

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GEORGE OTIS SMITH, DIRECTOR

BULLETIN 431

CONTRIBUTIONS TO ECONOMIC GEOLOGY

(SHORT PAPERS AND PRELIMINARY REPORTS)

1909

PART II.—MINERAL FUELS

MARIUS R. CAMPBELL

GEOLOGIST IN CHARGE



WASHINGTON
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

1911

QE 75

B9

no. 431-433

Copy 2

STAR 010
VTEBVMU

CONTENTS.

| | Page. |
|--|-------|
| INTRODUCTION | 5 |
| PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS: | |
| Natural gas in North Dakota, by A. G. Leonard..... | 7 |
| The San Juan oil field, San Juan County, Utah, by H. E. Gregory | 11 |
| Gas and oil prospects near Vale, Oreg., and Payette, Idaho, by C. W. Washburne | 26 |
| Gas prospects in Harney Valley, Oreg., by C. W. Washburne | 56 |
| Preliminary report on the geology and the oil prospects of the Cantua-Panoche region, California, by Robert Anderson | 58 |
| COAL AND LIGNITE: | |
| The southern part of the Cahaba coal field, Alabama, by Charles Butts... | 89 |
| The Powell Mountain coal field, Scott and Wise counties, Va., by M. R. Campbell and E. G. Woodruff..... | 147 |
| The eastern part of the Bull Mountain coal field, Montana, by C. T. Lupton | 163 |
| Preliminary report on the Coos Bay coal field, Oregon, by J. S. Diller and M. A. Pishel | 190 |
| The Black Mesa coal field, Arizona, by M. R. Campbell and H. E. Gregory .. | 229 |
| Coal deposits near Pinedale, Navajo County, Ariz., by A. C. Veatch | 239 |
| Coal in San Benito County, Cal., by M. R. Campbell | 243 |
| INDEX..... | 249 |

ILLUSTRATIONS.

| | Page. |
|--|-------|
| PLATE I. Map of North Dakota showing location of gas wells | 8 |
| II. Map of San Juan oil field, Utah | 22 |
| III. Reconnaissance sketch map of the prospective gas and oil field near Vale, Oreg., and Payette, Idaho | 48 |
| IV. Map and sections of the southern part of the Cahaba coal field, Alabama..... | 142 |
| V. Map of Powell Mountain coal field, Virginia..... | 158 |
| VI. Map of the northeastern part of the Bull Mountain coal field, Montana | 182 |
| VII. Map of the southeastern part of the Bull Mountain coal field, Montana | 182 |
| VIII. Map of the northern part of the Coos Bay coal field..... | 204 |
| IX. Map of the middle part of the Coos Bay coal field..... | 218 |

| | Page. |
|--|-------|
| PLATE X. Map of T. 27 S., R. 13 W., Coos Bay coal field, Oregon..... | 224 |
| XI. Map of the Black Mesa coal field, Arizona..... | 232 |
| XII. Map of coal field near Pinedale, Navajo County, Ariz..... | 240 |
| FIGURE 1. Sketch east-west structure section across the San Juan oil field.... | 15 |
| 2. Sections of the Thompson coal bed, Cahaba coal field, Alabama... | 136 |
| 3. Index map showing the location of the eastern part of the Bull Mountain coal field, Montana..... | 166 |
| 4. Map of the Coos Bay coal field, Oregon..... | 191 |

CONTRIBUTIONS TO ECONOMIC GEOLOGY, 1909.

PART II. MINERAL FUELS.

MARIUS R. CAMPBELL, *Geologist in charge.*

INTRODUCTION.

It has been the Geological Survey's custom at the end of each field season to prepare brief preliminary statements of the results obtained in the areas examined during the year. The annual volume containing papers of this kind treating of mineral fuels bears the title "Contributions to economic geology, Part II." The present volume is the fourth of the series in which the papers treating of mineral fuels have been separated from those pertaining to other subjects. These volumes are Bulletins 316, 341, 381, and 431.

The previous bulletins of this character have contained brief descriptions of all work accomplished during the preceding season, and consequently they serve as indices of the progress made in this particular branch of the Survey work. During the season of 1909 the field work was much more accurately done than heretofore, and this in turn increased the office work in the classification of the coal and oil lands and the valuation of the coal lands, as is now required by departmental regulations. The preparation of reports on all the areas examined during the season was impossible without interfering seriously with plans for the next field season. The present volume therefore contains only such papers as could be prepared without conflict with other work, and the report as a whole gives no indication of the number of fields examined or the amount of work accomplished during the year.

The following is a list of coal and oil fields that were examined during the year 1909 concerning which reports of one kind or another are being prepared for publication:

1. Standing Rock and Cheyenne River Indian reservations, in North and South Dakota; surveyed by William H. Calvert, Albert L. Beekly, Max A. Pishel, and Victor H. Barnett.
2. Smith River or Hound Creek coal field, in Cascade County, Mont.; surveyed by William H. Calvert and Victor H. Barnett.

3. Milk River coal field, south of Chouteau; Chouteau County, Mont.; surveyed by Leon J. Pepperberg.

4. Powder River coal field, near Kaycee, Johnson County, Wyo.; and Salt Creek oil field, in Natrona and Johnson counties, Wyo.; surveyed by Carroll H. Wegemann.

5. Wind River Basin coal fields and Lander oil field, in Fremont and Natrona counties, Wyo.; surveyed by Elmer G. Woodruff and Dean E. Winchester.

6. Yampa coal field, south of Craig, Routt County, Colo.; surveyed by John A. Davis.

7. Newcastle coal field, south of Grand River, Garfield and Pitkin counties, Colo.; surveyed by Albert L. Beekly.

8. Crested Butte coal field, Gunnison County, Colo.; surveyed by Willis T. Lee.

9. Survey of certain quadrangles in the coal field of Illinois, in cooperation with the Geological Survey of that State. The preliminary results of this work will be published by the State in its annual yearbook.

In this bulletin a slight change has been made in the form in which coal analyses are presented. Heretofore both proximate and ultimate analyses have been reported to the second decimal place and the calorimetric determinations to the nearest unit. As a rule this implies an accuracy which does not exist, and consequently after a conference with the chemists it was decided to give all percentages in the proximate analysis to one decimal place only. The percentages in the ultimate analysis are much more accurately determined, and therefore they remain as heretofore. In calorimetric determinations the British thermal units are not regarded as correct within 10 units, hence they are given to the nearest tens; the calories, being approximately of twice the value of the British thermal units, are given to the nearest 5 units.