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**Historical Background**

Although the study of mineral deposits has preoccupied geologists since the very beginnings of the earth sciences, the concept of metallogeny is less than 100 years old; the term was coined by Louis Auguste de Launay in 1913 (quoted by Lindgren, 1933). The debate on what the concept of metallogeny means by definition and in terms of contemporary geological theory, how it should be depicted on maps, and how it can be used as an exploration tool has largely subsided (Lindgren, 1933; Turneaure, 1955; Prescheck, 1965; Guild, 1971, 1972, 1974; Routhier, 1983; Guilbert and Park, 1986). Today there is some convergence toward two dominant schools of thought regarding metallogenic maps, the French and northern American. There are, however, equally as many exceptions or adaptations to these two approaches, as for example in the recent maps produced in the United Kingdom, Australia, and Canada. A third type of metallogenic map, exemplified by Russian maps of the Soviet era, has found less acceptance in view of the complexity of their legends, although some examples of this type have been published only very recently for Angola and Mozambique. Consensus on the matter of how best to present metallogenic information is unlikely ever to be reached, although it would help the cause of global resource assessment tremendously.

We advocate the French legend for its simplicity (the symbols are easy to relate to), its descriptiveness (being largely factual rather than interpretive), and the cartographic clarity of representation. One of the best examples is the “International Mineral Deposits Map of Africa,” of which only sheet 1 was published (Emberger, 1991). Emberger’s map legend, which was used as the basis for the “International Digital Metallogenic Map of Africa” (Veselinovic-Williams and others, 1999), can be converted into geographic information system (GIS) format with only minimal adaptations, and it was found to lend itself perfectly to metallogenic modeling.
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**Metallogenic Maps—Culmination of Data Synthesis**

Invariably, metallogenic maps are complex, portraying a multitude of data on current knowledge about geological processes that may have contributed to the formation of mineral deposits. As such, they represent a most valuable store of information about the mineral potential of countries, regions, or continents. In this point lie both their strength and their Achilles’ heel. One might expect that metallogenic maps would be regarded as being indispensable tools for mineral exploration, land use planning, teaching, and research in economic geology, but often that does not seem to be the case.

One reason is that metallogenic maps are generally far too complex to be useful for non-geoscientist planners and decisionmakers. Another is that comprehensive maps can be compiled only for regions where the geology is well understood and which are reasonably well explored, often at a time long after exploration activities have passed. So, if metallogenic maps indeed come too late, so to speak, to be indispensable tools for mineral exploration, or remain grossly undervalued in land use planning and even by the geological community at large, what is their worth?

The obvious answer lies in regional or global mineral resource assessments. Without the systematic collation and synthesis of mineral-resource data embodied in metallogenic maps, it is not possible to arrive at a meaningful overview of the mineral potential of a country or region. As a result, a large number of metallogenic and related mineral maps have been published over the past three or four decades on national, regional, or continental scales. To provide a review of the worldwide availability of such maps is beyond the scope of this paper. Whereas large- and medium-scale metallogenic maps (up to 1:250,000) continue to serve a useful purpose to synthesize and document details of selected mineralized terranes, maps at scales of 1:1,000,000 and smaller lend themselves best to metallogenic analysis.

Mineral exploration is an iterative process and, as making new discoveries becomes ever more difficult, success depends very much on acquiring and applying new knowledge in innovative ways. For this reason, it must be stressed that it is in the interest of countries, regions, or continents with emerg-
ing mining industries to produce metallogenic maps that are
topical and timely to attract mining investment, which remains
one of the pillars of economic development.

On a global scale, the Commission for the Geological Map
of the World (CGMW), and more precisely its Subcommission
for Metallogenic Maps, endeavors to promote this cause through
international collaborative projects. Presently, projects carried
out under its auspices include the international metallogenic
maps of Africa and of South America, both at 1:5 million scale,
and it is a goal to add a map of the Middle East to this series.
In addition, a new thematic global map of exceptionally large
mineral deposits is being compiled at a scale of 1:25 million,
primarily by a joint Chinese-Russian research project. A large
database on the deposits included on this map is being compiled
at the Vernadsky State Geological Museum in Moscow. The
effort to understand exceptionally large mineral deposits may
benefit from current Russian research on the role of deep crustal
structures (Konstantinov and others, 1999).

