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Petrography, Chemistry, and Geologic History of Yantarni Volcano, Aleutian Volcanic Arc, Alaska

By J.R. RIEHLE, M.E. YOUNT, and T.P. MILLER

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY BULLETIN 1761
CONTENTS

Abstract 1
Introduction and acknowledgments 1
Geologic setting 1
Geology 2
   Pre-volcanic sedimentary rocks 2
   Tertiary hypabyssal rocks 2
   Older lava flows 3
   Lava flows, breccias, and older pyroclastic deposits of Yantarni cone 6
   Debris-avalanche deposits, younger pyroclastic flows, and dome 7
   Debris-avalanche deposits 7
   Younger pyroclastic-flow deposits 11
   Dome 14
Major-element and mineral compositions of the volcanic rocks 14
   Major-oxide variations 14
   Mineral compositions 17
   Origin of the chemical trends 22
Geologic history of Yantarni Volcano 25
Conclusions 25
References cited 26

PLATE
[In pocket]
1. Geologic map of Yantarni Volcano, Alaska Peninsula, Alaska

FIGURES

Frontispiece. Photograph showing Yantarni Volcano
1. Index map of eastern Aleutian volcanic arc 2
2. Regional map of Yantarni Volcano and adjacent Quaternary volcanoes 2
3-5. Photographs showing:
   3. Debris-avalanche block in pyroclastic-flow deposits 8
   4. Large, coherent block of debris-avalanche material 9
   5. Debris-avalanche deposits on steep slopes in underlying bedrock 10
6. Stereopair showing deposit of mass movement on southeast flank of Yantarni Volcano 11
7. Geologic columns measured in pyroclastic-flow deposits 12
8. Plots showing grain-size distributions, pyroclastic-flow samples 12
9. Photograph of prismatic cracking in dacitic blocks, pyroclastic flows 13
10. Stereopairs showing young pyroclastic-flow deposits of Yantarni Volcano 15
11. Plots showing grain-size distributions, proximal tephra deposits 16
12. Geologic columns at sites of proximal tephra deposits 16
13. Variation diagrams, Yantarni whole-rock compositions 17
14. Classification plots, Yantarni whole-rock compositions 18
15. Plots of pyroxene and olivine compositions 19
16. Plots of plagioclase compositions 20
17-21. Photomicrographs showing:
   17. Plagioclase grains with inclusions 21
   18. Hornblende microphenocryst in fine-grained groundmass 21
   19. Olivine microphenocryst 22
   20. Quartz grain with reaction rim of clinopyroxene 22
   21. Reacted hornblende and lithic inclusions 23
22. Graph showing modal frequencies plotted against SiO₂ 23
23. Graph showing whole-rock and mineral variation trends 24
TABLES

1. Major-element whole-rock analyses of Yantarni samples 3
2. Petrographic descriptions of Yantarni samples 4
3. Radiometric-age determinations of Yantarni samples 6
4. Analyses of representative pyroxene phenocrysts from Yantarni Volcano 19
5. Analyses of representative olivine phenocrysts from Yantarni Volcano 19
6. Analyses of representative plagioclase phenocrysts from Yantarni Volcano 20
7. Analyses of representative amphibole phenocrysts from Yantarni Volcano 21
8. Residual sums of squares for each of seven major oxides regressed against SiO₂ 25
Petrography, Chemistry, and Geologic History of Yantarni Volcano, Aleutian Volcanic Arc, Alaska

By J.R. Riehle, M.E. Yount, and T.P. Miller

Abstract

Yantarni Volcano is a small (<10 km$^3$) calc-alkaline center of Quaternary age in the eastern Aleutian volcanic arc 640 km southwest of Anchorage on the Alaska Peninsula. The volcano is composed of andesite and dacite having an SiO$_2$ range of 55 percent to 63 percent. An ancestral cone consisting of pyroclastic rocks, breccias, and lava flows ranging in composition from two-pyroxene andesite to hornblende dacite began to form at the Yantarni site about 0.46 Ma. Probably between 2,000 and 3,500 years ago, the cone was breached during a catastrophic eruption. The concomitant mass movement of the northeast flank of the cone by a combination of slide and flow resulted in extensive formation of avalanche deposits in the valleys and on ridge slopes northeast of the cone. Emplacement of a dacite dome in the central vent area occurred next, accompanied by block-and-ash flows that filled the valleys and mantled the landslide deposits for distances as great as 6 km east and northeast of the volcano.

Chemical analyses of lithologically representative samples and coexisting phenocrysts suggest that Yantarni magmas are not compatible with closed-system fractionation. Magma mixing, however, is compatible with the chemistry, the petrography, and the occurrence of disequilibrium mineral assemblages.

Yantarni Volcano appears to be a small, intra-segment calc-alkaline center which developed within the past 0.5 m.y. and which has been the site of at least one violent eruption in Holocene time. Violent eruptions of similar character could well occur in the future. Magmas of diverse composition either were erupted in close succession or were mixed shortly before eruption. Yantarni Volcano appears to be in an early stage of development, and a single large magma chamber probably does not exist at shallow depth directly beneath it.

INTRODUCTION AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Yantarni Volcano is a small andesitic stratocone in the eastern Aleutian volcanic arc about 640 km southwest of Anchorage on the central Alaska Peninsula (fig. 1). Eruptive activity at the central vent area began during late Pleistocene time about 0.46 Ma (million years ago) and climaxed with a catastrophic eruption in late Holocene time. The climactic eruption consisted of a debris avalanche followed by emplacement of pyroclastic flows and a dome. Because of the potential for similar future eruptions, a detailed study of Yantarni Volcano was undertaken as part of the Volcano Hazards Program of the U.S. Geological Survey. We present in this report a geologic map, petrographic descriptions, and major-element compositions of representative samples from Yantarni Volcano. From these data, stratigraphic relations, and $^{14}$C and K-Ar ages, we infer a geologic history of the volcano.

The region of Yantarni Volcano was mapped in reconnaissance fashion by Burke (1965); later systematic mapping of the region was carried out by Detterman and others (1981, 1983). Initial radiometric dating of volcanic rocks was done by Wilson and others (1981) and Wilson (1982) for purposes of both regional studies and mineral-resource appraisal. Some of these data, cited in this report, help define the age range of magmatism at Yantarni Volcano.

Yantarni Volcano was discovered in 1979 by Robert L. Detterman and James E. Case, and it has had no reported historic eruptions. Neighboring Chigmitagak Volcano had reported activity in 1852 and 1959 and Aniakchak in 1931; Veniaminof has been more active, with seven reported eruptions between 1776 and 1950 (Coats, 1950) and eruptions in 1956 and 1983 (Miller, unpublished data).

We gratefully acknowledge Frederic Wilson, who provided three new K-Ar ages and the analytical constants reported in table 3. Judy Hassen and Kathy Egger cheerfully sieved and described most of the samples of pyroclastic deposits for this study; Hassen also provided capable assistance in the field in 1982. Don Richter and Willie Scott provided careful technical reviews which materially improved the manuscript. We also benefited from informal reviews and discussions provided by Fred Barker and Wilson.

GEOLOGIC SETTING

The continental margin lies about 175 km seaward of Yantarni Volcano (fig. 1), and the volcano lies wholly on continental crust. Basement sedimentary rocks in the vicinity of the volcano consist, from oldest to youngest, of continental and marine deposits of Late Jurassic age (Naknek Formation) and Early Cretaceous age (Stanisikovich Formation), shallow marine deposits of Late Cretaceous age (Chignik Formation), and continental and marine deposits of early Tertiary age (Toitoi Formation) (Burk, 1965; Detterman and others, 1983). The southernmost exposures of the Alaska-Aleutian Range batholith are approximately 100 km north of Yantarni Volcano at Beecharof Lake (fig. 2) (Reed and Lanphere, 1972). However, batholithic rocks are
inferred from magnetic anomalies to extend in the subsurface at least 50 km south of Becharof Lake (Reed and Lanphere, 1973), and an exposure of granitic clasts in Jurassic conglomerate 12 km southwest of Yantarni Volcano implies the presence of granite in the subsurface within 10 to 20 km of the volcano (R.L. Detterman, oral commun., 1985). Surface exposures of a calc-alkaline magmatic arc of Eocene through early Miocene age, termed the Meshik arc by Wilson (1985), are preserved as close as 5 km to the southeast and 8 km to the northwest of Yantarni Volcano.

Yantarni Volcano is colinear with the adjacent Quaternary volcanoes Chiginagak and Kialagvik to the northeast (fig. 2). The Yantarni-Chiginagak-Kialagvik trend lies seaward of an alignment defined by the other Quaternary volcanoes from Veniaminof through Douglas. Alternatively, a single alignment from Veniaminof through Kialagvik can be constructed with Aniakchak lying north of this trend. Such alignments are one means for defining segments of the Aleutian volcanic arc (Fisher and others, 1981; Kay and others, 1982; Kienle and Swanson, 1983), and, depending on how the alignments are defined, Yantarni Volcano may lie near a segment end. Our data that potentially bear on this issue are discussed further in the section "Major-Element and Mineral Compositions of the Volcanic Rocks."

GEOLGY

Pre-Volcanic Sedimentary Rocks

Pre-volcanic rocks in the area of plate 1 are Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous sandstone, siltstone, and shale (Naknek and Staniukovich Formations, undivided) and Paleocene and Eocene sandstone, conglomerate, and siltstone (Tolstoi Formation) (Detterman and others, 1981, 1983). The Mesozoic rocks are separated from the Cenozoic rocks by a steeply dipping reverse fault that is upthrown on the northwest (pl. 1). The times of movement on the fault within the area of plate 1 are limited to between Paleocene and Quaternary by the ages of displaced strata; there are no data from elsewhere within the region to more closely delimit the timing of movement (R.L. Detterman, oral commun., 1985).

