate concentrations in the Belmont wastewater-treatment plant prior to AWT ranged from 1.0 to 10.8 mg/L, with a median of 5.0 mg/L. Loads ranged from 593 to 5,960 lb/d, with a median of 2,990 lb/d. After implementation of AWT, phosphate concentrations ranged from 0.1 to 10.7 mg/L, with a median of 3.1 mg/L. Loads ranged from 114 to 6,370 lb/d, with a median of 2,430 lb/d.

The median effluent concentrations and loads of all constituents discussed in this report were reduced by the AWT process, except those for nitrate. Nitrate was expected to increase following implementation of AWT because the ammonia-removal process installed was designed to have nitrification occurring in the plants. Median nitrate concentrations in the Belmont wastewater-treatment-plant effluent increased from <0.1 mg/L, prior to AWT, to 11.0 mg/L, after AWT was implemented. The median load increased from 30 lb/d to 8,620 lb/d.

Substantial decreases were observed in total solids. In the Belmont plant effluent, total-solids concentrations ranged from 1 to 195 mg/L, with a median of 26 mg/L, prior to implementation of AWT, and ranged from <1 to 114 mg/L, with a median of 5 mg/L after implementation of AWT. Total-solids loads in the Belmont effluent ranged from 750 to 147,000 lb/d, with a median of 20,500 lb/d, prior to implementation of AWT, and ranged from <1 to 91,400 lb/d, with a median of 3,730 lb/d after implementation of AWT. Similar results were observed in the Southport plant effluent. The average decrease in the load of total solids was about 75 percent in the Belmont effluent and 50 percent in the Southport effluent. As with BOD₅, the decrease in total solids was larger at the Belmont plant than at the Southport plant, because of the wastewater that was diverted from the Belmont plant to the Southport plant.

The number of fecal-coliform colonies also decreased in the effluent from both treatment plants. The median number of colonies decreased from 50 to 32 col/100 mL (colonies per 100 milliliters) in the Belmont plant effluent and from 38 to 14 col/100 mL in the Southport plant effluent.

Monthly River-Water-Quality Data

A summary of monthly river-water-quality data from the sampling sites on the White River at 82nd Street, at Morris Street, at Waverly, and near Centerton for 1978 through 1986 is listed in table 4. Data from each site represent the periods prior to and following implementation of AWT. Long-term water-quality data for the White River

Figure 5. Flow-duration curves of daily mean flows for the White River at 82nd Street and at Morris Street, 1931–84.

Table 3. Summary of daily effluent-quality data for Belmont and Southport municipal wastewater-treatment plants, 1978–86

[All data collected and analyzed by the Department of Public Works. BOD$_5$, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand; col/100 mL, colonies per 100 milliliters; col/d, colonies per day; lb/d, pound per day; mg/L, milligram per liter; n.d., no data; Post-AWT, period of study after implementation of advanced wastewater treatment; Pre-AWT, period of study before implementation of advanced wastewater treatment; <, less than]

Property or constituent	Units	Belmont effluent						Southport effluent					
		Number of observations	Mean	Median	Inter-quartile range[1]	Maximum	Minimum	Number of observations	Mean	Median	Inter-quartile range[1]	Maximum	Minimum
Pre-AWT concentrations													
Ammonia as N[2]	mg/L	91	14.3	14.3	4.7	23.4	5.9	0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
BOD$_5$	mg/L	1,018	28	24	19	101	1	1,046	14	13	9	99	1
Fecal-coliform bacteria	col/100 mL	386	8,670	50	192	451,000	0	448	374	38	82	17,900	0
Nitrate as N[2]	mg/L	91	.2	<.1	<.1	1.25	<.1	0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Phosphate as P[3]	mg/L	91	5.1	5.0	2.7	10.8	1.0	0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Total solids	mg/L	1,030	33	26	23	195	1.0	1,058	14	13	9	153	1
Post-AWT concentrations													
Ammonia as N[2]	mg/L	1,458	1.7	<.1	1.5	23.1	<.1	1,457	1.2	<.1	<.1	35.6	<.1
BOD$_5$	mg/L	1,459	7.9	5.0	6.0	65	1.0	1,457	3.6	3.0	2.0	70	1.0
Fecal-coliform bacteria	col/100 mL	830	259	32	124	33,600	0	812	349	14	48	96,000	0
Nitrate as N[2]	mg/L	1,448	11.3	11.0	5.9	29.6	<.1	1,457	12.6	12.5	5.9	32.4	<.1
Phosphate as P[3]	mg/L	1,417	3.4	3.1	1.8	10.7	.1	1,414	2.8	2.7	1.4	7.3	.6
Total solids	mg/L	1,459	7.6	5.0	6.0	114	<1	1,456	4.8	3.0	3.0	142	<1
Pre-AWT loads													
Ammonia as N[2]	lb/d	91	8,320	8,480	3,140	14,700	2,600	0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
BOD$_5$	lb/d	1,017	21,500	18,600	16,100	87,200	660	1,045	6,100	5,550	4,360	26,400	284
Fecal-coliform bacteria	col/d × 10^{10}	386	31,100	195	676	1,460,000	0	448	790	70	154	49,900	0
Nitrate as N[2]	lb/d	91	63	30	6.4	741	20	0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Phosphate as P[3]	lb/d	91	2,970	2,990	1,840	5,960	593	0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Total solids	lb/d	1,029	25,300	20,500	19,100	147,000	750	1,057	6,050	5,460	4,270	44,300	298
Post-AWT loads													
Ammonia as N[2]	lb/d	1,456	1,130	52	1,200	15,000	23	1,456	687	33	16	23,200	11
BOD$_5$	lb/d	1,456	6,210	3,670	5,160	52,200	597	1,456	2,310	1,620	1,260	48,000	241
Fecal-coliform bacteria	col/d × 10^{10}	830	910	120	43	71,600	0	812	10,600	38	135	287,000	0
Nitrate as N[2]	lb/d	1,446	8,474	8,620	3,440	16,900	27	1,456	7,680	7,710	3,000	16,400	18
Phosphate as P[3]	lb/d	1,415	2,540	2,430	1,110	6,370	114	1,413	1,720	1,680	714	4,760	327
Total solids	lb/d	1,456	6,120	3,730	5,460	91,400	<1	1,457	3,090	1,990	2,010	98,700	<1