Metallogeny in the Information Age

The electronic media have changed the nature of metal-
logenic maps during the last decade or so. Since the early
1980s, many geological surveys have started to develop min-
eral deposit databases, and today GIS and digital mapmaking
are commonplace. More important, however, is the ability to
exchange data and to integrate the information, more or less at
will, with other datasets. In addition, GIS technology brings
the power to query and manipulate data and to produce any
number of customized products for a multitude of research and
consumer needs. Much of the power of present-day metallog-
eny, therefore, lies in access to digital data sources that enable
users for the first time ever to create their own innovative
derivative products.

Restrictions on access to data and information and
copyright issues, however, often inhibit this process. Clear
distinctions must be drawn here between legal copyright issues
and self-imposed restrictions to protect partisan interests.
According to Gueiros (2000), the availability of intellectually
protected documents on the World Wide Web is causing a
legal revolution, in that new rules must be developed on how
protected documents on the World Wide Web is causing a
Copyright is vested in the source of that information prod-
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uct; for example, the institution or author of the product or
in the client in terms of a commercial agreement.

• Data are neither a product in their own right, nor can data
be regarded as intellectual property.

• Data and information are tradeable commodities, but
they are not free when classified as confidential and thus
specifically protected and restricted.

The “International Digital Metallogenic Map of
Africa” (Veselinovic-Williams and others, 1999) is a case in
point. Comprehensive electronic data have been compiled
from published nonproprietary hardcopy information, from
data received directly or indirectly from every geologi-
survey of Africa participating in this project, and from
other data sources. While the CD-ROM containing these
data is being sold at a nominal cost to defray expenses, it
is copyright protected, meaning the data may not be resold.
The users who have acquired the data, however, are per-
mitted to utilize it to their best advantage, to merge it with
other data sources, or to create any number of new infor-
mation products. It is anticipated that, in the near future,
a fully compatible global database can be compiled using
the new standard established for metallogenic mapping and
with the added ability to seamlessly integrate the African
data with data for South America and, eventually, with data
for the Middle East.

The Metallogenic Map of Africa as a
Deposit Modeling Tool

The “International Digital Metallogenic Map of Africa”
(Veselinovic-Williams and others, 1999), and particularly the
Metallogenic Map of Africa (MMA) database that was cre-
ated, is ideally suited to describe the essential attributes of all
mineral deposits of Africa. This use not only greatly facilitates
the description of definitive ore deposit types but also helps
scientists to understand their genetic association and their
comparison in space and time.

The MMA is based on an advanced GIS data model,
comprising various data layers (coverages) carrying the perti-
ent data on which the parameters for descriptive and genetic
deposit models can be defined, as summarized in Tables 1
2
3

It is a modern, relational database developed in Corel Para-
dox and is fully compatible with Oracle, ArcInfo, ArcView,
and similar application software.

Although genetic deposit model type data are not carried
in the database because of their subjective nature, such models
can be easily derived from the available data by association,
combination, and interpolation of the various data elements
and parameters. Metallogenic analysis is facilitated by pre-
defined parameters, as shown in Table 3.
Table 1. The database model of the Metallogenic Map of Africa database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverages</th>
<th>Attribute tables</th>
<th>Standard tables</th>
<th>Cartographic tables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GMS</td>
<td>Lithology, chronostratigraphy</td>
<td>Numerous lookup tables to standardize and validate data entries.</td>
<td>Numerous tables defining the symbology, hatchings, colors, and text to be used for map outputs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GME</td>
<td>Geotectonic environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMO</td>
<td>Orogeny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSL</td>
<td>Geological structures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDP</td>
<td>Mineral deposits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The Metallogenic Map of Africa database structure, with some examples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geological data (with examples)</th>
<th>Mineral deposit data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage and specific item</strong></td>
<td><strong>Lookup table</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMS</td>
<td>Polygon key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronostratigraphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lithology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lithology qualifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GME</td>
<td>Polygon key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMO</td>
<td>Polygon key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main orogeny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main orogeny age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2d orogeny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2d orogeny age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Selected parameters in the Metallogenic Map of Africa database to facilitate deposit modeling and metallogenic analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geological data</th>
<th>Physical and other data</th>
<th>Genetic criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronostratigraphic classification</td>
<td>Commodities present (1, 2, 3)</td>
<td>Magmatic:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host-rock lithology</td>
<td>Shape (morphology) of deposit</td>
<td>• Intrusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host-rock environment:</td>
<td>Size classification</td>
<td>• Extrusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Continental</td>
<td>Status (active, dormant, occurrence)</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Paralic</td>
<td>Mineralogy of ore</td>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marine</td>
<td>Mineralization age</td>
<td>Diagenetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plutonic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pedogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Orogenic*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Metamorphic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Orogenic name and age.
Precambrian African Metallogeny—
Unlimited Deposit Modeling Options

The African continent has had a complex geologic and orogenic history, too diverse for a detailed discussion in this paper. The interested reader is referred to the many benchmark books and papers, including those by Cahen and others (1984), Petters (1991), Milesi and others (1992), Windley (1995), and De Wit and Ashwal (1997). Here we attempt only to highlight some important metallogenic aspects, based on views generated from the MMA database, which presents countless modeling scenarios.

