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DEFINITION OF LEVELS OF MINERAL RESOURCE POTENTIAL AND CERTAINTY OF ASSESSMENT

Definitions of Mineral Resource Potential

LOW mineral resource potential is assigned to areas where geologic, geochemical, and geophysical characteristics define a geologic environment in which the existence of resources is unlikely. This broad category embraces areas with dispersed but insignificantly mineralized rock as well as areas with few or no indications of having been mineralized.

MODERATE mineral resource potential is assigned to areas where geologic, geochemical, and geophysical characteristics indicate a geologic environment favorable for resource occurrence, where interpretations of data indicate a reasonable likelihood of resource accumulation, and (or) where an application of mineral-deposit models indicates favorable ground for the specified type(s) of deposits.

HIGH mineral resource potential is assigned to areas where geologic, geochemical, and geophysical characteristics indicate a geologic environment favorable for resource occurrence, where interpretations of data indicate a high degree of likelihood for resource accumulation, where data support mineral-deposit models indicating presence of resources, and where evidence indicates that mineral concentration has taken place. Assignment of high resource potential to an area requires some positive knowledge that mineral-forming processes have been active in at least part of the area.

UNKNOWN mineral resource potential is assigned to areas where information is inadequate to assign low, moderate, or high levels of resource potential.

NO mineral resource potential is a category reserved for a specific type of resource in a well-defined area.

Levels of Certainty
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LEVEL OF RESOURCE POTENTIAL

LEVEL OF CERTAINTY

A. Available information is not adequate for determination of the level of mineral resource potential.
B. Available information suggests the level of mineral resource potential.
C. Available information gives a good indication of the level of mineral resource potential.
D. Available information clearly defines the level of mineral resource potential.

Abstracted with minor modifications from:
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STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS

Bureau of Land Management Wilderness Study Areas

The Federal Land Policy and Management Act (Public Law 94-579, October 21, 1976) requires the U.S. Geological Survey and the U.S. Bureau of Mines to conduct mineral surveys on certain areas to determine the mineral values, if any, that may be present. Results must be made available to the public and be submitted to the President and the Congress. This report presents the results of a mineral survey of the Browns Canyon (CO-050-002) Wilderness Study Area, Chaffee County, Colorado.
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SUMMARY

In 1983 and 1984 the U.S. Geological Survey and the U.S. Bureau of Mines conducted studies to appraise the identified mineral resources and assess the mineral resource potential of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area (CO-050-002). There are no mines and few prospects in the study area. Small perlite deposits are present in the study area, but it is not feasible to develop them economically at this time. Geological, geochemical, and geophysical studies indicate low potential for undiscovered mineral resources, including geothermal resources and oil and gas (fig. 1).

The Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area consists of 6,614 acres of rugged, mountainous terrain on the eastern edge of the Arkansas River valley, about 6 mi (miles) southeast of Buena Vista and 7 mi northwest of Salida, in Chaffee County, Colorado. Early Proterozoic (1,700 m.y. or million years) metamorphic and igneous rocks and Oligocene and Miocene (38-12 m.y.) volcanic rocks underlie the study area. Oligocene, Miocene, and Pliocene (38-3 m.y.) volcanic and sedimentary rocks are exposed nearby.

Between 1927 and 1949, about 130,000 tons of fluorspar were recovered from the Browns Canyon fluorspar district, less than 1 mi southwest of the study area (fig. 1) (Van Alstine, 1969). The fault zone along which the fluorite occurs trends northwest and does not extend into the study area. Gold, silver, copper, lead, zinc, iron, and pegmatite minerals were mined from the Turret mining district, centered about 2 mi east-southeast of the study area. The mineralized faults, metamorphic rocks, and zoned pegmatites present in the Turret district do not extend into the study area. Prospecting in the study area, as evidenced by pits in small quartz veins, simple pegmatites, dikes, and shear zones in granodiorite and volcanic rocks, has not revealed significant mineral deposits.

Four ounces of gold were recovered by placer mining along the western side of the Arkansas River in Browns Canyon. Warm springs are in the Browns Canyon thermal area, less than 1 mi west of the study area. There is no surface evidence of placer mining, thermal springs, or oil and gas exploration in the study area.

Perlite deposits in the study area are exposed in the Nathrop Volcanics on Ruby Mountain, at the extreme northwestern corner of the study area, and above Cottonwood Creek, about 2.5 mi northeast of Ruby Mountain. The Ruby Mountain deposit, an identified resource which has value for industrial uses, contains at least 540,000 short tons of perlite. An additional 2.5 million short tons of perlite could be present, assuming the down-dip continuity of the Ruby Mountain deposit to the Arkansas River. About 30 percent of this additional perlite would be inside the study area. The deposit above Cottonwood Creek is considered too small to be a resource.