[1]Interquartile range is the difference between the 75th and 25th percentiles.
[2]Nitrogen species are reported in concentrations and loads as nitrogen.
[3]Phosphorus species are reported in concentrations and loads as phosphorus.

Figure 6. Ammonia, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand, fecal-coliform bacteria, nitrate, phosphate, and total-solids concentrations in Belmont municipal wastewater-treatment-plant effluent, 1978–86.

at 82nd Street for 1958 through 1986 are given in table 5. Data for the White River at Morris Street, at Waverly, and near Centerton were collected by the City of Indianapolis, Department of Public Works, and by the USGS. Data for the White River at 82nd Street were collected by the Indiana State Board of Health.

Figure 7. Ammonia, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand, fecal-coliform bacteria, nitrate, phosphate, and total-solids concentrations in Southport municipal wastewater-treatment-plant effluent, 1978–86.

Table 4. Summary of monthly river-water-quality data for the White River upstream and downstream from the municipal wastewater-treatment plants, 1978–86

[BOD_5, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand; lb/d, pound per day; mg/L, milligram per liter; Post-AWT, period of study after implementation of advanced wastewater treatment; Pre-AWT, period of study before implementation of advanced wastewater treatment; <, less than]

Property or constituent	Units	White River at 82nd Street						White River at Morris Street					
		Number of observations	Mean	Median	Inter-quartile range[1]	Maximum	Minimum	Number of observations	Mean	Median	Inter-quartile range[1]	Maximum	Minimum
Pre-AWT concentrations													
Ammonia as N[2]	mg/L	35	0.22	0.1	0.1	1.1	0.1	108	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	1.0	<0.1
BOD_5	mg/L	35	3.1	2.7	1.5	8.8	1.0	136	4.2	4.0	2.0	12	1.0
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	35	10.0	9.8	4.0	14.0	5.7	143	9.8	9.8	4.4	14.8	1.0
Dissolved oxygen	percent saturation	35	92	90	12	136	70	143	92	92	14	167	7.8
Nitrate as N[2]	mg/L	35	3.1	2.6	1.9	5.5	1.5	18	2.7	3.1	1.9	5.3	.8
Post-AWT concentrations													
Ammonia as N[2]	mg/L	37	.14	.1	0	.9	<.1	48	.16	.12	.17	.59	<.1
BOD_5	mg/L	33	4.1	3.2	3.0	12	1.0	48	4.4	4.6	2.5	8.2	.9
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	39	11.0	10.5	2.9	18.5	6.6	48	9.8	9.8	3.1	15.7	3.8
Dissolved oxygen	percent saturation	39	106	93	29	218	64	48	91	87	20	149	47
Nitrate as N[2]	mg/L	37	3.1	3.2	3.0	6.8	.1	48	2.9	3.1	3.5	5.6	<.1
Pre-AWT loads													
Ammonia as N[2]	lb/d	35	2,140	748	1,600	37,700	128	108	960	232	537	35,400	49
BOD_5	lb/d	35	31,000	11,000	27,200	473,000	1,280	136	40,400	19,100	33,600	466,000	1,990
Nitrate as N[2]	lb/d	35	27,700	11,000	33,400	167,000	2,040	18	27,000	19,300	44,600	105,000	1,450
Post-AWT loads													
Ammonia as N[2]	lb/d	36	1,540	356	672	24,900	95	47	1,360	480	917	19,800	33
BOD_5	lb/d	32	44,500	12,600	15,000	535,000	2,800	47	36,200	16,900	26,100	405,000	1,780
Nitrate as N[2]	lb/d	36	45,100	13,400	27,700	436,000	105	47	31,400	11,000	38,500	229,000	58