Archean

The Archean rocks in Africa (Fig. 1) consist of various lithologic assemblages in greenstone belts and high-grade metamorphic terranes. Greenstone belts consist of thick volcanic-sedimentary sequences that have mostly experienced low-grade metamorphism but that have reached granulite facies locally (De Wit and Ashwal, 1997). The belts represent supracrustal cover to the granitic basement rocks, which originated in three Archean magmatic stages: (1) 3.5–3.2 Ga, tonalite/trondhjemite gneisses, (2) 3.2–2.7 Ga, porphyritic migmatite/gneiss, and (3) 2.8–2.5 Ga, syn- to post-orogenic granites. Of particular metallogenic interest are the Archean greenstone terranes of the Kaapvaal, Zimbabwe, Kivu-Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC)-West Nile, Tanzania, Ntem-Chaillu, Leo-Man, and Reguibat metallogenic provinces [Fig. 1], as described in various papers in De Wit and Ashwal (1997). Mineral deposits associated with greenstone belts include gold, antimony, iron, chromium, nickel-copper, and copper-zinc. Figure 2 shows the classic Zimbabwe craton, in which various ages of greenstone formation have been identified, each with its own metallogenic characteristics. The lowermost ultramafic units of some of these greenstone belts contain significant deposits of chromite, nickel-copper, and chrysotile asbestos (for example, Selukwe, Empress, and Shangani deposits).

Gold is widely distributed in all the Archean greenstone belts of the continent (Foster and Piper, 1993). Historically, the most productive and best developed gold deposits have been those in the Kaapvaal and Zimbabwe cratons. However, at present, the focus is on Tanzania, where numerous new gold deposits have recently been discovered (De Klerk, 2001). Further gold discoveries can be expected in other terranes having lesser known, but locally important, Archean gold deposits once these areas become more accessible to exploration. The Ituri belt of the DRC (de Kun, 1963), including Kilo-Moto, and the Gabon craton are examples of such potentially very important terranes. In general, gold is concentrated in struc-
turally controlled vein deposits that cut basic to intermediate igneous rocks in the marginal and stratigraphically upper parts of the greenstone belts.

Algoma-type iron formations, associated with the volcanic successions of many greenstone belts, are chemical sediments formed by subaqueous volcanogenic exhalations. Locally, the iron formations constitute economic deposits of iron; for example, Buchwa in Zimbabwe and Nimba in Liberia.

Cratonized lithospheric plates developed during the late Archean in South Africa. This development led to the widespread formation of sedimentary basins, platform sediments, and continental-margin troughs and foreland basins at a time when greenstone belts were still forming farther north in Africa and elsewhere (in the time span 3.0–2.6 Ga). Examples on the Kaapvaal craton include the Dominion Group (3.075 Ga) and the Pongola (~2.9 Ga), Witwatersrand (~2.9–2.8 Ga), and Ventersdorp (2.62 Ga) Supergroups. Primary syndepositional placer-type gold-uranium mineralization was deposited in the intracratonic Witwatersrand basin, South Africa, and is unique in that it was coeval with a worldwide event of basic to ultrabasic submarine volcanism associated with Late Archean greenstone belts. Three postdepositional events are recognized during which the ores were reconstituted and enriched. These are dated at 2,550 Ma, 2,300 Ma, and 2,000 Ma (Robb and Robb, 1998).

Important mineral deposits associated with pegmatites occur in the granitic terranes of the Zimbabwe craton (for example, Bikita) and the Kaapvaal craton (for example, the Mopane and Mica fields). These include deposits of tin, tungsten, tantalum, niobium, lithium, mica, and beryllium.