Tertiary Hypabyssal Rocks

The oldest igneous rocks in the immediate vicinity of Yantarni Volcano are dikes, sills, and irregular shallow stocks of late Tertiary age. Their outcrop pattern on plate 1 (slightly modified from Detterman and others, 1981, 1983) shows the occurrence of these hypabyssal rocks for up to 8 km to the south, east, and northwest of Yantarni cone. Two large outcroppings of these rocks 4.5 km southeast of Yantarni Volcano (pl. 1) probably are stocks. Samples of the stocks have about 63 percent SiO₂, indicating a low-silica dacitic composition (samples 50, 54, and 57, table 1). The samples are highly porphyritic and contain xenoliths of hornblende and quartz (table 2). Other exposures northwest of Yantarni Volcano include a felsite sill and areas of hydrothermal activity consisting of altered dikes and wallrocks.

The Tertiary hypabyssal rocks intrude rocks as young as Paleocene and Eocene in age (Detterman and others, 1983). Two samples of hydrothermally altered rocks of the hypabyssal unit (sites 3 and 4, pl. 1) yielded ages of 3.96±0.64 Ma on chlorite (sample 9Yb102; F.H. Wilson, oral commun., 1985) and 3.42±0.28 Ma on plagioclase, 3.48±0.45 Ma on biotite.

1 SiO₂ contents referred to in the text are normalized to 100 percent on a volatile-free basis.
and 2.85±0.17 Ma on hornblende (sample 9Yb101; F.H. Wilson, oral commun., 1985). A sample of the dacitic stock (site 54, pl. 1) yielded an age of 19.3±0.33 Ma on hornblende (sample 9Ws011; Wilson, 1985). The oldest sample is classed with the so-called Meshik volcanic arc (Wilson, 1985), a magmatic arc active from about 40 Ma to 20 Ma. The data are insufficient to permit broad conclusions about temporal patterns of volcanism; however, magmatism at Yantarni Volcano clearly occurred before the current phase of Aleutian volcanism began in late Miocene time (see Marlow and others, 1973).

The preserved volume of rocks assigned to the hypabyssal unit cannot be estimated, owing to the difficulty of distinguishing in detail the irregular contacts of the hypabyssal rocks with adjacent, commonly altered sedimentary rocks.

**Older Lava Flows**

Moderately to deeply dissected lava flows and associated flow breccias occur as much as 8 km to the southwest, west, and northwest of Yantarni cone (pl. 1). Despite glacial erosion, flow morphology is still apparent in the ridge-top remnants of the early lavas. Topographic knobs within flows of the unit about 6 km southwest of the cone may be vent plugs (pl. 1).

The lava flows are porphyritic, locally trachytic, two-pyroxene andesites (table 1) with fine-grained or microcrystalline groundmass (table 2). The degree of alteration varies from sample to sample; the most altered rocks have propylitic alteration assemblages including some or all of chlorite, quartz, iron oxide, and uncommon white and brown mica, whereas other samples have glass in the groundmass (table 2). However, we know of no samples or outcrops of older lava flows that are bleached to the bright white, red, or yellow shades typical of fumarolic alteration.

The older lava flows are not in contact with the Tertiary hypabyssal rocks within the area of plate 1. One K-Ar determination of an older lava flow yielded an age of 0.47±0.05 Ma (sample 24, table 3) and a previous whole-rock determination yielded one of 0.6±0.23 Ma (site 30, pl. 1; sample 8D1034, Wilson and others, 1981). We consider an implied age range of...
### Table 2. Petrographic descriptions of Yantarni samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Textures</th>
<th>Alteration</th>
<th>Modal phenocrysts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, massive,</strong> &lt;br&gt; plag &gt; chlor &gt; mica; qtz as anhedral mosaic (xenoliths); apat microphenocrysts</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plag altered to chlor/mica, hbl to chlor/hem; veinlets of hem/calc/mica</td>
<td>Plag altered to chlor/mica, hbl to chlor/hem; veinlets of hem/calc/mica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, massive,</strong> &lt;br&gt; plag &gt; hbl &gt; opaq = apat; qtz as anhedral mosaic (xenoliths); plag fractured and recrystallized?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rare calc and chlor as replacement of mafics in lithics</td>
<td>Rare calc and chlor as replacement of mafics in lithics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, massive,</strong> &lt;br&gt; plag &gt; hbl &gt; opaq; qtz phenocrysts embayed and rounded; rare euhedral apat microphenocrysts</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hbl altered to chlor/opaq/opx</td>
<td>Hbl altered to chlor/opaq/opx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Petrographic descriptions of Yantarni samples (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alteration</th>
<th>Plag</th>
<th>Cpx</th>
<th>Opx</th>
<th>Hbl</th>
<th>Qtz</th>
<th>Opaq</th>
<th>Gndm</th>
<th>Lith</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Devitrified vitrophyre?</strong> &lt;br&gt; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, massive,** &lt;br&gt; plag &gt; chlor &gt; mica; qtz as anhedral mosaic (xenoliths); plag fractured and recrystallized?</td>
<td>Rare calc and chlor as replacement of mafics in lithics</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, massive,</strong> &lt;br&gt; plag &gt; hbl &gt; opaq; qtz phenocrysts embayed and rounded; rare euhedral apat microphenocrysts</td>
<td>Hbl altered to chlor/opaq/opx</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Chemistry and Geologic History of Yantarn Volcano, Alaska

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Textures</th>
<th>Alteration</th>
<th>Modal phenocrysts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds very fine grained felted mat of plag needles, px and opaq grains</td>
<td>Rare hem</td>
<td>Plag 22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, plag&gt;opx&gt;opaq</td>
<td>Rare hem</td>
<td>Plag 21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.05 mm, plag/opx</td>
<td>Chlor/hem alteration of gdnds and opx</td>
<td>Cpx 28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, plag&gt;chlor&gt;opx=opaq</td>
<td>Chlor/calc/chlor&gt;opx=opaq; cpx rims on opx phenocrysts; originally vitric?</td>
<td>Cpx 19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified vitrophyre; gdnds &lt;0.3 mm, massive, microcrystalline; rare fine-grained litth</td>
<td>Trace chlor/calc/zeol as alteration of gdnds and plag</td>
<td>Cpx 33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds microcrystalline plag and secondary patches of chlor+mica</td>
<td>Mafics and gdnds altered to hem/calco/chlor/mica</td>
<td>Cpx 24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitrophyre; gdnds &lt;0.2 mm, plag&gt;opx&gt;opaq glass; rare reacted hbl; opx rims on some opx phenocrysts</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cpx 20.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Petrographic descriptions of Yantarn Volcano, Alaska (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alteration</th>
<th>Plag</th>
<th>Cpx</th>
<th>Opx</th>
<th>Hbl</th>
<th>Qtz</th>
<th>Opaq</th>
<th>Gndm</th>
<th>Lith</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, plag microlites and rare glass; seriate phenocrysts</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Plag 30.7</td>
<td>Cpx 8.0</td>
<td>Opx 4.0</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 2.3</td>
<td>Gndm 55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds microcrystalline, rare glass; phenocrysts 0.1-2 mm; microphenocrysts of plag&gt;opx=cpx&gt;opaq</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Plag 24.2</td>
<td>Cpx 3.7</td>
<td>Opx 2.8</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 1.3</td>
<td>Gndm 67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds microcrystalline, to 0.3 mm, vaguely foliated plag&gt;opx=cpx&gt;opaq;qtz rimmed by opx; microvesicular</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Plag 16.2</td>
<td>Cpx 1.5</td>
<td>Opx 2.5</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 1.8</td>
<td>Gndm 73.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds microcrystalline, to 0.2 mm, foliated plag&gt;opx=opaq&gt;opx</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Plag 30.7</td>
<td>Cpx 8.0</td>
<td>Opx 4.0</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 2.3</td>
<td>Gndm 55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.01 mm, px&gt;plag&gt;opaq; plag&gt;opx=opaq microphenocrystals</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Plag 22.3</td>
<td>Cpx 4.6</td>
<td>Opx 4.1</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 1.3</td>
<td>Gndm 63.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, plag&gt;opx</td>
<td>Hem alteration of opx and as fracture filling</td>
<td>Plag 31.4</td>
<td>Cpx 3.9</td>
<td>Opx 8.0</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 2.0</td>
<td>Gndm 54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds anhedral mosaic of secondary quartz</td>
<td>Intensely altered; phenocryst pseudomorphs of calco/zeol/chlor</td>
<td>Plag 31.4</td>
<td>Cpx 3.9</td>
<td>Opx 8.0</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 2.0</td>
<td>Gndm 54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitrophyre; plag microlites in glass; phenocrysts 0.2-5 mm; hbl fresh, some intergrown with px</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Plag 28.3</td>
<td>Cpx 2.8</td>
<td>Opx 4.4</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 1.6</td>
<td>Gndm 62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devitrified vitrophyre? gdnds massive, microcrystalline plag&gt;opx=opaq;seriate phenocrysts &gt;0.2 mm; rare oxidized hbl</td>
<td>Rare zeolites in gdnds</td>
<td>Plag 21.8</td>
<td>Cpx 3.1</td>
<td>Opx 1.6</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 1.6</td>
<td>Gndm 71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry; gdnds &lt;0.1 mm, foliated plag&gt;chlor/mica&gt;opaq;phenocrysts seriate to 3 mm; diabasic lithics</td>
<td>Mafics altered to calco; chlor/mica, opaq</td>
<td>Plag 28.0</td>
<td>Cpx 0.2</td>
<td>Opx 0.0</td>
<td>Hbl 0.0</td>
<td>Qtz 0.0</td>
<td>Opaq 1.5</td>
<td>Gndm 61.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

