DMA Form 1

DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
Control Slip

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

DMA Docket No. 4267

DO NOT DETACH THIS SLIP

Action on this document is recorded and controlled in Reports and Records Branch. Any movement of this document between divisions or offices of DMA or movement outside DMA must be reported to the Reports and Records Branch. Actions taken that affect status of the case must also be reported.

This document has been recorded as

Exploration

Any action taken to change the type of request for assistance must be promptly reported to the Reports and Records Branch. Use DMA Form 2 for submitting these reports.

Cys. BM, GS

Routing Slip

To:	600	Date	4/26/56
To:	Mr Anderson	Date	4-26-56
To:	Oper Com	Date	5-8-56
To:	Cycle 600	Date	5-8-56
To:	Oper Com	Date	6-19-56
To:	F.E. Johnson	Date	6-20-56
To:	Oper Com	Date	8-15-56
To:	F.E. Johnson	Date	8-15-56
To:	CJM	Date	8-15-56
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	

DO NOT DETACH

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

RECEIVED

August 10, 1956

Memorandum

A

To: Nonmetallic Minerals Division
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: W. F. Dietrich, Chief
Branch of Ceramic and Fertilizer Materials

Subject: DMEA-4267 (mica)

Applicant: L. W. Cain

Name and Location of Property: Cain (Whitaker) Property, Rutherford County, N. C.

Date of Field Team Report: 7/30/56

Date Rec'd. by Br. of Ceramic & Fertilizer Materials: 8/2/56

Field Team Recommendation: Recommend denial of application.

Comments:

1. No strategic size mica was observed.

2. The pegmatite is fine grained.

3. Possibility for discovery of a worthwhile deposit of strategic mica is considered poor.

Recommendation:

I concur with the field team's recommendation for denial.

W. F. Dietrich

W. F. Dietrich

Docket Copy
Surname:
Anderson
Houk
Nelson
Lamb
Selfridge
Hyde

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C. 600

AUG 16 1956

Mr. L. W. Cain
Box 79
Henrietta, North Carolina

Re: Docket No. DMEA-4267 - Mica
L. W. Cain
Cain Property
Rutherford County, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Cain:

Your application for aid for an exploration project and other reports available to us in Washington relating to the subject property have been reviewed.

Projects approved by the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration must, in its judgment, show definite promise of yielding materials of acceptable grade in quantities that will significantly improve the mineral supply position for the National Defense Program.

Careful study of all our information indicates to us that the probability of disclosing significant reserves of mica is not sufficiently promising to justify Government participation. We regret to advise you, under these circumstances, that your application for exploration assistance is denied.

We wish to thank you for your interest in the Defense Minerals Program and for bringing your property to our attention.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf

Administrator

WCAnderson:gad 8/14/56
Copy to: Admr. R. File
Docket
Field Team, Region V
Operating Committee
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk
Mr. Anderson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C. 600

August 14, 1956

Memorandum

To: Mr. C. O. Mittendorf, Administrator

From: Lawrence G. Houk, Chief, Nonmetallic Minerals Division

Subject: Evaluation of application and field examination report
Docket No. DMEA-4267 - Mica
L. W. Cain
Cain Property
Rutherford County, North Carolina

Denial of application is recommended.

Mica: Considerable scrap size, no strategic size. Probably ruby colored, badly weathered, flat with occasional biotite stain.

Pegmatite: Fine grained, composed of soft weathered sodic plagioclase, disseminated masses and stringers of quartz and possibly 5 to 10 percent scrap-size mica. No contacts exposed. Pegmatite float covers much of 175 acres owned by the applicant.

Old Workings: Ten by four foot pit, three to six feet deep and 22 feet of drift. No record of past production.

Method of exploring: Applicant indicates bulldozing to determine worth of deposit, for which together with contingencies, he requests $1,000.00.

Recommendation: Because of the non-existence of strategic mica and the fine texture of the host pegmatite, the Field Team recommends denial of the application. The Nonmetallic Minerals Division and the commodity members of the Geological Survey and the Bureau of Mines concur in this recommendation.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

August 10, 1956

Memorandum

To: Nonmetallic Minerals Division
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: W. F. Dietrich, Chief
Branch of Ceramic and Fertilizer Materials

Subject: DMEA-4267 (mica)

Applicant: L. W. Cain

Name and Location of Property: Cain (Whitaker) Property, Rutherford County, N. C.