Property or constituent	Units	White River at Waverly						White River near Centerton					
		Number of observations	Mean	Median	Interquartile range[1]	Maximum	Minimum	Number of observations	Mean	Median	Interquartile range[1]	Maximum	Minimum
Pre-AWT concentrations													
Ammonia as N[2]	mg/L	135	2.5	2.0	2.1	8.8	<0.1	135	1.7	1.1	2.2	7.4	<0.1
BOD$_5$	mg/L	137	8.0	7.0	3.5	26	3.0	136	7.1	7.0	3.0	19	3.0
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	145	6.7	6.4	5.4	13.2	1.0	141	7.1	6.7	4.6	13.9	1.0
Dissolved oxygen	percent saturation	145	62	66	33	103	12	141	66	65	26	111	12
Nitrate as N[2]	mg/L	19	2.2	2.5	1.7	4.7	.4	19	2.3	2.6	1.7	4.7	.7
Post-AWT concentrations													
Ammonia as N[2]	mg/L	48	.40	.24	.36	1.7	<.1	48	.29	.15	.32	1.5	<.1
BOD$_5$	mg/L	48	5.1	4.8	2.3	10	1.9	48	4.8	4.6	2.5	8.3	1.4
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	48	10.1	10.3	3.8	14.6	4.5	48	10.4	10.6	3.7	14	5.5
Dissolved oxygen	percent saturation	48	97	96	16	158	55	48	100	95	20	160	62
Nitrate as N[2]	mg/L	48	5.1	4.9	1.1	9.5	1.7	48	4.7	4.6	1.1	15	<.1
Pre-AWT loads													
Ammonia as loads N[2]	lb/d	135	17,200	15,600	8,100	80,000	965	135	13,200	11,800	12,800	92,600	372
BOD$_5$	lb/d	137	98,500	65,500	73,000	765,000	7,390	136	103,000	66,000	82,600	655,000	8,840
Nitrate as N[2]	lb/d	19	35,600	22,500	52,300	138,000	740	19	41,400	27,800	57,300	156,000	1,730
Post-AWT loads													
Ammonia as N[2]	lb/d	48	3,660	2,060	4,130	24,600	170	48	3,290	1,360	4,220	20,200	78
BOD$_5$	lb/d	48	53,100	36,600	50,400	366,000	4,200	48	58,000	39,000	57,800	420,000	5,220
Nitrate as N[2]	lb/d	48	45,800	33,700	39,600	239,000	8,400	48	50,300	33,300	40,900	266,000	254

[1] Interquartile range is the difference between the 75th and 25th percentiles.
[2] Nitrogen species are reported in concentrations and loads as nitrogen.

Table 5. Summary of long-term water-quality data for the White River at 82nd Street upstream from the municipal wastewater-treatment plants, 1958–86

[Water-quality data collected by Indiana State Board of Health; BOD_5, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand; lb/d, pound per day; mg/L, milligram per liter; <, less than]

Property or constituent	Units	Number of observations	Mean	Median	Inter-quartile range[1]	Maximum	Minimum
Concentration							
Ammonia as N^2	mg/L	131	0.22	0.10	0.2	1.1	<0.1
BOD_5	mg/L	465	4.2	3.5	2.7	24	.1
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	485	9.9	9.8	3.6	18.5	1.9
Dissolved oxygen	percent saturation	481	93	88	22	218	23
Nitrate as N^2	mg/L	453	2.4	2.2	1.6	8.6	<.1
Load							
Ammonia as N^2	lb/d	130	1,920	560	1,360	37,700	91
BOD_5	lb/d	464	27,200	9,880	14,700	1,110,000	165
Nitrate as N^2	lb/d	452	20,400	5,440	15,000	436,000	43

[1]Interquartile range is the difference between the 75th and 25th percentiles.

[2]Nitrogen species are reported in concentrations and loads as nitrogen.

The relations of concentrations of ammonia, BOD_5, dissolved oxygen, and nitrate to time in the White River at 82nd Street and at Morris Street are shown in figures 8 and 9, respectively. Data for the White River at Waverly and near Centerton are shown in figures 10 and 11, respectively. As illustrated in figures 8 and 9, there was little, if any, change in the water quality of the White River upstream from the wastewater-treatment plants following implementation of advanced wastewater treatment. The apparent change in ammonia concentrations observed in the White River at Morris Street is an artifact of the laboratory change that was made in 1982 when data collection and analysis at the White River at Morris Street, at Waverly, and near Centerton were assumed by the USGS. The detection limit of the procedure used by the USGS laboratory was 0.01 mg/L, while the previous detection limit had been 0.1 mg/L.

Sizeable differences in the concentrations of ammonia, BOD_5, dissolved oxygen, and nitrate were observed at the two sites downstream from the wastewater-treatment plants, as illustrated in figures 10 and 11. In the White River at Waverly, the median concentration of ammonia dropped from 2.0 to 0.24 mg/L, the median BOD_5 concentration dropped from 7.0 to 4.8 mg/L, the median dissolved-oxygen concentration increased from 6.4 to 10.3 mg/L, and the median nitrate concentration increased from 2.5 to 4.9 mg/L, after AWT was implemented (table 4). Similar changes were observed near Centerton.

Additionally, the ranges in the concentrations of ammonia, BOD_5, and dissolved oxygen were less in the White River at the two downstream sites following implementation of AWT. The minimum dissolved-oxygen concentration observed in the river before the implementation

of AWT was 1.0 mg/L at Waverly and near Centerton. After implementation of AWT, the minimum dissolved-oxygen concentrations observed were 4.5 mg/L at Waverly and 5.5 mg/L near Centerton (table 4).