Spessartine garnet, topaz, and black obsidian nodules (called Apache tears) from Ruby Mountain are collected for mineral specimens; black tourmaline crystals from simple pegmatites are also collected in the study area.

Small quantities of sand and gravel are present in most of the washes and dry gulches of the study area and along the Arkansas River. Sufficient quantities of sand and gravel are available outside the study area, closer to local markets, to satisfy current local needs.

This investigation covered the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area and adjacent areas to provide necessary background information to evaluate the identified
Figure 1 (above and facing page). Map showing mineral resource potential and generalized geology of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area, Chaffee County, Colorado (geology modified from Scott and others, 1975).
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EXPLANATION

Mine having identified resources of perlite

Geologic terrane having low mineral resource potential for all metals, industrial minerals, and geothermal energy, with certainty
level C—Applies to entire study area

Geologic terrane having low mineral resource potential for oil and gas, with certainty
level D

Alluvium (Quaternary)

Dry Union Formation (Pliocene and Miocene)
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Granodiorite (Early Proterozoic)
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Contact

Fault—Dashed where approximately located; dotted where concealed. Bar and ball on downthrown side

mineral resources and to assess the potential for undiscovered mineral resources. The USBM (U.S. Bureau of Mines) collected 58 rock samples from prospects, pegmatites, and perlite occurrences in or near the study area. Chip samples were taken across altered and mineralized structures; grab or select samples were taken of mine-dump material. The USGS (U.S. Geological Survey) investigation included a review of previous geological studies, collection and analysis of stream-sediment samples from 123 sites from the study area and proximity, and a search for mines, prospects, and mineralized areas. Analyses of the geochemical samples indicated no areas with significant concentrations of metals in the study area. Geological, geochemical, and geophysical characteristics of the study area indicate a low mineral resource potential for mineral and geothermal resources, and no potential for oil and gas resources.

INTRODUCTION

In 1983 and 1984 the USGS and the USBM studied 6,614 acres of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area (CO-050-002), Chaffee County, Colorado. The study of this acreage was requested by the BLM (U.S. Bureau of Land Management). In this report the studied area is called the "wilderness study area" or simply the "study area."

The Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area, about 6 mi south of Buena Vista and 7 mi northwest of Salida (fig. 2), includes rugged, mountainous terrain with elevations ranging from about 7,400 ft (feet) along the Arkansas River to 9,082 ft in the eastern part of the study area. Many intermittent streams drain the study area, flowing into the Arkansas River. The study area is accessible by foot from dirt roads to the east, and by boat along the Arkansas River from the west.

Investigation by the U.S. Bureau of Mines

Prior to field investigation in 1983 and 1984, USBM geologists reviewed published and unpublished literature for information regarding the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area. Local residents and personnel from the

Figure 2. Index map showing the location of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area, Chaffee County, Colorado.
USBM, USGS, and BLM were interviewed regarding minerals in and near the study area. BLM records in Denver and Salida, and county records in Salida were examined for locations of mining claims and mineral leases in and near the wilderness study area.

Mines, prospects, and pegmatite and perlite outcrops in and within 1 mi of the study-area boundary were mapped and sampled. Chip samples were taken across altered and mineralized structures; grab or select samples were taken of mine-dump material. A total of 58 samples was collected. Except for four perlite and tuff samples, which were sent to The Perlite Corp. (Aston, Penn.) for special testing, all samples were analyzed for gold and silver by fire assay, and by semiquantitative optical-emission spectrophotographic methods for 40 elements. Various other analyses were performed on selected samples to determine concentrations of specific suspected elements. Chemical analysis was used to determine fluorine content. Atomic-absorption spectrophotometry was used to determine copper, lead, and zinc, fluorometry was used for uranium, and X-ray fluorescence was used for tungsten. X-ray diffraction was used to determine montmorillonite. These analyses were performed by the USBM Reno Research Center, Reno, Nev. (See Zelten, 1985.)

Four samples of perlite and tuff from the Nathrop Volcanics were expanded and tested by The Perlite Corp. to determine their quality and suitability for industrial uses. The samples were crushed and screened to -30 to -50-mesh, then expanded in a laboratory perlite expander at standard settings with both no preheating and after preheating at 300 °F and 600 °F. The density of the resulting expanded product was used to determine suitability for industrial uses.

Investigation by the U.S. Geological Survey

In June and July 1984, the USGS conducted field investigations to assess the potential for undiscovered mineral resources of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area. This investigation included a field check of the previous geological mapping and field studies, a stream-sediment geochemical survey, and an examination of mineralized and altered areas.

