Prepared in cooperation with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources

Quality of Surface Water in Missouri, Water Year 2020

Data Report 1153

U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey

Cover: Photograph showing the Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois, taken by John Schumacher, U.S. Geological Survey.

Quality of Surface Water in Missouri, Water Year 2020

By Camille E. Buckley

Prepared in cooperation with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources

Data Report 1153

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia: 2022

For more information on the USGS—the Federal source for science about the Earth, its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment—visit https://www.usgs.gov or call 1–888–ASK–USGS.

For an overview of USGS information products, including maps, imagery, and publications, visit https://store.usgs.gov/.

Suggested citation:
Buckley, C.E., 2022, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2020: U.S. Geological Survey Data Report 1153, 24 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/dr1153.

Associated data for this publication:
U.S. Geological Survey, 2020, USGS water data for the Nation: U.S. Geological Survey National Water Information System database, https://doi.org/10.5066/F7P55KJN.

ISSN 2771-9448 (online)

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the Missouri Department of Natural Resources for providing technical guidance throughout the report series. The author would like to acknowledge the staff from the U.S. Geological Survey Central Midwest Water Science Center who supported the project by assisting with data collection, administration, and technical reviews.

Contents

Figures

Tables

Conversion Factors

U.S. customary units to the International System of Units

Multiply	By	To obtain
	Length	
inch (in.)	2.54	centimeter (cm)
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer (km)
	Area	
square mile (mi^2)	2.590	square kilometer (km^2)
	Flow rate	
cubic foot per second (ft^3/s)	0.02832	cubic meter per second (m^3/s)

Temperature in degrees Celsius (°C) may be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) as follows:

$$°F = (1.8×°C)+32$$

Datum

Horizontal coordinate information is referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83).

Supplemental Information

Specific conductance is given in microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius (µS/cm at 25 °C).

Density population of bacteria is given in colonies per 100 milliliters (col/100 mL) of water.

Concentrations of chemical constituents in water are given either in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or micrograms per liter (µg/L).

A water year is the period from October 1 to September 30 and is designated by the year in which it ends; for example, water year 2020 was from October 1, 2019, to September 30, 2020.

Abbreviations

ag	agriculture
AWQMN	Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network
DLDQC	detection limit by DQCALC
DTPL	Dissected Till Plains
E. coli	*Escherichia coli*
fo	forest
LRL	laboratory reporting level
LT–MDL	long-term method detection limit
MDNR	Missouri Department of Natural Resources
MIALPL	Mississippi Alluvial Plain
MRL	minimum reporting level
NWIS	National Water Information System
NWQL	National Water Quality Laboratory
NWQN	National Water Quality Network
OSPL	Osage Plains
OZPLSA	Ozark Plateaus—Salem Plateau
OZPLSP	Ozark Plateaus—Springfield Plateau
pr	prairie
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
wi	watershed indicator

Quality of Surface Water in Missouri, Water Year 2020

By Camille E. Buckley

Abstract

The U.S. Geological Survey, in cooperation with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, monitors stations designed for the Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network, a collection of stations that monitor streams and springs in Missouri. During water year 2020 (October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020), the U.S. Geological Survey collected water-quality data at 72 stations: 70 Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring stations and 2 U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Network stations. Among the stations in this report, four stations have data from additional sampling completed in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Water-quality analyses are provided for dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, water temperature, suspended solids, suspended sediment, *Escherichia coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria, dissolved nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen, total phosphorus, dissolved and total recoverable lead and zinc, and selected pesticide compounds. Monitoring stations have been classified based on the physiographic province or primary land use in the watershed or based on the unique hydrologic characteristics of the waterbodies (springs, large rivers) monitored. A summary of hydrologic conditions including peak streamflows, monthly mean streamflows, and 7-day low flows also are provided for representative streamgages in the State.

Introduction

In the State of Missouri, implementation of the Federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq.) is the responsibility of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). Section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act requires that each State develop a water-quality monitoring program and periodically generate a report providing a description of the water quality of all navigable waters in the State (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1997). Water-quality status is described in terms of the suitability of these navigable waters for various uses, such as drinking, fishing, swimming, and supporting aquatic life. These uses formally were defined as “designated uses” in State and Federal regulations. Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires States to identify impaired waters and determine the total maximum daily loads of contaminants that can be present in waterbodies and still meet applicable water-quality standards for their designated uses (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2019). A total maximum daily load addresses a single contaminant for each waterbody.

Missouri has an area of about 69,000 square miles and an estimated population of 6.15 million people as of 2020 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). Within Missouri, 115,701 miles (mi) of classified streams support a variety of uses including wildlife, recreation, agriculture, industry, transportation, and public utilities. About 104,667 mi (90.9 percent) of classified streams were evaluated in the State’s most recent water-quality report, although only 10,482 mi (10 percent) were considered monitored and had adequate data within the past 7 years (Missouri Department of Natural Resources, 2020). Of all monitored streams, an estimated 4,898 mi (4.7 percent) of classified streams fully support the designated uses, an estimated 5,574 mi (5.3 percent) are impaired, and the remaining stream miles were unassessed or did not have recent data within the past 5 years. Impairments may be caused by various physical changes or chemical contaminants leading to the inability of the waterbody to meet the criteria for at least one of the designated uses (Missouri Department of Natural Resources, 2020).

The purpose of this report is to summarize surface-water-quality data collected for the MDNR–U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) cooperative Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network (AWQMN) for water year 2020 (October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020). The annual summary of data for selected constituents provides the MDNR with current information to assess the quality of surface water within the State. This report is one in a series of annual summaries (Otero-Benitez and Davis, 2009a, b; Barr, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2014, 2015; Barr and Schneider, 2014; Barr and Heimann, 2016; Barr and Bartels, 2018, 2019; Kay, 2019, 2021). Data on the physical characteristics and water-quality constituents in samples collected during water year 2020 are provided in figures and tables for 72 surface-water stations throughout the State.

The Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network

As part of the Missouri AWQMN, the USGS, in cooperation with the MDNR, collects surface-water-quality data to assess water resources in Missouri each water year. The MDNR and the USGS established the fixed-station AWQMN in 1964 with 18 stations, 5 of which were still being sampled during water year 2020. The number and location of AWQMN stations since 1964 have varied as the State's needs have changed. Data collected at the 72 AWQMN stations during water year 2020 are stored and maintained in the USGS National Water Information System database (NWIS; U.S. Geological Survey, 2020). These data are a permanent source of accessible, accurate, impartial, and timely information.

The AWQMN data provide an understanding of the State's current water resources, including spatial and temporal trends of the water resources. Historical surface-water-quality data have been published annually in the Water-Data Report series since water year 1964 and can be accessed at https://wdr.water.usgs.gov/ (U.S. Geological Survey, 2006b–2010). Beginning in water year 2011, discrete water-quality data were no longer published annually but can be accessed in the NWIS database (U.S. Geological Survey, 2020).

The objectives of the AWQMN are to (1) obtain sufficient data to provide an accurate representation of the quality and quantity of surface water throughout the State; (2) provide a database of water-quality data accessible by the public and government agencies; and (3) provide consistent methodology in data collection, laboratory analysis, and data reporting, allowing for accurate comparison of data between sites and through time. Constituent concentration data from the AWQMN have been used to determine the statewide water-quality status, to identify trends in water quality over 15 years (Barr and Davis, 2010), and to identify anthropogenic effects (mining, agriculture, urban) on water resources (Missouri Department of Natural Resources, 2019). These data are critical to meeting information needs of the public and Federal, State, and local agencies involved in water-quality planning and management. The data provided support the design, implementation, and evaluation of preventive and remediation programs.