4 Petrography, Chemistry, and Geologic History of Yantarn Volcano, Alaska
Table 2. Petrographic descriptions of Yantarni samples—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Textures</th>
<th>Alteration</th>
<th>Modal Phenocrysts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plag Cpx Opx Hbl Qtz Oliv Opq Gndms Lith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cone-building deposits--Continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms &lt;0.1 mm, plag&gt;opaq&gt;px</td>
<td>Opx altered to chlor/hem</td>
<td>32.9 3.6 5.9 0 0 0 2.6 52.5 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms microcrystalline, massive; both plag and gndms fractured placed</td>
<td>Opx altered to chlor/hem</td>
<td>32.2 1.0 2.7 1.7 .3 0 .8 70.3 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms &lt;0.1 mm, massive and microcrystalline; micropheno-crysts of plag&gt;opx&gt;hbl; pheno-crysts to 2 mm; hbl heavily oxidized</td>
<td>Zeolites in gndms</td>
<td>21.2 2.0 5.3 .3 0 1.8 62.0 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Devitrified vitrophyre? Gndms microcrystalline plag; bimodal pheno-crysts to 2 mm; diabasic and pyroxenitic lithics</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>23.0 1.2 2.2 .7 0 2.0 68.2 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Porphyry; hyalopilitic; gndms &lt;0.1 mm, plag&gt;opx=hbl&gt;px</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>36.6 3.0 4.4 tr. 0 0 2.6 51.6 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms &lt;0.1 mm, mottled appearance due to variation in proportion of light and dark minerals</td>
<td>Sparse hematite</td>
<td>27.3 5.5 5.2 0 0 .8 59.2 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms &lt;0.05 mm, plag&gt;opaq&gt;px</td>
<td>Sparse hematite alteration of plag and gndms</td>
<td>24.9 7.9 5.3 0 0 2.2 59.8 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms microcrystalline, locally foliated; pheno-crysts &gt;0.2 mm; rare, heavily oxidized hbl; diabasic lithics</td>
<td>Oxidized rims on opx, opaques, hbl nearly totally oxidized; gndms recrystallized opaques</td>
<td>29.5 .5 4.2 tr. 0 0 2.0 60.3 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms microcrystalline, massive; pheno-crysts &gt;0.5 mm</td>
<td>Phenocrysts heavily altered to clay and hem; gndms recrystallized</td>
<td>25.0 .5 1.0 tr. .2 0 .5 70.3 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Holocene pyroclastic-flow deposits (unit Qp) and dome (unit Qdy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Textures</th>
<th>Alteration</th>
<th>Modal Phenocrysts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11b</td>
<td>Vesicular vitrophyre; gndms 0.2-0.4 mm, plag&gt;hbl&gt;opaq&gt;opx(?); hbl rimmed by opx and plag; rare glass</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10.3 .3 .5 .7 0 0 .3 87.8 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11c</td>
<td>Vitrophyre; gndms &lt;0.2 mm, foliated plag&gt;plag&gt;opaq; cpx as micropheno-crysts or rims on qtz; hbl reacting to px/plag&gt;opaq</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>19.0 .3 1.2 1.0 .3 0 1.8 73.5 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11d</td>
<td>Vitrophyre; gndms &lt;0.2 mm, foliated plag&gt;plag&gt;opaq; microvesicular; hbl reacted and oxidized</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>22.7 .8 2.0 2.8 .7 .2 1.3 69.2 .3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms &lt;0.2 mm, massive plag&gt;px&gt;opaq; opx only as micropheno-crysts and rims on qtz; hbl reacted and oxidized</td>
<td>Gndms recrystallized</td>
<td>19.4 .4 3.4 4.0 1.2 0 tr. 68.8 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Devitrified vitrophyre? Gndms microcrystalline, foliated plag&gt;opx=plag&gt;hbl=opx&gt;opaq; cpx only as micropheno-crysts; bimodal pheno-cryst sizes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>23.0 .2 1.5 5.0 1.2 0 .8 67.6 .3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms &lt;0.1 mm, foliated plag&gt;plag&gt;hbl&gt;opaq; phenocrysts bi-modal, 0.1 to 3 mm; hbl reacting to plag&gt;plag&gt;opaq</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>19.8 .8 2.2 1.0 .8 0 .8 72.0 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Porphyry; gndms &lt;0.2 mm, vague foliation, plag&gt;plag&gt;hbl&gt;opaq; thin oxide rims on hbl, cpx rims on qtz</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>28.2 .2 2.5 2.3 1.3 .2 2.2 62.8 .3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Description modified from F.H. Wilson (oral commun., 1985) for inclusion here.
2. Includes 10.5 percent alteration pseudomorphs, formerly hornblende(?) micropheno-crysts.
3. Modes here are exclusive of a single large lithic clast consisting of porphyritic diabase.
4. Includes 6.8 percent alteration pseudomorphs, formerly mafic pheno-crysts.
5. Includes 7.5 percent alteration pseudomorphs, formerly mafic(?) pheno-crysts.
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middle to late Pleistocene to be consistent with the moderate degree of glacial erosion of these rocks. We estimate the preserved volume of older lava flows to be 0.60 km$^3$. The original volume of the rocks making up the unit is difficult to assess because glacial erosion has clearly removed some material. The present distribution of flows on ridges, however, suggests topographic inversion. In such a case the flows would initially have been confined to topographic lows rather than spread out as broad plateaus, and the original volume would probably have been no more than two or three times the preserved volume.

Lava Flows, Breccias, and Older Pyroclastic Deposits of Yantarni Cone

Deposits of this unit compose Yantarni cone, a physiographic feature moderately dissected by glacial erosion. The basal cone deposits on the north flank are pyroclastic rocks that lie unconformably on rocks of the Jurassic Naknek Formation; they are composed of monolithologic, slightly vesicular cobble- to boulder-sized clasts with a small amount of fine-grained matrix. An SiO$_2$ content of 59 percent from a single clast (sample 16, table 1) indicates an andesitic composition. The deposit, probably the result of dome collapse, is included in the cone-building unit rather than the older lava flows because its pyroclastic nature indicates central-vent volcanism. The basal deposit on the east side of the cone is an andesitic lava flow approximately 50 m thick (sample 46, table 1) which may belong to the unit of older lava flows. It is overlain, however, by a thick succession of volcanioclastic deposits that we assign to the cone-building unit, and owing to the insignificant outcrop area of the lava flow we have included it within the cone-building unit.

Coarse breccias, some brightly colored by fumarolically altered varieties in the breccias. One of the lowest SiO$_2$ contents of the sample set (57 percent; sample 19, tables 1 and 2), as well as some of the highest (62-63 percent; samples 31, 32, 33, 34, and 41, tables 1 and 2), occur in lava flows stratigraphically high on the southeast rim of the crater and on the south flank of the volcano. There is no apparent relation between composition and stratigraphic position in the cone-building unit. A sample of the basal cone deposits on the north side of the cone yielded a K-Ar age of 0.46±0.12 Ma (sample 77, table 3), thus the onset of the cone-building phase of volcanism overlaps or closely succeeds the closing stages of early lava-flow activity. Another sample of a lava flow stratigraphically high in the cone-building deposits yielded an age of 0.41±0.09 Ma (sample 19, table 3). The cone retains the outline of its original form (see frontispiece) but is incised in all quadrants by glacially eroded valleys, and we infer that cone construction was largely completed before late Pleistocene glaciation. The inference is consistent with the youngest radiometric age of the sample that is stratigraphically high on the south flank of the cone. The breccias can be traced laterally to an origin high on Yantarni cone, and the contact with the unit of older lava flows (pl. 1) is arbitrarily placed at the base of the oldest breccia. On the west side of the cone, breccia rests directly on pre-volcanic sedimentary rocks.

Samples of the cone-building unit range widely in composition, from two-pyroxene andesite to hornblende-bearing dacite (tables 1 and 2), and include fresh, glassy lavas as well as yellow to orange, fumarolically altered varieties in the breccias. One of the lowest SiO$_2$ contents of the sample set (57 percent; sample 19, tables 1 and 2), as well as some of the highest (62-63 percent; samples 31, 32, 33, 34, and 41, tables 1 and 2), occur in lava flows stratigraphically high on the southeast rim of the crater and on the south flank of the volcano. There is no apparent relation between composition and stratigraphic position in the cone-building unit. Samples of the cone-building unit range widely in composition, from two-pyroxene andesite to hornblende-bearing dacite (tables 1 and 2), and include fresh, glassy lavas as well as yellow to orange, fumarolically altered varieties in the breccias. One of the lowest SiO$_2$ contents of the sample set (57 percent; sample 19, tables 1 and 2), as well as some of the highest (62-63 percent; samples 31, 32, 33, 34, and 41, tables 1 and 2), occur in lava flows stratigraphically high on the southeast rim of the crater and on the south flank of the volcano. There is no apparent relation between composition and stratigraphic position in the cone-building unit. A sample of the basal cone deposits on the north side of the cone yielded a K-Ar age of 0.46±0.12 Ma (sample 77, table 3), thus the onset of the cone-building phase of volcanism overlaps or closely succeeds the closing stages of early lava-flow activity. Another sample of a lava flow stratigraphically high in the cone-building deposits yielded an age of 0.41±0.09 Ma (sample 19, table 3). The cone retains the outline of its original form (see frontispiece) but is incised in all quadrants by glacially eroded valleys, and we infer that cone construction was largely completed before late Pleistocene glaciation. The inference is consistent with the youngest radiometric age of the sample that is stratigraphically high on the cone-building unit (0.41 Ma; sample 19).