Date of Field Team Report: 7/30/56

Date Rec'd. by Br. of Ceramic & Fertilizer Materials: 8/2/56

Field Team Recommendation: Recommend denial of application.

Comments:

1. No strategic size mica was observed.

2. The pegmatite is fine grained.

3. Possibility for discovery of a worthwhile deposit of strategic mica is considered poor.

Recommendation:

I concur with the field team's recommendation for denial.

W. F. Dietrich

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
ROOM WASHINGTON POST OFFICE BLDG.
KNOXVILLE 2, TENN.

RECEIVED
AUG - 1 1956

July 30, 1956

Memorandum

To: Operating Committee, DMEA - Washington

From: DMEA Field Team, Region V - Knoxville, Tennessee

Subject: Field Team Reports - DMEA-4267, L. W. Cain, Applicant
Mica and
(North Carolina) DMEA-4318, Howard Boone, Applicant

Transmitted are four copies each of the subject reports.

The Field Team agrees with the Examiners that both applications should be denied.

Robert A. Laurence

Robert A. Laurence, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region V

V. J. Lynch, Member
Bureau of Mines

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
8/3/56
(Date)

RECEIVED
AUG - 1 1956

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

FIELD EXAMINATION REPORT # REGION V

On

DMEA-4267 (mica) CAIN (Whitaker) PROPERTY
Rutherford County, N. C.

By

S. C. Bergman, Geologist
U. S. Geological Survey

and

A. L. Peyton, Mining Engineer
U. S. Bureau of Mines

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
8/3/56
(date)

July 30, 1956

FIELD EXAMINATION REPORT

On

RECEIVED
AUG 1 1956

DMEA-4267 (mica) CAIN (Whitaker)PROPERTY
Rutherford County, N. C.

Applicant: L. W. Cain, P.O. Box 79, Henrietta, North Carolina

Summary:

An extremely large, fine grained, mica-bearing pegmatite is exposed by a crosscutting pit, short drift and considerable float. The structure carries considerable scrap-size muscovite, but practically no strategic size material. There is pegmatite float over much of the 175 acres owned by the applicant, but no other structures are exposed enough to evaluate their mica potential. Because of the lack of strategic size mica and the fine texture of the host pegmatite, it is recommended that the application be denied.

Location:

The property is adjacent to a paved road connecting Ellenboro and Henrietta in Rutherford County, N. C. and 2.5 airline miles S 23° W from the center of Ellenboro. By road it is 2.75 miles southwest from the post office in Ellenboro.

Introduction:

Mr. L. W. Cain, the applicant, accompanied the examiners July 13, 1956 in making an examination which lasted approximately 2 hours. The Prospect site is on gently rolling open pasture land and is easily accessible from the Henrietta-Ellenboro road. No equipment, supplies or buildings are present except those of the farm, some 400 feet north of the site.

Geology:

A 10 x 4 foot pit, 3 to 6 feet deep and 22 feet of adjacent drift are aligned across the middle of a large fine grained mica-bearing pegmatite. The pit and drift trend N 75 to 80° E and expose at least a 32-foot width of

pegmatite. No contacts are exposed, but the lineation of stringers of quartz within the structure and distribution of float on the surface suggest the pegmatite is steeply inclined and trends roughly N 45 to 55° E. It is composed predominatly of soft weathered sodic plagioclase, disseminated masses and stringers of quartz and possibly 5 to 10 percent scrap-size muscovite. The muscovite is probably ruby colored, although now badly weathered, flat with an accasional very fine biotite staining.

Conclusions:

The very fine pegmatite texture and lack of strategic size muscovite leads the examiners to recommend that the application be denied.

July 30, 1956.

S. C. Bergman, Geologist
Geological Survey

and

A. L. Peyton, Mining Engineer
Bureau of Mines

z

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

RECEIVED
AUG - 3 1956

August 2, 1956

Re: DMEA 4267
L. W. Cain
Whitaker property
Rutherford Co., N. C.
$1,000 - Mica

Memorandum

To: L. G. Houk, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: N. E. Nelson, U. S. Geological Survey

Subject: Review of Field Team report.

