



Table 1.—Coal bed thicknesses and elevations in the upper Fort Union Formation as interpreted from geophysical logs of drill holes used in fence diagram

Drill hole number	Drill hole identification	Thickness of coal bed within Sussex coal zone (feet)	Thickness of all coal beds within (feet)	Coal bed thickness (in feet), and elevation (in feet above mean sea level) of upper surface of coal bed ¹
5-0	No. 1 West	49	3 - 3,139	18 - 2,934
5-4	Bel 1 East	33	3 - 2,821	10 - 2,755
6-0	No. 2 Federal	47	3 - 3,139	10 - 2,755
6-2	No. 3 Federal	47	3 - 3,139	10 - 2,755
6-4	Wright 1208	62	4 - 2,170	30 - 2,085
6-6	Scheffer Federal No. 1	61	30 - 3,208	36 - 3,161
6-10	No. 4 Federal	40	3 - 3,211	37 - 3,171
6-14	No. 5 Federal	67	3 - 2,821	48 - 2,755
7-0	No. 17 North	148	109 - 2 - 3,404	3 - 3,399
7-10	No. 29 Gulp	154	6 - 3,432	2 - 3,421
7-14	No. 31 Gulp	138	8 - 3,490	4 - 3,479
7-18	No. 13 Gulp	125	2 - 3,431	10 - 3,381
7-19	No. 4 Gulp	152	2 - 3,284	3 - 3,270
7-20	No. 3 Gulp	230	110 - 3 - 3,202	52 - 3,155
7-24	No. 9 West	130	3 - 3,186	2 - 3,175
7-26	No. 10 Gulp	189	4 - 3,252	3 - 3,238
7-30	No. 20 North	212	3 - 3,511	3 - 3,501
13-0	No. 5-C Upper	87	4 - 3,350	2 - 3,340
13-4	Upper Federal No. 1	86	3 - 3,251	4 - 3,241
13-10	West Federal No. 124	63	8 - 3,282	4 - 3,272
13-11	Federal No. 124	62	8 - 3,282	4 - 3,272
13-15	Federal No. 4731	298	100 - 4 - 3,284	4 - 3,274
13-16	Federal No. 4731	298	100 - 4 - 3,284	4 - 3,274
13-18	No. 19 North	186	7 - 3,474	6 - 3,464
13-21	Federal No. 124	62	8 - 3,282	4 - 3,272
14-07	1741 (Fence)	272	116 - 27 - 3,184	3 - 3,174
14-12	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-13	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-14	No. 31 North	135	127 - 100 - 3,472	3 - 3,462
14-15	No. 31 North	135	127 - 100 - 3,472	3 - 3,462
14-16	Orin Federal No. 1	191	117 - 90 - 3,291	35 - 3,181
14-17	Orin Federal No. 1	191	117 - 90 - 3,291	35 - 3,181
14-18	No. 1 West	139	110 - 90 - 3,291	35 - 3,181
14-19	J. Strever No. 1	276	119 - 3,432	23 - 3,422
14-20	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-21	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-22	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-23	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-24	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-25	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-26	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-27	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-28	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-29	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-30	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-31	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-32	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-33	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-34	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-35	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-36	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-37	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-38	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-39	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-40	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-41	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-42	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-43	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-44	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-45	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-46	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-47	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-48	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-49	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-50	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-51	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-52	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-53	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-54	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-55	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-56	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-57	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-58	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-59	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-60	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-61	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-62	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-63	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-64	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-65	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-66	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-67	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-68	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-69	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-70	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-71	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-72	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-73	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-74	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-75	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-76	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-77	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-78	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-79	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-80	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-81	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-82	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-83	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-84	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-85	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-86	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-87	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-88	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-89	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-90	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-91	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-92	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-93	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-94	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-95	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-96	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-97	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-98	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-99	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383
14-100	Amell Federal No. 1	233	124 - 95 - 3,430	28 - 3,383

¹Top and gas well, unless otherwise indicated.
²Coal bed thicknesses in bold type represent beds assigned to the Sussex coal zone. Entries, left to right, refer to all coal beds (including beds outside the Sussex coal zone) in the fence diagram panels, top to bottom. Correlation is not implied by the arrangement of these data.