The geology and known mineral deposits of the Poncha Springs NE quadrangle, which includes the wilderness study area, were described by Van Alstine (1969). A geologic map at 1:24,000 scale is included in Van Alstine's publication. Scott and others (1975) also described the geology of the wilderness study area; a 1:62,500-scale geologic map accompanies their report. The geologic maps in this report (fig. 1, pl. 1) are modified compilations of these previous maps. Mineral resource potential was classified according to the system of Goudarzi (1984) (see inside front cover of this report).

APPRAISAL OF IDENTIFIED RESOURCES

By Jeanne E. Zelten, U.S. Bureau of Mines

Mining and Mineral-Exploration Activity

The Browns Canyon Wilderness Study area is not included in any mining district. The Browns Canyon fluorite district, about 0.25 mi southwest of the study area (parts of secs. 22, 23, 26, 27, and 34, T. 51 N., R. 8 E.), has been one of the principal fluorite mining districts in the United States (Van Alstine, 1976). The fluorite was discovered in the early 1920's, and about 130,000 short tons were recovered between 1927 and 1949.

Two of four flotation mills previously in operation in the district still stand but are in disrepair. Fluorspar deposits are large and mine workings were extensive. According to Van Alstine (1969), estimated resources of the district are about 2 million short tons of ore, containing at least 15 percent fluorite. The faults along which the fluorite occurs trend northwest and dip southwest. (See Van Alstine, 1969.)

No surface evidence of fluorite was observed in the study area by either Van Alstine or the USBM; the mineralized structures studied by Van Alstine do not trend toward the study area.

Since the mid-1800's, copper, gold, silver, iron, and pegmatite minerals have been mined from Early Proterozoic gneisses and schist, skarns, and complex and zoned pegmatites, and along fault zones in the Turret mining district, southeast of the study area. The district is centered around Turret, which is about 2 mi east-southeast of the study area (parts of secs. 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, and 34, T. 51 N., R. 9 E.). More than 5,700 Ib (pounds) of copper, 42 oz (ounces) of gold, and 100 oz of silver were recovered; unknown quantities of iron, beryl, mica, and rare earths also were mined. Proterozoic granodiorite and simple pegmatites in the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area are not related to the mineralized structures in the Turret district. (See unpub. USBM data, Denver, Colo.; Del Rio, 1960; Eckel, 1961; Vanderwilt, 1947.)

Simple pegmatites and quartz veins in and near the study area have been prospected for quartz, feldspar, and other mineral specimens, chiefly black tourmaline crystals as large as 1 in. (inch) in diameter. Small quartzite occurrences within 1 mi east of the study area were quarried in 1961–62 for use in aggregate and as decorative stone. Van Alstine (1969) described a vermiculite deposit of unspecified dimensions in the study area (SW 1/4SW 1/4 sec. 29, T. 15 S., R. 77 W.). He reported that the deposit

Acknowledgments.—We appreciate the work done by M. S. Allen, R. C. Davies, and P. K. Mowinckel, who assisted in the field study, and D. E. Detra and J. M. Motooka for their analyses of the geochemical samples.
was exposed by a shaft in a gneiss pendant in the granodiorite. This deposit was not located during the USBM study.

Gravels along the Arkansas River and its western tributaries have yielded small quantities of placer gold (less than 50 oz) since the turn of the century (unpub. USBM data, Denver, Colo.; Van Alstine, 1969). Most of the placer prospecting was in Tertiary and Holocene sedimentary rocks and sediments in drainages west of the Arkansas River. Two ounces of gold were recovered in 1932 and 2 oz in 1939 from placer mines in gravels along the western side of the Arkansas River in Browns Canyon (unpub. USBM data, Denver, Colorado; Vanderwilt, 1947).

All or parts of 17 unpatented lode- or placer-mining claims are in the study area, and many patented and unpatented lode and placer claims have been located within 2 mi of the boundary. Prospects examined inside the study area are on quartz veins, simple pegmatites, dikes, and shear zones in the granodiorite and in the Nathrop Volcanics. Veins, dikes, and shear zones in Proterozoic gneiss and granodiorite within 1 mi south and east of the study area were prospected in the early 1900's for gold, tungsten, silver, copper, lead, zinc, and iron. Production records were not located for any of these prospects.

Geothermal Resources

Two warm springs and a warm-water well are in the Browns Canyon thermal area, centered about 1 mi southwest of the study area and roughly coincident with the Browns Canyon fluorite district (fig. 3). Water temperatures ranged from about 73° to 81° F. The thermal area occurs where Proterozoic granitic and metamorphic rocks are in fault contact with Tertiary igneous and sedimentary rocks. All of the springs appear to be fault controlled. (See Pearl, 1979.) Pearl noted, "If these springs are part of one large system, the reservoir may be too deep to be reached economically.' The faults do not trend toward the study area, and no thermal springs have been identified there.

