IMEA Form 9

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

OFFICIAL DOCKET FILE

DMEA NO. 4591

Application (Denied
(Withdrawn
(After disposition, delete items not applicable)

Contract (Terminated - not Certified
(Cancelled
(Terminated - Certified
(Royalty Agreement

This is the official contract file containing all official records of the project. The records contained in the files are checked and are arranged in this order:

Left Side

Interim Royalty Audits
Reports of Royalty Review
Certification of Discovery
Certificate of Audit (Final)
Interim Audit(s)
Report of Review
Termination Notice or Agreement
Recision Notice
Assignment of Contract
Contract Amendments (latest on top)
Contract with all exhibits and annexes
Owner's Consent to Lien
Subordination Agreement
Leases and assignments of leases
Application and attachments
(3) Envelope for maps

Right Side

Project summary
Work completed analysis
All other material is filed in chronological order with correspondence including the following reports as checked:

Final Field Team Report (Tab)
Operator's Final Report
(2R) Interim Reports
(2R) Operator's monthly reports and all attachments (latest on top)
On-site Exam Report(s) (Tab)
(4) Settlement Sheets

When the volume of records is expected to warrant additional folders, or when convenience of reference warrants separate folders for certain records, they should be set up in this order:

Left Side

Folder No. 2: (In chron. order)
Interim Summary Reports by W. O. Engineers

Right Side

Operator's Semi-Annual Report for Certified Project, Field Team Interim Reports, Operator's Monthly Report with transmittal, narrative, maps, and Field Team review.

Folder No. 3: Maps (Use pocket folder or envelope. Fold maps with title block out and show reference to related document or correspondence.)

Folder No. 4: Settlement Sheets

Folder No. 5: Drill Logs

MINING LEASE

State of North Carolina Mitchell County:

This indenture made this 24 day of December, 1956, 1953,

between John H Hoyle and Wife, Leona Hoyle,

of Mitchell County and State of North Carolina as party of the first part, and known as lessors, and R. P. Greene & W. H. Sparks

of Mitchell County and State of North Carolina, party of the second part and known as lessee.

WITNESSETH, That the parties of the first part in consideration of One Dollar in hand received and hereby acknowledged by the lessors, and in consideration of the agreements hereinafter contained, on the part of the party of the second part to be performed, hereby bargains, leases and grants to the party of the second part, and his heirs and assigns, for the period of five years from the date of this agreement, the following described real property, for mining purposes, in the County and State first above written to wit:

First tract consisting of 4-2/3 acres, In Snow Creek Township, Mitchell Co, from Barney Huskins & Nannie Huskins, to J. H. Hoyle and Wife, Leona Hoyle, recorded in book #84 at page, 218,

Second tract consisting of 4-2/3 acres, In Snow Ctreek Township, Mitchell Co, purchased from D. M. Willis and Wife, Alice Willis to John H. Hoyle & Wife, Leona Hoyle, recorded in book #84, at page, 219. in the Office of the Register of Deeds of Mitchell County, N. C.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said lands to him, for mining purposes, the said lessee and his heirs and assigns for the aforesaid period of five years in compliance with the condition of this instrument, for the purpose and with the right to dig, quarry, search, explore for, obtain, and remove any kind of minerals, metals or fossils, and particularly mica, and to conduct the same to any extent the said party of the second part, the lessee, may desire. And it is hereby agreed between the parties to this agreement that the party of the second part is to have the use of any unoccupied buildings on said lands for mining purposes, and that he shall have the right to erect such buildings as he needs to carry on such mining operatins during the period of this lease, and that the party of the second part shall keep the same in as good condition as when received, unavoidable accidents excepted. And it is also agreed by the party of the second part that no unnecessary damage is to be done to the lands herein leased during the period of this lease and the continuance of said lease, but the said party of the second part shall have the right to take from said lands leased to the party of the second part any wood or timber the party of the second part may need to carry on said mining operations or which may be needed to carry on the addition to existing buildings or to erect new buildings or sheds on said lands. (Timber of high or special market value is excepted and shall not be used by the lessee.) And it is hereby agreed that the party of the second part, the lessee, is to have right-of-way of ingress and egress to said mines or land upon which exploration is being conducted.