**Paleoproterozoic**

During the Paleoproterozoic (2.5–1.6 Ga), many events affected metallogenesis; the following events are the most noteworthy in terms of their metallogenic significance (Olson, 2000):

- Repeated platform sedimentation and volcanism, notably the Francevillian of Gabon, the Luizien of the DRC, and the Transvaal, Magondi, and Kheis of southern Africa.
- Anorogenic magmatism, associated with formation of features such as the Great Dyke, the Bushveld Complex, and the Kunene Complex, all of which resulted from tensional conditions subsequent to the stabilization of the cratons or from a combination of tectono-thermal events linked to accretionary processes.
- The development of accretionary and collisional orogens of the Ubendian/Rusizian (central and southern Africa) and the Birimian of West Africa.

Evolutionary changes in the Earth’s atmosphere, hydrosphere, and biosphere during this time had a major influence on processes leading to metal accumulation. Intracratonic basins that formed during this time host important stratiform iron, manganese, asbestos, and copper mineralization. Enriched Superior-type iron formations occur in South Africa (for example, Sishen and Thabazimbi), Angola (Cassinga), Mozambique (Honde), and Gabon (Belinga). The deposits of Moanda (Gabon) and the Kalahari (South Africa) constitute the world’s largest resources of manganese. One of the first appearances of stratiform sedimentary copper mineralization occurs at several stratigraphic levels within the thick sequence of early Proterozoic rocks of the
Piriwiri-Lomagundi basin, northwestern Zimbabwe (for example, Mangula, Shackleton, Alaska, and Shamrocke).

Of great metallogenic importance are the anorogenic basic to ultrabasic intrusions, including the Great Dyke (Zimbabwe), which formed at ~2.5 Ga, and the Bushveld Complex (South Africa), now tightly constrained at 2.05 Ga, as well as the Molopo (Botswana), Atchiza (Mozambique), and Kunene (Namibia-Angola) complexes. The Great Dyke and the Bushveld Complex, in particular, host very large economic deposits of chrome, platinum-group elements, iron, titanium, vanadium, and nickel-copper mineralization. The copper-rich Phalaborwa carbonatite (2.05 Ga) and the much younger Premier diamondiferous kimberlite pipe (1.15 Ga) are both manifestations of extensional tectonic regimes prevalent within the thick, stable Kaapvaal craton since the late Archean. The greater Kaapvaal craton (including its younger accreted additions) seems to have been exceptionally well suited for the subsequent development of diamondiferous kimberlites, and it has a significant region of diamond formation in its structural root.

The Birimian belt of West Africa formed at 2.2–2.0 Ga and represents a series of juvenile, accreted terranes (Milesi and others, 1992). These terranes consist of supracrustal belts very similar to Archean greenstone belts and contain basaltic pillow lava flows, andesitic to dacitic pyroclastic rocks and lava flows, volcanogenic turbidites, and manganiferous cherts, as well as flysch-type turbidites. All these rocks were metamorphosed to greenschist facies and intruded by peraluminous granitic plutons. A great variety of mineral deposits occurs in the Birimian greenstone belts, especially gold, manganese, iron, and small but economic lead, copper, antimony, silver, nickel, cobalt, tin, and tungsten deposits. The following genetic types of gold mineralization have been recognized: mesothermal load (Ashanti, Prestea, Marlu, all in Ghana), tourmalinized turbidite sandstone (Loulo, Mali), quartz veins with native gold (Poura, Bourroum, Guiro, all in Burkina Faso), shear-zone-hosted disseminated (Bogosu, Konogo, Ghana), intrusive disseminated (Ayenfuri, Ghana), porphyry copper-gold (Diénémera, Burkina Faso), and paleoplacers (Tarkwa, Ghana).

Collisional mobile belts such as the Ubendian-Rusizian (1.8–2.0 Ga) and Toro of eastern Africa are generally poorly mineralized. An exception is the important stratiform syn-genetic copper-cobalt deposit at Kilembe in the Toro Super-group, Uganda. Hydrothermal deposits of lead (Mukwamba), copper (Lufusi), and gold (Lupa district) occur in Tanzania, related to late-orogenic Ubendian igneous activity.

