# ${\tt STUDIES \; RELATED \; TO \; WILDERNESS} \!\!\!\!\!/_{\!\!\mathbb{R}}$ WILDERNESS AREAS GEOLOGY LIBRARY

*2 2 W?*

# DEC12 1977 O.S.U. LIBRARIES





**GICAL SURVEY BULLETIN 1397-C** 



# Mineral Resources of the Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area, Augusta County, Virginia

*By* FRANK G. LESURE *and* PHILIP J. GERACI, U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY *and* PETER C. MORY *and* BRADFORD B. WILLIAMS, U.S. BUREAU of MINES

STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS-WILDERNESS AREA

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY BULLETIN 139 7-C

*An evaluation of the mineral potential of the area*



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON : 1977

# **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# **CECIL D. ANDRUS,** *Secretary*

#### **GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**

**V. E. McKelvey,** *Director*

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Mineral resources of the Ramseys Draft wilderness study area, Augusta County, Virginia. (Geological Survey Bulletin 1397-C) Bibliography: p. 40-42. Supt. of Docs, no.: I 19.3:1397-C 1. Mines and mineral resources-Virginia-Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area. I. Lesure, Frank Gardner, 1927- II. Series: United States. Geological Survey. Bulletin 1397-C.<br>OE75.B9 no. 1397-C [TN24.V8] 557.3'08s no. 1397-C [TN24.V8] 557.3'08<br>553'.09755'9161 76-608350  $[553'.09755'916]$ 

**For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office Washington, D. C. 20402** Stock Number 024-001-03017-9

# STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS

# WILDERNESS AREAS

In accordance with the provisions of the Wilderness Act (Public Law 88-577, September 3, 1964) and the Joint Conference Report on Senate bill 4, 88th Congress, the U.S. Geological Survey and U.S. Bureau of Mines have been conducting mineral surveys of wilderness and primitive areas. Studies and reports of all primitive areas have been completed. Areas officially designated as "wilderness," "wild," or "canoe" when the Act was passed were incorporated into the National Wilderness Preservation System, and some of them are presently being studied. The Act provided that areas under consideration for wilderness designation should be studied for suitability for incorporation into the Wilderness System. The mineral surveys constitute one aspect of the suitability studies. This report discusses the results of a mineral survey of national forest lands in the Ramseys Draft study area, Virginia, that is being considered for wilderness designation (Public Law 93-622, January 3,1975). The area studied is in the George Washington National Forest in Augusta County.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \,d\mathcal{H}^3_1\,.$  $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{j!}\sum_{j=1}^$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

# **CONTENTS**

 $\sim$ 

J.

 $\bar{t}$ 

ţ

Ň,



# **ILLUSTRATIONS**

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



l.



 $\bar{t}$ 

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

# TABLES



 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

# STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS—WILDERNESS AREA

# **MINERAL RESOURCES OF THE RAMSEYS DRAFT WILDERNESS STUDY AREA, AUGUSTA COUNTY, VIRGINIA**

By FRANK G. LESURE and PHILIP J. GERACI U.S. Geological Survey, and PETER C. MORY and BRADFORD B. WILLIAMS U.S. Bureau of Mines

#### SUMMARY

The Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area covers  $6,700$  acres  $(27 \text{ km}^2)$  of steep, forested ridges in the central part of the Valley and Ridge province in Augusta County, Va. The area includes all of the upper drainage basin of Ramseys Draft in the George Washington National Forest. Bedrock is clastic, mostly nonmarine, sedimentary rocks of Late Devonian and Early Mississippian age. These are folded into a broad northeast-trending syncline. A small alkalic igneous dike of probable Jurassic age cuts the Paleozoic sedimentary rocks near the northwestern edge of the study area. No metallic mineral resources have been identified in or near the study area. A reconnaissance geochemical survey which includes samples of stream sediments, soil, forest litter, and rock-shows no obvious anomalous values for 30 elements. Two vague northwest-trending belts of weakly anomalous copper values in stream sediments are probably related to slightly mineralized sandstone in the Hampshire Formation of Late Devonian age. Such mineralization is typical for this rock type but generally forms deposits that are too small to be economic.

No known coal beds are present in that part of the rocks of Mississippian age preserved in the area. The possibilities of oil or gas are low because of high thermal maturity and unfavorable structural position of the rock formations. The only apparent mineral resources are small amounts of sand and gravel along the main stream, abundant sandstone suitable for construction material, and abundant shale suitable for brick, tile, and other low-grade ceramic materials. Deposits of all these are readily available and more accessible in surrounding areas.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area constitutes about 6,700 acres (27 km<sup>2</sup>) within the George Washington National Forest in Augusta County, Va., about 18 miles (29 km) northwest of Staunton, Va. (fig. 1). The study area is a northeast-trending valley drained by Ramseys Draft, a tributary of the Calfpasture River which flows into the North River, all part of the James River drainage. The valley is in a syncline in Upper Devonian and Lower Mississippian sedimentary rocks in the middle part of the Valley and Ridge physiographic province. Elevations range from 2,280 feet (695 m) above sea level, where Ramseys Draft leaves the study



FIGURE 1.-Index map showing Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area and adjacent areas of published geologic maps.

area in the south, to 4,282 feet (1,305 m) on Hardscrabble Knob in the north. The western edge of the study area follows the crest of Shenandoah Mountain, and the eastern edge follows Bald Ridge. The region (fig. 2) has steep slopes, sharp ridge crests, and narrow stream valleys. It is heavily forested by oak, hemlock, pine, and maple. Other common species include birch, beech, tulip poplar, and hickory. The underbrush is rhododendron, mountain laurel, and witch hazel.

Access to the area is good from a jeep road (U.S. Forest Service Road 68) up Ramseys Draft and *a* network of trails. The jeep road begins at the picnic area at the south end of the study area, just off U.S. Route 250, and goes as far as the intersection of the Left and Right Prongs of Ramseys Draft, where a trail continues to Hardscrabble Knob. The road fords the creek in 14 places, most of which require a high-clearance vehicle. The Shenandoah Mountain Trail, maintained by the Appalachian Trail Club, follows the western border of the study area. A branching trail leads to Sexton Shelter near the head of Jerrys Run and continues to the jeep road on the main stream. From the east and north, trails up Dividing Ridge from Virginia Secondary Road 715 and Forest Service Road 96 and up Springhouse Ridge from Forest Service Road 95 lead to Bald Knob. Another trail continues to Hines Spring and connects



FIGURE 2.-View southeast down the Left Prong of Ramseys Draft toward the Peak on Bald Ridge in the distance. The steep slopes on either side are typical of the study area. Photograph, U.S. Bureau of Mines.

with the Ramseys Draft trail to Hardscrabble Knob; a branching trail along Tearjack Knob intersects the Shenandoah Trail. From the west along Shaws Fork, a trail goes up Sinclair Hollow to the Shenandoah Trail, and another trail about 3 miles (5 km) to the south goes to the ridge crest at the head of Jerrys Run. These trails are shown on the topographic quadrangle maps (pi. 1) and are well marked. The rest of the area has some fairly open woods but also some rather thick rhododendron and laurel patches that make hiking off trails difficult.

#### **PRESENT STUDY**

From October 15 to October 28, 1974, Lesure and Geraci, U.S. Geological Survey, mapped the geology of the study area and collected 48 rock samples, 38 soil samples, 38 forest-litter samples, and 84 stream-sediment samples for trace-element analyses. These samples were analyzed in U.S. Geological Survey laboratories, Denver, Colo. In November and December 1974, Mory and Williams, U.S. Bureau of Mines, made a reconnaissance of the mineral resources and collected 27 representative rock samples for further study. They obtained records of leasing and prospecting activities from the Bureau of Land Management in Washington, D.C., and from the U.S. Forest Service in Harrisonburg, Va., and Atlanta, Ga. Representatives from industry, state agencies, and other federal agencies were contacted for pertinent information concerning the area. Spectrographic analyses of rock samples were made by the U.S. Bureau of Mines Reno Metallurgy Research Center, Reno, Nev., and ceramic evaluations of shale samples were made by the U.S. Bureau of Mines Tuscaloosa Metallurgy Research Laboratory, Tuscaloosa, Ala.