TRENDS IN WATER QUALITY

Trend-Analysis Techniques

A time series is a sequence of values of a particular variable collected over time, which may exhibit random variation and (or) deterministic trends. Deterministic trends may be classified as periodic, monotonic, or step, or a combination of these. Periodicities are repeating cycles in a time series, as typified by annual cycles in water-temperature data. Periodicities in hydrologic time-series data generally are the result of astronomic cycles. A monotonic trend is a systematic and continuous change in a variable over time. Monotonic trends in hydrologic time-series data are the result of natural or manmade changes in the hydrologic environment, such as ecological succession or increased urbanization. Examples of such trends are linear or exponential increases or decreases. A step trend is an abrupt and constant change. Step trends in hydrologic time-series data can be caused by catastrophic natural events (such as earthquakes or forest fires) or by manmade changes (such as construction of a dam or wastewater-treatment plant). More information about hydrologic time series can be found in Yevjevich (1972) and Salas and others (1980).

Two nonparametric procedures were used to test for trends in the time-series water-quality data from White River and the wastewater-treatment plants. The seasonal

Figure 8. Ammonia, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand, dissolved-oxygen, and nitrate concentrations in the White River at 82nd Street, 1978–86.

Kendall procedure tests for monotonic trends in time series by using a modified form of Kendall's tau derived by Hirsch and others (1982). This procedure is a specialized application of Kendall's tau test for correlation, in which a random variable is tested for correlation with time.

The seasonal Kendall procedure is an alternative to linear-regression methods. The null hypothesis for the Kendall test is that the random variable is independent of time. The test assumes that the random variable is independently and identically distributed. In this application of Kendall's tau test, all possible pairs of data values are compared. If a later value (in time) is larger, then a plus is recorded; if smaller, a minus is recorded. If no trend exists in the data, the probability of a later value being larger or smaller than any previous value is 0.50. In this case, the number of pluses should approximately equal the number of minuses. If the number of pluses greatly exceeds the number of minuses, the values later in the time series are more often larger than those earlier in the series, thus indicating an uptrend. If the number of minuses greatly exceeds the number of pluses, a downtrend is indicated. The problem of seasonality is considered by comparing only

observations from the same season of the year. Thus, for monthly data having seasonality, January data are compared only with January data, and so on. An estimate of trend magnitude is obtained by using the seasonal Kendall slope estimator (Hirsch and others, 1982). This estimate is taken to be the median of the slopes of the ordered pairs of data values compared in the seasonal Kendall test. A discussion of the seasonal Kendall test can be found in Hirsch and others (1982), Smith and others (1982), and Crawford and others (1983).

A simple nonparametric procedure for comparing two populations was proposed by Wilcoxon (1945). A mathematically identical procedure was proposed by Mann and Whitney (1947). This procedure is referred to as the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum procedure and is an alternative to the *t*-test. The seasonal Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum procedure is a specialized application of the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney procedure as presented by Bradley (1968). The null hypothesis for this test is that two populations composed of data from two separate periods in a time series are identical.

Figure 9. Ammonia, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand, dissolved-oxygen, and nitrate concentrations in the White River at Morris Street, 1978–86.

The test assumes that the two samples were randomly and independently collected, that the two samples are mutually independent, and that the random variables are continuous (some ties are allowed). This test also assumes that the probability distributions of the populations from which the samples were drawn are of the same form but not necessarily normal. If the null hypothesis is true, then no distinction can be made between the n observations in the first sample and the m observations in the second sample, all of which, in effect, were taken from a common population. Therefore, each of the possible combinations of n + m observations taken from the common population are equally likely to become the samples actually collected. For each of these possible combinations, a value exists for the test statistic W. This statistic is the sum of the ranks of the n observations within the combined (n + m observations) sample. The smallest value in the combined sample receives a rank of 1; the next smallest value receives a rank of 2; and so on. The null hypothesis is rejected if the value of the test statistic, W, differs from the expected value of W by a preselected value, corresponding to a desired probability. Seasonality is handled in the same way as in the seasonal

Kendall procedure. An estimate of the magnitude of the step trend is taken as the median of the difference between all pairs of seasonal values, one from each period but of the same season. Instead of recording a plus or minus for each comparison, the difference between each pair is the step. The median of these differences is taken to be the change in units of measure per year as a result of the trend. A discussion of the seasonal Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum procedure can be found in Crawford and others (1983).

Trend-Analysis Results

River Quality Upstream from Treatment Plants

The seasonal Kendall procedure was used to test for monotonic trends in long-term data (1958–86) in the White River at 82nd street. The test was applied by assuming monthly seasonality. Test results are listed in table 6. The time-series water-quality data were considered to have a significant trend if the calculated probability level was 0.05 or less, and a highly significant trend if the probability level was 0.01 or less. Results of the trend test for each parameter

Figure 10. Ammonia, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand, dissolved-oxygen, and nitrate concentrations in the White River at Waverly, 1978–86.

are given in two parts. The first part is the probability level and the level of significance (significant, highly significant, or no significant change). The second part is the magnitude and direction of the trend slope in units of measure per year.

The site at 82nd Street is upstream from most urban-affected tributaries, combined sewer overflows, and surface-water diversions. The data from this site were used to test for long-term (1958–86) monotonic changes in background conditions not influenced by the city of Indianapolis. The seasonal Kendall procedure indicated highly significant trends in ammonia, flow, and nitrate. However, the median rate of change in the constituents and flow was quite small (<0.01 mg/L per year for ammonia, 5.3 ft³/s per year for flow, and 0.05 mg/L per year for nitrate). Thus, for practical purposes, there was no sizeable change in water quality over time in the White River upstream from Indianapolis.