**Mesoproterozoic**

The Mesoproterozoic (1.6 Ga–950 Ma) was marked by one of the most distinctive orogenic events in Africa, which is known as the Kibaran orogeny (1,400–950 Ma); figure 3 shows the regional distribution of rocks formed during this orogeny (the Kibaran metallogenic province or belt), including the Irumide, Nampula, and Namaqua-Natal belts. Pohl (1994) and Olson (2000) linked the metallogeny of the Kibaran to a series of events related to rifting, sedimentation, magmatism, metamorphism, and tectonic deformation. The crosscutting
nature of the Kibaran belt with the Ubendian-Rusizian belt and the fact that it is also flanked by Archean massifs to the east and west support the contention that the Kibaran belt developed intracratonically. Mineralization within the different parts of the Kibaran belt differs markedly. The intracratonic Kibaran belt of central Africa, for example, defines a major tin (-tungsten) province in the northern DRC, Burundi, and Rwanda. Tin and tungsten tend to occur in quartz veins associated with late-orogenic granitic intrusions. Beryllium, columbo-tantalite, and lithium ores occur in pegmatite zones close to, but separated from, the hydrothermal tin-tungsten mineralization.

The Kibaran-age Irumide belt defines a red-bed-type copper province in a rift-bounded succession of mainly clastic sedimentary rocks, extending discontinuously from Ghanzi in northwestern Botswana to Witvlei and Klein Aub in Namibia. Sedimentary-exhalative massive sulfide mineralization (copper, lead-zinc, silver) occurs in Namaqualand (Aggeneys, Broken Hill, Gamsberg). Volcanogenic exhalative base-metal deposits are found in a long north-south-trending belt adjacent to the western margin of the Kaapvaal craton. The now-defunct Prieska copper-zinc deposit, like the other deposits in the belt, is stratabound in a basic metavolcanic unit composed mainly of amphibolites and amphibole gneisses.

**Neoproterozoic and Early Paleozoic**

The Pan-African tectonothermal event affected much of Africa during the Neoproterozoic and early Paleozoic in the period from at least 950 Ma to about 450 Ma (Fig. 4). The Pan-African belts developed through a complex sequence involving an initial rifting phase with related sedimentation and magmatism, followed by ocean opening, subduction and plate collision, and postcollisional magmatism. The Katanga belt (Zambia and DRC) forms a broad curved zone of deformed sedimentary rocks, known as the Lufilian arc, which host major copper (-cobalt) deposits. These deposits are confined to specific shale or conglomerate horizons, which may represent a rift-bounded succession. Apart from copper and cobalt, other significant deposits in the Copperbelt contain uranium-gold (Shinkolobwe, DRC) and lead-zinc-copper-cadmium (Kipushi, DRC).

The Damara Supergroup (Miller, 1983; Pirajno, 1998) in Namibia is dated at 900–600 Ma and is one of the best developed orogenic belts in Africa. It is composed of a northern arm (Kaokoland) and a southern arm (Gariep), both of which have undergone full Wilson cycles, and a northeast-trending intracratonic rift zone, all of which carry important mineralization (Fig. 5). The Matchless amphibolite is closely associated with

**Figure 4.** Map showing belts (areas tinted and striped in green) formed during the Neoproterozoic and early Paleozoic Pan-African orogeny: 1, Anti-Atlas; 2, Mauritanide; 3, Hoggar; 4, Tibesti; 5, Nubian Shield; 6, Benin-Nigerian; 7, Oubanguide; 8, West Nile; 9, West Congolian; 10, Katanga; 11, Mozambique; 12, Damara; 13, Gariep; 14, Saldania. Map was derived from the Metallogenic Map of Africa (MMA) database, which was created during compilation of the “International Digital Metallogenic Map of Africa” (Veselinovic-Williams and others, 1999).
a number of volcanogenic exhalative massive sulfide deposits. The Otjihase and Matchless cupferiferous pyrite bodies are the two most important deposits of this group. Similar massive sulfide mineralization occurs in the Gariep belt, as exemplified by the Rosh Pinah (primary zinc, lead, copper) and Skorpion (secondary zinc) deposits, whereas sedimentary-exhalative massive sulfides are found in the Kaokoland arm at Tsonguarri and Otjorongwari. Carbonate-hosted (Mississippi-Valley-type) base-metal mineralization is well developed at Tsumeb, Kombat, Berg Aukas, and Abenab on the Northern Platform of the intracratonic shelf. Mineralization associated with highly fractionated posttectonic Damara granites in the central Damara belt includes tin, pegmatite-suite minerals, uranium, and gold. Small deposits of beryl, pollucite, columbo-tantalite, and lepidolite occur in zoned pegmatites, particularly in the Karibib area (that is, Rubicon). A group of subparallel northeast-trending tin belts constitute probably the largest tin province in Africa. Gold-bearing skarn-type mineralization occurs at Navachab, and the metamorphogenic alaskite-hosted Rössing uranium deposit is the largest of its type in the world. Details on most of these deposits are provided by the Namibia Geological Survey (1992).