## **PREVIOUS STUDIES**

W. B. Rogers, the first State Geologist of Virginia, studied the geology in the general area of Ramseys Draft as early as 1835 (Rogers, 1836, p. 89-103) and assigned numbers to the different rock units (Rogers, 1838, p. 21-23). His units VIII, IX, and X are exposed in the study area. Rogers drew a cross section through the middle of the study area (Rogers, 1884, plate VII, section 8) that indicated clearly that he understood the synclinal structure of the valley.

N. H. Darton (1894) mapped the geology of the 30-minute Staunton quadrangle (fig. 1), which includes Ramseys Draft. His mapping is excellent and is modified only slightly by our work. Darton (1892) applied the names Jennings Formation to Rogers' Unit VIII and Hampshire Formation to Unit IX, and correlated the Pocono Formation with Unit X. These names are used in this report.

Charles Butts (1933) compiled a geologic map of the Appalachian Valley in Virginia and divided the Jennings Formation of Darton into a lower unit called Brallier Shale and an upper unit called Chemung Formation. The Hampshire Formation he called Catskill Formation. Later (Butts, 1940) he used the name Hampshire in place of Catskill and gave more detailed descriptions for all the units.

Kozak (1970) mapped the Elliot Knob, Deerfield, Craigsville, and Augusta Springs quadrangles south of Ramseys Draft (fig. 1).

### **MINERAL OWNERSHIP**

The Ramseys Draft area is part of a large tract of land acquired by the U.S. Government from Virginia Mining and Improvement Company on January 8,1916, under authority of the Weeks Act of March 1, 1911. All mineral rights, including oil and gas, were reserved by the company and subsequently were deeded on June 14, 1917, to Girard Trust Company of Philadelphia, Pa., now known as Girard Trust Bank. The prerogative to produce minerals and mineral products, including oil and gas, is subject to the rules and regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture that are included in the original deed.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We wish to thank the Crab Run Gas Company, a subsidiary of Washington Gas Light Company, Washington, D. C., for information concerning lease status and oil and gas exploration in the general area. Donald C. LeVan of the Virginia Division of Mineral Resources and Douglas G. Patchen of the West Virginia Geological and Economic Survey provided information about the natural gas fields in the general area. Harry W. Webb, Jr., Virginia Division of Mineral Resources, provided background data on the geology of the area. The friendly cooperation of Leonard J. McNeal, District Ranger, U.S. Forest Service, George Washington National Forest, greatly speeded up the mapping and sampling. Wallace de Witt, Jr., U.S. Geological Survey, supplied information on the oil and gas potential of the area.

#### **GEOLOGY**

The Ramseys Draft area is a synclinal valley in Upper Devonian and Lower Mississippian sedimentary rocks (pi. 1). The main creek follows closely the axis of this syncline through most of the area. Interlayered sandstone and shale of the Jennings Formation of

### C6 STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS WILDERNESS AREA

Late Devonian age are exposed along Shenandoah Mountain and the western edge of the study area. Much of the area is underlain by the distinctive reddish sandstone and shale of the younger Hampshire Formation also of Late Devonian age. The highest areas at the northeast end of the study area, including Hardscrabble, Tearjacket, and Big Bald Knobs and the isolated area around Freezland Flats, are underlain by the Pocono Formation of Early Mississippian age. The rocks are fairly well exposed in stream valleys and along ridges. The main stream flows on a thin alluvial cover of bouldery rubble (fig. 3), but the alluvium is too thin and discontinuous to be mapped separately at the scale of our geologic map.  $\mathbb{Z}$  "  $\mathbb{Z}$ "  $\mathbb{$ 

#### **JENNINGS FORMATION**

The Jennings Formation of Late Devonian age was named by N. H. Darton (1892, p. 17) for a series of interbedded light-colored shales and sandstones that is exposed in Jennings Gap, Augusta County, Va., 8 miles (13 km) east of Ramseys Draft. Butts (1918) named the lower part of the formation, which is more shaley, the Brallier Shale after a railroad station northeast of Everett, Bedford County, Pa. He used the name Chemung for the upper or sandier part of the Jennings Formation (Butts, 1933; 1940). More recently, Dennison (1970) has divided the Chemung Formation of Butts into



FIGURE 3.—Rubble-laden stream bed of Ramseys Draft within the study area. Photograph, U.S. Bureau of Mines.

several formations and members in the area of the Allegheny Front about 40 miles (64 km) west of Ramseys Draft. In our mapping we did not try to separate the Chemung and Brallier Formations because of a lack of exposures and the short time available for field work. Instead, we have retained the original usage of Jennings Formation as the most suitable mapping unit.

The Jennings Formation is well exposed along U.S. Route 250, where it crosses Shenandoah Mountain, and less well exposed in the western part of the study area. Butts (1940, p. 326-327) measured a section 1,935 feet (590 m) thick in the upper part of the formation (his Chemung Formation) on the southeast slope of Shenandoah Mountain. He estimated the lower part of the formation (his Brallier Shale) to be 3,000 feet (914m) thick on the western slope of the mountain and in the valley to the west. Darton (1892, p. 13) cited thickness of 2,800-3,200 feet (840-960 m) for the Jennings.

In the Ramseys Draft area, the Jennings Formation consists largely of dusky-yellow to dark-yellowish-brown and light-olivegray, fissile to subfissile shale and micaceous siltstone interbedded with olive-gray sandstone. The amount of sandstone increases in the upper part of the formation. Sandstone beds range in thickness from less than a centimeter to as much as a meter, but beds 10 to 30 cm thick are most common. The sandstone is mostly very fine to fine grained. It is composed predominantly of angular to subangular quartz grains and has minor amounts of feldspar and mica. Some of the sandstone beds have abundant poorly preserved fossils, generally at the base. Molds of brachiopod shells and crinoid stems are most common and establish the marine origin of these beds. Interlayered shale, mudstone, and siltstone form as much as three-fourths of the rock in the upper part of the formation exposed in road cuts along U.S. Route 250 on Shenandoah Mountain. X-ray analyses by P. J. Loferski, U.S. Geological Survey, show that these finer grained beds consist of about half clay- and silt-sized grains of quartz and minor feldspar, and about half clay minerals, mostly illite, some mixlayered clay, and a vermiculitelike mineral. Near the upper contact of the Jennings Formation are several lenses of quartz pebble conglomerate and conglomeratic sandstone. Similar but less abundant conglomeratic lenses also are present above the contact in the overlying Hampshire. The conglomerate lenses range from one to several meters in thickness and can be traced for a hundred to several hundred meters along strike. Generally, the conglomerate grades upward into conglomeratic sandstone and sandstone. The lenses are mostly quartz sand and pebbles and contain smaller quantities of accessory minerals than the average Jennings sandstone. A

chemical analysis of a sample of sandstone from one of these sandstone-conglomerate lenses is given in table 1.

The contact between the Jennings and overlying Hampshire Formation is gradational, showing an interlayering of typical reddish Hampshire-type sandstone and mudstone of nonmarine origin with typical Jennings-type sandstone and shale of marine origin. In our mapping, the contact was placed above the highest beds having marine fossils and below the predominant reddish sandstone. Accordingly, a large tongue of Hampshire was mapped as extending into typical Jennings rocks near the head of Jerrys Run.

The base of the Jennings Formation is not exposed within the study area.

TABLE 1. *Chemical composition of two rocks from Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area*

[Method used was a single-solution procedure described by Shapiro (1967, p. 187-191); analyses performed in the U.S. Geological Survey Rapid Rock Analysis Laboratories by Hezekiah Smith]



'Scattered chips from float of alkalic mafic igneous dike near Shenandoah Mountain trail, northwest edge of Ramseys Draft Study Area. Location shown on plate 2.

<sup>2</sup>Chip sample from a 1-m thick, light gray, arkosic sandstone in upper part of Jennings Formation on Shenandoah Mountain, western edge of Ramseys Draft Study Area. Location shown on plate 2.

#### **HAMPSHIRE FORMATION**

The distinctive reddish slabby sandstone of the Hampshire Formation of Late Devonian age crops out over more than half of

the Ramseys Draft Study Area. The formation was named by Darton (1892, p. 17) for the dark-red sandstones above the Jennings Formation in Hampshire County, West Va., about 70 miles (112 km) northeast of Ramseys Draft. The term Catskill Formation is used in Pennsylvania for the same rocks and was used for a while in Virginia (Butts, 1933). The Hampshire Formation is well exposed along the main valley of Ramseys Draft and forms scattered cliffs 20 feet (6 m) or more high along the ridges in the area. The formation is 1,900 to 2,000 feet (570 to 600 m) thick, but no complete section has been measured in the general area. South of Ramseys Draft, the formation thins rapidly. It is not present south of Elliott Knob, according to Butts (1940, p. 334), but Woodward (1943, p. 509) reported 500 feet (150 m) of red beds as far south as northern Botetourt County, Va.