We estimate the present volume of the cone—that is, of this unit—to be about 2.7 km$^3$. The volume of debris-avalanche deposits (discussed later) is about 0.8 km$^3$; most of that material was originally part of

Table 3. Radiometric-age determinations of Yantarni samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral dated</th>
<th>$K_2O$ (wt %)</th>
<th>$^{40}Ar_{rad}$ (mol/g x $10^{-12}$)</th>
<th>$^{40}Ar_{rad}$ (pt)</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>0.277; 0.276</td>
<td>0.21163</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.46±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.267; 0.265</td>
<td>0.14837</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.46±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>0.548; 0.541</td>
<td>0.37999</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.357±0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>0.357; 0.340</td>
<td>0.23018</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.46±0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Whole rock</td>
<td>1.499; 1.490</td>
<td>0.95619</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.44±0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0553</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.49±0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{40}$K/$^{40}$Ar = 1.167 x $10^{-9}$ mol/mol.

$^{40}$K/$^{40}$Ar = 1.167 x $10^{-9}$ mol/mol.
the cone-building unit, and before the avalanche the volume of the cone must have been about 3.5 km$^3$. The maximum volume of the cone before glacial erosion is roughly estimated to be 6.6 km$^3$ by assuming a smooth cone of 5.6 km diameter and 0.8 km height.

Debris-Avalanche Deposits, Younger Pyroclastic Flows, and Dome

The four youngest mappable deposits of Yantarni Volcano are avalanche debris consisting of blocks of altered cone material (two units), pyroclastic-flow deposits, and a dome (pl. 1). These deposits, which have the unifying aspect of being unmodified by glacial erosion, are discussed together because we infer that the deposits are partly contemporaneous in age and have related origins. The debris-avalanche deposits are largely covered by the succeeding pyroclastic-flow deposits, and by inspection of the geologic map we estimate that their volume is about one-half that of the pyroclastic-flow deposits. Also by inspection, we approximate the dome by a cylinder 1 km in diameter and 300 m high. From such assumptions, we estimate the total volume of avalanche material (both units) as 0.8 km$^3$, of pyroclastic-flow deposits as 1.0 km$^3$, and of the dome as 0.25 km$^3$.

Debris-avalanche deposits

Deposits from debris avalanches form two separate mappable bodies, one northeast and one south of Yantarni Volcano. The northeastern avalanche deposits (map unit Qda, pl. 1) resulted from a major catastrophic mass movement of the northeast part of Yantarni cone. As is typical of such deposits elsewhere, combinations of slide and flow were involved in their emplacement, and the distribution of the deposits is strongly dependent on the local topography. The deposits are composed of a chaotic assemblage of material that originally occupied the northeast part of the cone, now the open part of the U-shaped amphitheater surrounding Yantarni dome (pl. 1). This material has a distinctive orange-brown color and a bleached appearance and is presumed to have originally been strongly altered and oxidized coarse breccia and volcanoclastic rocks of the cone-building unit. Boulders of native sulfur as large as a meter in diameter are found locally near the base of the deposits, and much of the altered material is pyritiferous. The deposits show a large range in sorting, from coherent masses as large as several hundred meters across to disaggregated, matrix-rich material with clasts no larger than lapilli size.

The avalanche deposits are exposed chiefly on valley flanks and floors northeast of the volcano (pl. 1). Their total extent, however, is much greater than that shown on the geologic map because they are generally covered by the younger pyroclastic-flow deposits, which conceal much of the original surface and characteristic hummocky topography of the avalanche deposits. Avalanche blocks are locally caught up in the overlying pyroclastic-flow deposits (fig. 3). Immediately northeast of Yantarni dome, the avalanche mass apparently slid or moved as a coherent block. The block forms a low terrace 100 m high (fig. 4), which extends about 3 km in a northwest-southeast direction and is overlain by a thin veneer of block-and-ash-flow deposits. At least some original bedding and structure is preserved in spite of estimated movement from its point of origin of 1-2 km horizontally to the northeast and 300-400 m vertically.

Farther downslope to the northeast, however, the avalanche mass apparently disaggregated and became much more mobile. The avalanche had sufficient momentum that on reaching the southwest-facing ridge of older rocks northeast of the creek, it moved up the ridge to an elevation of at least 550 m (1,800 ft) above sea level, or 335 m (1,100 ft) above the present valley floor (pl. 1). Deposits of altered and oxidized cone material from the avalanche are plastered across this slope (fig. 5). The highest point on this ridge, which must have been directly in the path of the avalanche, is about 850 m (2,800 ft) above sea level, and the avalanche, which at that point was probably behaving like a flow, does not appear to have surmounted this barrier. The ridge axis slopes down to the southeast to an elevation of 460 m (1,500 ft) above sea level within a kilometer, but no avalanche deposits are found on or northeast of the ridge. The axis of the avalanche movement, therefore, as defined by the thickest and highest deposition of material, is N. 45° E. of the volcano.

The scattered avalanche deposits of the northeastern unit form the basal part of deposits of the most recent significant activity at Yantarni Volcano. We infer that at least some of the overlying pyroclastic flows were emplaced simultaneously with the avalanche, as blocks of cone material are in the pyroclastic-flow deposits (figs. 3 and 7). Our inference is based on the assumption that the pyroclastic flows did not erode large blocks of material, but the pyroclastic flows instead were intimately mixed with blocks in the trailing part of the avalanche. Such evidence, however, does not unequivocally preclude the possibility of a hiatus measured in years between the avalanche and the pyroclastic flows.

The southern avalanche deposit (map unit QI, pl. 1) consists of a poorly sorted deposit of blocks, some several meters in diameter, in the glaciated valley on the south side of the cone. The deposit does not appear to be a catastrophic landslide because the toe of the deposit is confined to the valley floor and does not run up the opposing (south) valley wall where the valley bends (fig. 6). A lava flow at the west margin of the deposit near the bend has been beheaded, and we infer that the lava flow was emplaced on a glacier that now is largely wasted. Other, permissive evidence for subsurface ice includes a hummocky surface and springs at the toe of the deposit. Steep lateral margins and subarcuate ridges in the deposit suggest slow, possibly continuous movement of a landslide or rock glacier (R.W. Fleming, oral commun., 1982), a mechanism consistent with initial deposition on glacial ice. The deposit is remarkable, however, for both its thickness and the size of its largest clasts, and we conclude that the deposit has a more complex history than a simple surface moraine or rock glacier. We infer that the deposit probably originated in limited mass movement of cone material onto glacier ice during the catastrophic eruption. By analogy to
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Figure 3. Debris-avalanche block caught up in block-and-ash flow, east side of Yantarni Volcano. Height of exposure about 50 m.
Figure 4. Flat-topped pyroclastic-flow deposits in foreground, terracelike coherent debris-avalanche deposit in center, and base of central dome of Yantarni Volcano in background. View southwest.
Figure 5. Valley-fill debris-avalanche deposits underlying pyroclastic flows (center) and mantling basement-rock slopes to right. Area is 4 km northeast of central dome of Yantarni Volcano. View northwest.
Shoestring Glacier at Mount St. Helens (Brugman and Meier, 1981), wasting of ice was probably a response mainly to beheading rather than to erosion and melting during emplacement of cone material.

Younger pyroclastic-flow deposits

The undifferentiated, younger pyroclastic-flow deposits and talus of the dome compose a fan-shaped apron that extends northeast from the dome and partly fills the valley of the adjacent creek (pl. 1). Generalized sections measured in the deposits at three localities are shown on figure 7. The upstream section (site 14, pl. 1) consists of a monolithologic deposit of slightly vesicular, subrounded blocks of red-brown lava in an ash matrix which ranges from less than 10 percent by volume at the base to as much as 25 percent at the top. A single sample (14, table 2) closely resembles samples of the dome (samples 30 and 38, table 2) in both texture and modal phenocryst content. The middle section (site 11, fig. 7B) consists of several pyroclastic-flow deposits, ranging from a poorly sorted, fine-grained base on the avalanche deposits to a top consisting of moderately sorted beds of slightly vesicular lapilli and blocks of lava. The downstream section (site 55, fig. 7C) consists of a single bed of lava blocks that overlies a bed of poorly sorted fine-grained tuff, which in turn overlies avalanche deposits.

The lower pyroclastic-flow deposits of the middle section (samples 11b and 11e, fig. 7) have 50 percent or more ash matrix, and on the basis of their granulometry (fig. 8) we classify them as ash-flow tuffs. The analyzed samples are, of course, representative only of the fine-grained matrix. The blocks shown on each stratigraphic section may be lag

Figure 6. Stereopair showing deposit of mass movement on southeast flank of Yantarni Volcano. Deposit is interpreted to have covered glacial ice, now largely melted, and to have originated in limited mass movement during cone-destroying eruption. Deposit is not the result of catastrophic debris avalanche because it does not run up south wall of valley at curve.
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Figure 7. Measured sections in pyroclastic-flow deposits (see pl. 1 for locations). A, Site 14. B, Site 11. Petrographic details and grain-size distributions provided in figure 8. C, Site 55.