The seasonal Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum procedure was used to test for step trends in data for 1978 through 1986. Results of this procedure are presented in table 7. Data from the White River at 82nd Street showed

no statistically significant change in concentrations or loads except for an extremely small (<0.01 mg/L) increasing trend in ammonia concentration. Data from the White River at Morris Street represent changes in river quality resulting from many of the urban effects of Indianapolis but do not represent changes resulting from treated municipal wastewater effluents. The sampling site at Morris Street is downstream from many of the tributary inputs and CSO's to the White River and is affected by diversion for drinking-water supply. Some of the loss from the diversion gradually is replaced by ground-water input to tributaries and to the main channel. All water-quality parameters tested for trend during the time series of 1978 through 1986 at Morris Street showed no statistically significant change in concentrations or loads, except for a small (0.06 mg/L) increasing trend in ammonia concentration. For the purposes of this report, the authors considered the water quality at sample sites located upstream from the wastewater-treatment facilities to be relatively stable over time; that is, water quality showed only small or no increasing or decreasing trends in constituent concentrations during the period of study.

Figure 11. Ammonia, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand, dissolved-oxygen, and nitrate concentrations in the White River near Centerton, 1978–86.

Effluent Quality

The seasonal Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum procedure also was used to test for step trends in the effluent data from the Belmont and Southport wastewater-treatment plants. Results of this analysis are presented in table 8. As before, monthly seasonality was assumed. Nutrient data were limited at both plants, and so the test could compare data only from October, November, and December. Results of the test indicate highly significant changes in the concentration and load of several parameters. More data were available for analysis from the Belmont site; however, where Southport data were available, results were similar, with the exception of flow. No significant change was indicated in flow at Belmont, but a highly significant increasing step trend was indicated at Southport. (The reason for this change is discussed on page 5.) Significant decreasing step trends in concentration data were indicated for ammonia at Belmont (14.6 mg/L), for BOD$_5$ (19 mg/L at Belmont and 10 mg/L at Southport), for phosphate (1.8 mg/L at Belmont), and for total solids (22 mg/L at Belmont and 10 mg/L at Southport). No significant change in

fecal-coliform bacteria was observed in the Belmont effluent; a significant decrease (17 col/100 mL) was observed at Southport. Because of in-plant nitrification, a highly significant increasing step trend in nitrate concentration (14.5 mg/L at Belmont) was detected. Analysis of load data indicated similar results.

River Quality Downstream from Treatment Plants

The seasonal rank-sum procedure also was used to test for step trends in the data from the White River at Waverly and near Centerton (downstream from the wastewater-treatment plants). Results of this analysis are presented in table 9. Results indicate highly significant decreasing step trends in the ammonia concentration data (1.8 mg/L at Waverly and 0.9 mg/L near Centerton) and the BOD$_5$ concentration data (2.5 mg/L at Waverly and 2.3 mg/L near Centerton). The increase in nitrate concentrations was also highly significant (2.4 mg/L at Waverly and 2.0 mg/L near Centerton). Test results also indicated a highly significant increasing step trend in dissolved-oxygen concentration (3.2 mg/L at Waverly and near Centerton)

Table 6. Seasonal Kendall test results of long-term water-quality trends in the White River at 82nd Street, 1958–86

[Water-quality data collected by Indiana State Board of Health; BOD$_5$, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand; ft^3/s, cubic foot per second; lb/d, pound per day; mg/L, milligram per liter; n.a., not applicable; *, significant difference at 0.01 probability level; <, less than]

Property or constituent	Units	Probability level	Median change in trend slope (units of measure per year)
Flow	ft^3/s	0.003*	+5.3
Concentration			
Ammonia as N[1]	mg/L	.001*	< −.01
BOD$_5$	mg/L	.102	−.02
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	.557	.01
Dissolved oxygen (saturation)	percent saturation	.108	.15
Nitrate as N[1]	mg/L	.001*	.05
Load			
Ammonia as N[1]	lb/d	.001*	−28.6
BOD$_5$	mg/L	.102	−.02
Dissolved oxygen	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Dissolved oxygen (saturation)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Nitrate as N[1]	mg/L	.001*	102

[1]Nitrogen species are reported in concentrations and loads as nitrogen.

and dissolved oxygen in percent saturation (29 percent at Waverly and near Centerton).

The increasing and decreasing step trends in constituent concentrations are believed to represent real changes in water quality of the White River downstream from the plants, attributable to advanced wastewater treatment. However, the concentrations of some constituents may be affected by flow. For example, concentrations of some constituents may increase with an increase in flow because of soil erosion and transport, or they may decrease with an increase in flow because of dilution. Concentrations also may increase during a low-flow period because of concentration effects. Applying a test for trend to this type of data could determine a statistically significant trend that may be all or partly the result of the flow conditions at the time of sampling. This effect, however, was not considered likely in the test results from the White River sites because the tests for trend in flow at those sites indicated no significant change over time. Also, the test results for trends in loads indicated results similar to those for the concentration data. Furthermore, the tests that were used accounted for seasonal changes in flow.