Parts of secs. 21, 22, 27, and 34, T. 51 N., R. 8 E., were covered by a geothermal lease in 1975. There are no records of development work in the area, and the lease was terminated in 1981.

Oil and Gas

There is no record or evidence of oil or gas exploration or drilling in or near the study area. An oil and gas lease application (C 34113, fig. 3), consisting of five separate tracts, is 1 mi to the north and northwest. Spencer (1983) rated the region containing the study area as having no petroleum potential because it is underlain by Proterozoic rocks that have no potential for the occurrence of sealed structures or stratigraphic traps.

Appraisal of Sites Examined

Nonmetallic commodities and minerals are present in the study area. Perlite has been mined on a small scale from the Nathrop Volcanics. Perlite, a glassy volcanic rock of rhyolitic composition, which contains from 2 to 5 percent combined water (Bates, 1969), has resource value for industrial uses. When crushed to uniform size and heated rapidly in a stationary vertical or a rotary horizontal furnace, perlite expands into light cellular particles as much as 20 times its original volume. Expanded perlite has low bulk density, large surface area, low thermal conductivity, high fire resistance, and low sound transmission, and is chemically inert. All of these characteristics make it useful for lightweight concrete and plaster aggregate, insulation, filter aids, soil conditioners, and many other uses. (See Bates, 1969; Benton, 1984; Chesterman, 1975.) Garnet, topaz, Apache tears obsidian, and tourmaline specimens have been and continue to be collected from the Nathrop Volcanics and from pegmatites in the study area. Sand and gravel in the area could be used for construction purposes.

Perlite and Associated Rocks

Two perlite deposits are in the northern part of the study area. The Ruby Mountain deposit is in a 500-ft-thick sequence of the Oligocene Nathrop Volcanics, which comprise rhyolite, perlite, and an ash-flow tuff, and overlie Proterozoic granodiorite. Perlite also occurs in a remnant of the Nathrop Volcanics above Cottonwood Creek (NW 1/4 sec. 29, T. 15 S., R. 77 W.) (See Van Alstine, 1969.)

Ruby Mountain Deposit

An identified resource of black and gray perlite about 100 ft thick underlies a gray, banded rhyolite flow on Ruby Mountain. The rhyolite, which caps and forms the western side of Ruby Mountain, is about 300 ft thick, dips 50-70° west, and contains abundant vesicles and lithophysae. The perlite dips about 40° W. and contains variable amounts of obsidian nodules as much as about 3/16 in. in diameter. About 1,600 short tons of perlite were extracted previously from an opencut in ash-flow tuff (Zelten, 1985). No information was located as to when this work was done or if any testing was performed on the material.

A stratigraphically lower unit of white and light-gray ash-flow tuff, about 100 ft thick, dipping about 30° W., and containing perlite and other gray inclusions,
**Figure 3.** Map showing oil and gas lease application and Browns Canyon thermal area near the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area, Colorado. Lease application data from U.S. Bureau of Land Management, 1984. Thermal-area data modified from Pearl (1979).
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underlies the black and gray perlite. Blocks of granodiorite as much as 3 ft in diameter are present in the lower part of the flow. The tuff contains volcanic glass and montmorillonite. An estimated 90 short tons of rock were extracted previously from an opencut in this tuff. No information is available as to when this work was done, or if any testing was performed on the material. (See Zelten, 1985.)

At least 540,000 short tons of perlite are present on Ruby Mountain. This tonnage estimate is based on the following measurements: surface length, 1,500 ft; width, 50 ft downdip; and thickness, 100 ft, all of which would be minable by surface methods. A tonnage factor of 13.9 ft³/ton (cubic feet per ton) was used to convert from volume to weight. An additional 2.5 million short tons of perlite could be present assuming downdip continuity of the deposit 230 ft to the Arkansas River. About 30 percent of this perlite would be inside the study area. (See Zelten, 1985.)

Perlite and ash-flow tuff samples were crushed and screened to prepare a ~30 to +50-mesh fraction for expansibility testing. As determined by The Perlite Corp., the quality of the perlite from the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area is adequate for use as plaster and concrete aggregate, filter aid, plaster board, and cryogenics, if preheated to 300°F. Testing of samples of the ash-flow tuff indicated that the material may be adequate for use as plaster and concrete aggregate, if preheated to 300°F. The overall density of this material is higher than that of the perlite and limits the usefulness of the expanded product. Expanded material containing considerable amounts of dark-colored specks, or a uniformly off-color expanded product, does not sell well in the United States in competition with clean material, although the color has no effect on the usefulness of the product (The Perlite Corp., written commun., 1985). The expanded perlite samples from Ruby Mountain were light gray, which is not as acceptable a color as white. The above tests are preliminary and useful to determine probable quality, but tests of large volumes of material are needed to establish degree of uniformity and definite uses. (See Zelten, 1985.)