Figure 1.—MAP SHOWING GENERALIZED GEOLOGY AND LOCATIONS OF FENCE-DIAGRAM CROSS-SECTION LINES AND SELECTED DRILL HOLES IN AND ADJACENT TO THE EASTERN PART OF THE KAYCEE 30' x 60' QUADRANGLE, WYOMING

EXPLANATION FOR FIGURE 1

- TERTIARY AND YOUNGER ROCKS
- CRETACEOUS AND OLDER ROCKS
- DRILL HOLE LOCATION AND INDEX NUMBER
- CROSS-SECTION LINE AND REFERENCE LETTER
- BOUNDARY OF SUSSEX COAL FIELD
- NORMAL FAULT—U, upthrown side; D, downthrown side
- Dashed where approximately located
- Contact—Dashed where approximately located

WYOMING INDEX MAP

Scale: 1:100,000
Vertical Scale: Feet and Meters
Horizontal Scale: Miles and Kilometers

EXPLANATION FOR FENCE DIAGRAM

- SANDSTONE
- COAL
- LITHOLOGY OTHER THAN SANDSTONE OR COAL
- CONTACT
- DRILL HOLE INDEX NUMBER AND POSITION OF DRILL HOLE PROJECTED ONTO CROSS-SECTION PANEL—Thickness between vertical ticks at top and bottom of cross-section panels are to scale. See table 1 for drill hole identification, coal bed thickness, and elevation of coal bed's upper surface.
- CROSS-SECTION REFERENCE LETTER

Vertical Scale: Feet and Meters
Horizontal Scale: Miles and Kilometers

INTRODUCTION

The study area is located on the western bank of the Powder River Basin, Wyo. in parts of three 30' x 60' quadrangles: the eastern part of the Kaycee quadrangle, the southeastern part of the Buffalo quadrangle, and the westernmost part of the Reno-Junction quadrangle (fig. 1). Geophysical log interpretations reveal the presence of as many as 13 coal beds in the upper part of the Fort Union Formation. Eleven of these coal beds coalesce eastward to form one bed more than 135 ft thick. The Sussex coal zone, named after the town of Sussex, Wyo. and outcropping along the northeast margin of the Sussex coal field, is designated here as the thick family of coal beds and rock strata that includes a thick subbituminous coal bed in the eastern part of the study area (see drill hole 20-12 on fence diagram). Individual coal beds have not been named because they have only recently been studied and correlated in the subsurface.

Geophysical logs of more than 150 oil and gas test holes and uranium exploration holes penetrate the coal-bearing upper Fort Union Formation in the study area. The logs of 53 of the holes (table 1), selected on the basis of location, size of logs recorded, and interval recorded, were used in this study. Holes were chosen at locations that would best illustrate variations within the Sussex coal zone and that would minimize log gaps between drill hole locations. A suite of logs consisting of natural gamma, normal and lateral resistivity, and conductivity logs was found to be best suited for determining lithology; thus, drill holes having this suite of logs were preferred to holes having a different suite of logs. The interval recorded was important because many oil and gas logs did not record the coal-bearing interval.

The fence diagram shows the lateral relationship and thickness of coal and sandstone beds within the Sussex coal zone as well as of beds immediately above and below the zone. In constructing the fence diagram, the thickness of each coal and sandstone bed was plotted to scale at each data point (represented by a tick mark at the top and bottom of the cross-section panels), reducing the geometric distortion of bed thicknesses inherent in fence diagrams.

stream flow (panels C-D and B-F, D). One such change in well illustrated in drill hole 20-13 of panel F, where almost 300 ft of channel sand was deposited from a major stream on top of a thick blanket of peat. Following deposition of channel sand, the swamp redeveloped over the sand and peat accumulation continued. This peat-sand-peat cycle produced an envelope of coal enclosing the channel sandstone unit: two beds totaling more than 100 ft of coal are separated by almost 300 ft of sandstone. Less than 2 ft of sandstone in hole 20-12, interpreted as peat accumulation, accounted for the development of one coal bed more than 135 ft thick.

The fence diagram illustrates the many changes that took place within the coal-forming swamp in Paleocene time. At the eastern edge of the map area, representing the depositional center of the swamp, peat accumulation was continuous. Westward, at the distal part of the swamp, peat accumulation was interrupted many times. Coal in drill hole 13-14 of panel B, if fragments of discontinuous accumulation of peat at the distal part of the swamp where the edge of the swamp migrated back and forth, causing the development of the 11 coal beds that make up the Sussex coal zone. Drill hole 20-12 of panel F is located well within what was the swamp interior, where the more than 135 ft of coal present is a product of continuous peat accumulation.

The development of a thick and widespread coal bed(s) would seem to require some additional mechanism when compared to depositional factors involved in the development of coal beds of lesser thickness and lateral extent. One such possible mechanism is the "teeterboard" theory proposed by J. H. Van Couvering (1961) to explain other thick, widespread coal deposits in the Powder River Basin. According to the "teeterboard" theory, subsidence on the west side of the basin and uplift of the east side would provide favorable conditions for widespread development of thick coal deposits somewhere in the basin interior. The theory is based on the assumption that "a western area is subsiding while an eastern area is being uplifted, some fault(s) area (between them) is in dynamic equilibrium." The fault(s) along the eastern part of the study area, and the area adjacent to it, would provide a stable platform for the development of thick coal. The further away from the fault(s), the less stable the area would be, resulting in the development of thinner coal beds.