Another way to summarize the river-water-quality data is to determine the number of times sample concentrations exceeded the water-quality standards that apply to the White River. Water-quality standards imposed by the Indiana Stream Pollution Control Board (330 IAC 1–1) state

that fecal-coliform bacteria shall not exceed 2,000 col/100 mL in more than one sample per 4-week period to meet the partial-body-contact standard; concentrations of total ammonia shall not exceed 2.5 mg/L; and the average daily dissolved-oxygen concentration in the White River shall be at least 5.0 mg/L. The total number of observations for each parameter and the number of observations that exceeded the respective standard are shown in table 10. In the table, two standards are listed for ammonia—one for total ammonia and one for un-ionized ammonia. The total ammonia standard was an attempt to protect fish populations from un-ionized-ammonia toxicity. But, because the concentration of total ammonia that is equivalent to the toxic level of un-ionized ammonia (0.05 mg/L) varies with pH and water temperature, the standard was changed to a concentration of un-ionized ammonia not to exceed 0.05 mg/L. The un-ionized ammonia can be converted to total ammonia by using equations that are dependent upon pH and water temperature. As the pH and water temperature increase, the concentration of total ammonia that is equivalent to 0.05 mg/L un-ionized ammonia decreases. Therefore, during summer low flows, when water temperatures are high (>25 °C) and pH may be high (>7.5) because of algal photosynthesis, the concentration of total ammonia that is toxic to fish is less than 2.5 mg/L. Conversely, lower pH and water temperature values result in total ammonia concentrations equivalent to 0.05 mg/L un-ionized ammonia that are larger than 2.5 mg/L. Because most of the data discussed in this report were collected prior to the effective date of the new standard (March 2, 1984), the old standard of 2.5 mg/L is applied here.

The total ammonia standard of 2.5 mg/L was not exceeded in the data collected at either upstream site. Before implementation of AWT, the standard was exceeded in 38 percent of the samples from Waverly, and in 25 percent of the samples collected near Centerton. After implementation of AWT, the standard was not exceeded at either downstream site. Results are similar when the un-ionized ammonia standard is used, except that fewer samples exceeded the standard (11 percent at Waverly and 9 percent near Centerton) prior to implementation of AWT. The zero exceedance rate for both standards in the data collected since implementation of AWT indicates a substantial improvement in river-water quality.

Dissolved-oxygen concentrations were never less than the standard at 82nd Street; they were less than the standard at Morris Street in two samples before implementation of AWT and in one sample after implementation. Downstream from the wastewater-treatment facilities, the DO concentrations were less than the standard in 35 percent of the samples collected at Waverly and in 24 percent of the samples collected near Centerton, before implementation of AWT. Following implementation of AWT, the standard was met in all samples collected near Centerton; it was not met in two samples (4 percent) collected at Waverly. Both

Table 7. Seasonal Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum test results of water-quality trends in the White River upstream from both municipal wastewater-treatment plants, 1978–86

[BOD₅, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand; ft³/s, cubic foot per second; lb/d, pound per day; mg/L, milligram per liter; *, significant difference at 0.05 probability level; **, significant difference at 0.01 probability level; <,less than]

Property or constituent	Units	White River[1] at 82nd Street		White River[2] at Morris Street	
		Probability level	Median change	Probability level	Median change
Flow	ft³/s	0.152	−125	0.017*	−250
Concentration					
Ammonia as N[3]	mg/L	.028*	<.01	.001**	.06
BOD₅	mg/L	.267	.5	.320	.4
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	.712	−.1	.153	−.50
Dissolved oxygen	percent saturation	.668	.8	.184	−4.9
Nitrate as N[3]	mg/L	.484	−.2	.470	.5
Load					
Ammonia as N[3]	lb/d	.002**	−157	.327	58
BOD₅	lb/d	.267	.5	.075	−4,120
Nitrate as N[3]	lb/d	.222	−2,317	1.000	352

[1]Water-quality data collected by the Indiana State Board of Health.

[2]Water-quality data collected by U.S. Geological Survey and Department of Public Works.

[3]Nitrogen species are reported in concentrations and loads as nitrogen.

Table 8. Seasonal Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum test results of water-quality trends in Belmont and Southport municipal wastewater-treatment-plant effluents, 1978–86

[Water-quality data collected by Department of Public Works; BOD₅, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand; col/100 mL, colonies per 100 milliliters; col/d, colonies per day; ft ³/s, cubic foot per second; lb/d, pound per day; mg/L, milligram per liter; n.d., no data; *, significant difference at 0.05 probability level; **, significant difference at 0.01 probability level]

Property or constituent	Units	Belmont effluent		Southport effluent	
		Probability level	Median change	Probability level	Median change
Flow	ft³/s	0.876	0.2	0.001**	36.6
Concentration					
Ammonia as N[1]	mg/L	.003**	−14.6	n.d.	n.d.
BOD₅	mg/L	.001**	−19	.001**	−10
Fecal-coliform bacteria	col/100 mL	.158	−19	.021*	−17
Nitrate as N[1]	mg/L	.014*	14.5	n.d.	n.d.
Phosphate as P[2]	mg/L	.014*	−1.8	n.d.	n.d.
Total solids	mg/L	.001**	−22	.001**	−10
Load					
Ammonia as N[1]	lb/d	.014*	−7,950	n.d.	n.d.
BOD₅	lb/d	.001**	−13,900	.001**	−3,470
Fecal-coliform bacteria	col/d × 10¹⁰	.248**	−79	.063	−28
Nitrate as N[1]	lb/d	.014*	9,950	n.d.	n.d.
Phosphate as P[2]	lb/d	.014*	−693	n.d.	n.d.
Total solids	lb/d	.001**	−15,800	.001**	−3,680

[1]Nitrogen species are reported in concentrations and loads as nitrogen.