The applicant requested assistance in doing an unstated amount of work on a large fine grained, scrap mica-bearing pegmatite. No strategic mica was to be seen in a pit and 22-foot drift.

The texture of the pegmatite and the lack of strategic mica justify the recommendation of denial of the examiners and the Field Team.

I concur with the recommendation.

N. E. Nelson

N. E. Nelson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C. 600

DOCKET COPY
Surname:
Anderson
Hopkins
Gerhon
Kiilsgaard
Martin
H. J. Rusca

JUN 20 1956

Mr. L. W. Cain
Box 79
Henrietta, North Carolina

Re: Docket No. DMEA-4267-mica
L. W. Cain
Cain Property
Rutherford County, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Cain:

Your application for assistance in exploring the subject property has been reviewed by the Nonmetallic Minerals Division of the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration and has been referred to Mr. Robert A. Laurence, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region V, Room 13, Post Office Building, Knoxville, 2, Tennessee, for further consideration and possibly a field examination.

The Regional Office will contact you at an early date if an examination is to be made. Any assistance you may give the members of the Field Team during the examination will be appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf (for)

Administrator

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
6-20-56
(date)

WCAnderson:ms 5/8/56
Copy to: Docket
Operating Committee
Field Team, Region V
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk
Mr. Anderson
Admr. R. File

A.C.G.

DOCKET COPY
Surname:
Anderson
Hopkins
Houk
Martin
Johnson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C. 600

JUN 20 1956

Mr. Robert A. Laurence
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region V
Room 13, Post Office Building
Knoxville, 2, Tennessee

Re: Docket No. DMEA-4267-mica
L. W. Cain
Cain Property
Rutherford County, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Laurence:

Please review the subject application and arrange for a field examination if you deem it necessary.

Copies of memoranda dated April 27 and May 3, 1956, by Mr. N. E. Nelson, USGS, and Mr. W. F. Dietrich, USBM, respectively, relating to the project are enclosed.

The applicant owns 175 acres of land from which he proposes to include 5 to 10 acres in the exploration project. We informed him on May 1, 1956, that we require at least 10 acres and an adequate land description. If a contract is recommended, please remind the applicant, if he has not already done so, that he must submit the requested data.

Sincerely yours,

W. S. Martin
ACTING Chairman, Operating Committee (WB)

Approved:
P. F. Yopes (WB)
Member, Bureau of Mines

Thor H. Kiilsgaard (WB)
Member, Geological Survey

Enclosures: 5

WCAnderson:ms 5/7/56
Copy to: Docket
Operating Committee
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk
Mr. Anderson
Admr. R. File

PS: There is also enclosed a copy of a sketch we just received from the applicant.

R.C.

Re: Docket No. Drucf-4267-mica
L.W. CAIN
Rutherford Co., N.C.



1 Corners: Whitaker, Martin, Cain
2 Whitaker, Cain, Davis.
3 Philbeck, Ledbetter, Cain
4 Taylor, Martin, Cain.
5 An acre lot and house owned by S.R. Whitaker
6 Shaded area represent wooded area.
7 Place where where some digging has already been done.
(over)

1- The property is owned by me, and there are mortgages against the property-

2- Plan for exploration:



Cut out a strip 30-40 ft. wide on the hill as shown above. Deeper if necessary. When satisfactory vein has been hit - follow by tunnel.

I don't want to do a lot of bulldozing if it can be avoided. A tunnel would run approximately 1000 yards on my property the way I think the mica veins run.

RECEIVED
JUN 18 1956

Box 79

Temple Baptist Church
Box 79
Henrietta, N. C.

HENRIETTA
JUN
15
A.M.
1958
N.C.

U.S. POSTAGE 3¢
IN GOD WE TRUST
LIBERTY

United States Department of Interior
DME&A
Washington 25 D.C. 600

Att. Lawrence D Houk,
Chief nonmetalic minerals Div.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

May 3, 1956

Memorandum

To: Nonmetallic Minerals Division
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: W. F. Dietrich, Chief
Branch of Ceramic and Fertilizer Materials

Subject: DMEA-4267 (mica)

Applicant: L. W. Cain

Name and Location of Property: _____, _____, N. C.

