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Foreword

In 1995, the agency primarily responsible for managing water resources in New Mexico, the Office of the State Engineer, declared the Middle Rio Grande Basin a “critical basin”; that is, a ground-water basin faced with rapid economic and population growth for which there is less than adequate technical information about the available water supply. This declaration was largely the result of studies of the ground-water resources of the Middle Rio Grande Basin by the New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources (formerly the New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources) and U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in cooperation with the City of Albuquerque that showed conclusively that many aspects of the popular understanding of water resources of the basin were incorrect. The two most important conclusions of these studies were that there is significantly less ground water available for supply than previously thought and that the Rio Grande contributes less water to the Santa Fe Group aquifer system than was previously believed. Both conclusions have had and will continue to have major impacts on how water is used, allocated, and managed in the basin. However, these studies also revealed gaps in the understanding of the water resources of the basin. In an effort to fill some of these gaps, the USGS and other agencies began the Middle Rio Grande Basin Study, a 6-year effort to improve the understanding of the hydrology, geology, and land-surface characteristics of the Middle Rio Grande Basin.

An important aspect of the USGS mission is to provide information that describes the Earth, its resources, and the processes that govern the availability and quality of those resources. With reports such as this Circular, the USGS seeks to broaden public understanding of water resources and the processes that affect those resources. Our hope is that this improved understanding will contribute to another goal of the USGS: the use of this scientific information to enhance and protect our quality of life.

This Circular presents an overview of our current understanding of the water resources of the Middle Rio Grande Basin, with an emphasis on ground water. This report is written for a wide audience of people interested or involved in the use of water resources in the Middle Rio Grande Basin. It is intended to serve as a general educational document rather than a report of new scientific findings, though much of the information it contains is the result of new studies performed as part of the Middle Rio Grande Basin Study. This Circular, coupled with ongoing data collection and research, is the USGS contribution toward a sound scientific basis for water managers and policy makers to make informed decisions about the water resources of the basin with the goal of meeting current needs and assuring a sustainable supply for future generations.

Charles G. Groat
Director, U.S. Geological Survey
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Conversions

This Circular uses both inch/pound (U.S. customary) and International System of Units (SI metric) units. The conversion factors listed below are provided to convert between inch/pound and SI metric units, or different units in the same systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Multiply</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>To Obtain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>inch</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>millimeter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>foot (ft)</td>
<td>0.3048</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mile (mi)</td>
<td>1.609</td>
<td>kilometer (km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>square mile (mi^2)</td>
<td>2.590</td>
<td>square kilometer (km^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>acre (acre)</td>
<td>0.4047</td>
<td>hectare (ha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>acre-foot (acre-ft)</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>cubic meter (m^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gallon</td>
<td>0.003785</td>
<td>cubic meter (m^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cubic foot (ft^3)</td>
<td>0.02832</td>
<td>cubic meter (m^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>cubic foot per second (ft^3/s)</td>
<td>0.2832</td>
<td>cubic meter per second (m^3/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cubic foot per second (ft^3/s)</td>
<td>723.97</td>
<td>acre-foot per year (acre-ft/yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cubic foot per second (ft^3/s)</td>
<td>448.83</td>
<td>gallon per minute (gal/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic conductivity</td>
<td>foot per day (ft/d)</td>
<td>0.3048</td>
<td>meter per day (m/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>degree Fahrenheit (°F)</td>
<td>(°F–32)/1.8</td>
<td>degree Celsius (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tritium activity</td>
<td>tritium unit (TU)</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>picocuries per liter (pCi/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetism</td>
<td>Tesla (T)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>weber per square meter (Wb/m^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity</td>
<td>gal (Gal)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>centimeter per second squared (cm/s^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electrical conductivity units are given in siemens (S), which is the preferred unit name under the International System of Units. It is numerically equivalent to the older term mhos.

Electrical resistivity can be converted to electrical conductivity (siemens per meter) by taking its inverse.
Vertical Datum

In this report, “sea level” refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929)—a geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of the United States and Canada, formerly called Sea Level Datum of 1929.
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