The Hampshire is composed of thin- to thick-bedded, generally crossbedded, sandstone and interlayered mudstone. Most of the sandstone is grayish red to brownish gray; some is greenish gray. Crossbedded layers that commonly are 1 to 5 cm thick are present in lenses 1 to 2 m thick. The sandstone is typically very fine to fine grained, a few beds are medium to coarse grained, and some are conglomeratic. The sand is primarily quartz, but minor amounts of feldspar and muscovite are also present. Chert, rock fragments, sericite, and clay are common accessories. Feldspar is generally altered to clay or sericite. The distinctive red color is caused by a fine coating of hematite on the sand grains. The interbedded greenish-gray sandstone commonly contains plant fragments, chiefly stems or grasslike material and irregular pieces of other plants. The plant material is now represented by thin coaly seams or iron-stained impressions, where the organic matter had been completely removed during burial. The mineral content of the greenish sandstone is similar to that of the red, but the sand grains do not have hematite coatings. The trace-element contents of the green and red beds are similar (table 2). The red beds may contain a little more iron and magnesium but less copper, manganese, and zirconium than the green beds. The differences, however, are too small to be significant.

 $\sqrt{ }$ 

The interbedded mudstone in the Hampshire Formation is poorly exposed but forms a distinctive dark-maroon soil. The rock is generally grayish red to brownish gray but locally is light medium gray to olive gray. Some of the thick reddish units have irregularly mottled greenish-gray areas, generally near organic remains; these are suggestive of bleached zones. One of the best exposures is along the access road in Ramseys Draft about 0.3 mile (0.5 km) from the main highway. Interlayered greenish-gray

#### C10 STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS-WILDERNESS AREA



TABLE 2. *Trace element composition of sandstones and shales from the Ramseys and the "average"*

'Data from Lesure, Motooka, and Weis (1977).

2From Pettijohn (1963, p. Sll)and Turekian and Wedepohl (1961, table 2).

3Order of magnitude estimated by Turekian and Wedepohl (1961, table 2).

siltstone and mudstone contain a lens of hard calcareous mudstone. More massive red mudstone or siltstone mottled with green was uncovered during construction of the new bridge on U.S. Route 250 across Road Hollow, 0.1 mile (150 m) upstream from the picnic area and outside the study area.

The Hampshire Formation is overlain conformably by the Pocono Formation of Early Mississippian age. The contact is a zone probably less than 300 feet (100 m) thick, where the red and greenish thin slabby crossbedded sandstones of the Hampshire grade upward into cleaner, lighter colored, and coarser grained sandstones of the Pocono. The contact was drawn above the highest prominent red sandstone or mudstone.

The Hampshire red beds are part of a series of deltas deposited at the mouths of several rivers during Late Devonian time in an area extending from New York through Pennsylvania, Maryland, western Virginia, and eastern West Virginia. Although the formation is mostly nonmarine, some intertonguing with marine beds is shown







by the rare occurrences of marine fossils in sandstone, especially in the lower part.

#### **POCONO FORMATION**

The Pocono Formation of Early Mississippian age, consisting of massive, light-colored, medium-grained sandstone, is exposed in two areas along the axis of the syncline at the north end of the study area. The areas mapped as Pocono are a little more extensive than the one area shown by Darton (1894) on the Staunton quadrangle map. The formation was named by J. P. Lesley (1876) for similar rocks exposed in the Pocono Mountains of Pennsylvania, and Darton (1892) extended the usage of the term into Virginia. Darton (1894, p. 3) reported a thickness for the Pocono of 750 feet (225 m) near North River Gap, 7 miles (11 km) east of Ramseys Draft, but only the lower 500 feet (150 m) or so are preserved on the higher peaks at the north end of the Ramseys Draft Study Area.

The Pocono consists of light-colored quartzitic sandstone and conglomerate that weather very pale orange to light brown. Some of the outcrops have a knobby or warty appearance owing to small

bumps and depressions preserved on an iron-stained surface. The sandstone is medium to coarse grained and locally friable. Some beds grade into conglomerate containing scattered, rounded quartz pebbles. The matrix is mostly of subrounded quartz grains, some having quartz overgrowths. Feldspar and muscovite are the principal accessory minerals; locally, small grains of chert and shale are present. The Pocono sandstone is relatively pure and contains lesser amounts of trace elements than the underlying Hampshire sandstone (table 2).

Beds range from less than a foot  $(30 \text{ cm})$  to more than  $3 \text{ feet} (1 \text{ m})$ in thickness, and many of the thicker beds show crossbedding. Sandstone beds are interlayered with some light-colored shale that is poorly exposed. Locally, this shale is darker colored because of a high organic content, and thin, poor-quality coal beds have been prospected in the upper part of the formation east of the study area. No coal was seen in that part of the formation preserved in the study area.

The Pocono Formation in the Ramseys Draft area probably represents a continuation of the deltaic sedimentation that formed the Hampshire Formation, but having less iron deposited with the sand. This difference may indicate a different source area for the sediments of the two areas, as suggested by Dally (1956, p. 122). The presence of coal in the upper part of the formation establishes a nonmarine origin; the sandstone grades into marine beds containing fossils of Mississippian age to the west in West Virginia (Dally, 1956, p. 96).

### **IGNEOUS DIKE**

A dark-gray igneous dike is poorly exposed near the trail on Shenandoah Mountain between the head of Freezland Hollow and the Left Prong of Ramseys Draft. It appears as rounded residual boulders in an area at least 250 feet (75 m) long and 5 to 15 feet (1.5 to 4.5 m) wide. The general trend is N.  $75^{\circ}$  W. across the ridge line, but the western end may swing toward the southwest. The rock is an alkalic mafic intrusive rock that has a porphyritic texture; large pyroxene crystals, 0.1-2 mm in length, are set in a fine-grained matrix of biotite and plagioclase. Magnetite is uniformly distributed throughout as 0.01 to 0.05 mm grains. The rock is composed of about 45 percent clinopyroxene, 20 percent plagioclase, 15 percent biotite, 8 percent magnetite, and 12 percent other fine-grained minerals, including calcite and probably analcite. The dike is classified as a very mafic alkali basalt or lamprophyre. Major oxides are given in table 1 and trace elements in table 5.

Similar dikes and small intrusive bodies have been described by

Johnson and others (1971) in the general area east of Ramseys Draft and by Garner (1956) in the adjacent part of Pendleton County, W. Va. More recent studies by Zartman and others (1967) indicate a Jurassic age (150 m.y.) for these dikes. A swarm of more felsic dikes, exposed 10-16 miles (16-25 km) west of the study area, has been dated by Fullagar and Bottino (1969) as Eocene (47 m.y.).

#### **STRUCTURE**

The rocks of the Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area have been folded into a relatively simple broad syncline that plunges gently northeast. This syncline is a broad warp in the Paleozoic sedimentary rocks that is at least 150 miles (240 km) long. From the study area, the fold extends southwest for 50 miles (80 km) nearly to Clifton Forge in Allegheny County, Va., and northeast for at least 100 miles (160 km) into Maryland. Butts (1940, p. 455) named this structure the McClung syncline after the town of McClung, Bath County, Va., 25 miles (40 km) southwest (fig. 1); it has also been called the Shenandoah Mountain syncline (Tilton and others, 1927, p. 235).

The rocks of the study area are flat-lying along the axis of the fold in the main part of Ramseys Draft. Along the ridges on either side of the valley, dips range from 5 to 45 degrees toward the axis of the syncline. Steeper dips in the Jennings Formation outside the study area are in minor second-order folds related to the major syncline. Such minor folds have amplitudes of a few tens of meters to as much as a hundred meters and are restricted to the less competent parts of the Jennings Formation. Some are overturned toward the northwest and plunge steeply northeast. Many such folds are exposed along U.S. Route 250 southeast of Ramseys Draft.

No major faults are exposed in the study area, but a large nearly flat thrust fault is probably present in Cambrian rocks about 15,000 feet (4,500 m) beneath the surface (Perry, 1964; Jacobeen and Kanes, 1974). A regional northwest-trending zone of fractures probably passes through the area and controls the location of the igneous dike (Dennison and Johnson, 1971). Such fractures are certainly not prominent in the rocks exposed.