Date Application Rec'd. by Br. of Ceramic & Fertilizer Materials: 4/26/56

Comments:

1. No data available in this office on this property.

2. This deposit appears to have possibilities for the development of strategic mica.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the field team visit this mine when in that vicinity to ascertain if an exploration project is justified. If so, the field team and applicant should agree on a definite plan of exploration on a unit-cost basis.

W. F. Dietrich

W. F. Dietrich

RECEIVED
MAY 3 - 1956

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

April 27, 1956

Re: DMEA 4267 A
L. W. Cain
Cain Tract
Rutherford Co., N. C.
$1,000.00 - Mica

Memorandum

To: L. G. Houk, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: N. E. Nelson, U. S. Geological Survey

Subject: Review of application.

The applicant does not outline a work program. Probably little is to be seen.

According to the map on page 211 of Mica Deposits of the Southeastern Piedmont, Geological Survey Professional Paper 248-D, the property is at the western edge, perhaps outside, the area of known pegmatite bodies.

As the property is 'off limits' the Field Team may be able to deny the application without an examination.

Referral to the Field Team for appraisal is recommended.

N. E. Nelson

N. E. Nelson

RECEIVED
APR 30 1956

DOCKET COPY
Surname:
Anderson
Houk
Mittendorf

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C. 600

MAY 1 1956

Mr. L. W. Cain
Box 79
Henrietta, North Carolina

Re: Docket No. DMEA-4267-mica
L. W. Cain
Cain Property
Rutherford County, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Cain:

Reference is made to your application for Government assistance to explore a portion of your property.

We require a description of the land you propose to explore, which should consist of at least ten acres. This is a metes and bounds description, which consists of the bearings and the distances of the lines which enclose the property. The description should include a reference of one of the corners of the property to a permanent and identifiable landmark, natural or artificial, as the center of a shaft or adit, or a building, benchmark, etc.

It will be appreciated if you will forward this land description, indicating the acreage as promptly as possible. Any other information that you can submit, as the type of deposit and the method of proposed exploration will also be appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Lawrence G. Houk, Chief
Nonmetallic Minerals Division

WCAnderson:ms 4/30/56
Copy to: Docket
Operating Committee
Field Team, Region V
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk
Mr. Anderson
Admr. R. File

April 26, 1956
Subject: DMEA-4267
Re: Exploration Assistance

Mr. L. W. Cain
Box 79
Henrietta, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Cain:

The receipt of your application dated April 9, 1956, for exploration assistance under the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, is hereby acknowledged.

Your application has been assigned Docket Number DMEA-4267 and referred to the Nonmetallic Minerals Division.

Kindly identify all future correspondence relating to your application by this docket number.

Sincerely yours,

Robert E. Adams, Chief
Operations Control and
Statistics Division

April 26, 1956

Memorandum

To: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region V

From: Chief, Operation's Control and Statistics Division

Subject: Assignment of Docket Number

There is listed below the assigned docket number to an application recently received from Region V.

DMEA - L. W. Cain - 4267

Robert E. Adams
Chief, Operation's Control
and Statistics Division

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.
ROOM 13 POST OFFICE BLDG.
KNOXVILLE 2, TENN.

RECEIVED
APR 2 6 1956

April 24, 1956

Memorandum

To: Operating Committee, DMEA, Washington, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region V

Subject: Application from L. W. Cain, Henrietta, North Carolina (Mica)

Enclosed are three copies of the subject application, received direct from the applicant. We are keeping the fourth copy for our use.

Robert A. Laurence
Robert A. Laurence

Enclosures 3

MF-103
(Revised April 1952)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Form Approved.
Budget Bureau No. 42-R1035.2.

RECEIVED
APR 23 1956

APPLICATION FOR AID IN AN EXPLORATION PROJECT, PURSUANT TO DMEA ORDER 1, UNDER THE DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT OF 1950, AS AMENDED

Not to be filled in by applicant

Docket No. DMEA-4269
Metal or Mineral Mica
Date Received 4-26-56
Estimated Cost $1,000.00
Participation (Government %)

INSTRUCTIONS

RECEIVED
APR 23 1956

1. *Name of applicant.*—(*a*) State here your full legal name, in the form in which you will wish to contract, and your mailing address: L.W.Cain Box 79
Henrietta, N.C.

(*b*) If other than an individual, add to your name above whether a corporation, partnership, etc., and the name of the State in which incorporated or otherwise organized.