[2]Phosphorus species are reported in concentrations and loads as phosphorus.

Table 9. Seasonal Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum test results of water-quality trends in the White River downstream from both municipal wastewater-treatment plants, 1978–86

[Water-quality data collected by U.S. Geological Survey and Department of Public Works. BOD_5, 5-day biochemical-oxygen demand; ft^3/s, cubic foot per second; lb/d, pound per day; mg/L, milligram per liter; *, significant difference at 0.05 probability level; **, highly significant difference at 0.01 probability level]

Property or constituent	Units	White River at Waverly		White River near Centerton	
		Probability level	Median change	Probability level	Median change
Flow	ft^3/s	0.126	−281	0.126	−321
Concentration					
Ammonia as N[1]	mg/L	.001**	−1.8	.001**	−.9
BOD_5	mg/L	.001**	−2.5	.001**	−2.3
Dissolved oxygen	mg/L	.001**	3.2	.001**	3.2
Dissolved oxygen	percent saturation	.001**	29	.001**	29
Nitrate as N[1]	mg/L	.001**	2.4	.001**	2.0
Load					
Ammonia as N[1]	lb/d	.001**	−12,600	.001**	−8,350
BOD_5	lb/d	.001**	−28,400	.001**	−28,600
Nitrate as N[1]	lb/d	.008**	13,300	.013*	12,000

[1]Nitrogen species are reported in concentrations and loads as nitrogen.

Table 10. River-quality standards and number of times the observed data exceeded the standards in the White River, 1978–86

[col/100 mL, colonies per 100 milliliters; mg/L, milligrams per liter; N1, total number of observations; N2, number of observations that exceeded the standard; n.d., no data; Post-AWT, period of study after implementation of advanced wastewater treatment; Pre-AWT, period of study before implementation of advanced wastewater treatment]

Parameter	Standard	82nd Street				Morris Street			
		Pre-AWT		Post-AWT		Pre-AWT		Post-AWT	
		N1	N2	N1	N2	N1	N2	N1	N2
Ammonia as N,[1] total	2.5 mg/L	35	0	37	0	108	0	48	0
Ammonia as N,[1] un-ionized	0.05 mg/L	35	0	37	0	108	1	48	0
Dissolved oxygen	5.0 mg/L	35	0	39	0	143	2	48	1
Fecal-coliform bacteria	2,000 col/100 mL	35	9	38	9	n.d.	n.d.	48	15

Parameter	Standard	Waverly				Centerton			
		Pre-AWT		Post-AWT		Pre-AWT		Post-AWT	
		N1	N2	N1	N2	N1	N2	N1	N2
Ammonia as N,[1] total	2.5 mg/L	135	51	48	0	135	34	48	0
Ammonia as N,[1] un-ionized	0.05 mg/L	135	15	48	0	135	12	48	0
Dissolved oxygen	5.0 mg/L	145	51	48	2	141	34	48	0
Fecal-coliform bacteria	2,000 col/100 mL	n.d.	n.d.	48	25	n.d.	n.d.	48	19

[1]Nitrogen species are reported in concentrations as nitrogen.

samples (4.5 and 4.8 mg/L) were measured during summer low flows (about 450 ft^3/s). The reduction in the number of times that the dissolved-oxygen concentration failed to meet the standard indicates an improvement in river quality.

Fecal-coliform bacteria counts exceeded the standard in 26 percent of the samples at 82nd Street before implementation of AWT and in 24 percent of the samples after implementation. No data were available from Morris Street prior to implementation of AWT, but the standard was exceeded in 31 percent of the samples after implementation. Downstream from the Belmont and Southport wastewater-treatment plants, no data were available from Waverly or Centerton prior to implementation of AWT, but the standard was exceeded in 52 percent of the samples collected after implementation at Waverly and in 40 percent of the samples collected near Centerton. Where Belmont and Southport treatment-plant-effluent data were available, they were compared with the river data during the periods when the standard was exceeded in the river. In only one occurrence at Waverly and one occurrence near Centerton after implementation of AWT could the large fecal-coliform bacteria counts in the White River be attributed to the treatment plants. Several tributaries to the White River in Indianapolis have a history of combined sewer overflows; these tributaries are the likely source of the high concentrations of fecal-coliform bacteria, rather than the two treatment plants. This analysis was based on the monthly river-quality samples, however, and the sample size is not adequate to determine sources of the fecal-coliform bacteria. Also, the data analyzed in this study do not represent the full range of concentrations during storm runoff.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Monthly monitoring data from four sites on the White River and daily samples from the Belmont and Southport municipal wastewater-treatment plants were analyzed for trends to determine if significant changes in river quality had occurred because of implementation of advanced wastewater treatment. Two nonparametric statistical procedures were used to test for trends in the time-series water-quality data. The seasonal Kendall procedure is an alternative to linear-regression methods; it was used to test for a monotonic trend (a systematic and continuous change). This procedure was used to test for long-term (1958–86) trends in the White River at 82nd Street, upstream from the treatment plants. The seasonal Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank-sum procedure is an alternative to the t-test; it was used to test for a step trend (an abrupt and constant change) at all sites for 1978 through 1986.