Samples were collected from the 72 primary AWQMN stations; no alternate sampling sites were needed for the water year 2020 sampling schedule. Sampling frequency at each station is determined by several factors: drainage basin size, anthropogenic activities (such as agriculture, mining, and urban), volatility of chemical conditions through time, request for annual data, and cost. Each of the streams in the AWQMN is classified for one or more designated uses. For specific information on the designated uses applicable to the streams sampled in the AWQMN, refer to Missouri Department of Natural Resources (2019, 2020).

Constituents collected within the AWQMN have been established by the MDNR based on their data needs at each station. Samples were collected by USGS personnel; collection methods and techniques followed USGS protocols (U.S. Geological Survey, 2006a). Onsite measurements of dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, and water temperature were collected at each station according to procedures described in Wilde (variously dated). Water samples were collected and processed for fecal indicator bacteria (*Escherichia coli* [*E. coli*] and fecal coliform) densities using the membrane filtration procedure described in Myers and others (2014). Methods from the USGS (U.S. Geological Survey, 2006a), Guy (1969), Wilde and others (2002), and Sandstrom and Wilde (2014) were used by the USGS to collect and process representative samples for analyses of nutrients, primary chemical constituents, trace elements, suspended solids, suspended sediment, and pesticides. All laboratory analyses were done by the USGS National Water Quality Laboratory (NWQL) in Lakewood, Colorado, according to procedures described in Garbarino and others (2006), Fishman (1993), Patton and Kryskalla (2011), Patton and Truitt (1992), Sandstrom and others (2001, 2015), and Zaugg and others (1995). Suspended-sediment concentrations were analyzed at the Central Midwest Water Science Center Sediment Laboratory in Rolla, Missouri, and processed and computed according to procedures described in Guy (1969).

In addition to the surface-water-quality data collected for the AWQMN, data collected as part of other cooperative efforts are included in this report to improve the summary of water-quality conditions for the State. Additional data-collection efforts include water samples collected by the USGS at two USGS National Water Quality Network (NWQN, a national water-quality sampling network operated by the USGS) stations and suspended-sediment samples collected at four USGS streamgages on the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers (not shown). The suspended-sediment samples are collected in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers as part of a larger monitoring effort. The suspended-sediment concentration data in this report are provided for comparison to the State's total suspended-solids criteria and consist of composited cross-sectional concentrations and mean cross-sectional concentrations computed from five depth-integrated samples within the cross section (Edwards and Glysson, 1999).

The unique eight-digit number used by the USGS to identify each surface-water station is assigned when a station is first established. The eight-digit number for each station includes a two-digit prefix that designates the primary river system (05 is the upper Mississippi River, 06 is the Missouri River, and 07 is the lower Mississippi River) plus a six-digit downstream-order number; for example, the station number 05587455 indicates the station is in the upper Mississippi River system (05), and the remaining six digits (587455) indicate the location of the station in downstream order. In this system, the station numbers increase downstream along the main stem. A station on a tributary that enters between two main stem stations is assigned a station number between the numbers on the main stem.

The total planned number of samples at all sites in the AWQMN may not have been collected during water year 2020. The 2019 novel coronavirus global pandemic, as identified by the World Health Organization (World Health Organization, 2022), continued during water year 2020 and impeded the timely sampling of the AWQMN stations. Scheduled sampling between March and midsummer 2020 was most affected, and some sampling trips were canceled because of safety requirements within the USGS. Every effort was made to collect the required number of samples at all AWQMN stations, and in some cases, additional makeup samples were collected in the remaining months of water year 2020. A summary of collected versus planned samples is provided in table 1.

Laboratory Reporting Conventions

The USGS NWQL uses method reporting conventions (Foreman and others, 2021) to establish the minimum concentration for which more than one quantitative measurement can be made. These reporting conventions are the minimum reporting level (MRL), the laboratory reporting level (LRL), the detection limit by DQCALC software (DLDQC), the reporting level by DQCALC software, and the detection limit by blank data. The MRL is defined by the NWQL as the smallest measured concentration of a substance that can be measured reliably using a given analytical method. The DLDQC is the lowest concentration of a substance that, with 90-percent confidence, will not exceed a blank sample concentration more than 1 percent of the time. The reporting level by DQCALC software is equal to two times the DLDQC or more, and the chance for a false positive is less than 1 percent. The detection limit by blank data is the lowest concentration that will not be exceeded more than 1 percent of the time. A long-term method detection limit (LT–MDL) is a detection level obtained by determining the standard deviation of 24 or more method detection limit spiked-sample measurements for an extended period. The LRL is computed as twice the LT–MDL.

Surface-Water-Quality Data Analysis Methods

The distribution of data for selected constituents is shown graphically using side-by-side boxplots (box and whiskers distributions). The plots show the center of the data (median, the center line of the boxplot), the variation (interquartile range [25th to 75th percentiles] or the height of the box), the skewness (quartile skew, which is the relative size of the box halves), the spread (upper and lower adjacent values are the vertical lines or whiskers and represent 1.5 times the interquartile range greater than the 75th and less than the 25th percentiles), and the presence or absence of unusual values or outliers (denoted by open circles). If the median equals the 25th and 75th percentiles, the boxplot is represented by a single horizontal line. Boxplots with censored data (suspended solids, dissolved nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen, total phosphorus, and dissolved and total recoverable lead and zinc) were modified by making the lower limit of the box equal to the MRL or method detection limit, as appropriate. All data collected from the stations during water year 2020 were obtained from the NWIS database (U.S. Geological Survey, 2020). These data can be compiled, by the public, from NWIS using search criteria such as the USGS station number and the desired date range (October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020).

Pesticide concentrations in some samples were detected at concentrations less than the LRL. The concentrations of compounds detected at less than the LRL are reported as estimated because of the uncertainty in quantifying the concentration at such low levels by the analytical method used. The reported value of the estimated concentration was used when these data were subjected to statistical analysis for consistency with previous reports. As a result, some pesticides had minimum or median concentrations that were less than the LRL.

Table 1. U.S. Geological Survey station number, name, contributing drainage area, sampling frequency, station class, and station type for selected surface-water-quality monitoring stations in Missouri, water year 2020.

[Water year 2020 is defined as October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020. USGS, U.S. Geological Survey; mi², square mile; DTPL, Dissected Till Plains; ag, agriculture; BRMIG, Big River—Mississippi River below Grafton, Illinois; wi, watershed indicator; BRMOSJ, Big River—Missouri River at St. Joseph, Missouri; BRMOS, Big River—Missouri River at Sibley, Missouri; MINING, mining; other—station does not fit into any category; OSPL, Osage Plains; pr, prairie; OZPLSP, Ozark Plateaus—Springfield Plateau; fo, forest; OZPLSA, Ozark Plateaus—Salem Plateau; --, not applicable; SPRING, spring; BRMOH, Big River—Missouri River at Hermann, Missouri; URBAN, urban; BRMIT, Big River—Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois; MIALPL, Mississippi Alluvial Plain]