The Mozambique belt (~660 Ma) can be traced over 4,000 kilometers along the entire eastern side of the African continent and is characterized by high-grade metamorphism. Like the Zambezi belt, it is a result of Pan-African rejuvenation of older rocks. The Mozambique belt hosts numerous pegmatite-associated deposits with rare earth elements, tantalum, niobium, beryllium, mica, and emerald.

The Arabian-Nubian Shield is related to an assemblage of accreted island arcs that formed between 870 Ma (rifting phase) and 540 Ma (postcollisional granite phase) and is a superb manifestation of relatively young greenstone belts (Berhe, 1997). The shield comprises juvenile crust, which formed during a complete tectonic cycle, from rifting, the formation of ocean floor and arcs, to collision and postcollisional deformation (Agar, 1992; Windley, 1995). The arc-related volcano-sedimentary terranes host hydrothermal gold-quartz and gold-carbonate vein-type mineralization in Ethiopia (for example, Lega Dembi, Adola Greenstone Belt) and Eritrea (Gash-Setit Goldfield). Volcanogenic massive sulfide (copper, lead, zinc, silver, gold), stratabound sulfide, and sedimentary-exhalative sulfide deposits are also present. Disrupted ophiolites of the Arabian-Nubian Shield occur in linear belts up to
900 kilometers long and locally contain stratabound nickel-sulfide deposits. Chromium mineralization occurs as podiform ore bodies in ultramafic host rocks of Sudan. Tantalum-niobium mineralization is commonly found in late-tectonic alkali granites and (or) pegmatites, whereas tin-tungsten mineralization is related to late-tectonic greiszenized and albitized alkali granites.

The Search for Undiscovered Resources

A perception exists in some investment circles that many parts of the world have been explored to the extent that the chance of making major new discoveries must be very small. This view, as far as geological risk factors are concerned, can be true only if one takes the present state of knowledge as a point of departure. The crux lies in continuously adding value to the knowledge base and significantly reducing the admittedly higher investment risk.

This need to keep adding to the knowledge base requires detailed geological mapping, high-density geophysical surveys, and geochemical mapping. Perhaps more important, however, is adding value to the knowledge base by producing state-of-the-art thematic maps, developing advanced data modeling and interpretation techniques and facilities, and generating innovative outputs to stimulate mineral exploration. The archiving of geological and mineral resource data and the availability of such data are crucial issues, not only for those countries that need to attract mining investment to stimulate their economic development, but indeed for the mining industry itself. Progressive thinking and bold decisions are required to exponentially increase the availability of data by unleashing the enormous potential locked up in proprietary data. Goldcorp’s Red Lake initiative in Canada (Viljoen, 2000) is a most inspiring example.

Africa is one of the last mining frontiers and is largely underresearched, poorly mapped, and underexplored. Consequently, the continent has a large potential for undiscovered resources; for instance, in the cratonic environments of central and western Africa. The availability of data, particularly in digital format, is critical in this regard, as it will facilitate unconstrained metallogenic analysis. It has been argued that the biggest value of digital data lies in the ability of a wide spectrum of users to utilize these data at will, to merge various sets of data, and to be innovative. The “International Digital Metallogenic Map of Africa” (Veselinovic-Williams and others, 1999) is an attempt to stimulate this process.

Of particular interest in this regard are potentially mineralized terranes that are hidden under large tracts of cover, either of Quaternary sediments or of other younger formations. The Kalahari and the Karoo basins of southern and central Africa are salient examples. Attempts to unravel the basin structure and the underlying geology of the Kalahari (Haddon, 2000, 2001), for example, will undoubtedly facilitate renewed metallogenic analysis and exploration, which may lead to new discoveries. Advanced metallogenic synthesis and analysis of environments that are conducive for large, hidden ore deposits remain a vital endeavor to locate undiscovered ore deposits. A current CGMW project to produce a map of exceptionally large deposits on a worldwide scale (1:25,000,000) is directed at this goal.

It is clear that a great deal of diligent work remains to be done to expand our knowledge by continued observation and documentation and particularly by adding value through the integration of relevant data sources, especially regional geophysical and geochemical data, and through the application of advanced data-modeling techniques. Metallogenic maps are indispensable in this regard but must continue to evolve to become useful predictive tools, lest they be rendered obsolete.
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