## **GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY**

#### **SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES**

A reconnaissance geochemical survey of the Ramseys Draft Study Area included analyses for 32 elements, among which are the more common metals that potentially could be present in

#### C14 STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS-WILDERNESS AREA

economic concentrations (tables 3, 4, 5, and 6). Samples collected included 84 stream sediments, 38 pairs of soil and forest litter, and 48 rocks (pi. 2). Twenty-seven additional rock samples, located on plate 2, were collected for physical and chemical tests by personnel of the U.S. Bureau of Mines.

All small drainage basins within the study area were sampled by collecting a few handsful of the finest sediment possible. In the tables and maps, samples from 59 flowing streams are distinguished from those from 25 dry or intermittent streams. All but a few of the small drainage basins surrounding the study area were

TABLE 3. *Analyses of stream-sediment samples from Ramseys Draft* [For sample localities see plate 2. Coordinates refer to Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) grid. Six-step absorption) by G. L Crenshaw, and equivalent uranium (eU) by Z. C. Stephenson. Results of the semiquantiapproximate midpoints of group data on a geometric scale. The assigned groups for the series will include the Letter symbols: L, detected but below limit of determination; N, not detected; G, greater than; B, not looked for; is minus 80-mesh fraction; second listing designated with "A" added to sample number is oxalic acid leachate determination (minus 80-mesh sample first, leachate second -; Ag (0.5; 1), As (200; 500), Au (10; 20), Bi (10; 20), by atomic absorption methods, but no gold was detected at a lower limit of 0.05 ppm Au]



sampled in the same manner. After drying in the laboratory, the samples were sieved and the minus 80 mesh fraction was used for analyses (table 3).

Soil samples and forest-litter samples were collected in the areas of little or poor outcrop, mainly in flat areas on drainage divides or along ridge lines. The soil samples were collected below most of the coarse organic matter but include some humus. The samples were dried and sieved; the minus 80-mesh fraction was used for analysis. Leaves, twigs, and humus above mineral soil constitute a

#### *Wilderness Study Area and vicinity, Augusta and Highland Counties, Va.*

semiquantitative spectrographic analyses (S) were made by J. M. Motooka, chemical analyses (AA, atomic tative analyses are reported to the nearest number in the series 1,0.7,0.5, 0.3,0.2,0.15, and 0;l, which represent quantitative value about 30 percent of the time. The data should not be quoted without stating these limitations. P, partial digestion. Values in parts per million (ppm) except where indicated in percent. First listing of sample of minus 80-mesh fraction. Elements looked for spectrographically bot not found and their lower limits of Cd (20; 50), Sb (100; 200), Sn (10; 20), W (50; 100). All minus 80-mesh fraction samples were also tested for gold



TABLE 3. *Analyses of stream-sediment samples from Ramseys Draft Wilder-*



*ness Study Area and vicinity, Augusta and Highland Counties, Va. Continued*



TABLE 3. *Analyses of stream-sediment samples from Ramseys Draft Wilder*



ness *Study Area and vicinity, Augusta and Highland Counties, Va. Continued*



forest-litter sample. These were ashed, and percent ash was determined. All subsequent analyses were made on the ash.

The rock samples are representative of all the major rock types that are well exposed in the study area. No obviously mineralized rock was found. The one poorly exposed igneous rock is not altered or mineralized (sample no. VRD 060, tables 1 and 6; sample no. VRD 321, table 8); no mineralized veins should be expected with this type of igneous rock, and none was seen.

All samples were analyzed spectrographically for 30 elements

TABLE 4. *Analyses of forest litter from Ramseys Draft Wilderness* [For sample localities see plate 2. Coordinates refer to Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) grid. Six-step absorption) and percent ash were made by G. L Crenshaw. Results of the semiquantitative analyses are points of group data on a geometric scale. The assigned groups for the series will include the quantitative value per million (ppm) except where indicated as percent. Letter symbols: L, detected but below limit of determinaand lower limit of determination: Au (2), Nb (20), Sb (50), Sc (5), W (50)]



and chemically for gold in the U.S. Geological Survey laboratories, Denver, Colo. All except the forest-litter samples were analyzed chemically for zinc and were scanned for radioactivity, reported as ell or equivalent uranium. Selected samples were also analyzed for thorium and uranium by delayed neutron methods (table 7). In addition, the rock samples were analyzed for mercury. Because of the general low value of most trace elements, the stream sediment and soil samples were leached using oxalic acid, and the leachate was analyzed spectrographically.

*Study Area and vicinity, Augusta and Highland Counties, Va.*

**eemiquantitative spectrographic analyses (S) were made by J. M. Motooka; chemical analyses (AA, atomic reported to the nearest number in the series 1,0.7,0.5,0.3,0.2,0.15, and 0.1, which represent approximate midabout 30 percent of the time. The data should not be quoted without stating these limitations. All data in parts tion: N, not detected; G, greater than; B, not looked for. Elements looked for spectrographically but not found**



# C22 STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS-WILDERNESS AREA

#### **RESULTS**

# No well-defined anomalous areas obviously related to potentially economic mineral deposits are apparent in the analytical

#### TABLE 5. *Analyses of soil samples from Ramseys Draft Wilderness*

[For sample localities see plate 2. Coordinates refer to Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) grid. Semition) by G. L. Crenshaw, and equivalent uranium (eU) by instrument by Z. C. Stephenson. Results of the represent approximate midpoints of group data on a geometric scale. The assigned groups for the series will limitations. All data in parts per million (ppm) except where indicated as percent. Letter symbols: L, detected listing of soil samples is for minus 80-mesh fraction; second listing designated "A" is oxalic acid leachate of (minus 80-mesh sample first, leachate second): As (200; 500), Au (10; 20), Bi (10;20), Cd (20; 50), Sb (100; 200),



# results. Two vague northwest-trending lines of higher copper values in stream sediments are in the northern half of the area, and an area of higher lead and zinc values is at the north end (pi. 3).

#### *Study Area and vicinity, Augusta and Highland Counties, Va.*

quantitative spectrographic analyses (S) were made by J. M. Motooka, chemical analyses (AA, atomic absorpsemiquantitative analyses are reported to the nearest number in the series 1,0.7,0.5,0.3,0.2,0.15, and 0.1, which include the quantitative value about 30 percent of the time. The data should not be quoted without stating these but below limit of determination; N, not detected; G, greater than; B, not looked for; P, partial digestion. First minus 80-mesh fraction. Elements looked for spectrographically but not found and lower limit of determination W (50; 100)]



 $\ddot{\circ}$ 

TABLE 5. *Analyses of soil samples from Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study*



Twelve of the 16 drainage basins that contain 20 ppm or more copper are along the two northwest-trending lines; 7 of the 12 basins head in the Pocono Formation, 3 head in the Jennings Formation, and the other 2 in the Hampshire Formation. Eleven of the samples with 20 ppm or more copper are from intermittent or dry streams (fig. 4).

The Pocono Formation may have thin seams of organic-rich shale or poor-quality coal not exposed but containing enough sulfide minerals to produce higher values of copper in stream sediments. The area of higher lead and zinc content in stream sediments is also in an area of Pocono Formation, but this area does not correspond to the higher copper values. Rock samples from the Pocono Formation contain little metal (tables 2 and 6), but the oxalic acid leachates of soil samples in the general area of the two higher copper trends have more copper than the untreated samples (pi. 3).

ó.



*Area and vicinity, Augusta and Highland Counties, Va. Continued*

The higher copper values may be related to small dikes not found in outcrop but related to the dike swarm discussed previously. The trend of the higher copper values is approximately the same as the trend of the one dike found, but stream-sediment samples from drainage basins heading into the area of the known dike contain only 7-10 ppm copper, which are background values.

Some of the apparent higher copper trends may be due to differences in copper accumulation in flowing and in intermittent streams. The only apparent difference between the two types is a greater organic content in the intermittent-stream sediment, which is more nearly a soil sample than a stream sediment. However, the soil samples have a copper content similar to that of sediments from flowing streams (tables 3 and 5).