GEOLOGIC DISCUSSION

The Sussex coal zone lies within the upper 1,000 ft of the Paleocene Fort Union Formation; the upper Fort Union is believed to be equivalent to what has been mapped as the Tongue River Member in the northern part of the Powder River Basin. The Fort Union Formation contains normally overlying the Cretaceous Lance Formation and is apparently conformably overlain by the Eocene Wasatch Formation. The coal-bearing rocks of the upper Fort Union Formation crop out along Pine Ridge (fig. 1), a prominent physiographic feature east of Kaycee, Wyo. The coal-bearing rocks strike parallel to Pine Ridge and dip to steeply as 25° on Pine Ridge, but flatten out to less than 1° a short distance northeast of the ridge.

The coal-bearing interval consists of alternating, shaly, claystone, carbonaceous shale, and coal beds. The sandstone is very light gray to yellowish gray and contains scum and ill features, and multiple troughs with small to medium scale, cross-bedded, conchoidal, brown, erosion-resistant, ferruginous sandstone beds form fan-like type features on the dip slope of Pine Ridge. During deposition of the upper Fort Union Formation in middle to late Paleocene time, subsidence occurred along the north-trending axis of the Powder River Basin, Wyo., east of Sussex, Wyo. Thick beds of sandstone were deposited in the basin as uplift and erosion of surrounding highlands continued. The thick accumulations of coal in the Sussex coal zone were formed in backswamps along large northeast-flowing streams (Galoway, 1979; Ethridge and others, 1981; Flores, 1980, 1983).

Nonetheless, streamflow can be inferred from the fence diagram by comparing the intervals between the coal beds shown in the cross-section panels. Cross-section panels that parallel streamflow (panels E-F-G-I-H and B-C) show a parallel to subparallel relationship between coal beds and only subtle, gradual changes in the thickness of the interbeds. In contrast, the changes in coal-bed intervals are dramatic and closely spaced in cross-section panels perpendicular to

REFERENCES CITED

Curry, W. H., III, 1971, Late Paleozoic structural history of the Powder River Basin, Wyoming, in Symposium on Wyoming geology and their economic significance, Wyoming Geological Association, Annual Field Conference, 23rd, Casper, Wyo., 1971, Guidebook, p. 49-60.

Ethridge, F. G., Jackson, T. J., and Youngberg, A. D., 1983, Fluvial sequence of a fine-grained meanderbelt subsystem—The coal-bearing lower Wasatch and Upper Fort Union Formations, southern Powder River Basin, Wyoming, in Ethridge, F. G., and Flores, R. M., eds., Recent and ancient nonmarine depositional environments: Models for exploration, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Special Publication No. 31, p. 191-209.

Flores, R. M., 1980, Fluvial coal settings of the Tongue River Member of the Fort Union Formation in the Powder River Basin, Wyoming, in Clark, G. B., ed., Coalbeds and their geology of the Powder River Coal Basin, Wyoming, Geological Survey of Wyoming, Public Information Circular No. 14, p. 71-96.

———, 1983, Basin analysis of coal-rich Tertiary fluvial deposits in northern Powder River Basin, Montana and Wyoming, in Colborn, J. D., and Lewis, J., eds., Modern and ancient fluvial systems, International Association of Sedimentologists Special Publication No. 6, p. 6.

Galoway, W. E., 1979, Early Tertiary Wyoming intermontane basins, in Galoway, W. E., Keeler, C. W., and McGowan, J. H., eds., Depositional and ground-water flow systems in the exploration for uranium—A research colloquium, Austin, Texas, The University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology, p. 197-213.

Horn, G. H., 1965, Geologic and structure map of the Sussex and Meadow Creek fields and vicinity, Johnson and Natrona Counties, Wyoming, U.S. Geological Survey Oil and Gas Investigations Map OM-164, scale 1:53,680.

FENCE DIAGRAM SHOWING COAL BED CORRELATIONS WITHIN THE UPPER PART OF THE FORT UNION FORMATION, IN AND ADJACENT TO THE EASTERN PART OF THE KAYCEE 30' x 60' QUADRANGLE, JOHNSON AND CAMPBELL COUNTIES, WYOMING

By
J. K. Hardie and B. S. Van Gosen
1986