EXPLANATION
Megascopic petrographic descriptions of large juvenile clasts from site 11 (pl. 1)—see table 2 for descriptions from microscopic examination. plag, plagioclase; hbl, hornblende; px, pyroxene

11b Porphyry or vitrophyre, pale gray, slightly vesicular or diktytaxitic; large phenocrysts of plag > hbl=px
11c Porphyry or vitrophyre, pale to medium gray, slightly vesicular; large phenocrysts of plag > hbl=px; rare altered porphyry
11d Porphyry or vitrophyre, red-brown groundmass, slightly vesicular; phenocrysts of plag > px=hbl; trace agglutinated(?) scoria
11e Vitrophyre, red brown and pale gray, slightly diktytaxitic, hbl-bearing

Figure 8. Granulometric data for Yantarni pyroclastic-flow deposits. A, Grain-size distributions of matrix samples. Shaded area includes cumulative curves for several pyroclastic-flow deposits of Mount St. Helens (Kuntz and others, 1981). B, Median size versus sorting coefficients. Fields encompassing 92 and 99 percent of pyroclastic-flow and pyroclastic-fall samples of Walker (1971) are shown.
breccias formed by the selective removal of finer material during pyroclastic flow (Wright and Walker, 1977). Such deposits were observed to form in several environments at Mount St. Helens (Rowley and others, 1981), such as along the margins of pyroclastic flows, on steep underlying slopes, or at changes in the gradient of the underlying slope. However, the prominent occurrence of blocks as a single bed at the sites of all three measured sections implies that the blocks are more representative of a single depositional event than of subtle facies changes within numerous depositional units. Consequently, we interpret the blocks to be the deposit of one or more block-and-ash flows of the Merapi type (Williams and McBirney, 1979, p. 152-154), a deposit that originated in relatively nonexplosive collapse of a dome at Yantarni that developed after the avalanche of debris.

Evidence that the pyroclastic-flow deposits were emplaced at high temperatures includes fossil fumarole pipes in the lower ash-flow tuffs and spalled or intricately cracked blocks and breadcrust bombs in the uppermost beds (fig. 9). The $SiO_2$ contents of four juvenile clasts from the deposits (samples 11b, 11c, 11d, and 11e; table 1) are from 55.7 percent to 63.7 percent, the lowest and highest silica contents of the sample set. The highest $SiO_2$ content (sample 11d, table 2) is in a sample of pilitaxitic to hyalopilitic lava containing plagioclase, two pyroxenes, large hornblende grains with substantial opaque rims, and rare amounts of both rounded quartz grains and large anhedral olivine grains. The sample with lowest $SiO_2$ (11b, table 2) is a vesicular, porphyritic basaltic andesite with subhedral to euhedral phenocrysts of plagioclase, clin- and orthopyroxene, and hornblende in a fine-grained, holocrystalline groundmass. Hornblende also occurs in the groundmass and shows minor reaction rims of clinopyroxene but no opaque rims.

No regular variation of $SiO_2$ content with stratigraphic position is apparent in the pyroclastic-flow deposits (fig. 7B). The preserved volume of pyroclastic material in the deposits is estimated at 1.0 km$^3$, which classifies the deposits as intermediate in size (Aramaki and Yamasaki, 1963; Sheridan, 1979).

Figure 9. Prismatic fracturing of block in block-and-ash flow on east flank of Yantarni Volcano that occurred at elevated temperature of emplacement.
The porosity of juvenile clasts is low (see descriptions, fig. 8), implying a low degree of vesiculation and presumably a low explosivity of the eruptions that formed the pyroclastic flows.

Stereoscopic photopairs (fig. 10) show the surface morphology of the pyroclastic-flow deposits directly downslope from the cone. Although extensive erosion has occurred after deposition, sufficient unmodified surface remains to show the lobate distributary pattern of individual flows. Such a surface pattern is similar to that at Mount St. Helens (Rowley and others, 1981). In particular, we note the blocky, steep-fronted lobe that extends outward from a gully below the topographic high at the center of the figure (arrow); apparently here the pyroclastic flow channeled into the existing gully after crossing the low pass at the head of the gully. Inspection of the geologic map and photopairs suggests that the flows had little or no excess lateral momentum beyond that required to maintain mobility; the preserved deposits, for example, flowed into the opposing valleys but did not run up significant slopes.

Tephra deposits at two sites (stations 5 and 58, pl. 1) are provisionally correlated with the catastrophic Yantarni eruption: first, they are distinctly thicker or coarser than other tephra deposits at each site, and second, each deposit contains either diktytaxitic hornblende-bearing lava or altered porphyrophanitic lava, both of which megascopically resemble clasts in the avalanche and pyroclastic-flow deposits. The four tephra samples (fig. 11) are better sorted than the pyroclastic-flow deposits (fig. 8), but only two tephra samples (5a and 5b) are sufficiently well sorted to plot wholly in the fall field and outside the pyroclastic-flow field of Walker (1971). Three of the four samples (5a, 5c, and 58a) are similar in their granulometric properties to the samples of pyroclastic-surge (directed blast) deposits at Mount St. Helens. The coarsest clasts in sample 58a are altered porphyrophanitic lava, consistent with an origin in a carapace-destroying eruption. We cannot, however, unequivocally confirm a directed-blast origin because the most diagnostic evidence is the lateral distribution and internal structures of the deposit (Moore and Sisson, 1981; Hiblitt and others, 1981; Waitt, 1981).

Owing to the high relief and severe climate, tephra deposits were not preserved for our ready identification at any other proximal localities.

To summarize, our data indicate that most of the pyroclastic flows were emplaced after a debris avalanche of cone material from the northeast sector of Yantarni cone. Blocks of cone material as much as tens of meters across are incorporated in the lowestmost pyroclastic-flow deposits, suggesting that the initial pyroclastic flows were partly contemporaneous with avalanching. Debris avalanches are commonly but not necessarily accompanied by directed explosions (Siebert, 1984). We have found a possible but ambiguous candidate for a directed-blast deposit at one site 8 km southeast of the cone. Thus, we conclude that the debris avalanche was caused or at least closely followed by magmatic eruptive activity, but we cannot prove that the avalanche was accompanied by a directed blast.

Deposits of the debris avalanche and ensuing pyroclastic flows are certainly no older than Holocene by virtue of the absence of glacial erosion. The pyroclastic bed at site 58 that is provisionally correlated with the catastrophic eruption overlies silt with a radiocarbon age of about 2 ka (fig. 12). The radiocarbon age is a minimum, due to the presence of a trace amount of modern rootlets. Moreover, the pyroclastic bed lies atop silt (loess) that contains disseminated ash-sized clasts of white, gray, and honey-colored pumice and black obsidian. Such disseminated ash closely resembles, in its proportions of colors, proximal tephra deposits of the caldera-forming eruptions of Aniakchak Caldera collected at a site 30 km southwest of Yantarni Volcano (Riehle, unpublished data).

The age of the Aniakchak eruption is between 3.3 and 3.7 ka (Miller and Smith, 1977), and if the correlation with site 58 is valid, then the coarse pyroclastic bed is no more than about 3,500 yr old. Thus, we provisionally consider the catastrophic eruption to be no more than 3,500 yr old and possibly as young as 2,000 yr.

**Dome**

The dome of Yantarni Volcano is about 1 km in exposed diameter and is centered in a semicircular amphitheater composed of the remains of the older cone (pl. 1; see frontispiece). The dome consists of pilotaxitic, hornblende-bearing andesite containing rare quartz phenocrysts (?) (samples 38 and 39, table 2). Hornblende grains range from euhedral ones having oxide rims to ones nearly completely replaced by assemblages of fine-grained plagioclase, pyroxene, and opaque grains. Whole-rock analyses indicate a high-silica andesitic composition (table 1).

We consider the dome to be the source of the pyroclastic-flow deposits, for two reasons. First, the dome (samples 38 and 39) petrographically resembles clasts in the matrix-depleted pyroclastic-flow deposits (samples 11b, 11c, 11d, and 14, table 2), and second, the surface of the pyroclastic plateau grades up to the apron of blocks surrounding the dome (see fig. 10 and pl. 1). Although we have inferred that a magmatic component of eruptive activity closely followed the debris avalanche, we cannot reconstruct the history of dome growth subsequent to the carapace-destroying eruption.