The most likely explanation for the alined higher copper values is the presence of small areas of copper mineralization in the Hampshire Formation. The Hampshire is similar in lithology,

# origin,, and age to the Catskill Formation in Pennsylvania. The possibility of copper-uranium deposits in the Hampshire Formation similar to those described by McCauley (1961) and Klemic (1962) in the Catskill Formation has been speculated on by Stow

#### TABLE 6. *Analyses of rock samples from Ramseys Draft Wilderness*

*[For* sample localities see plate 2. Coordinates refer to Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM grid. Six-step atomic absorption) and mercury by instrument by G. L. Crenshaw, and equivalent uranium (eU) by instrument 0.7, 0.5, 0.3, 0.2, 0.15, and 0.1, which represent approximate midpoints of group data on a geometric scale. The not be quoted without stating these limitations. Letter symbols: L, detected but below limit of determination; where indicated in percent. Elements looked for spectrographically but not detected except where noted below Zn (200). Exceptions: Sample VRD107 also contained 1 ppm Ag, 5 ppm Mo, L(50) W, and 500 ppm Zn. Other atomic absorption methods for gold, but no gold was detected at a lower limit of 0.05 ppm Au]



Ý,

jÿ.

# (1955), Dennison (1973), and Dennison and Wheeler (1975). For comparison, stream-sediment and rock samples were collected from eight areas of small uneconomic deposits of copper-uranium in red and green sedimentary rocks of the Catskill Formation in

#### *Study Area and vicinity, Augusta County, Va.*

semiquantitative spectrographic analyses (S) are by J. M. Motooka and R. T. Hopkins, chemical analyses (AA, by Z. C. Stephenson. Results of the semiquantitative analyses are reported to the nearest number in the series 1, assigned groups for the series will include the quantitative value about 30 percent of the time. The data should N, not detected; G, greater than; B, not looked for; P, partial digestion. All data in parts per million (ppm) except and lower limit of determination: Ag (0.5), As (200), Au (10), Bi (10), Cd (20), Mo (5), Sb (100), Sn (10), W (50), samples containing 1/50) W were: VRD015, VRD020, VRD054, VRD213. In addition all samples were tested by





TABLE 6. *Analyses of rock samples from Ramseys Draft Wilderness*

Pennsylvania (Lesure, Motooka, and Weis, 1977). Geochemical anomalies related to these small deposits are small as well. The highest copper values (30-50 ppm) in the Ramseys Draft stream sediments compare well with values of 30-150 ppm copper found in stream sediments near the copper showings in Pennsylvania, but no rock samples from the Hampshire Formation contain much copper. Fifteen samples of greenish-gray sandstone from the Hampshire lithologically similar to the copper-bearing sandstone in the Catskill were analyzed (tables 2 and 6). Most of these contain organic remains as do many of the copper-bearing sandstones in Pennsylvania, but the highest copper value in the Ramseys Draft samples was 30 ppm, which is about the value of copper for unmineralized sandstone in the Catskill Formation.

One shale sample from the Ramseys Draft area (VRD 107, table 2, and pi. 2) contains a little more copper than do the sandstone samples and has a trace of silver similar to that in analyses of

Sample No.	Th (ppm)	U (ppm)	Th/U		
<b>VRD012</b>	7.2	$2.2\,$	3.3		
VRD015	9.5	1.4	6.7		
<b>VRD020</b>	15.6	3.3	4.7		
VRD053	8.4	3.1	2.7		
<b>VRD054</b> 	9.6	2.3	4.1		
VRD057	15.5	$2.2\,$	7.0		
VRD106	14.7	5.1	2.9		
<b>VRD107</b> -------------------------	9.9	7.3	1.3		
VRD108	18.6	4.8	3.9		
<b>VRD110</b>	12.5	3.2	3.9		
<b>VRD200</b> 	8.1	$\bf{2}$	4.0		
<b>VRD213</b> -------------------------	8.6	1.9	4.5		
<b>VRD222</b>	7.8	1.9	4.1		
<b>VRD277</b>	10.9	$2.3\,$	4.7		

TABLE 7. *Delayed neutron determinations of uranium and thorium for selected rock samples from Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area* **[Analyses by H. T. Millard and D. A. Bickford, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colo.]**

S-Cr			S Cu	S-La			S Nb	S Ni	S-Pb			S Sc	$S-Sr$	S V			S-Y	$S-Zr$	INST- Hg		AA-Zn P	eU	
													Sandstone, Pocono Formation										
10	- L	5		20.	N	20 N		5 L	10	N	5	т.	100 N	15		10	L	70	0.02	N	12	30 L	
10	L	5		20 N		20 N		5	10	N	5	L	100 N	10		10	L	150	.02	N	36	30 L	
10	л.	5	т.	20	N	20 N		5 L	10	N		5 N	$100$ N	10	N	10	N	30	.02	N	10	30 L	
10		5	л.	20 N		20 N		10	10 N		5	L	100 N	30		10		150	.02	N	23	30 L	
20		5		20 L		20 L		10	10	N	10		100 N	70		20		300	.02		28	30	л.
10 L		5	N	20 N		20 N		5	10	N	5	N	100 N	10	L	10 N		50	.02	N	13	30 L	
													Igneous dike										
200		30		100		30		70	10		15		1500	200		30		70	$0.02$ N		66	30 L	

*Study Area and vicinity, Augusta County, Va. Continued*

mineralized shale in the Catskill Formation. Shale beds were not seen on the steep hillsides near the site of the higher copper trends, but if present, shales like sample VRD 107 could be the cause of



FIGURE 4. Histogram of copper content of stream-sediment samples from flowing and intermittent streams, Ramseys Draft and vicinity, Augusta County, Va. (L, detected but below limit of determination.)

### C30 STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS-WILDERNESS AREA

higher copper values in stream sediments, where the drainage basin is mostly in the Hampshire Formation.

In October 1975 we collected 219 more soil samples from 110 sample sites in an area bounded by Bald Knob, Hardscrabble Knob, Freezland Flat, Jerrys Run, and the Pinnacle in order to evaluate the higher copper values in stream sediments. Two samples were collected at each site. Sample A was from the surface and contained abundant organic material. Sample B was taken below a sharp change in color and contained less organic material. All but two samples contained 15 ppm or less copper, similar to the soil samples listed in table 5. The two exceptions were collected at the same site (pl. 3); sample A contains  $500$  ppm Cu, 2 ppm Ag, 2 ppm Be, 10 ppm Co, 7 ppm Ni, and 2,000 ppm Mn. Sample B contains 3,000 ppm Cu, 2 ppm Ag, 700 ppm As, 100 ppm Co, and 70 ppm Ni; Fe (5 percent) and Mn (greater than 5,000 ppm) are also higher than average. These samples are probably derived from mineralized sandstone in the Hampshire Formation. No outcrops

TABLE 8. *Analyses of some shale and miscellaneous rock samples from the* [Symbols used:  $-$ , not detected;  $>$ , greater than;  $\le$ , less than; M, major component; \*, atomic absorption As, Au, Be, Bi, Cd, Ga, Hf, In, Li, Mo,