USGS station number (figs. 1 and 3)	Station name[a]	Contributing drainage area (mi²)	Water year 2020 sampling frequency—collected/planned	Station class and type (fig. 1; table 2)
05495000	Fox River at Wayland, Missouri	400	4/6	DTPL ag
05496000	Wyaconda River above Canton, Missouri	393	4/6	DTPL ag
05497150	North Fabius River near Ewing, Missouri	471	4/6	DTPL ag
05500000	South Fabius River near Taylor, Missouri	620	7/12	DTPL ag
05514500[b]	Cuivre River near Troy, Missouri	903	3/6	other
05587455[c]	Mississippi River below Grafton, Illinois	171,300	11/14	BRMIG
06817700	Nodaway River near Graham, Missouri	1,520	5/6	DTPL wi ag
06818000[c]	Missouri River at St. Joseph, Missouri	426,500	11/12	BRMOSJ
06821190	Platte River at Sharps Station, Missouri	2,380	6/6	DTPL wi ag
06894100	Missouri River at Sibley, Missouri	426,500	12/12	BRMOS
06896187	Middle Fork Grand River near Grant City, Missouri	82.4	5/6	DTPL ag
06898100	Thompson River at Mount Moriah, Missouri	891	5/6	DTPL ag
06898800	Weldon River near Princeton, Missouri	452	5/6	DTPL ag
06899580	No Creek near Dunlap, Missouri	34	11/12	DTPL ag
06899950	Medicine Creek near Harris, Missouri	192	11/12	DTPL ag
06900100	Little Medicine Creek near Harris, Missouri	66.5	11/12	DTPL ag
06900900	Locust Creek near Unionville, Missouri	77.5	11/12	DTPL ag
06902000	Grand River near Sumner, Missouri	6,880	7/12	DTPL wi ag
06905500	Chariton River near Prairie Hill, Missouri	1,870	4/6	DTPL wi ag
06905725	Mussel Fork near Mystic, Missouri	24	11/12	DTPL ag
06906300	East Fork Little Chariton River near Huntsville, Missouri	220	4/6	MINING
06907300[b]	Lamine River near Pilot Grove, Missouri	949	5/9	other
06917630	East Drywood Creek at Prairie State Park, Missouri	3.38	2/6	OSPL pr
06918070	Osage River above Schell City, Missouri	5,410	4/6	OSPL wi ag
06918600	Little Sac River near Walnut Grove, Missouri	119	8/12	OZPLSP ag/fo
06921070	Pomme de Terre River near Polk, Missouri	276	5/9	OZPLSA fo/ag
06921590	South Grand River at Archie, Missouri	356	6/6	OSPL ag
06923700	Niangua River at Bennett Spring, Missouri	441	4/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
06926510	Osage River below St. Thomas, Missouri	14,580	5/6	OZPLSA wi fo/ag
06927850	Osage Fork of the Gasconade River near Lebanon, Missouri	43.6	4/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
06928440	Roubidoux Spring at Waynesville, Missouri	--	5/6	SPRING

Table 1. U.S. Geological Survey station number, name, contributing drainage area, sampling frequency, station class, and station type for selected surface-water-quality monitoring stations in Missouri, water year 2020.—Continued

[Water year 2020 is defined as October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020. USGS, U.S. Geological Survey; mi^2, square mile; DTPL, Dissected Till Plains; ag, agriculture; BRMIG, Big River—Mississippi River below Grafton, Illinois; wi, watershed indicator; BRMOSJ, Big River—Missouri River at St. Joseph, Missouri; BRMOS, Big River—Missouri River at Sibley, Missouri; MINING, mining; other—station does not fit into any category; OSPL, Osage Plains; pr, prairie; OZPLSP, Ozark Plateaus—Springfield Plateau; fo, forest; OZPLSA, Ozark Plateaus—Salem Plateau; --, not applicable; SPRING, spring; BRMOH, Big River—Missouri River at Hermann, Missouri; URBAN, urban; BRMIT, Big River—Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois; MIALPL, Mississippi Alluvial Plain]

USGS station number (figs. 1 and 3)	Station name[a]	Contributing drainage area (mi^2)	Water year 2020 sampling frequency—collected/planned	Station class and type (fig. 1; table 2)
06930450	Big Piney River at Devil's Elbow, Missouri	746	7/9	OZPLSA fo/ag
06930800	Gasconade River above Jerome	2,570	10/12	OZPLSA wi fo/ag
06934500[c,d]	Missouri River at Hermann, Missouri	522,500	14/14	BRMOH
07014000	Huzzah Creek near Steelville, Missouri	259	6/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07014200	Courtois Creek at Berryman, Missouri	173	6/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07014500	Meramec River near Sullivan, Missouri	1,475	9/12	OZPLSA wi fo/ag
07016400	Bourbeuse River above Union, Missouri	808	7/9	OZPLSA fo/ag
07018100	Big River near Richwoods, Missouri	735	6/9	MINING
07019280	Meramec River at Paulina Hills, Missouri	3,920	8/12	URBAN wi
07020550	South Fork Saline Creek near Perryville, Missouri	55.3	3/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07021020	Castor River at Greenbriar, Missouri	423	3/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07022000[c,d]	Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois	713,200	12/14	BRMIT
07036100	St. Francis River near Saco, Missouri	664	7/9	OZPLSA fo/ag
07037300	Big Creek at Sam A. Baker State Park, Missouri	189	5/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07042450	St. Johns Ditch at Henderson Mound, Missouri	313	4/9	MIALPL
07046250	Little River Ditches near Rives, Missouri	1,620	7/12	MIALPL
07050150	Roaring River Spring at Cassville, Missouri	--	2/6	OZPLSP ag/fo
07052152	Wilson Creek near Brookline, Missouri	51	11/12	URBAN
07052160	Wilson Creek near Battlefield, Missouri	58	12/12	URBAN
07052250	James River near Boaz, Missouri	462	6/6	URBAN
07052345	Finley Creek below Riverdale, Missouri	261	10/12	OZPLSP ag/fo
07052500	James River at Galena, Missouri	987	10/12	URBAN
07052820	Flat Creek below Jenkins, Missouri	274	9/12	OZPLSP ag/fo
07053700[b]	Lake Taneycomo at Branson, Missouri	--	6/6	other
07053900	Swan Creek near Swan, Missouri	148	4/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07057500	North Fork River near Tecumseh, Missouri	561	5/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07057750	Bryant Creek below Evans, Missouri	214	5/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07061600	Black River below Annapolis, Missouri	493	5/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07066110	Jacks Fork above Two River, Missouri	425	10/12	OZPLSA fo/ag
07067500	Big Spring near Van Buren, Missouri	--	3/4	SPRING
07068000	Current River at Doniphan, Missouri	2,040	7/12	OZPLSA wi fo/ag
07068510	Little Black River below Fairdealing, Missouri	194	4/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07071000	Greer Spring at Greer, Missouri	--	2/4	SPRING

Table 1. U.S. Geological Survey station number, name, contributing drainage area, sampling frequency, station class, and station type for selected surface-water-quality monitoring stations in Missouri, water year 2020.—Continued

[Water year 2020 is defined as October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020. USGS, U.S. Geological Survey; mi², square mile; DTPL, Dissected Till Plains; ag, agriculture; BRMIG, Big River—Mississippi River below Grafton, Illinois; wi, watershed indicator; BRMOSJ, Big River—Missouri River at St. Joseph, Missouri; BRMOS, Big River—Missouri River at Sibley, Missouri; MINING, mining; other—station does not fit into any category; OSPL, Osage Plains; pr, prairie; OZPLSP, Ozark Plateaus—Springfield Plateau; fo, forest; OZPLSA, Ozark Plateaus—Salem Plateau; --, not applicable; SPRING, spring; BRMOH, Big River—Missouri River at Hermann, Missouri; URBAN, urban; BRMIT, Big River—Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois; MIALPL, Mississippi Alluvial Plain]

USGS station number (figs. 1 and 3)	Station name[a]	Contributing drainage area (mi^2)	Water year 2020 sampling frequency—collected/planned	Station class and type (fig. 1; table 2)
07071500	Eleven Point River near Bardley, Missouri	793	4/6	OZPLSA fo/ag
07185764	Spring River above Carthage, Missouri	425	6/12	OZPLSP ag/fo
07186480	Center Creek near Smithfield, Missouri	303	3/12	MINING
07186600	Turkey Creek near Joplin, Missouri	41.8	3/9	URBAN
07187000	Shoal Creek above Joplin, Missouri	427	6/12	OZPLSP ag/fo
07188838	Little Sugar Creek near Pineville, Missouri	195	6/12	OZPLSP ag/fo
07189000	Elk River near Tiff City, Missouri	872	8/12	OZPLSP ag/fo
07189100	Buffalo Creek at Tiff City, Missouri	60.8	6/12	OZPLSP ag/fo

[a]Station names were obtained from the USGS National Water Information System database (U.S. Geological Survey, 2020).