**MAJOR-ELEMENT AND MINERAL COMPOSITIONS OF THE VOLCANIC ROCKS**

**Major-Oxide Variations**

When whole-rock major-oxide contents are plotted on silica-variation diagrams (fig. 13), alumina, FeO, CaO, and MgO show some scatter, but all oxides vary linearly with SiO2. The analytical variability of the data (that is, ± 2 sigma) is approximately the diameter of the plotted points (J.E. Taggart, Jr., oral commun., 1985). When analyses are plotted on various geochemical plots (fig. 14), the Yantarni analyses define a calc-alkaline trend. Following Peccarillo and Taylor (1976), we further classify the Yantarni samples as medium-K basaltic andesite, andesite, and dacite (fig. 14D).
Figure 10. Stereopairs showing part of young pyroclastic-flow and debris-avalanche deposits, Yantarni Volcano. Arrow points to small, relatively unmodified lobe of pyroclastic-flow deposit.
Megascopic petrographic descriptions of large juvenile clasts from sites 5 and 58 (pl. 1)—plag, plagioclase; hbl, hornblende; px, pyroxene

5a Porphyry or vitrophyre, light gray, slightly vesicular to diktytaxitic; large phenocrysts of plag > hbl = px

5b, 5c As above, plus a trace of red-brown scoria

58a 50 percent mineral grains including large unaltered hbl; 25 percent angular lapilli of altered porphyrophanitic lava; 15 percent unaltered gray porphyry or vitrophyre, white to honey-colored pumice, and dark-gray scoria; remainder is a variety of sedimentary lithics and organic material

Uncommon lavas from elsewhere in the Aleutian arc, called "Aleutian magnesian andesites" by Kay (1978), are characterized by FeO*/MgO ratios of about 1.0 at 55–57 percent SiO₂. The most "primitive" Yantarni sample (11b, with a Thornton and Tuttle (1960) differentiation index (D.I.) of 38) has an FeO*/MgO ratio of 1.7. Thus, we conclude that no magnesian andesites, which are inferred to have erupted without interaction with island-arc crust (Kay, 1978), are among the Yantarni set.

We also compared selected aspects of major-element trends for Yantarni with those described by Kay and others (1982) for Aleutian volcanoes southwest of Yantarni. First, the average K₂O content of Yantarni samples at 57.5 percent SiO₂ is about 1.4 percent (fig. 13), less than the 2.0 percent for tholeiitic centers but identical to the 1.4 percent

Figure 11. Granulometric data for proximal tephra deposits provisionally correlated with Yantarni Volcano. A, Grain-size distributions. Shaded area includes grain-size distributions of samples of directed-blast (surge) deposits, Mount St. Helens (Hoblit and others, 1981). B, Median grain size versus sorting coefficient. Contours outline 92 and 99 percent of pyroclastic-flow and pyroclastic-fall samples of Walker (1971); shaded area is range reported by Hoblit and others (1981) for directed-blast (surge) deposits, Mount St. Helens.

Figure 12. Measured sections of proximal tephra deposits at sites provisionally correlated with catastrophic eruption of Yantarni Volcano. For locations of sites see plate 1. Petrographic details and grain-size distributions of samples provided in figure 11. A, Site 5. B, Site 58.

*2,010±80 years old (analysts Teledyne Isotopes)
for calc-alkaline centers. Second, K$_2$O contents of the three samples of Tertiary hypabyssal rocks from the vicinity of Yantarni are similar to those of the younger Yantarni samples of similar SiO$_2$ contents (table 1), supporting the conclusion that no evidence exists for an evolutionary trend of K$_2$O with age in Aleutian samples. Third, Kay and others (1982) concluded that large, tholeiitic basaltic centers are more common at ends of arc segments whereas small, calc-alkaline andesitic centers are more common within arc segments. Application of this model suggests that Yantarni, a small calc-alkaline andesitic center, does not define the end of an arc segment such as one from Yantarni to Kialagvik (see fig. 2). Earthquake loci could provide a test of this hypothesis.

**Mineral Compositions**

Seven samples ranging from 56.9 to 63.1 percent SiO$_2$ were selected to survey the mineral compositions of Yantarni volcanic rocks for comparison with those of other medium-K calc-alkaline suites. Compositional data are also needed for crystal-fractionation modeling. Four of the seven samples (17, 19, 33, and 41) are from the cone-building deposits, two samples (11d and 12) are from the younger pyroclastic-flow deposits, and one sample (58) is from the dome.

Analyses were done on a nine-channel Applied Research Laboratories scanning electron microprobe Quantometer (ARL-SEMQ) using an on-line Bence-Albee matrix-correction program. Backgrounds were calculated from on-peak counts on minerals of both higher and lower mean atomic number than the unknown but devoid of the element of interest. Standards used were a combination of natural and synthetic minerals. Analyses were done at 15 kV and 20 nA sample current measured on brass; count times were 10 seconds. Beam size was approximately 10 μm for feldspar analyses and the minimum possible (approximately 1 μm) for pyroxene, olivine, and amphibole analyses.

All seven samples contain both orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene, although samples 11d, 12, and 38 have less than 1 percent modal clinopyroxene. Compositions of Yantarni pyroxenes, plotted in figure 15 on a part of the pyroxene quadrilateral, fall within the compositional range expected for medium-K orogenic andesites (Gill, 1981, p. 174). Clinopyroxene compositions are remarkably constant across the 8 percent range of whole-rock SiO$_2$ values, falling in the fields of salite and high-Ca augite. Orthopyroxene compositions show no correlation with whole-rock silica values.

---

2 The use of trade names is for descriptive purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.
Representative pyroxene analyses (table 4) show that components other than Wo, En, and Fs are characteristically low. The typically low elemental concentrations of Al, Ti, and Na suggest crystallization at pressures no greater than 10,000 bars (Gill, 1981). Pyroxene grains in some of the samples show reverse zoning, with rims more magnesian than cores (see core-rim relations of two grains in sample 33, table 4). Changes in the physical and chemical conditions that may account for reverse zoning include magma mixing (Sakuyama, 1981), decompression (Ewart and others, 1975) and an increase in oxygen fugacity (Luhr and Carmichael, 1980).

Olivine is found in both the sample of highest and the sample of lowest SiO₂ content of the seven examined in detail (analyses are projected onto the pyroxene quadrilateral of fig. 15). The compositional range of olivine cores (table 5) from Yantarni samples is notably small (Fo₈₀₋₈₁), and the cores are more magnesian than expected for equilibrium at surface conditions based on the coexisting pyroxenes or whole-rock chemistry. The olivine analyzed in sample 11 is clearly out of equilibrium with the bulk-rock composition (assuming a distribution coefficient (Roeder and Emslie, 1970) of Kᵢ = 0.30) and with coexisting orthopyroxene. The olivine grains in sample 11 must have crystallized in a more "primitive" liquid and presumably were included in a more differentiated magma by mechanical means.

Plagioclase is the dominant phenocryst phase in all samples and is complexly zoned; thus, the few analyses performed in this study probably do not adequately define the compositional variation that may be present. They do, however, give an approximation of the plagioclase compositions (table 6, fig. 16). Analyses range from An₈₇ to An₄₉ within the suite of samples studied. Within-sample variation, greatest in sample 17, is as large as 25 mole percent.
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An. Cores in most samples vary less than 10 mole percent An and fall in the range An50-60.

In sample 11d, six cores fall between An52 and An58 and two others contain An68 and An73, suggesting a bimodal distribution. Despite core heterogeneity, the four rims that were analyzed have An contents less than 51 mole percent. More data are necessary to determine whether this sample does have a bimodal distribution of plagioclase compositions (see high end of the normal range for orogenic andesites, from its occurrence as small anhedral inclusions in all andesites (dashed lines; Gill, 1981). Olivine analyses compositions of cores of clinopyroxene and continental-arc, as opposed to island-arc, volcanoes excess of 1.7 per 32 oxygen. Silicon values are at the necessary to fill the octahedral site; quad, percentage of total cations accounted for in the pyroxene "quadrilateral" (Wo-En-Fs); other, percentage of cations other than those of the pyroxene quadrilateral.

Hornblende is present in small amounts in five of the seven samples analyzed (four representative analyses are presented in table 7). Because microprobe analyses determine total iron, and because the proportions of ferric and ferrous iron affect the mineral norm, it is necessary to estimate the ferric iron content on stoichiometric considerations. Therefore, the weight percent oxide values were recast using RECAMP (Spear and Kimball, 1984) to arrive at stoichiometric limits for Fe3+ content, shown in table 7.

Yantarni amphiboles are calcic, having Ca in excess of 1.7 per 32 oxygen. Silicon values are at the high end of the normal range for orogenic andesites, 6.8 to 7.1 per 32 oxygen. Such Si values are typical of continental-arc, as opposed to island-arc, volcanoes (Gill, 1981).

The first major phase to crystallize in all Yantarni samples is probably plagioclase, as inferred from its occurrence as small anhedral inclusions in all

Figure 15. Pyroxene quadrilateral showing compositions of cores of clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene grains from lavas of Yantarni Volcano, relative to that of other medium-K calc-alkaline andesites (dashed lines; Gill, 1981). Olivine analyses (triangles) are projected onto baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>19 (core)</th>
<th>12 (core)</th>
<th>11 (core)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>100.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Analyses of representative pyroxene phenocrysts from Yantarni Volcano

Table 5. Analyses of representative olivine phenocrysts from Yantarni Volcano
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other silicate phenocrysts except olivine. Inclusions occur in plagioclase in all samples and are small, irregular or rounded blebs of subopaque material that is presumably devitrified glass. Most samples show a range from inclusion-free plagioclase, to grains with minor concentric zones of inclusions, to grains with interiors full of inclusions (fig. 17).

The high abundance of phenocrysts in all samples except 11b makes it difficult to generalize further about the relative order of crystallization. Sample 11b has the lowest SiO₂ content among the sample set (55.5 percent) and contains only 12 percent by volume phenocrysts; oddly, it has no olivine. Hornblende phenocrysts in 11b are more abundant and are two to three times greater in size than clinopyroxene, which suggests that hornblende preceded clinopyroxene in this sample. Reaction rims of pyroxene and plagioclase on some hornblende grains in all hornblende-bearing samples indicate that the magmas were out of equilibrium with at least a particular composition of hornblende prior to eruption. Hornblende, however, occurs also as microphenocrysts in sample 11b despite the occurrence of minor reaction rims on phenocrysts (fig. 18); thus, some composition of hornblende apparently remained as a liquidus phase in this sample until eruption.