(*c*) If a corporation, add to above statement, titles, names and addresses of officers.

(*d*) If a partnership, add to the above statement the names and addresses of all partners.

2. *General.*—Read DMEA Order 1, "Government Aid in Defense Exploration Projects," before completing this application. Submit this application and all accompanying papers in quadruplicate (four copies), with your name and address on each sheet of the application and on all accompanying papers. Where sufficient space is not provided on the form for all required information, state it on an accompanying paper, with a reference in each case to the instruction to which it refers by number. Comply with all applicable instructions; or, if not applicable, so state. File the application with Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C., or with the nearest field executive officer thereof.

3. *Applicant's property rights.*—(*a*) State the legal description of the land upon which you wish to explore, including all land which you possess or control that may be benefited by the exploration, and excluding any land or interest in land which is not to be included in the exploration project contract I own approximately 175 acres. I hold a clear deed for same. Of this amount of land, 5 to 10 acres show singns of mica. At the present time, 5 to 10 acres are all that I want to include in explorations.

(*b*) State any mine name by which the property is known. NONE.

(*c*) State your interest in the land, whether owner, lessee, purchaser under contract, or otherwise OWNER

(*d*) If you are not the owner, submit with this application a copy of the lease, contract, or other document under which you control the property.

(*e*) If you own the land, describe any liens or encumbrances on it NONE

(*f*) If the land consists of unpatented claims, add to the description above, the book and page numbers for each recorded location notice.
NONE

4. *Physical description.*—(*a*) Describe in detail any mining or exploration operations which have been or now are being conducted upon the land, including existing mine workings and production facilities. State your interest, if any, in such operations. Also describe accessibility of mine workings for examination purposes.

(*b*) State past and current production, and ore reserves, if any, giving quantities and grades.

(*c*) Describe the geologic features of the property, including mineralization, type of deposit (vein, bedded, etc.), and your reasons for wishing to explore. Illustrate with maps or sketches. Send with your application (but not necessarily as a part of it) any geologic or engineering report, assay maps, or other technologic information you may have, indicating on each whether you require its return to you.

(*d*) State the facts with respect to the accessibility of the project: Access roads, distances to shipping, supply and residence points. 300 yards of a hard top road. 2 miles to shipping point.

(*e*) State the availability of manpower, materials, supplies, equipment, water, and power.
I think all can be obtained with a minimum of effort.

16—66551-1

5. *The exploration project.*—(*a*) State the mineral or minerals for which you wish to explore Mica Others if found.

(*b*) Describe fully the proposed work, including a map or sketch of the property showing a plan (and cross sections if needed) of any present mine workings, and the location of the proposed exploration work as related to such features as contacts, veins, ore-bearing beds, etc.

(*c*) The work will start within 30 days and be completed within 6 months from the date of an exploration project contract.

(*d*) State the operating experience and background of the applicant with relation to the ability to carry out such exploration project, and also that of the person or persons who will supervise the operations.

6. *Estimate of costs.*—Furnish a detailed estimate of the costs of the proposed work (you will have to use a separate sheet), under the following headings. Add the totals under all headings to give the estimated total cost of the project:

(*a*) *Independent contracts.*—(Note.—If the applicant does not intend to let any of the work to contractors, write "none" after this item. To the extent that the work is to be contracted, do not repeat the cost of the contract-work in subsequent items.) State the cost of any proposed independent contracts for the performance of all or any part of the work, expressed in terms of units of work (such as per foot of drilling, per foot of drifting, per hour of bulldozer operations, per cubic yard of material moved, etc.). NONE

(*b*) *Labor, supervision, consultants.*—Include an itemized schedule of numbers, classes and rates of wages, salaries or fees for necessary labor, supervision and engineering and geological consultants.

(*c*) *Operating materials and supplies.*—Furnish an itemized list, including items of equipment costing less than $50 each, and power, water and fuel.

(*d*) *Operating equipment.*—Furnish an itemized list of any operating equipment to be rented, purchased, or which is owned and will be furnished by the Operator, with the estimated rental, purchase price, or suggested use-allowance based on present value, as the case may be.

(*e*) *Rehabilitation and repairs.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary initial rehabilitation or repairs of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and movable operating equipment, now owned by the Operator and which will be devoted to the exploration project.