The characteristics of the expanded perlite, together with the accessibility, size, and location of a deposit with respect to local markets, determine the value of the deposit. Perlite is generally mined by open-pit methods. Eighty-six percent of the perlite mined in the United States comes from New Mexico, from mines producing about 200,000 tons per year. Colorado’s production is from the Rosita deposit, about 50 mi southeast of the study area. The Rosita perlite is 40–75 ft thick; about 20 million tons of reserves were present in 1956. (See Harben and Bates, 1984; Jaster, 1956; Pettifer, 1981.)

The perlite deposit at Ruby Mountain is small but has resource value for industrial uses. Ruby Mountain is easily accessible from U.S. Highway 285 and the Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad by a well-maintained dirt road. A jeep trail up the eastern side of the mountain provides access directly to the deposit. The satisfactory quality, good accessibility, and size make it amenable to development.

Cottonwood Creek Deposit

A remnant of the Nathrop Volcanics is exposed for about 200 ft along a hillside above Cottonwood Creek. Rhyolite, perlite, and an ash-flow tuff, similar to the sequence found on Ruby Mountain, are exposed in a 25 ft by 6 ft by 7 ft opencut. The perlite zone here is about 10 ft thick but is mostly black and gray volcanic glass with perlite bands and streaks several inches wide. Clay and granodiorite inclusions are prevalent in the perlite. The ash-flow tuff is about 12 ft thick. Because of the small size and apparent poor quality of this deposit, perlite expansibility tests were not conducted and no resource estimates were made. This deposit is seasonally accessible by jeep trails from the eastern side of the study area. The poor quality, poor accessibility, and small size are factors decreasing the possibility of economic development of this deposit.

Rock and Mineral Specimens

Garnet, topaz, Apache tears, and tourmaline are collected for specimens from the Nathrop Volcanics on Ruby Mountain and from pegmatites in the study area. Abundant lithophysae in the rhyolite that caps Ruby Mountain contain clear, euhedral spessartine garnet crystals, averaging 1/32 to 1/16 in. in diameter, and topaz crystals, as much as 1/4 in. in diameter, both of which are collected for specimens. Seaman (1979) identified microscopic native-gold blebs, garnet, hematite, and pseudobrookite (a titanium-iron oxide) in the lithophysae. Van Alstine (1969) identified garnet, topaz, sanidine, quartz, tridymite, opal, calcite, magnetite, and hematite in vesicles and lithophysae of the rhyolite. Garnet, hematite, and topaz were noted in lithophysae by USBM geologists.

Black obsidian pellets as large as 3/16 in. in diameter are abundant in the perlite on Ruby Mountain. These nodules, or marekanites, are often called Apache tears and have value as specimens.

Black tourmaline crystals as large as 1 in. in diameter have been collected for specimens from simple pegmatites in the northern part of the study area.

Sand and Gravel

Sand and gravel occur in most of the washes and dry gulches throughout the study area and along the Arkansas River. Extensive Quaternary alluvial deposits of sand and gravel currently are being quarried at several...
locations about 2 mi west of the study area, near U.S. Highway 285. These deposits are sufficient to satisfy current local needs.

ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL FOR UNDISCOVERED RESOURCES

By Anne M. Leibold, Ronald G. Worl and Ronny A. Martin,
U.S. Geological Survey

Geology

The following descriptions of geologic setting and rock units were modified from Van Alstine (1969) and Scott and others (1975).

Geologic Setting

The Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area lies on the western flank of the Mosquito Range, adjacent to the Arkansas River valley (fig. 2). Regional basin-and-range-style block faulting along a general northern trend during the Miocene and Pliocene probably formed the Arkansas River valley structural depression. This graben is considered to be a northern extension of the Rio Grande rift and is now filled with thick sediments (Epis and others, 1980; Van Alstine, 1969). Proterozoic metamorphic and igneous rocks, Tertiary volcanic and sedimentary rocks, and Quaternary alluvium are exposed in the vicinity of the study area (pl. 1) (Van Alstine, 1969; Scott and others, 1975). North- and northwest-trending normal faults are the predominant local geologic structures. Van Alstine (1969) suggested that some of these faults were active in Proterozoic as well as in early and late Tertiary time.

The Browns Canyon fluor spar district, directly southwest of the wilderness study area, is localized in a horst. Normal faults on the northeastern and southwestern sides of the horst juxtapose Proterozoic rocks and Oligocene volcanic and Pliocene and Miocene sedimentary rocks. The major fluor spar deposits are localized along the faults bounding the southwestern side of the horst and along smaller northeast- and northwest-trending faults and joints that transect the horst.