[b]Station data are not included in this report because this station does not fit within the classification system used for this report.

[c]Additional water temperature and suspended-sediment samples were collected at this station in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

[d]Stations 06934500 and 07022000 are not part of the Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network but are included in this report. The USGS National Water Quality Network funds these two stations.

Station Classification for Data Analysis

The stations used in this report are located throughout the State (fig. 1) and monitor watersheds with a variety of geologic settings, land uses (fig. 2), and unique hydrologic systems. Most of the stations were grouped into first-order classifications according to the physiographic region (Fenneman, 1938; fig. 1) or the primary land use in the watershed monitored by the station (fig. 2). The remaining stations were grouped into first-order classifications according to the unique hydrologic characteristics of the waterbody they monitor (fig. 1).

The physiography-based stations monitor watersheds in the Dissected Till Plains (DTPL) in the north, the Osage Plains (OSPL) in the west-central region, the Mississippi Alluvial Plain (MIALPL) in the southeast, the Ozark Plateaus—Salem Plateau (OZPLSA) in the middle of the State, and the Ozark Plateaus—Springfield Plateau (OZPLSP) in the southwest (fig. 1). Water quality at the stations classified by physiography is expected to be substantially affected by natural chemical processes, including interactions with the geologic and biologic media.

Stations classified by the primary land use monitor watersheds with substantial amounts of mining (MINING) or urban (URBAN) land use. These stations are grouped separately from the physiography-based stations to assess the effects of mining and urban land use on water quality.

Stations classified based on the unique hydrologic characteristics of the waterbodies they monitor refer to springs (SPRING) and the stations on the Mississippi River (BRMIG and BRMIT) and the Missouri River (BRMOSJ, BRMOS, and BRMOH). Stations on the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers are referred to as the “Big River stations” (fig. 1) in this report. Water chemistry at the SPRING stations is expected to differ from the other stations because the SPRING stations reflect the chemistry of the groundwater source. Water chemistry at the Big River stations is expected to differ from other stations because of the large size of the watersheds they monitor.

Each station that was classified by physiographic province was further subdivided into second-order classifications (referred to as “station type” in table 1). Second-order classifications were based on contributing drainage area or land use within the watershed monitored by the station (figs. 1, 2; table 2). The second-order classifications include watershed indicator (wi) stations and land-use indicators. Stations with the wi classification are the most downstream stations in a watershed having a drainage area greater than 1,000 square miles. Water-quality data obtained from wi stations can be interpreted as being representative of the general condition of the watershed. Land-use indicator stations include stations where forest (fo), agriculture (ag), or prairie (pr) is the predominate land use in the watershed upstream from the station. Water quality at land-use indicator stations is likely to be affected by a specific land use. When stations were in

Figure 1. Physiographic regions of Missouri and location and class of selected surface-water-quality monitoring stations, water year 2020.



Figure 2. Land use in Missouri.

watersheds where multiple land uses were present, the convention was to mention them in predominant order. The agriculture and forest (ag/fo) land-use indicator, for example, implies that the primary land use of the watershed is agriculture, although a substantial part of the land use is forest (fig. 2).

Three stations from the AWQMN did not fit in the station classifications used in this report (classified as "other" in table 2), and sampling results from these sites are not included. The three excluded stations were Cuivre River near Troy, Mo. (05514500), and Lamine River near Pilot Grove, Mo. (06907300), in areas of transitional physiography and possible backwater flow from nearby major rivers, and Lake Taneycomo at Branson, Mo. (07053700), a station on a semi-riverine system downstream from a major impoundment.

Table 2. Station classes and number of stations in each class and type for Missouri, water year 2020.

[Classification system is based on physiography of the State, primary and secondary land use and coverage, unique station type, and drainage area, as well as a station's representativeness of the general condition of the watershed. See the "Station Classification for Data Analysis" section of this report for the full explanation of station classes and types]

Station class and type (fig. 1)		Number of stations (table 1)[a]
Abbreviation	Definition	
BRMIG	Big River—Mississippi River below Grafton, Illinois	1
BRMIT[b]	Big River—Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois	1
BRMOSJ	Big River—Missouri River at St. Joseph, Missouri	1
BRMOS	Big River—Missouri River at Sibley, Missouri	1
BRMOH[b]	Big River—Missouri River at Hermann, Missouri	1
MIALPL	Mississippi Alluvial Plain	2[c]
OZPLSA fo/ag	Ozark Plateaus—Salem Plateau forest and agriculture	18
OZPLSA wi fo/ag	Ozark Plateaus—Salem Plateau watershed indicator, forest and agriculture	4
OZPLSP ag/fo	Ozark Plateaus—Springfield Plateau agriculture and forest	9
DTPL ag	Dissected Till Plains agriculture	12
DTPL wi ag	Dissected Till Plains watershed indicator, agriculture	4
OSPL ag	Osage Plains agriculture	1
OSPL wi ag	Osage Plains watershed indicator, agriculture	1
OSPL pr	Osage Plains prairie	1
SPRING	Springs	3
MINING	Mining	3
OTHER	Station not classified because of unique conditions; data not analyzed	3
URBAN	Urban	5
URBAN wi	Urban watershed indicator	1

[a]Only primary sampling stations listed in table 1 are included in this analysis. Alternate stations are omitted.

[b]Stations BRMIT and BRMOH are not part of the Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network but were used in this report. Stations BRMIT and BRMOH are funded by the USGS National Water Quality Monitoring Program.

[c]One station in this class, Little River Ditches near Rives, Missouri (07046250), has a drainage area greater than 1,000 square miles but is not considered a watershed indicator station because the human-made canals and ditches within its drainage area are not connected hydrologically.

Hydrologic Conditions

Streamflow varies seasonally in Missouri and tends to reflect precipitation patterns and land uses (Slater and Villarini, 2017). During water year 2020, the mean annual precipitation of the conterminous United States was 31.89 inches (in.), which is 1.95 in. greater than the 20th century mean (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2021b). Total precipitation across Missouri during water year 2020 was 47.58 in., which is 7.08 in. greater than the 20th century precipitation mean for the State (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2021a).