	General spectrographic analyses (percent)														
Sample No.	Al	Ag	$\bf{B}$	Ba	Ca	Co	$_{\rm Cr}$	Cu	Fe	Mg	Mn	Na	Ni		
<b>VRD301</b> <b>VRD302</b> <b>VRD303</b> <b>VRD304</b> <b>VRD305</b> <b>VRD306</b> <b>VRD307</b> <b>VRD308</b>	> 5 > 5 > > 5 > 5 5 > 3 > 5	5.0 < 0.001 -- < .001 --	0.03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03	— - — - $\rightarrowtail$ - - $\equiv$	< 0.02 < .02 .02 $< .02\,$ < .02 < .02 <.02 $<$ .02	- - — $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ — - - -	0.003 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003	0.003 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003	2.0 1 2 2 $\boldsymbol{2}$ 2 2 $\mathbf{2}$	0.4 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{.4}$ $\cdot$ $\overline{.4}$	0.01 .01 .01 .02 .02 .02 .02 .02	0.5 .5 .5 .5 .5 $\overline{5}$ .5 .5	0.002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002 .002		
<b>VRD309</b> <b>VRD310</b> <b>VRD311</b>	3 $\overline{\bf{4}}$ 5 >	- -	.03 .03 .03	- —	<.02 <.02	╾ -	.003 .003 .003	.003 .003 .003	2 2 2	$\overline{.}4$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{\mathbf{8}}$	.02 .02 .05	.5 .5 $.5\phantom{0}$	.002 .002 .002		
<b>VRD312</b> <b>VRD313</b> <b>VRD314</b> <b>VRD315</b>	3 5 3 3	< .001	.03 .03 .03 .03	- — <b>-</b> -	.1 .02 .02 .02	— — - -	.003 .003 .003 .003	.003 .003 .003 .003	$\mathbf{z}$ $\mathbf 2$ 1 2	$\boldsymbol{.8}$ $\cdot$ .4 $\cdot$ 4	.05 .02 .02 .02	.5 .5 .5 .5	.002 .002 .002 .002		
<b>VRD316</b> <b>VRD317</b> <b>VRD318</b> <b>VRD319</b> <b>VRD320</b>	3 5 5 4 3	-- — ÷ —	.03 .03 .03 .03 .03	- $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ - - $\overline{\phantom{0}}$	.03 .02 .02 .02	— $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ — -	.003 .003 .003 .003 .003	.003 .003 .003 .003 .003	$\boldsymbol{2}$ $\mathbf 2$ 2 2 $\mathbf{I}$	$\overline{4}$ $\overline{A}$ $\cdot$ 4 $\overline{.4}$ $\overline{.4}$	.02 .02 .02 .02 .02	.5 .5 .5 .5 $\overline{5}$	.002 .002 .002 .002 .002		
<b>VRD321</b> <b>VRD322</b> <b>VRD323</b> <b>VRD324</b> <b>VRD325</b> <b>VRD326</b> <b>VRD327</b>	M 1 1 3 3 3 $\overline{2}$	< .001 - - - --	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$ - < 0.01 .01 .02 .02 .01	0.1 - $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ - ⊷	M -- — .02 .03 .06 $\cdot^2$	0.004 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ — --	.02 - - -	.01 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003 .003	$\overline{7}$ .6 6 1 $\mathbf 2$ 2 $\mathbf{1}$	6 .02 .02 $\overline{.4}$ $\cdot$ .6 .6	$\cdot^2$ .003 .003 .006 .02 .05 .05	2 - $\overline{5}$ 1 1 .5	.01 < .002 <.002 < .002 < .002		

General spectrographic analyses by U.S. Bureau of Mines, Reno Metallurgy Research Center, Reno, Nev.

were seen, but thin sections of several rock chips in the soil sample suggest that the rock contained sulfides that are now altered to iron hydroxides. No copper minerals were seen. This one sample site with abnormally high copper values is on the divide between drainage areas sampled by stream sediments VRD 069, which has 50 ppm Cu, and VRD 070, which has 30 ppm Cu.

In summary, the stream-sediment data suggest a nonrandom distribution for copper and also another nonrandom distribution for lead and zinc. At least two of the high copper values seem to be related to mineralized sandstone in the Hampshire Formation. This mineralized rock is probably not extensive because only one sample site out of 20 within a radius of 2,500 feet (700 m) contained anomalous material.

The area of higher lead and zinc values seems restricted to the Pocono Formation. Minor concentrations of lead and zinc have been reported in the Pocono of southwestern Pennsylvania (Stevenson, 1878, p. 240-241), but none was seen in Ramseys Draft.

*Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area and vicinity, Augusta County, Va.*<sup>1</sup> analyses not performed; CC, continuous chip; RC, random chip; C, chip. Elements tested for but not detected Mb, P, Pt, Re, Sb, Sn, Ta, Te, Tl, W. Zn]

				(percent)		General spectrographic analyses-Continued	Atomic absorption analyses (percent)	Sample		Sample thickness	Sample	
Sample No.	Pb	Si	$S_{\rm r}$	Ti	v	Zr	Fe	type	(f <sub>t</sub> )	(m)	description	
<b>VRD301</b>	< 0.01	M	-	0.2	< 0.01	0.007	۰	$_{\rm cc}$	26.2	7.86	Shale	
<b>VRD302</b>	< .01	M	-	$\cdot$	< .01	.007		$_{\rm cc}$	25.7	7.71	Do.	
<b>VRD303</b>	$<$ .01	M	-	$\boldsymbol{.2}$	< .01	.007		$_{\rm cc}$	29	8.7	Do.	
<b>VRD304</b>		M	-	$\cdot^2$	< .01	.007		$_{\rm cc}$	48.3	14.49	Do.	
<b>VRD305</b>		M	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\cdot$	< .01	.007	٠	$_{\rm cc}$	34.7	10.41	Do.	
<b>VRD306</b>	< .01	M	-	$\mathbf{.2}$	< 01	.007		$_{\rm cc}$	33.7	10.11	Do.	
<b>VRD307</b>	< 0.01	М	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\cdot$	< 0.01	.007		CC	34	10.2	Do.	
<b>VRD308</b>	$<$ .01	М	-	$\cdot$	< 0.01	.007		$_{\rm cc}$	17.3	5.19	Do.	
<b>VRD309</b>	< 0.01	M	—	$\cdot$	< .01	.007		$_{\rm cc}$	25.8	7.74	Do.	
<b>VRD310</b>	< 0.01	M	<u></u>	$\mathbf{.2}$	< .01	.007		$_{\rm cc}$	22.8	6.84	Do.	
<b>VRD311</b>	< .01	M	-	$\boldsymbol{.2}$	< 0.01	.007		$_{\rm cc}$	17.5	5.25	Do.	
<b>VRD312</b>	< 0.01	M	—	$\cdot^2$	< .01	.007		$_{\rm cc}$	23.5	7.05	Do.	
<b>VRD313</b>	.01	M	-	$\overline{a}$	< .01	<.007		$_{\rm cc}$	22	6.6	Do.	
<b>VRD314</b>		M	-	$\overline{a}$	< .01	< 0.007		$_{\rm cc}$	40	12.0	Do.	
<b>VRD315</b>		M	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{2}$	< .01	$<$ .007		$_{\rm cc}$	58	17.4	Do.	
<b>VRD316</b>	-	M	-	$\cdot$	< 0.01	<.007		$_{\rm cc}$	29.9	8.97	Do.	
<b>VRD317</b>		M	-	$\cdot$	< .01	<.007		$_{\rm cc}$	20	6.0	Do.	
<b>VRD318</b>		M	-	$\overline{a}$	< .01	<.007		$_{\rm cc}$	20.5	6.15	Do.	
<b>VRD319</b>	< .01	M	-	$\overline{a}$	< .01	<.007	۰	$_{\rm cc}$	22	6.6	Do.	
<b>VRD320</b>		M		$\overline{2}$	< 0.01	<.007	٠	$_{\rm cc}$	23.2	6.96	Do.	
<b>VRD321</b>	$\cdot$	M	0.1	$\mathbf{A}$	.006	.007	7.8	RC	10	3.0	Igneous intrusive	
<b>VRD322</b>		M	-	.02			1.4	c	40	12.0	Sandstone	
<b>VRD323</b>		M		.02			0.77	$\mathbf C$	18	5.4	Do.	
<b>VRD324</b>	< 0.01	M	-	$\cdot$		< .006 < .007	1.4	C	25.5	7.65	Do.	
<b>VRD325</b>	.01	M	—	$\cdot$	&006	< 0.007	2.1	$\mathbf C$	17.5	5.25	Do.	
<b>VRD326</b>	.02	M	--	$\cdot$		< .006 < .007	1.8	C	27	8.1	Do.	
<b>VRD327</b>	.01	M		.05		< .006 < .007	2	C	16	4.8	Do.	

#### **MINERAL RESOURCES**

### **SETTING**

The relatively simple stratigraphy of the siliceous clastic sedimentary rocks and the simple structure that has no major faulting in the Ramseys Draft study area limit the possible mineral resources to nonmetallic resources, construction materials, and fuels. No known metallic mineral resources are present in the rocks exposed in the study area, and, except for a remote possibility for the copper-uranium occurrences already discussed, no metallic resources are to be expected in the rocks that are exposed or that are present within several thousand feet of the surface. Although iron, manganese, lead, and zinc have been produced in nearby areas of Virginia, the geologic conditions are not favorable for the occurrence of ore-grade deposits of those metals in the study area. Two types of iron ore, sedimentary hematite in the Rose Hill Formation of Middle Silurian age and supergene limonite in the Oriskany Sandstone of Early Devonian age, have been mined at various places within  $50$  miles  $(80 \text{ km})$  of the study area (Darton, 1894, 1896; Gooch, 1954; Lesure, 1957). The Rose Hill Formation probably contains iron-rich beds in the study area, but these are buried at least 7,500 feet (2,250 m), too deep for mining. The supergene iron ores in the Oriskany Sandstone formed only near the surface and should not be expected where the formation is buried as much as  $6,500$  feet  $(2,000 \text{ m})$ , as it is in the study area.