The Ace High-Jackpot sulfide prospect (fig. 1) lies in a lenticular body of Early Proterozoic hornblende gneiss (unit Xh, pl. 1) bounded by two north-trending faults in the NW ¼ sec. 32, T. 51 N., R. 9 E. This prospect is in the western part of the Turret mining district, centered about 2 mi southeast of the wilderness study area. This district includes many mines and prospects in secs. 28, 29, 31, and 32 (T. 51 N., R. 9 E.). Most notable are the Gold Bug and Golden Wonder mines characterized by gold in fault-controlled quartz veins, and the Independence and Turret deposits, which were described as copper-zinc skarns (Heinrich, 1981; Lindgren, 1908).

Description of Rock Units

Early Proterozoic metamorphic rocks, exposed in the southwestern part of the study area, include hornblende gneiss (unit Xh, pl. 1) and banded quartz-feldspar-biotite gneiss (unit Xgn, pl. 1); they are intercalated in places and are interpreted as sedimentary in origin. The hornblende gneiss is a dark-green to black, fine to medium-grained foliated rock. The banded gneiss is a fine- to medium-grained foliated rock with light- to dark-colored bands.

Early Proterozoic igneous rocks include a granodiorite batholith (unit Xgd), dated at about 1,700 m.y. (million years), which underlies most of the study area (Van Alstine, 1969). The granodiorite is mottled gray and white, coarse grained, and foliated near the margins of the batholith. It contains large and small xenoliths of metasedimentary rocks that are foliated parallel to the foliation of the granodiorite and some large pendants of hornblende gneiss and banded gneiss that are also foliated parallel to the foliation of the granodiorite. Early Proterozoic dikes and sills of granite aplite (unit Xa), granite pegmatite (unit Xp), dacite porphyry (unit Xdp), and lamprophyre (unit XI) cut the granodiorite and the metamorphic rocks.

Tertiary volcanic and sedimentary rocks overlie the Early Proterozoic units and are exposed in the northwestern corner of and adjacent to the wilderness study area. The volcanic rocks consist of a rhyodacitic sequence of Oligocene age and a rhyolitic sequence of Oligocene age. The Oligocene rhyodacitic sequence is exposed to the south and west of the wilderness study area. It is composed of medium-gray, flow-banded, porphyritic rhyodacite ash (unit Tr), greenish-gray, clayey, rhyodacite ash (unit Ta), and gray, crystal-rich, welded rhyolite ash-flow tuff (unit Tw). The rhyolitic sequence of Oligocene age, the Nathrop Volcanics (unit Tn), is exposed in the northwestern corner of the study area. In descending order, this sequence consists of (1) a gray or light-brown flow-banded rhyolite with lithophysae containing spessartine garnets and topaz; (2) a medium-gray to black perlitic obsidian; and (3) a white-to-pink pumiceous laharc flow. A small remnant of the Nathrop Volcanics is also exposed in sec. 29, T. 15 S., R. 77 W.

Sedimentary rocks adjacent to the wilderness study area include the Browns Canyon Formation (unit Tb) of Miocene and Oligocene age, and the Dry Union Formation (unit Td) of Pliocene and Miocene age. The Browns Canyon Formation is a gray to yellowish-brown, well-layered tuffaceous siltstone. The Dry Union Formation consists of poorly consolidated layers of gray clay, silt,
sand, and gravel. Volcanic lithologies predominate in the gravels, but Precambrian rock fragments are also present. Quaternary alluvium (unit Qal) is present along the Arkansas River banks and in the Arkansas Valley.

**Geochemistry**

A geochemical survey to evaluate the mineral resource potential of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area included the collection and analysis of stream-sediment samples and heavy-mineral concentrates from 123 sites in and adjacent to the wilderness study area (pl. 1). The sample density in the wilderness study area averaged 3 samples per square mile. The two known mineralized districts adjacent to the wilderness study area, the Browns Canyon fluorspar district (secs. 22, 23, 26, 27, 34, and 35, T. 51 N., R. 8 E.) and the Turret district (secs. 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, and 34, T. 51 N., R. 9 E.) were sampled to characterize the geochemical response of these districts and to assist in the recognition of similar mineralized areas within the wilderness study area. In the Turret district, emphasis was placed on characterizing the response of the Ace High-Jackpot prospect in sec. 32. Well-developed drainages in these two mineralized districts were sampled about every 0.25 mi. Bulk stream sediments and panned concentrates were collected at each site. Each sample represents a composite collection of stream sediment.