Data from six streamgages were used to identify the variation in hydrologic conditions described in this report. These six stations were selected based on their geographical distribution across the State (fig. 3) and long period of available streamflow information. Each selected streamgage has a period of record of at least 48 years. This summary of statewide hydrologic condition data for the water year 2020 in comparison to historical conditions is a legacy of information, including the streamgages used, that was previously provided in the annual Water-Data Reports. The six selected streamgages are Fox River at Wayland, Mo. (05495000); Grand River near Gallatin, Mo. (06897500); South Grand River at Archie, Mo. (06921590); Gasconade River at Jerome, Mo. (06933500); James River at Galena, Mo. (07052500); and Current River at Van Buren, Mo. (07067000). Data from these stations were used to compare monthly mean streamflow during water year 2020 to the long-term monthly mean streamflow (fig. 4) and to demonstrate how streamflow can vary across the State. Monthly mean streamflow is the arithmetic mean of daily streamflow for a given month. For comparison to water year 2020, a long-term mean was attained from all monthly mean streamflows for the available period of record. It should be noted that the water year 2020 monthly mean streamflow is denoted by the continuous line plot and the long-term monthly mean streamflow is denoted by the bars in figure 4. This change was made to better show the data trends and match most of the previous reports, unlike previous water year reports for 2018 and 2019, which reversed the naming convention. Of these six streamgages, three (05495000, 06921590, and 07052500) are part of the AWQMN and three (06897500, 06933500, and 07067000) are not part of the AWQMN (table 1; figs. 3, 4). The water year 2020 monthly mean streamflow at station 05495000 exceeded the long-term monthly mean streamflow during 5 months of the water year. For station 06897500, the water year 2020 monthly mean streamflow exceeded the long-term monthly mean streamflow during 8 months of the water year. For station 06921590, the water year 2020 monthly mean streamflow exceeded the long-term monthly mean streamflow during 4 months of the water year. For stations 06933500 and 07052500, the water year 2020 monthly mean streamflow was greater than the long-term monthly mean streamflow for the first 9 months of the water year. For station 07067000, the water year 2020 monthly mean streamflow exceeded that of the long-term monthly mean streamflow (fig. 4).

Peak streamflow and 7-day low flow values (the smallest values of mean streamflow computed during any 7 consecutive days during the analysis period) for selected streamgages are provided in tables 3 and 4 for water year 2020. These tables include information on historic hydrologic conditions at the stations to provide context for the 2020 data. Peak streamflow during water year 2020 was less than the long-term period of record peak streamflow at every streamgage (table 3). The 7-day low flow and minimum daily mean streamflow recorded during water year 2020 were greater than the historical records for every station (table 4).



Figure 3. Location of selected streamgages used to provide a summary of hydrologic conditions in Missouri, water year 2020.



Figure 4. Monthly mean streamflow for water year 2020 and long-term monthly mean streamflow at six representative streamgages in Missouri.

Table 3. Peak streamflow for water year 2020 and periods of record for selected streamgages in Missouri.

[Water year 2020 is defined as October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020. USGS, U.S. Geological Survey; ft³/s, cubic foot per second]

USGS station number[a] (figs. 1 and 3)	Station name[b] (period of record in years)	Water year 2020		Long-term period of record	
		Peak streamflow (ft³/s)	Date	Peak streamflow (ft³/s)	Date
05495000	Fox River at Wayland, Missouri (1922–2019)	7,610	July 1, 2020	26,400	Apr. 22, 1973
05587450	Mississippi River at Grafton, Illinois (1933–2019)	304,000	Mar. 30, 2020	598,000	Aug. 1, 1993
06905500	Chariton River near Prairie Hill, Missouri (1929–2019)	22,400	May 29, 2020	43,300	May 31, 2019
06933500	Gasconade River at Jerome, Missouri (1903–2019)	48,600	Jan. 12, 2020	183,000	May 1, 2018
06934500	Missouri River at Hermann, Missouri (1928–2019)	274,000	May 30, 2020	750,000	July 31, 1993
07019000	Meramec River near Eureka, Missouri (1903–2019)	53,600	Jan. 14, 2020	175,000	Aug. 22, 1915
07022000	Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois (1933–2019)	583,000	Jan. 15, 2020	1,050,000	Jan. 2, 2016
07057500	North Fork River near Tecumseh, Missouri (1944–2019)	20,100	Mar. 20, 2020	141,000	Apr. 30, 2017
07068000	Current River at Doniphan, Missouri (1921–2019)	41,900	Mar. 21, 2020	171,000	May 1, 2017

[a]Stations 05587450, 06933500, and 07019000 are streamgages only and are not part of the Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network.

[b]Station names were obtained from the USGS National Water Information System database (U.S. Geological Survey, 2020).

Table 4. The 7-day low flow for water year 2020, period of record 7-day low flow, minimum daily mean streamflow for water year 2020, and period of record minimum daily mean streamflow for selected streamgages in Missouri.

[Water year 2020 is defined as October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020. USGS, U.S. Geological Survey; ft³/s, cubic foot per second]

USGS station number[a] (figs. 1 and 3)	Station name[b] (period of record in years)	7-day low flow (ft³/s)		Minimum daily mean streamflow (ft³/s)		
		Water year 2020	Period of record	Water year 2020	Period of record	Date
05495000	Fox River at Wayland, Missouri (1922–2019)	3.51	0.00	2.70	0.00	Sept. 10, 1930
06820500	Platte River near Agency, Missouri (1925–2019)	68.2	0.00	61.5	0.00	July 19, 1934
06921070	Pomme de Terre River near Polk, Missouri (1968–2019)	11.2	0.211	10.2	0.170	Aug. 13, 2012
07016500	Bourbeuse River near Union, Missouri (1921–2019)	77.3	13.0	65.8	12.0	Oct. 10, 1956
07067000	Current River at Van Buren, Missouri (1921–2019)	1,156	479.0	1,110	476	Oct. 8, 1956
07187000	Shoal Creek above Joplin, Missouri (1941–2019)	127.0	15.9	118.0	15.0	Sept. 7, 1954

[a]Stations 06820500, 07016500, and 07067000 are streamgages only and are not part of the Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network.

[b]Station names were obtained from the USGS National Water Information System database (U.S. Geological Survey, 2020).

Distribution, Concentration, and Detection Frequency of Selected Constituents

This report presents results for dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, water temperature, suspended solids, suspended sediment, *E. coli* bacteria, fecal coliform bacteria, dissolved nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen (hereafter referred to as "nitrate plus nitrite"), total phosphorus, dissolved and total recoverable lead and zinc, and selected pesticide compounds. Boxplots of these constituents are shown in figures 5–8 for the surface-water stations according to their classification.

Physical Properties, Suspended-Solids Concentration, Suspended-Sediment Concentration, and Fecal Indicator Bacteria Density

The physical properties analyzed for this report were dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, and water temperature. The median dissolved oxygen, in percent of saturation, ranged from 80 percent at the OSPL wi ag station to 107 percent at the URBAN stations (fig. 5). Median specific conductance values varied substantially among the station classes, ranging from 113 microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius at the OSPL pr station to 822 microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius at the BRMOSJ station. Median water temperature ranged from 6.9 degrees Celsius (°C) at the OSPL pr station to 24.5 °C at the OSPL wi ag station. The interquartile range in water temperature at the SPRING stations was much smaller than for other station classes.

Suspended solids and suspended sediment are measures of the solid material suspended in the water column. These two measures are not considered directly comparable because of differences in collection and analytical techniques. The concentrations of suspended solids were determined for all classes and types except BRMIT and BRMOH. Median suspended-solids concentrations ranged from the MRL (15 milligrams per liter [mg/L]) to 190 mg/L (fig. 5). Suspended-solids samples in the OZPL (SA fo/ag, SA wi fo/ag, and SP ag/fo), OSPL pr, SPRING, MINING, and URBAN classes had median concentrations at the MRL (15 mg/L). The DTPL wi ag class had the largest median suspended-solids concentration. Suspended-sediment concentrations were determined at four Big River station classes (BRMIG, BRMIT, BRMOSJ, BRMOH; fig. 5). Median suspended-sediment concentrations ranged from 162 mg/L at the BRMIG station to 296 mg/L at the BRMOH station (fig. 5).