Although the largest manganese mines in the East were operated in Augusta County, Va. (Dorr and Sweeney, 1968, p. 419), approximately 28 miles (45 km) southeast of Ramseys Draft, the lower Paleozoic formations in which they are present are more than 10,000 feet (several thousand meters) deep in the study area. Likewise, lead and zinc deposits are found in a district 25-40 miles (40-65 km) northeast of Ramseys Draft (Herbert and Young, 1956) in lower Paleozoic sedimentary rocks that probably are at depths of 10,000 feet (3,000 m) or more in the study area. Minor occurrences of zinc sulfides have been reported in Devonian shales and sandstones in unspecified parts of the Shenandoah Valley area, probably north of the study area (Herbert and Young, 1956, p. 21), and lead and zinc sulfides are reported in the Pocono Formation in Fayette County, Pa. (Stevenson, 1878, p. 240-241). Similar occurrences were not found in the Ramseys Draft Study Area.

#### **MINES, PROSPECTS, AND LEASES**

On the basis of available information, there has been no commercial mineral production from within or near the Ramseys Draft Wilderness Study Area. A small pit (plate 2) furnished sand, gravel, and shale as road metal for U.S. Forest Service Road 68. No other pits, mines, or prospects are known to be within a 2-mile (3 km) radius of the study area.

Federal oil and gas leases were issued to Washington Gas Light Company in 1970 on a block of land 1 mile (1.6 km) southeast of Ramseys Draft. The same lessee held an oil and gas lease on the entire study area between November 1970 and October 1972.

# **NONMETALLIC RESOURCES AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS** HIGH-SILICA SAND

Several Paleozoic sandstones in western Virginia have been considered as possible sources of high-silica sand (Lowry, 1954, p. 9), but among these only the Pocono Formation crops out within the study area. Chip samples VRD 322 and VRD 323 (plate 2) were taken across two exposures of sandstone of the Pocono, 40 and 18 feet (12.2 and 5.5 m) thick, respectively. Analyses (table 8) show that the sandstone contains higher percentages of aluminum (Al), iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), and titanium (Ti) than are considered suitable for high-silica sand. The rock might be used as low-quality glass sand. Additional analyses of Pocono sandstones are included in table 6, and all indicate too much iron for most uses of silica sand. A bed of clean sand in the Jennings Formation (table 1, sample no. VRD 075) is also not pure enough for glass sand: furthermore, the bed is limited in extent.

#### SHALE

Within the study area, the Jennings and Hampshire Formations contain beds of shale, which are best exposed along the southeast flank of Shenandoah Mountain. Twenty continuous chip samples (VRD 301-320, pi. 2) were collected from within and near the study area. Samples were taken across the thickness of the shale units after removing weathered material at the surface. These samples, were submitted for preliminary ceramic tests and property determinations to evaluate their economic potential. Results are reported in table 9; partial analyses are given in table 8.

Preliminary tests indicate that these shales are suitable for the manufacture of building brick, floor brick, sewer pipe, and quarry tile. None of the samples expanded during the fast-fire bloating test, eliminating the shales from consideration as lightweight aggregate. Until the early 1960's, brick plants operated in both Buffalo Gap, 10 miles (16 km) southeast, and Staunton, 18 miles (29 km) southeast. The nearest operating plants are in Glasgow, Rock-



TABLE 9. *Evaluation of shales*

C<sub>34</sub>

 $\mathfrak{f}$ 

# STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS—WILDERNESS AREA



SEYS DRAFT WILDERNESS STUDY

C<sub>35</sub>

 $\bar{\gamma}$ 



 $\ddot{z}$ ¢ Ñ Ŕ L. Ń,  $\circ$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

C36 STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS-WILDERNESS AREA



 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\bar{\gamma}$  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  bridge County, and Somerset, Orange County, Va. (Le Van, 1974, p. 6-7), both over 50 miles (80 km) from the study area. The shale within the study area is considered to be too far from marketing areas to compete economically with more readily available material.

#### STONE, SAND, AND GRAVEL

Sandstone suitable for crushed stone, riprap, or possibly dimension stone is the principal mineral resource in the study area. Commercial potential is low because of the distance to market and the ready availability of similar material nearer to markets.

Small deposits of sand and gravel are present along the valley floor of Ramseys Draft, but production has been limited to use as road metal in local construction. Because quantities are small and more accessible construction materials are available in the larger river valleys of the region, sand and gravel deposits within the study area are deemed of little economic value.

# **FUELS**

#### **COAL**

Semianthracite coal has been mined near North River Gap, approximately 8 miles (13 km) east of the study area (Barton, 1894). This coal was examined as early as 1835 by W. B. Rogers (1836, p. 99), and the area has been known as the North River coal field for many years (Watson, 1907, p. 348). The coal is present as thin beds in the upper section of the Pocono Formation on Narrow Back Mountain from Stokesville in Augusta County northeastward to Rawley Springs in Rockingham County (Howell, 1925, p. 283). Several small mines have supplied local needs in the past, but no production has been reported in many years (Howell, 1925, p. 283). Only the lower part of the Pocono is preserved in the study area, and no coal was found during the course of our field investigations.

#### **OIL AND GAS**

Only small quantities of oil and natural gas have been produced from the folded rocks of the Valley and Ridge physiographic province in the Eastern United States (Meyer and Edgerton, 1968, p. 153).

No tests wells have been drilled in the Ramseys Draft area, and the possibility of finding oil or natural gas is remote. The Pocono, Hampshire, and Jennings Formations have petroliferous equivalents deeply buried under the Appalachian Plateau to the west in West Virginia, but in the study area the thermal maturity of these rocks, the combined effects of heat and pressure to which the strata

have been subjected since their deposition, exceeds the temperature at which oil is decomposed and expelled from source or reservoir rocks (Wallace de Witt, written commun., 1975).

The more deeply buried Cambrian to Middle Devonian sedimentary rocks, at least to the depth of a major detachment thrust fault zone, the Broadtop decollement (Jacobeen and Kanes, 1974), are within the thermal range for rocks capable of containing natural gas. They lie, however, in the bottom of a large syncline, which is most unfavorable for the accumulation and retention of natural gas. The absence of structural traps, minor anticlines, or high-angle faults in the syncline indicates that natural gas will not be found in the rocks underlying the Ramseys Draft area.

Relatively little is known about the rocks below the major detachment zone, about 15,000 feet (4,500m) below Ramseys Draft. Scant drilling on anticlines to the west in the Valley and Ridge province has shown complexly folded and faulted rocks at depth (Perry, 1964). Near-surface anticlines and synclines have been displaced westward several miles along the major detachment thrust fault, and these surface structures cannot be projected accurately across the fault without abundant geophysical data. The few wells that have been drilled through the major detachment fault have not found oil or gas below the detachment zone.

Two minor gas fields have been partly defined in the general area of Ramseys Draft: the Thorn wood field, 22 miles (35 km) northwest in Pocahontas County, West Va. (Patchen, 1968, p. 19-20) and the Bergton field, 34 miles (55 km) northeast in Rockingham County, Va. (Young and Harnsberger, 1955, p. 317). In both gas fields, the Oriskany Sandstone of Early Devonian age is the major gasbearing horizon, and minor shows were reported in the overlying formations. Patchen (1968, p. 19-20) indicated that the Thorn wood field is along the surface axis of the Horton anticline; the Bergton field is along the axis of the Bergton-Crab Run anticline (Young and Harnsberger, 1955, p. 320-326). These gas fields are shut-in and neither has had commercial production.

The nearest known exploratory gas well was drilled on Hone Quarry Run in Rockingham County, 10 miles (16 km) northeast of Ramseys Draft (lat  $38^{\circ}29'16''$  N.; long  $79^{\circ}10'34''$  E.) on the Bergton-Crab Run anticline (fig. 1). It proved to be dry.

Crab Run Gas Company conducted seismic investigations along U.S. Route 250 from just south of the study area to a point east of West Augusta. On the basis of the interpretation of these data, the company is of the opinion that the wilderness study area, because of its synclinal position, has no potential for the accumulation of oil or gas. If oil or gas accumulation exists this far south along the

Bergton-Crab Run anticline, it will be to the east of Bald Ridge, the southeastern boundary of the study area (F. H. Jacobeen, Jr., Crab Run Gas Company, February 1975, written commun.).