**Analytical Methods**

At each sample site about 1.6 kg (kilograms) of stream sediment were collected from point bars or sections of lower gradient to constitute the bulk samples. This material was dried and sieved to minus-80 mesh. A 0.5-g (gram) sample was digested in an aqua regia (1HNO₃:3HCl) solution, dried by heating, then cooled. The residue was then redissolved in 20 mL (milliliters) of 20 percent HCl and warmed on a hot plate. This solution was filtered into a test tube and analyzed by inductively coupled plasma atomic-emission spectroscopy (ICP) (Church, 1981) for Al, Ca, Fe, Mg, Ag, As, B, Ba, Be, Bi, Ce, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, La, Mn, Mo, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Sb, Sc, Sn, Sr, Ti, V, W, Y, Zn. And a modified Frantz isodynamic separator¹ to obtain three fractions: (1) magnetic at 0.25 A (ampere), (2) magnetic at 1.2 A, and (3) nonmagnetic at 1.2 A. The non-magnetic fraction was split, ground with a mortar and pestle, and analyzed semiquantitatively for 31 elements (Fe, Mg, Ca, Ti, Mn, Ag, As, Au, B, Ba, Be, Bi, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, La, Mo, Nb, Ni, Pb, Sb, Sc, Sn, Sr, V, W, Y, Zn, Zr, and Th) by the six-step optical-emission spectrographic method (Grimes and Marranzino, 1968).

Samples having anomalous metal concentrations were determined and evaluated, primarily using raw-data listings, basic statistics, histograms, single-element plots, and R-mode factor analysis. The purpose was to determine anomalous metal concentrations and to detect element associations that may reflect geologic processes or features, such as underlying lithology, mineralization, and geochemical-dispersion processes. Binocular-microscope examination of the mineralogy of the heavy-mineral concentrates augmented data interpretation. A brief summary of the procedures and conclusions are presented below; however, a complete review of the data and interpretation is available in the files of the USGS.

**Results of Survey**

Interpretation of the geochemical data using classical geochemical concepts (Goldschmidt, 1954) and a variety of statistical methods identified no indication of mineralized rock in the wilderness study area. Minus-80-mesh samples 45, 46, 104, 105, 106, 107, 141, and 143, from the northern part of the wilderness study area (pl. 1), possess anomalous values for the geochemical association V-Cr-Fe-P-Nb-Co-Ni. These anomalous values probably indicate the high content of magnetite, amphibole, biotite, and monazite in the granodiorite. The amphibole, biotite, and monazite enrichment in this area was also reflected markedly in the panned concentrates, mineralogically and chemically. Samples 45, 46, 48, 104, 105, 106, and 141 contained anomalous values for the geochemical association V-Fe-Cr-Co-Mo.

Heavy-mineral-concentrate samples 43, 113, and 114, from Green Gulch in the southern part of the study area (pl. 1), contained anomalous concentrations of magnesium. Mineralogical examination revealed a higher than average amphibole and pyroxene content in these samples. Sample 113 also contained an anomalous concentration of tungsten (1,000 ppm or parts per million), and scheelite was noted in this sample. The source of the scheelite is unknown but is believed to be economically insignificant because tungsten values for all other samples in this drainage were near or below the detection limit (100 ppm).

Data from the two known mining districts adjacent to the wilderness study area (the Browns Canyon fluorspar district and the Ace High-Jackpot area of the Turret...
Mineral and Energy Resources

The potential for mineral resources in the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area was assessed by comparing geochemical, geophysical, and geological characteristics of the study area with those of nearby mineralized areas. The geological characteristics of the wilderness study area were also compared with those of mineral-deposit models.

Geological and geochemical features of the study area suggest four models for the possible presence of precious- or base-metal deposits: (1) fluor spar and related metals localized along and near major rift faults, (2) base-metal (predominantly copper-zinc) skarns, (3) copper-zinc metamorphosed exhalative deposits, and (4) gold and silver in fault-controlled quartz veins. A brief description of these models and a comparison with geological features of the wilderness study area provide a framework for the assessment of the mineral resource potential for the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area.

Chalcedony-fluor spar veins, which make up the Browns Canyon fluor spar district deposits, are localized along steeply dipping northeast-trending faults. These...
Figure 4. Aeromagnetic contour map of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area, Colorado, and vicinity. Contour intervals, 20 gammas. From Case and Sikora (1984).
Figure 5. Gravity contour map showing Bouguer anomalies of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area, Colorado, and vicinity. Contour interval, 2 milligals. From Case and Sikora (1984).
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faults bound a horst that brings Proterozoic metamorphic rocks in contact with Oligocene rhyolitic volcanic rocks (pl. 1). Van Alstine (1969) cited evidence for a Tertiary fumarolic hot-springs environment of deposition. Notably, several thermal springs are along faults in this mining district (Barrett and Pearl, 1978). Mineralogical studies of stream-sediment heavy-mineral concentrates from this area generally revealed abundant fluorite.