Median *E. coli* and fecal coliform bacteria densities varied considerably among all station classes (fig. 6). Median *E. coli* bacteria densities ranged from 20 to 820 colonies per 100 milliliters of water. The smallest median *E. coli* density was measured at the SPRING and OZPLSA wi fo/ag stations, and the largest median *E. coli* density was measured at the DTPL wi ag stations. Median fecal coliform bacteria densities ranged from 22 to 2,880 colonies per 100 milliliters of water. The smallest median fecal coliform densities were in samples collected at the SPRING stations. The largest median fecal coliform densities were in samples collected at the OSPL ag station (fig. 6).

Dissolved Nitrate plus Nitrite and Total Phosphorus Concentrations

Samples were collected at all stations for the analysis of nutrients, including dissolved nitrate plus nitrite and total phosphorus. Median dissolved nitrate plus nitrite and total phosphorus concentrations varied considerably among all station classes and types (fig. 7). Median dissolved nitrate plus nitrite ranged from the LT–MDL (0.04 mg/L) at the OSPL pr station to 4.3 mg/L at the URBAN stations (fig. 7). The median range for total phosphorus ranged from the LT–MDL (0.02 mg/L) to 0.52 mg/L. The smallest median total phosphorus concentrations were at the OZPLSA fo/ag, OZPLSA wi fo/ag, and SPRING stations. More than half of the samples from these stations had total phosphorous concentrations less than the LT–MDL, indicating that the true median concentration at these stations is less than 0.02 mg/L. The largest median concentration was detected at the DTPL wi ag stations (fig. 7).

Dissolved and Total Recoverable Lead and Zinc Concentrations

The median concentration of dissolved lead ranged from less than 0.02 to 0.35 microgram per liter (µg/L) and 0.085 to 7.4 µg/L for total recoverable lead. The smallest median concentrations of dissolved lead were at the LT–MDL (0.02 µg/L) in samples collected at the BRMOSJ, MIALPL, and OSPL wi ag stations. Samples from the MINING stations had the largest median concentration of dissolved lead (fig. 8). The smallest median concentration of total recoverable lead was measured at the SPRING stations. The largest median total recoverable lead concentration was at the DTPL wi ag stations. No dissolved or total recoverable lead or zinc samples were collected at the BRMIT and BRMOH stations.

The median concentrations of dissolved zinc and total recoverable zinc ranged from the LT–MDL of 2.0 to 14 µg/L and the LT–MDL of 2.0 to 27 µg/L, respectively (fig. 8). Median dissolved zinc concentrations were calculated to be at the LT–MDL (2.0 µg/L) for all stations, except the OSPL ag, MINING, and URBAN. The URBAN station had the largest median concentration of dissolved zinc. The smallest median concentrations of total recoverable zinc were at the LT–MDL of 2.0 µg/L at the OZPLSA (fo/ag and wi fo/ag) and SPRING stations. The largest median concentration of total recoverable zinc was at the DTPL wi ag stations (27 µg/L).



Figure 5. Distribution of dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, water temperature, suspended-solids concentrations, and suspended-sediment concentrations from surface-water-quality stations in Missouri, water year 2020.



Figure 5. Distribution of dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, water temperature, suspended-solids concentrations, and suspended-sediment concentrations from surface-water-quality stations in Missouri, water year 2020.—Continued

Selected Pesticide Concentrations and Detection Frequencies

Samples collected for the analysis of dissolved pesticide compounds during water year 2020 are provided in this report for seven stations. The AWQMN and the NWQN use different sampling and analytical methods for pesticide compounds, which have somewhat different detection limits. Samples from 4 stations were analyzed for a suite of 85 pesticides (both stations in the MIALPL, one OSPL wi ag station, and one URBAN station).

An expanded list of 228 pesticides were analyzed in samples from three Big River stations (BRMIG, BRMIT, and BRMOH) as part of the NWQN. Only compounds analyzed by both pesticide methods and having detections greater than the LRL are discussed in this report. Note that analysis of pesticide data provided in table 5 includes analysis of detections at concentrations less than the LRL if at least one sample had a detection greater than the LRL for that compound.

A total of 14 pesticide compounds were detected at concentrations greater than their LRL in at least 1 sample during water year 2020. The 14 compounds are acetochlor, atrazine, chlorpyrifos, 2-Chloro-4-isopropylamino-6-amino-*s*-triazine (more commonly referred to as “CIAT,” a degradation product of atrazine), 3,4-Dichloroaniline, dicrotophos, malathion, metalaxyl, metolachlor, metribuzin, prometon, prometryn, simazine, and tebuthiuron (table 5). Of the 14 pesticides detected, 5 were detected in more than one-half of the 6 station classifications observed. The five pesticides were metalaxyl, metolachlor, metribuzin, prometon, and simazine. Each of the seven stations sampled for pesticides had at least one pesticide detection greater than the LRL. There were five pesticides (acetochlor, atrazine, chlorpyrifos, CIAT, 3,4-dichloroaniline, and prometryn) that were not analyzed at three of the station classifications (BRMIT, BRMIG, and BRMOH).



Figure 6. Distribution of fecal indicator bacteria density in samples from surface-water-quality stations in Missouri, water year 2020.



Figure 7. Distribution of dissolved nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen and total phosphorus concentrations in samples from surface-water-quality stations in Missouri, water year 2020.



Figure 8. Distribution of dissolved and total recoverable lead and zinc concentrations from surface-water-quality stations in Missouri, water year 2020.

Table 5. Summary of detections of selected pesticides for water year 2020 in Missouri.

[Water year 2020 is defined as October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020; µg/L, microgram per liter; %, percent; MIALPL, Mississippi Alluvial Plain; <, less than; CIAT, 2-Chloro-4-isopropylamino-6-amino-*s*-triazine; E, estimate; OSPL wi ag, Osage Plains watershed indicator, agriculture; URBAN, urban; BRMIT, Big River—Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois; NA, not analyzed; BRMIG, Big River—Mississippi River below Grafton, Illinois; BRMOH, Big River—Missouri River at Hermann, Missouri]