(*f*) *New buildings, improvements, installations.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary buildings, fixed improvements, or installations to be purchased, installed or constructed for the benefit of the exploration project.

(*g*) *Miscellaneous.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of repairs to and maintenance of operating equipment (not including initial rehabilitation or repairs of the Operator's equipment), analytical work, accounting, workmen's compensation and employers' liability insurance, and payroll taxes.

(*h*) *Contingencies.*—Give an estimate of any necessary allowances for contingencies not included in the costs stated above.

NOTE.—No items of general overhead, corporate management, interest, taxes (other than payroll and sales taxes), or any other indirect costs, or work performed or costs incurred before the date of the contract, should be included in the estimate of costs.

7. (*a*) Are you prepared to furnish your share of the cost of the proposed project in accordance with the regulations on Government participation (Sec. 7, DMEA No. 1)? A reasonable amount--yes.

(*b*) How do you propose to furnish your share of the costs? Yes

[X] Money [ ] Use of equipment owned by you [ ] Other

Explain in detail on acompanying paper.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, whether as an individual, corporate officer, partner, or otherwise, both in his own behalf and acting for the applicant, certifies that the information set forth in this form and accompanying papers is correct and complete, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Dated April 9, 1956

(Applicant)

By L.W.Cain

Box 79 Henrietta, N.C.

Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), Section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—66551-1

RECEIVED
APR 2 6 1956

6. (a) NONE –
(b) LABOR – 3 MEN – $1.00 hr. OTHERS $1.50 PER Hr. ESTIMATION – $600.00
(c) HAND TOOLS → 50.00
WATER → 100.00
POWER → 250.00
(d) CAN HIRE BULLDOZER @ $11.00 PER Hr. → 500.00
(e) NONE – → 100.00
(f) TOOL SHED → 200.00
(g) MISCELLANEOUS →

7. (a) YES –
(b) MONEY –

THIS IS AN ESTIMATION AFTER DISCUSSING THE SITUATION WITH MEN WHO HAVE HAD EXPERIENCE IN THIS TYPE OF WORK – POSSIBLY $1000. SPENT WOULD BE ENOUGH TO KNOW WHETHER OR NOT CONTINUE –

THE LITTLE DIGGING THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN DONE MAKES THE PROSPECT LOOK GOOD AT THIS POINT –

RECEIVED
APR 2 6 1956

4- PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION

(a) SOME SMALL HOLES HAVE BEEN DUG. SOME MICA OBTAINED. PROSPECT GOOD.

(b) A NEW PROJECT. SALEBLE MICA HAS BEEN OBTAINED-

(c)



(d) VERY ACCESSIBLE.

(e) MAN POWER ACCESIBLE-
400 YARDS TO NATURAL WATER SUPPLY-
MOST OF OTHER ITEMS TO BE OTTAINED-

L.W. CAIN
HENRIETTA N.C.

U. S. Government Messenger Envelope

Standard Form No. 65 (Rev. 1/46)

1. Use blocks consecutively, one at a time.
2. Void previous block.
3. Write clearly.

NAME OR TITLE OF PERSON, DEPARTMENT, ADDRESS, AND ROOM		NAME OR TITLE OF PERSON, DEPARTMENT, ADDRESS, AND ROOM	
Mr John C. Reed Ass 5236 So Bg	RUN / STOP	Mrs Brady Accounting Control Section	RUN / STOP
Mr Emerson 4	RUN / STOP	Mr George Rand Rm 3235 GSA	RUN / STOP
[illegible]	RUN / STOP	Budget and Finance Branch	RUN / STOP
Mr H [illegible] Rm 3232	RUN / STOP	Mrs Grant	RUN / STOP
Mr Schneider	RUN / STOP	Vera Ward Payroll	RUN / STOP
Mr Pro Gunness Rm. 1242-S	RUN / STOP	[illegible]	RUN / STOP
[illegible] 208	RUN / STOP	Miss Dennis Room 5222, Int	RUN / STOP
[illegible] 243	RUN / STOP	Mr. Grismold Room 4444, Interior	RUN / STOP
Mr Fleming GSA Bldg 4250	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
[illegible] 328 Interior	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP

Send surplus envelopes to supply room for reissue.

Use other side

Use RUN and STOP only when messenger service between Government buildings in Washington is required. Your mail room has RUN and STOP information.