Van Alstine (1969) suggested that faults along which the fluorspar is localized may extend to the northwest and southeast of the fluorspar district, but they do not transect the wilderness study area. Fluorite similar to that from panned concentrates of the fluorspar district was not observed in samples from the wilderness study area. There is no evidence of geothermal activity or related alteration or mineralization in the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area. Therefore, a low mineral resource potential for fluorspar and geothermal resources is assigned to the wilderness study area, with certainty level C.

Heinrich (1981) described the copper-zinc skarns of south-central Colorado as epigenetic disseminated-replacement deposits in terranes intruded by 1,400- or 1,700-m.y.-old granitoid plutons. Deposits described by Heinrich (1981) include the Independence and Turret mines and the Ace High-Jackpot prospect in the Turret mining district. He also described the Sedalia mine, once the largest copper mine in Colorado, about 7 mi south of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area (sec. 18, T. 50 N., R. 9 E.). He suggested that host-rock type, normally amphibolite, hornblende gneiss, or biotite gneiss, was a major factor in the localization of the minerals. Faulting through or nearby the deposits is common. Chalcopyrite is ubiquitous; sphalerite and gahnite are common; galena, pyrite, and molybdenite are minor or absent. Two types of deposits have been distinguished: those concordant with metamorphic foliation and layering, and those discordant with metamorphic foliation. Both types are recognized in the Browns Canyon region.

Sheridan and Raymond (1977, 1978) described the deposits at the Sedalia mine and several other mines in the Salida area about 8 mi south of the study area as metamorphosed exhalative deposits. They suggested that the presence of metasedimentary and metavolcanic host rocks, the preponderance of concordant textural and structural features, the unusual gangue mineralogy, and the clustering of similar deposits in the Salida region support an exhalative origin for these deposits.

The observed Pb-Cu-Mo-W-Zn geochemical signature in the Ace High-Jackpot area probably reflects skarn or metamorphosed exhalative mineralization. A typical mineral assemblage, as described by Heinrich (1981) and Sheridan and Raymond (1977, 1978), was observed in many of the heavy-mineral concentrates. Minerals commonly observed in samples from this area include sillimanite, muscovite, gahnite, and pyrite.

These mineralogical and geochemical signatures were not observed in any samples from the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area. The geologic setting favorable for skarn or exhalative deposits, fault-bound hornblende gneiss or biotite gneiss units in granodiorite, is uncommon in the study area. Therefore, the mineral resource potential for copper-zinc deposits in the wilderness study area is low, with certainty level C.

The gold-bearing quartz-vein deposits of the Turret district (Gold Bug and Golden Wonder mines) are localized in north- and east-trending fault zones in granodiorite. An east-trending dike of fine-grained porphyry coincides with the deposits. Native gold, and pyrite and minor amounts of other sulfides were reported from these mines (Bhutta, 1954; Lindgren, 1908). Anomalous gold, silver, copper, molybdenum, lead, and tin concentrations and gold flakes were observed in heavy-mineral concentrates from the drainage below these mines. No similar geochemical response was seen in stream-sediment samples from within the wilderness study area, and prospect pits in the study area do not show evidence of similar mineralization. Therefore, the mineral resource potential for gold is rated low, with certainty level C.

Van Alstine (1969) described several other mineral deposit types in the Browns Canyon area, including placer gold, perlite, quartzite, and vermiculite. The geologic setting is unfavorable for the presence of more than small local concentrations of these commodities in the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area. The resource potential for placer gold, quartzite, and vermiculite in the wilderness study area is low, with certainty level C. Perlite deposits have been identified in the study area, but they are small.

Spencer (1983) reported that the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area has no potential for oil and gas resources. No favorable reservoir lithologies or structural settings are present in the study area; certainty level is D.

Geologic, geochemical, and geophysical characteristics of the Browns Canyon Wilderness Study Area indicate a low potential for the existence of unidentified mineral resources.
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**GEOLOGIC TIME CHART**
Terms and boundary ages used by the U.S. Geological Survey, 1986

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EON</th>
<th>ERA</th>
<th>PERIOD</th>
<th>EPOCH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Boundary Age in Million Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>Neogene Subperiod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triassic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paleozoic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Devonian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silurian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ordovician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cambrian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proterozoic</td>
<td>Late Proterozoic</td>
<td></td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle Proterozoic</td>
<td></td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early Proterozoic</td>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archean</td>
<td>Late Archean</td>
<td></td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle Archean</td>
<td></td>
<td>3400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early Archean</td>
<td></td>
<td>3800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Rocks older than 570 m.y. also called Precambrian, a time term without specific rank.

2 Informal time term without specific rank.