Analyte	Number of samples	Number of detections	Reporting level (µg/L)	Detections greater than the reporting level (%)	Minimum concentration (µg/L)	Maximum concentration (µg/L)
Station classification MIALPL (stations 07042450 and 07046250)						
Acetochlor	6	6	0.01	100	0.011	0.766
Atrazine	6	4	0.008	67	<0.008	0.35
Chlorpyrifos	6	1	0.008–0.01	17	<0.008	0.009
CIAT	6	5	0.014	83	<0.014	E0.039
3,4-Dichloroaniline	6	2	0.008	33	<0.008	E0.016
Dicrotophos	6	2	0.014–0.04	33	<0.04	E0.12
Malathion	6	1	0.016–0.036	17	<0.016	0.084
Metalaxyl	2	2	0.014	100	0.792	1.36
Metolachlor	6	6	0.012	100	0.039	1.9
Metribuzin	6	3	0.012–0.02	50	<0.012	0.33
Prometon	6	0	0.012	0	<0.012	<0.012
Prometryn	6	2	0.01	33	<0.01	0.016
Simazine	6	0	0.008	0	<0.008	<0.008
Tebuthiuron	6	0	0.028–0.16	0	<0.028	<0.16
Station classification OSPL wi ag (station 06918070)						
Acetochlor	4	4	0.01	100	0.029	E1.17
Atrazine	4	4	0.008	100	0.287	E6.08
Chlorpyrifos	4	0	0.008–0.01	0	<0.008	<0.01
CIAT	4	4	0.014	100	E0.05	E0.32
3,4-Dichloroaniline	4	0	0.008	0	<0.008	<0.008
Dicrotophos	4	0	0.004–0.014	0	<0.04	<0.14
Malathion	4	0	0.016	0	<0.016	<0.037
Metalaxyl	3	2	0.014	67	0.518	1.88
Metolachlor	4	4	0.012	100	0.1	E3.4
Metribuzin	4	2	0.008–0.012	50	<0.012	0.034
Prometon	4	3	0.012	75	<0.012	0.012
Prometryn	4	0	0.01	0	<0.01	<0.01
Simazine	4	0	0.008	0	<0.008	<0.009
Tebuthiuron	4	0	0.028–0.072	0	<0.028	<0.072
Station classification URBAN (station 07052250)						
Acetochlor	6	1	0.01	17	<0.01	0.01
Atrazine	6	5	0.008	83	0.008	0.044
Chlorpyrifos	6	0	0.008–0.01	0	<0.008	<0.01
CIAT	6	2	0.014	33	<0.014	E0.017
3,4-Dichloroaniline	6	0	0.008	0	<0.008	<0.008
Dicrotophos	6	0	0.04–0.14	0	<0.04	<0.14
Malathion	6	0	0.016–0.36	0	<0.016	<0.36
Metalaxyl	2	2	0.014	100	1.16	1.84

Table 5. Summary of detections of selected pesticides for water year 2020 in Missouri.—Continued

[Water year 2020 is defined as October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020; µg/L, microgram per liter; %, percent; MIALPL, Mississippi Alluvial Plain; <, less than; CIAT, 2-Chloro-4-isopropylamino-6-amino-*s*-triazine; E, estimate; OSPL wi ag, Osage Plains watershed indicator, agriculture; URBAN, urban; BRMIT, Big River—Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois; NA, not analyzed; BRMIG, Big River—Mississippi River below Grafton, Illinois; BRMOH, Big River—Missouri River at Hermann, Missouri]

Analyte	Number of samples	Number of detections	Reporting level (µg/L)	Detections greater than the reporting level (%)	Minimum concentration (µg/L)	Maximum concentration (µg/L)
Station classification URBAN (station 07052250)—Continued						
Metolachlor	6	3	0.012	50	<0.012	0.023
Metribuzin	6	0	0.008–0.2	0	<0.008	<0.02
Prometon	6	6	0.012	100	<0.012	E0.029
Prometryn	6	0	0.01	0	<0.01	<0.01
Simazine	6	1	0.008	17	<0.008	E0.01
Tebuthiuron	6	2	0.072–0.16	33	E0.063	E0.16
Station classification BRMIT (station 07022000)						
Acetochlor	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Atrazine	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chlorpyrifos	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
CIAT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3,4-Dichloroaniline	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Dicrotophos	10	0	0.004	0	<0.004	<0.01
Malathion	10	0	0.0054	0	<0.0054	<0.025
Metalaxyl	10	8	0.006	80	<0.006	0.008
Metolachlor	10	10	0.0032	100	0.067	1.34
Metribuzin	10	5	0.02	50	<0.02	0.0383
Prometon	10	7	0.004	70	<0.004	0.006
Prometryn	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Simazine	10	5	0.007	50	<0.007	0.063
Tebuthiuron	10	3	0.003	30	<0.003	0.003
Station classification BRMIG (station 05587455)						
Acetochlor	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Atrazine	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chlorpyrifos	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
CIAT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3,4-Dichloroaniline	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Dicrotophos	12	0	0.004	0	<0.04	<0.010
Malathion	12	0	0.0054	0	<0.0054	<0.025
Metalaxyl	12	5	0.006	42	<0.006	0.009
Metolachlor	12	12	0.0032	100	0.0484	1.5
Metribuzin	12	7	0.02	58	<0.02	0.048
Prometon	12	6	0.004	50	<0.004	0.007
Prometryn	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Simazine	12	8	0.0072	67	0.0072	0.073
Tebuthiuron	12	6	0.003	50	<0.003	0.003

Table 5. Summary of detections of selected pesticides for water year 2020 in Missouri.—Continued

[Water year 2020 is defined as October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020; µg/L, microgram per liter; %, percent; MIALPL, Mississippi Alluvial Plain; <, less than; CIAT, 2-Chloro-4-isopropylamino-6-amino-*s*-triazine; E, estimate; OSPL wi ag, Osage Plains watershed indicator, agriculture; URBAN, urban; BRMIT, Big River—Mississippi River at Thebes, Illinois; NA, not analyzed; BRMIG, Big River—Mississippi River below Grafton, Illinois; BRMOH, Big River—Missouri River at Hermann, Missouri]

Analyte	Number of samples	Number of detections	Reporting level (µg/L)	Detections greater than the reporting level (%)	Minimum concentration (µg/L)	Maximum concentration (µg/L)
Station classification BRMOH (station 06934500)						
Acetochlor	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Atrazine	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chlorpyrifos	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
CIAT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3,4-Dichloroaniline	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Dicrotophos	14	0	0.004	0	<0.0040	<0.010
Malathion	14	0	0.0054	0	<0.0054	<0.025
Metalaxyl	14	9	0.006	64	<0.006	0.008
Metolachlor	14	14	0.0032	100	0.042	1.77
Metribuzin	14	5	0.02	36	<0.02	0.06
Prometon	14	10	0.004	71	<0.004	0.007
Prometryn	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Simazine	14	7	0.0072	50	<0.0072	0.05
Tebuthiuron	14	7	0.003	50	<0.003	0.004

Summary

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), in cooperation with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, collects surface-water-quality data in Missouri each water year (October 1 through September 30). These data, which are stored and maintained in the USGS National Water Information System database, are collected as part of the Missouri Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network (AWQMN) and constitute a permanent, accessible source of representative, reliable, impartial, and timely information for developing an enhanced understanding of the State's water resources. In addition to the AWQMN stations, the USGS also collects data at two USGS National Water Quality Network stations and, in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, routinely collects suspended-sediment concentration data on the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers.

Surface-water-quality data summarized in this report were collected during water year 2020 at 72 stations (70 AWQMN and 2 National Water Quality Network stations), among which are 4 stations with suspended-sediment data collected in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Stations were classified corresponding to physiographic province, primary land use, or unique hydrologic characteristics of the stations. The annual summary of selected constituents provides the Missouri Department of Natural Resources with current information to assess the quality of surface water within the State and ensure the objectives of the AWQMN are being met. The data collected also provide support for the design, implementation, and evaluation of preventive and remediation programs.

References Cited

Barr, M.N., 2010, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2009: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2010–1233, 22 p., accessed November 5, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20101233.

Barr, M.N., 2011, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2010: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 636, 21 p., accessed November 5, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds636.

Barr, M.N., 2012, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2011: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 734, 22 p., accessed November 4, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds734.

Barr, M.N., 2014, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2012: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 818, 24 p., accessed November 4, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds818.

Barr, M.N., 2015, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2014: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 971, 22 p., accessed November 4, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds971.

Barr, M.N., and Bartels, K.A., 2018, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2016: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 1086, 25 p., accessed November 5, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds1086.