Orthopyroxene commonly occurs as both euhedral phenocrysts and micro-phenocrysts. No evidence bearing on the age of orthopyroxene relative to hornblende has been obtained from any sample. Rims of clinopyroxene on orthopyroxene occur rarely in most samples, but such a texture does not prove that orthopyroxene preceded clinopyroxene during crystallization. Quartz occurs as mosaics of anhedral grains, probably xenoliths, in two of three samples of the Tertiary hypabyssal rocks but has not been observed in the older lavas. Quartz occurs as rare rounded single grains in two samples of the cone-building unit and more commonly in samples of the younger pyroclastic flows and dome (see table 2). Olivine occurs only rarely, as irregular anhedral phenocrysts and microphenocrysts with no inclusions, in the cone-building lavas and in the younger pyroclastic flows and dome. In sample 11d, which also contains quartz phenocrysts, the olivine microphenocrysts have incipient reaction rims of

![Figure 16. Part of albite(Ab)-anorthite(An)-orthoclase(Or) feldspar diagram showing compositions of cores of plagioclase grains from Yantarni volcanic rocks.](image)

**Table 6. Analyses of representative plagioclase phenocrysts from Yantarni Volcano**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(core)</td>
<td>(core)</td>
<td>(core)</td>
<td>(core)</td>
<td>(core)</td>
<td>(core)</td>
<td>(core)</td>
<td>(core)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>100.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cations calculated on the basis of 32 oxygens**

| Fe         | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.070 | 0.064 | 0.035 | 0.050 | 0.039 |
| Ca         | 2.249 | 2.915 | 3.546 | 2.488 | 2.085 | 2.348 | 1.960 |
| Na         | 1.720 | 1.069 | 0.505 | 1.498 | 1.907 | 1.622 | 1.970 |
| K          | 0.484 | 0.019 | 0.005 | 0.035 | 0.037 | 0.042 | 0.062 |

**an** 56.0 72.8 87.4 61.9 51.7 58.5 49.1

**ab** 42.8 26.7 12.5 37.3 47.3 40.4 49.3

**or** 1.2 .5 .1 .9 .9 1.0 1.6
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### Table 7. Analyses of representative amphibole phenocrysts from Yantarni Volcano

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>38 (core)</th>
<th>41 (core)</th>
<th>33 (core)</th>
<th>12 (core)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnesian hornblende</th>
<th>Edenite hornblende</th>
<th>Magnesian hornblende</th>
<th>Magnesian hornblende</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sum CA=15 Si+Al=8</td>
<td>All Fe²⁺ FM+13</td>
<td>Sum CA=15 FM+13</td>
<td>Sum CA=15 FM+13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>7.001</td>
<td>6.867</td>
<td>6.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeIV</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>1.133</td>
<td>1.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeIV</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>1.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe³⁺</td>
<td>1.173</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>3.285</td>
<td>3.222</td>
<td>3.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe²⁺</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>1.501</td>
<td>1.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SumFM</td>
<td>13.264</td>
<td>13.010</td>
<td>13.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>1.736</td>
<td>1.703</td>
<td>1.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaMg</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaMg</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaMg</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum A</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe²⁺/Fe²⁺+Mg</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 17.** Photomicrograph of dacite block from Yantarni pyroclastic-flow deposit showing typical inclusions, probably devitrified glass, in plagioclase. Grain on left (arrow) has extensive inclusions within a narrow inclusion-free rim, that on right (arrow) has an interior zone of inclusions, and grain at center has only a narrow band of inclusions near its margins. Width of view, 3.5 mm. Uncrossed polars; sample 14.

**Figure 18.** Photomicrograph of Yantarni basaltic andesite showing an unaltered hornblende microphenocryst (hbl) in fine-grained groundmass. Width of view, 1.5 mm. Uncrossed polars; sample 11b.
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Pyroxene (fig. 19), supporting the previous conclusion that the olivine in 11d is not in equilibrium with its whole-rock composition. Quartz grains in some samples are surrounded by rims of fine-grained clinopyroxene (fig. 20), suggesting that clinopyroxene stability was favored by higher silica activity.

The lithic inclusions in the Yantarni lavas (table 2) fall into two types: (1) fine-grained mosaics in which pyroxene exceeds plagioclase and opaque minerals (fig. 21A), and (2) fine- to medium-grained, diabasic clasts (plagioclase > subophitic pyroxene > opaque) and pyroxenitic clasts (fig. 21B). The first type greatly resembles reaction rims on hornblende grains and probably is completely reacted hornblende. The second type is probably cognate (accessory) inclusions.

Obvious correlations of modal occurrence with SiO₂ content (fig. 22) are few: quartz occurs more commonly, and olivine is less abundant, in samples having more than 60 percent SiO₂. Hornblende is more abundant in samples having more than 60 percent SiO₂ but occurs in a few samples that incorporate the entire range of SiO₂ contents; we note that hornblende in the groundmass of an andesitic arc magma (11b) has been thought to be uncommon (Gill, 1981, p. 179). Orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene appear to decrease from 57 percent to 63 percent SiO₂. Neither plagioclase abundance nor opaque-minerals abundance show any variation with SiO₂ content. The absence of olivine from sample 11b (55.5 percent SiO₂) could be due to reaction with orthopyroxene during cooling, as occurred during experimental crystallization of an andesite containing 60 percent SiO₂ (Eggler, 1972). Such a hypothesis, however, does not explain the occurrence of olivine in Yantarni samples of higher SiO₂ and phenocryst contents. In particular, the occurrence of euhedral microphenocrysts of olivine in sample 11d (63.7 percent SiO₂) implies that olivine was a liquidus phase in this sample until shortly before eruption, despite the high silica content.

**Figure 19.** Photomicrograph of Yantarni low-silica dacite showing olivine microphenocryst (oliv) with a narrow reaction rim of pyroxene. Despite high silica content of rock (63 percent), olivine apparently crystallized shortly before eruption. Width of view, 1.5 mm. Uncrossed polars; sample 11d.

**Figure 20.** Photomicrographs of high-silica andesite composing Yantarni dome, showing quartz grain surrounded by a narrow reaction rim of clinopyroxene. Width of views, 1.5 mm; sample 39. A, Uncrossed polars. B, Crossed polars.

### Origin of the Chemical Trends

The origin of the major-element variation at Yantarni Volcano is not amenable to a unique solution with our available data. We have not, therefore, carried out quantitative calculations of the composition of various mineral-magma pairs. We can, however, limit the potential role of closed-system fractionation in generating the Yantarni chemical trends by the following reasoning. A wide range of bulk phenocryst compositions can be postulated by combining differing amounts of plagioclase, orthopyroxene, olivine, and hornblende (the earliest phases to crystallize). The greatest K₂O content of such postulated mineral assemblages is 0.4 percent in pure Yantarni hornblende (table 3). By inspection of the K₂O variation diagram (fig. 23), it is apparent that the K₂O trend of Yantarni cannot be produced from an assumed parent of 11b (having the lowest D.I. and SiO₂ content among the analyzed samples), even by removal of pure hornblende. In fact, crystallization of hornblende was probably preceded by plagioclase in 11b, and no reasonable combination of preserved
phenocrysts in 11b can produce the Yantarni \( K_2O-SiO_2 \) trend. Thus, if the variation trend is the result mainly of closed-system fractionation by preserved phenocrysts of a basic parent, we have not sampled such a parent. Moreover, the slope of several of the variation trends is such that no analyzed samples are likely to be related to one another by simple fractionation involving preserved phenocrysts. This assertion follows from the geometric constraint that both the parent magma and the bulk composition of the fractionated (removed) solids must be colinear with each variation trend.

As previously discussed, analyzed Yantarni hornblendes are relatively high in \( SiO_2 \), similar to other continental-arc hornblendes. Hornblende grains in xenolithic inclusions in calc-alkaline island-arc andesite from Adak Island, however, have much lower \( SiO_2 \) contents at similar \( K_2O \) contents (Conrad and Kay, 1984) and the oxide contents of these hornblendes are in fact approximately colinear with most Yantarni variation trends (fig. 23). We have no independent data with which to evaluate the potential role of such island-arc-type hornblendes in the genesis of Yantarni magmas, but it is possible to postulate ad hoc mineral compositions that are colinear with Yantarni trends. Yet another alternative to generate the Yantarni trends is by removal of chemically different batches of magma from a single parent (open-system fractionation). As an example, we note that a parent magma with \( K_2O-SiO_2 \) in the shaded region on figure 23 could yield low-silica Yantarni samples by removal of Yantarni hornblende. High-silica Yantarni samples could be generated by removal of some unspecified mineral assemblage, lower in both \( K_2O \) and \( SiO_2 \) than Yantarni hornblende.

We conclude that Yantarni variation trends cannot be generated by simple closed-system fractionation of one sample from another by the observed phenocryst phases. The samples could be

![Figure 21](image-url)  
**Figure 21.** Photomicrographs illustrating two types of lithic inclusions in Yantarni lavas. Crossed polars. A, Fine-grained plagioclase-pyroxene pseudomorph after hornblende. Width of view, 1.5 mm; sample 39. B, Medium-grained pyroxenitic inclusion, probably a cognate xenolith. plag, plagioclase; cpx, clinopyroxene. Width of view, 3.5 mm; sample 16.