#### **REFERENCES CITED**

- Butts, Charles, 1918, Geologic section of Blair and Hunting Counties, central Pennsylvania: Am. Jour. Sci., 4th ser., v. 46, p. 523-537.
- 1933, Geologic map of the Appalachian Valley of Virginia, with explanatory text: Virginia Geol. Survey Bull. no. 42, 56 p.
- 1940, Geology of the Appalachian Valley in Virginia: Virginia Geol. Survey Bull. no. 52, 568 p.
- Dally, J. C., 1956, The stratigraphy and paleontology of the Pocono Group in West Virginia: New York, Columbia Univ., unpub. Ph.D. dissert., 237 p. (Univ. Microfilms, Ann Arbor, Mich., Doctoral dissert, series pub. no. 19).
- Darton, N. H., 1892, Notes on the stratigraphy of a portion of central Appalachian Virginia: Am. Geologist, v. 10, p. 10-18.
- 1894, Description of the Staunton quadrangle [Virginia-West Virginia]: U.S. Geol. Survey Geol. Atlas, Folio 14, 4 p.
- 1896, Description of the Franklin quadrangle [West Virginia-Virginia]: U.S. Geol. Survey Geol. Atlas, Folio 32, 6 p.
- Dennison, J. M., 1970, Stratigraphic divisions of Upper Devonian Greenland Gap Group ("Chemung Formation") along Allegheny Front in West Virginia, Maryland, and Highland County, Virginia: Southeastern Geology, v. 12, no. 1, p. 53-82.
- 1973, Appalachian energy resources for the future: West Virginia Acad. Sci. Proc., v. 45, no. 3, p. 235-243.
- Dennison, J. M., and Johnson, R. W., Jr., 1971, Tertiary intrusions and associated phenomena near the thirty-eighth parallel fracture zone in Virginia and West Virginia: Geol. Soc. America Bull., v. 82, no. 2, p. 501-508.
- Dennison, J. M., and Wheeler, W. H., 1975, Stratigraphy of Precambrian through Cretaceous strata of probable fluvial origin in Southeastern United States and their potential as uranium host rocks: Southeastern Geology Spec. Pub. 5, 210 p.
- Dorr, J. V. N., 2d, and Sweeney, J. W., 1968, Manganese, *in* Mineral resources of the Appalachian region: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 580, p. 416-425.
- Fullagar, P. D., and Bottino, M. L., 1969, Tertiary felsite intrusions in the Valley and Ridge province, Virginia: Geol. Soc. America Bull., v. 80, no. 9, p. 1853- 1857.
- Garner, T. E., Jr., 1956, The igneous rocks of Pendleton County, West Virginia: West Virginia Geol. Survey Rept. Inv. 12, 31 p.
- Gooch, E. O., 1954, Iron in Virginia: Virginia Div. Geology, Mineral Resources Circ. 1, 17 p.
- Herbert, Paul, Jr., and Young, R. S., 1956, Sulfide mineralization in the Shenandoah Valley of Virginia: Virginia Div. Geology Bull. 70, 58 p.
- Howell, R. W., 1925, Fields of Augusta and Rockingham Counties, *in* Campbell, M. R., and others, The valley coal fields of Virginia: Virginia Geol. Survey Bull. 25, p. 283-294.
- Jacobeen, F. H., Jr., and Kanes, W. H., 1974, Structure of the Broadtop synclinorium and its implications for Appalachian structural style: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists Bull., v. 58, no. 3, p. 361-375.
- Johnson, R. W., Jr., Milton, Charles, and Dennison, J. M., 1971, Field trip to the igneous rocks of Augusta, Rockingham, Highland, and Bath Counties, Virginia: Virginia Div. Mineral Resources Inf. Circ. 16, 68 p.
- Klemic, Harry, 1962, Uranium occurrences in sedimentary rocks of Pennsylvania: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1107-D, p. 243-288.
- Kozak, S. J., 1970, Geology of the Elliott Knob, Deerfield, Craigsville, and Augusta Springs quadrangles, Virginia: Virginia Div. Mineral Resources Rep. Inv. 21, 23 p.
- Lesley, J. P., 1876, The Boyd's Hill gas well at Pittsburgh: Pennsylvania Geol. Survey, 2d, Report L, Appendix E, p. L.217-L.237.
- Lesure, F. G., 1957, Geology of the Clifton Forge iron district, Virginia: Virginia Polytech. Inst. Bull., Eng. Expt. Sta. Ser. no. 118, 130 p.
- Lesure, F. G., Motooka, J. M., and Weis, P. L., 1977, Geochemical studies of some sandstone copper-uranium deposits, Bradford, Columbia, and Lycoming Counties, Pennsylvania: U.S. Geol. Survey Jour. Research, v. 5, no. 5 (in press).
- Le Van, D. C., 1974, Directory of the mineral industry in Virginia: Charlottesville, Va., Virginia Div. Mineral Resources, 56 p.
- Lowry, W. D., 1954, Silica sand resources of western Virginia: Virginia Polytech. Inst. Bull., Eng. Expt. Sta. Ser. no. 96, 62 p.
- McCauley, J. F., 1961, Uranium in Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania Geol. Survey, 4th Ser., Bull. M43, 71 p.
- Meyer, R. F., and Edgerton, C. D., Jr., 1968, Petroleum and natural gas, *in* Mineral resources of the Appalachian region: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 580, p. 145-163.
- Patchen, D. G., 1968, Oriskany Sandstone-Huntersville Chert gas production in the eastern half of West Virginia: West Virginia Geol. and Econ. Survey, Circ. 9, 30 p.
- Perry, W. J., Jr., 1964, Geology of Ray Sponaugle well, Pendleton County, West Virginia: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists Bull., v. 48, no. 5, p. 659-669.
- Pettijohn, F. J., 1963, Chapter 5. Chemical composition of sandstones-excluding carbonate and volcanic sands, *in* Fleischer, Michael, ed., Data of geochemistry 6th ed.: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 440-S, 21 p.
- Rogers, W. B., 1836, Reportof the geological reconnaissance of the State of Virginia: Philadelphia, Desilver, Thomas and Co., 143 p.
- 1838, Report of the progress of the Geological Survey of the State of Virginia for the year 1837: Philadelphia, S. Shepherd and Co., 24 p.
- 1884, A reprint of annual reports and other papers on the geology of the Virginias: New York, D. Appleton and Co., 832 p.
- Shapiro, Leonard, 1967, Rapid analysis of rocks and minerals by a single-solution method, *in* Geological Survey research 1967: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 575-B, p. B187-B191.
- Stevenson, J. J., 1878, Report of progress in the Fayette and Westmoreland district of the bituminous coal fields of western Pennsylvania, Part 2, the Ligonier Valley: Pennsylvania Geol. Survey, 2d, Rept. KKK, 331 p.
- Stow, M. H., 1955, Report of radiometric reconnaissance in Virginia, North Carolina, eastern Tennessee, and parts of South Carolina, Georgia, and Alabama: U.S. Atomic Energy Comm. [Rept.], RME 3107, 33 p.
- Tilton, J. L., Prouty, W. F., and Price, P. H., 1927, Pendleton County: West Virginia Geol. Survey [County Report], 384 p.
- Turekian, K. K., and Wedepohl, K. H., 1961, Distribution of the elements in some major units of the earth's crust: Geol. Soc. America Bull., v. 72, no. 2, p. 175-191.
- Watson, T. L., 1907, Mineral resources of Virginia: Lynchburg, Va., Virginia Jamestown Exposition Comm., 618 p.

# C42 STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS-WILDERNESS AREA

 $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}\cap\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}}$  . For

List of

- Woodward, H. P., 1943, Devonian system of West Virginia: West Virginia Geol. Survey [Rept.] v. 15, 655 p.
- Young, R. S., and Harnsberger, W. T., 1955, Geology of Bergton gas field, Rockingham County, Virginia: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geol. Bull., v. 39, no. 3, p. 317- 328.
- Zartman, R. E., Brock, M. R., Heyl, A. V., and Thomas, H. H., 1967, K-Ar and Rb-Sr ages of some alkalic intrusive rocks from central and eastern United States: Am. Jour. Sci., v. 265, no. 10, p. 848-870.