Water quality at sample sites located upstream from the wastewater-treatment facilities was relatively constant during the period of study. Significant (probability level <0.05) increasing trends were indicated for ammonia in

data from the White River at 82nd Street and at Morris Street; however, the rate of change with time was small when compared to the change that occurred in the treatment-plant effluents and in the White River downstream from the treatment plants.

Changes in effluent quality at the Belmont and Southport wastewater-treatment plants resulted in statistically significant changes in water quality in the White River downstream from the plants, when pre- and post-AWT water-quality data were tested for trend. The test for step trends indicated highly significant decreases in concentrations and loads of BOD$_5$ and total solids in Belmont and Southport effluents, and in concentrations and loads of ammonia and phosphate in Belmont effluent (no data were available for Southport). Because of in-plant nitrification, a highly significant increase in nitrate concentration and load was indicated at the Belmont plant (no data were available for the Southport plant). The same trends occurred in the White River downstream from the treatment plants. Statistically significant decreases in the concentrations and loads of ammonia and BOD$_5$ were observed in the White River at Waverly and near Centerton. Increases in nitrate concentrations and loads were also statistically significant at both downstream sites. The decrease in ammonia and BOD$_5$ concentrations and loads in the White River following implementation of AWT resulted in a highly significant increase in dissolved-oxygen concentration and percent saturation because of reduced oxygen demand for nitrification and biochemical oxidation processes at both downstream sites.

The number of times that river-quality samples exceeded the water-quality standards that apply to the White River decreased substantially following implementation of advanced wastewater treatment. Total ammonia concentrations exceeded the standard of 2.5 mg/L in 38 percent of the samples collected at Waverly and 25 percent of the samples collected near Centerton, downstream from the wastewater-treatment plants, before implementation of advanced wastewater treatment. Concentrations at these sites have not exceeded the standard in any samples collected since implementation of advanced wastewater treatment. Dissolved-oxygen concentrations were less than the 5.0 mg/L standard in 35 percent of the samples collected at Waverly and 24 percent of the samples collected near Centerton before implementation of advanced wastewater treatment. Dissolved-oxygen concentrations in the White River at Waverly were observed to be less than the standard only twice since implementation of advanced waste treatment. Near Centerton, dissolved-oxygen concentrations were not observed to be less than the standard since implementation of advancement.

Upstream water-quality conditions in the White River were relatively constant over time. Statistically significant changes in river quality were indicated by tests of water-quality data from the treatment plants and in the White

River downstream from the plants. The number of times a water-quality standard was exceeded has been substantially reduced since implementing AWT. The implementation of advanced-wastewater-treatment systems at the Belmont and Southport wastewater-treatment plants has resulted in substantial changes in the quality of treated effluent and, therefore, in the quality of the White River downstream from Indianapolis.

REFERENCES CITED

American Public Health Association and others, 1976, Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (14th ed.): Washington, D.C., American Public Health Association, 1,139 p.

Bradley, J.V., 1968, Distribution-free statistical tests: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall Publishing Co., 388 p.

Crawford, C.G., Slack, J.R., and Hirsch, R.M., 1983, Nonparametric tests for trends in water-quality data using the Statistical Analysis System: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 83–550, 102 p.

Gilliom, R.J., and Helsel, D.R., 1986, Estimation of the distributional parameters for censored trace level water quality data, pt. 1, Estimation techniques: Water Resources Research, v. 22, no. 2, p. 135–146.

Greeson, P.E., Ehlke, T.A., Irwin, G.A., Lium, B.W., and Slack, K.V., 1977, Methods for collection and analysis of aquatic biological and microbiological samples: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A4, 332 p.

Hirsch, R.M., Slack, J.R., and Smith, R.A., 1982, Techniques of trend analysis for monthly water quality data: Water Resources Research, v. 18, no. 1, p. 107–121.

Indiana Heartland Coordinating Commission, 1976, Areawide water-quality management plan: Indianapolis, variable pagination.

Mann, H.B., and Whitney, D.R., 1947, On a test of whether one or two random variables is stochastically larger than the other: Annals of Mathematical Statistics, v. 18, p. 50–60.

Salas, J.D., Delleur, J.W., Yevjevich, V.M., and Lane, W.L., 1980, Applied modeling of hydrologic time series: Littleton, Colorado, Water Resources Publications, 484 p.

Shampine, W.J., 1975, A river-quality assessment of the upper White River, Indiana: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigation Report 10–75, 68 p.

Skougstad, M.W., Fishman, M.J., Friedman, L.C., Erdman, D.E., and Duncan, S.S., 1979, Methods for determination of inorganic substances in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A1, 626 p.

Smith, R.A., Hirsch, R.M., and Slack, J.R., 1982, A study of trends in total phosphorus measurements at NASQAN stations: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2190, 34 p.

U.S. Soil Conservation Service, 1968, Indiana soil and water conservation needs inventory: Purdue University Cooperative Extension Service, 224 p.

Wilcoxon, F., 1945, Individual comparisons by ranking methods: Biometrics, v. 1, p. 80–83.

Yevjevich, Vujica, 1972, Stochastic processes in hydrology: Fort Collins, Colorado, Water Resources Publications, 276 p.