![Figure 22](image-url)  
**Figure 22.** Phenocryst modes, lithic abundances, and total phenocryst contents plotted against whole-rock \( SiO_2 \) content (volatile-free) for Yantarni lavas. No plotted quantity shows any consistent correlation with \( SiO_2 \).
Figure 23. SiO$_2$-variation diagrams of Yantarni whole-rock analyses (solid lines are least-squares regressions; dashed lines are extrapolations), Yantarni hornblende analyses (solid dots), and analyses of hornblendes in xenoliths from calc-alkaline andesites, Adak Island, Aleutian volcanic arc (crosses) (Conrad and Kay, 1984). Maximum K$_2$O content of all possible Yantarni phenocryst assemblages is 0.40 percent in pure hornblende, thus, K$_2$O-SiO$_2$ diagram indicates that Yantarni magmas could not have been generated by closed-system fractionation of a single parent by analyzed Yantarni phenocrysts. Hypothetical parent magmas plotting in shaded region of K$_2$O-SiO$_2$ diagram, however, could yield low-silica Yantarni samples by removal of Yantarni hornblende; therefore, SiO$_2$-variation diagrams do not preclude generation of Yantarni magmas by open-system fractionation.

generated from a single unsampled parent by removal of batches of magma that have undergone differing degrees of fractionation (open-system fractionation), each batch resulting in a different sampled composition. We cannot model such open-system fractionation because without knowing the composition of the parent magma the model is wholly unconstrained. Alternatively, the variation trends can also be produced by invoking ad hoc mineral compositions.

Conversely, another process to generate the observed variation diagrams is mixing of discrete magmas or magma and solid rock such as crustal blocks. A necessary but not sufficient test for mixing of two end members is linearity of variation plots (Eichelberger, 1974). To test our data for linearity we have used a regression program to fit the data by a least-squares technique by lines and by simple quadratic curves. The goodness of fit for both lines and curves is given by the residuals in table 8. We conclude that the variation of all major elements is fitted nearly as well by a line as by a quadratic curve and that the chemical data permit mixing as a major cause of variation.

In support of a mixing model, we note the following aspects of the chemical and mineralogic data:

1. The occurrence of a disequilibrium assemblage of quartz and forsteritic olivine in a single sample (11d, table 2) of the young dacitic pyroclastic-flow deposits.

2. The occurrence of the lowest and highest SiO$_2$ contents among the samples as lava flows stratigraphically high in the cone-building deposits (samples 19 and 41) and in the succeeding pyroclastic-flow deposits (samples 11b and 11d); magmas differing by 8 percent in SiO$_2$ content existed nearly simultaneously in the conduit(s) or reservoirs.

3. Reacted hornblende, reverse zoning in mafic phenocrysts, and rounded olivine and quartz grains are common in Yantarni samples; such textures can result from changes of parameters such as pressure but can also result from disequilibrium as a consequence of mixing.

4. Neither phenocryst modes nor total phenocryst contents show systematic variation with SiO$_2$, implying that the composition of Yantarni magmas was not governed mainly by crystal-liquid equilibrium.

5. Quartz xenoliths occur in the Tertiary hypabyssal rocks; although such foreign material is absent from later extrusive rocks, its presence in the early rocks indicates that assimilation has clearly occurred.

The absence of banded pumice at Yantarni Volcano could weigh against the mixing hypothesis; however, we have found no more than a few percent glass in any sample, including those of the pyroclastic flows, and we conclude that any color banding would have been obscured by crystallization.
### Table 8. Residual sums of squares for each of seven major oxides regressed against SiO$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AL$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Fe$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na$_2$O</th>
<th>K$_2$O</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear fit</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic fit</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To summarize, we cannot prove that major-element variation in Yantarni rocks is a result of a single process. However, the available data (1) preclude an origin by progressive fractionation of one sample from another involving observed phenocryst phases, (2) support, but do not prove, the viability of mixing as a mechanism to generate the observed compositions, and (3) neither support nor preclude open-system fractionation or fractionation involving mineral compositions other than those analyzed.

**GEOLOGIC HISTORY OF YANTARNI VOLCANO**

Volcanism in the vicinity of Yantarni Volcano has occurred sporadically since late Tertiary time. Intrusion of shallow sills, dikes, and small stocks occurred in early Miocene and early Quaternary time (F.H. Wilson, oral commun., 1985) and presumably such intrusive activity was accompanied by extrusion of lava, now eroded. Limited chemical data indicate a low-silica dacitic composition of such early hypabyssal rocks in the close vicinity of Yantarni Volcano (table 1; F.H. Wilson, oral commun., 1985). A single Miocene radiometric age is the basis for assigning the older part of the hypabyssal activity to the predecessor of the modern Aleutian arc (Wilson, 1985).

The oldest preserved extrusive deposits are andesitic lava flows of middle Pleistocene age. The source vents of such flows are poorly known; some may have erupted from vents at the site of present Yantarni Volcano, but others erupted from vents mapped beyond the margins of the cone.

The onset of central-vent volcanism is indicated by basal pyroclastic-flow deposits in the cone of Yantarni Volcano. A single radiometric age from the basal deposits (0.46 Ma) overlaps within uncertainty with two age determinations of the older lava flows (0.47 Ma and 0.62 Ma); thus, the two phases of volcanism either overlapped or followed in close succession. A single age from deposits stratigraphically high in the cone-building unit (0.41 Ma) overlaps within uncertainty with the age of the basal cone deposits. The two radiometric ages are consistent with the inference drawn from the degree of glacial erosion of the cone that cone construction was largely completed before late Pleistocene glaciation. Major-element compositions of the basal cone deposits are similar to those of the older lava flows.

The latest phase of significant eruptive activity apparently began with failure and mass movement of the northeast sector of the cone in a debris avalanche. The avalanche was closely followed by the first of several pyroclastic flows, which probably originated both by nonexplosive dome collapse and by low-explosivity eruptions accompanying dome growth. The debris avalanche, which may have been accompanied by a directed blast, is no older than Holocene and may have occurred within the past 3,500 yr.

Magmas of the cone-building stage reach a maximum of about 64 percent SiO$_2$, a higher SiO$_2$ content than has been found in both the older flows and the basal cone deposits. Such high-SiO$_2$ lavas also occur in the early hypabyssal rocks, however, thus no evidence exists for a consistent long-term trend in the SiO$_2$ content of magmas emplaced at or near Yantarni Volcano. One of the lowest SiO$_2$ contents of the sample set occurs late in the cone-building phase, and the full range of SiO$_2$ contents of the sample set is present in pyroclastic deposits formed during the catastrophic eruption in late Holocene time. Indeed, if there is a temporal trend in composition of lavas at Yantarni Volcano, it is toward increasing heterogeneity.

Estimated volumes of Quaternary eruptive products are subject to large uncertainty owing to poorly known thicknesses. Our estimates (0.6 km$^3$ of preserved middle Quaternary lava flows, as much as 6.6 km$^3$ of cone material before erosion, and 1.0 km$^3$ of pyroclastic-flow material) yield an aggregate volume of 8.2 km$^3$ and indicate that Yantarni Volcano is one of the smaller Aleutian volcanoes (see summary of volume estimates by Marsh, 1982, fig. 4).

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. Yantarni Volcano is a small andesitic stratovolcano of the eastern Aleutian volcanic arc; the magmas are calc-alkaline and support the classification of Yantarni as an intra-segment volcanic center. No samples of primitive "magnesian andesite" reported by Kay and others (1978) from elsewhere in the Aleutian arc have been found at Yantarni Volcano.

2. Magmatism at the site began with shallow intrusions of dacitic magma as early as about 20 Ma; such activity has been assigned to the predecessor of the modern Aleutian arc (Wilson, 1985). The early activity includes magmas with SiO$_2$ contents as high as the most siliceous of the recent eruptive products.

3. Magmatism clearly assignable to the modern Aleutian arc began at the site at perhaps 4 Ma, and at about 0.60 Ma the oldest extrusive rocks were erupted from a number of local vents.

4. At about 0.46 Ma, volcanism entered a central-vent phase of cone-building, identifiable by lithic pyroclastic-flow deposits suggestive of dome collapse. Such pyroclastic deposits are andesitic, similar in major-element composition to the
slightly older lava flows. This phase of cone-building apparently ended before the last extensive glaciation in late Pleistocene time.

5. The latest significant activity began with failure of the northeast sector of the cone, leading to a debris avalanche possibly accompanied by a directed blast. The avalanche was closely followed by the first of a succession of pyroclastic flows generated by growth of a dome. Such a catastrophic eruption probably occurred no more than about 3,500 years ago, and we consider the volcano to have the potential for a return to eruptive activity of similar style and magnitude.

6. Low contents of Al, Ti, and Na in pyroxene phenocrysts indicate crystallization at pressures below 10,000 bars. The occurrence of lavas with both the highest and lowest 

7. Relations among whole-rock compositions and coexisting phenocryst compositions indicate that the range of chemical variability in Yantarni magmas is not likely the result of closed-system fractionation of one sample from another. Conversely, mixing of two end-members is permitted by the linearity of the SiO₂-variation diagrams and is circumstantially supported by reverse zoning of mafic phenocrysts and by disequilibrium mineral assemblages.

8. The preceding conclusions suggest that no large, homogeneous magma chamber exists at shallow depths directly beneath Yantarni Volcano. Instead, magmas of diverse composition are erupted in close succession or are mixed shortly before eruption. We interpret the apparent absence of a shallow chamber with well-developed conduits, together with the small volume of eruptive products, to mean that Yantarni Volcano is in an immature stage of development.
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