Barr, M.N., and Bartels, K.A., 2019, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2017: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 1108, 25 p., accessed November 6, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds1108.

Barr, M.N., and Davis, J.V., 2010, Surface-water quality conditions and long-term trends at selected sites within the Ambient Water-Quality Monitoring Network in Missouri, water years 1993–2008: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2010–5078, 42 p. [Also available at https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20105078.]

Barr, M.N., and Heimann, D.C., 2016, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2015: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 1023, 22 p., accessed November 4, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds1023.

Barr, M.N., and Schneider, R.E., 2014, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2013: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 886, 21 p., accessed November 5, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds886.

Edwards, T.K., and Glysson, G.D., 1999, Field methods for measurement of fluvial sediment: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 3, chap. C2, 89 p., accessed November 6, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/twri03C2.

Fenneman, N.M., 1938, Physiography of eastern United States: New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 689 p.

Fishman, M.J., ed., 1993, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—Determination of inorganic and organic constituents in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93−125, 217 p. [Also available at https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr93125.]

Foreman, W.T., Williams, T.L., Furlong, E.T., Hemmerle, D.M., Stetson, S.K., Jha, V.K., Noriega, M.C., Decess, J.A., Reed-Parker, C., and Sandstrom, M.W., 2021, Comparison of detection limits estimated using single- and multi-concentration spike-based and blank-based procedures: Talanta, v. 228, art. 122139. [Also available at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2021.122139.]

Garbarino, J.R., Kanagy, L.K., and Cree, M.E., 2006, Determination of elements in natural-water, biota, sediment, and soil samples using collision/reaction cell inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, book 5, chap. B1, 88 p. [Also available at https://doi.org/10.3133/tm5B1.]

Guy, H.P., 1969, Laboratory theory and methods for sediment analysis: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. C1, 58 p., accessed December 2, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/twri05C1.

Kay, R.T., 2019, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2018: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 1119, 25 p., accessed January 5, 2021, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds1119.

Kay, R.T., 2021, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2019: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 1132, 26 p., accessed January 5, 2021, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ds1132.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources, 2019, Water quality, chap. 7 *of* Rules of Department of Natural Resources—Division 20—Clean Water Commission: Jefferson City, Mo., Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Clean Water Commission, p. 54–131, accessed April 21, 2021, at https://www.sos.mo.gov/CMSImages/AdRules/csr/current/10csr/10c20-7.pdf.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources, 2020, Missouri integrated water quality report and section 303(d) list, 2020: Jefferson City, Mo., Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program, [variously paged], accessed April 30, 2021, at https://dnr.mo.gov/document/2020-missouri-integrated-water-quality-report-305b-report.

Myers, D.N., Stoeckel, D.M., Bushon, R.N., Francy, D.S., and Brady, A.M.G., 2014, Fecal indicator bacteria (ver. 2.1): U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 9, chap. A7, sec. 7.1, accessed November 14, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/twri09A7.1.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2021a, Climate at a glance—Missouri climate summary: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Climatic Data Center database, accessed May 14, 2021, at https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cag/statewide/time-series.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2021b, State of the climate—National climate report for annual 2020: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Centers for Environmental Information web page, accessed May 14, 2021, at https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/sotc/national/202013.

Otero-Benitez, W., and Davis, J.V., 2009a, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2007: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2009–1096, 19 p., accessed November 8, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20091096.

Otero-Benitez, W., and Davis, J.V., 2009b, Quality of surface water in Missouri, water year 2008: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2009–1214, 18 p., accessed November 8, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20091214.

Patton, C.J., and Kryskalla, J.R., 2011, Colorimetric determination of nitrate plus nitrite in water by enzymatic reduction, automated discrete analyzer methods: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, book 5, chap. B8, 34 p. [Also available at https://doi.org/10.3133/tm5B8.]

Patton, C.J., and Truitt, E.P., 1992, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—Determination of total phosphorus by a Kjeldahl digestion method and an automated colorimetric finish that includes dialysis: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 92–146, 39 p. [Also available at https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr92146.]

Sandstrom, M.W., Kanagy, L.K., Anderson, C.A., and Kanagy, C.J., 2015, Determination of pesticides and pesticide degradates in filtered water by direct aqueous-injection liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, book 5, chap. B11, 54 p., accessed November 14, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/tm5B11.

Sandstrom, M.W., Stroppel, M.E., Foreman, W.T., and Schroeder, M.P., 2001, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—Determination of moderate-use pesticides and selected degradates in water by C-18 solid-phase extraction and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 2001–4098, 70 p. [Also available at https://doi.org/10.3133/wri20014098.]

Sandstrom, M.W., and Wilde, F.D., 2014, Syringe-filter procedure for processing samples for analysis of organic compounds by DAI LC–MS/MS (ver. 3.1): U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 9, chap A5, sec. 2.2.B, 10 p., accessed November 14, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/twri09A5.

Slater, L.J., and Villarini, G., 2017, Evaluating the drivers of seasonal streamflow in the U.S. Midwest: Water (Basel), v. 9, no. 9, art. 695, accessed November 14, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3390/w9090695.

U.S. Census Bureau, 2021, U.S. population estimates: U.S. Census Bureau web page, accessed February 16, 2022, at https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/MO/PST045221.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1997, Guidelines for preparation of the comprehensive State water quality assessments (305(b) reports) and electronic updates (1997): Washington, D.C., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, EPA–841–B97–002A, [variously paged], accessed November 19, 2020, at https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-09/documents/guidelines_for_preparation_of_the_comprehensive_state_water_quality_assessments_305b_reports_and_electronic_updates_1997_volume1.pdf.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2019, Aquatic life benchmarks and ecological risk assessments for registered pesticides: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency web page, accessed August 2, 2020, at https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-science-and-assessing-pesticide-risks/aquatic-life-benchmarks-and-ecological-risk.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2006a, Collection of water samples (ver. 2.0): U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 9, chap. A4, accessed November 6, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/twri09A4.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2006b–2010, Water resources data for the United States—Annual water-data report: U.S. Geological Survey, accessed November 6, 2020, at https://wdr.water.usgs.gov/.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2020, USGS water data for the Nation: U.S. Geological Survey National Water Information System database, accessed April 2, 2021, at https://doi.org/10.5066/F7P55KJN.

Wilde, F.D., ed., [variously dated], Field measurements: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 9, chap. A6, [variously paged], accessed November 26, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/twri09A6.

Wilde, F.D., Radtke, D.B., Gibs, J., and Iwatsubo, R.T., eds., 2002, Processing of water samples (ver. 2.2, April 2002): U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 9, chap. A5, 166 p., accessed November 26, 2020, at https://doi.org/10.3133/twri09A5.

World Health Organization, 2022, Coronavirus disease (COVID-19), accessed February 22, 2022, at https://www.who.int/health-topics/coronavirus#tab=tab_1.

Zaugg, S.D., Sandstrom, M.W., Smith, S.G., and Fehlberg, K.M., 1995, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—Determination of pesticides in water by C–18 solid-phase extraction and capillary-column gas chromatography/mass spectrometry with selected-ion monitoring: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95–181, 49 p. [Also available at https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr95181.]

For more information about this publication, contact:
Director, USGS Central Midwest Water Science Center
1400 Independence Road
Rolla, MO 65401
573–308–3667

For additional information, visit: https://www.usgs.gov/centers/cm-water

Publishing support provided by the
Rolla Publishing Service Center

Buckley—**Quality of Surface Water in Missouri, Water Year 2020**—DR 1153

ISSN 2771-9448 (online)
https://doi.org/10.3133/dr1153