AND IT IS FURTHER AGREED That the party of the second part, the lessee hereby agrees to pay to the said party of the first part, or his or their heirs or assigns, a rental royalty of 1/8 on Mica, 50¢ per ton on Feldspar.

on the minerals taken and sold from said mines which shall be for the use of the said lands for the aforesaid period of five years. The said amounts shall be payable on the computation of selling bills on the tenth day of each month covering sales made during the previous month. Any minerals other than those set forth above which may be taken and sold shall be paid for and at the usual royalty rate of the minerals taken.

AND IT IS FURTHER AGREED That the lessee, the party of the second part, his heirs or assigns, shall have the option to renew this lease and to extend the period of its running for an additional five years from the date of its present termination. The option shall be exercised by giving formal written notice to the party of the first part or by the continuation of any mining operations then being conducted. The lessor reserve the right to use the land, or convey the same not conflicting with this lease, but under any conveyance the terms of this lease must be recognized by the grantee, purchaser, or assigns thereof. And it is agreed that the lessee may assign this mining lease or any part thereof and that he may sublease.

AND IT IS FURTHER AGREED That the lessee, the party of the second part, his heirs or assigns, is hereby given an option to purchase all the right, title and interest, legal and equitable to and in the real estate described and set forth in this lease for a total purchase price of _____, said purchase price to be paid in full within three years from the date of this instrument and it is agreed that all rental royalties paid to the party of the first part shall apply toward and be a part of the total purchase price above set forth, and that at such time that the total purchase price is paid in the form of royalties or a lump sum payment or a combination of both, the party of the first part will execute a special warranty deed to the party of the second part and that a tender of the remaining purchase price at any time within the next three years shall entitle the party of the second part to specific performance of this option contract.

BUT IT IS EXPRESSLY AGREED That unless mineral or fossil material of practical value for mining purposes shall be found within a period of two years from the date of this instrument, the lease may be terminated by the lessee at any time thereafter on thirty days notice to the lessor. The lessee agrees that during the period of this lease or the continuance of this lease work in said mines or exploration for new mines within the area leased shall not cease longer than ninety days at any one time, unavoidable accidents excepted.

WITNESS our hands and seals the day and year first above written.

Witness:

Peter O. Buchanan

John H Hoyle (Seal)

Leona Hoyle (Seal)

R. P. Greene (Seal)

W H Sparks (SEAL)

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,
MITCHELL COUNTY.

The foregoing ~~certifi~~ instrument was this day acknowledged before me by John H. Hoyle and Wife, Leona Hoyle, and R. P. Greene & W. H. Sparks, for the purpose therein expressed. Let the instrument with this certificate be registered, This the 24th, day of December, 1956.

Deputy Clerk Superior Court of
Mitchell County, N. C.

Filed for Registration on the 27 day of Dec 1956 at 2:35 o'clock P M., and Registered in the office of the Register of Deeds for Mitchell County, N. C. this 29 day of Dec 1956 at 9:20 o'clock A M., in Book 19 of Deeds, on Page 127 etc.

Bell Masters
Register of Deeds

MF-103
(Revised April 1952)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Form Approved.
Budget Bureau No. 42-R1035.2.

RECEIVED JAN 3 1957 D.M.E.A.

APPLICATION FOR AID IN AN EXPLORATION PROJECT, PURSUANT TO DMEA ORDER 1, UNDER THE DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT OF 1950, AS AMENDED

Not to be filled in by applicant

Docket No. 4591
Metal or Mineral Mica
Date Received 1-3-57
Estimated Cost $6,871
Participation (Government %)

INSTRUCTIONS

1. *Name of applicant.*—(*a*) State here your full legal name, in the form in which you will wish to contract, and your mailing address: Greene & Sparks (Partnership)
R.P. Greene, P.O. Box 94, Bakersville, North Carolina.
W.H. Sparks, Bakersville, North Carolina.

(*b*) If other than an individual, add to your name above whether a corporation, partnership, etc., and the name of the State in which incorporated or otherwise organized.

(*c*) If a corporation, add to above statement, titles, names and addresses of officers.

(*d*) If a partnership, add to the above statement the names and addresses of all partners.

2. *General.*—Read DMEA Order 1, "Government Aid in Defense Exploration Projects," before completing this application. Submit this application and all accompanying papers in quadruplicate (four copies), with your name and address on each sheet of the application and on all accompanying papers. Where sufficient space is not provided on the form for all required information, state it on an accompanying paper, with a reference in each case to the instruction to which it refers by number. Comply with all applicable instructions; or, if not applicable, so state. File the application with Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C., or with the nearest field executive officer thereof.

3. *Applicant's property rights.*—(*a*) State the legal description of the land upon which you wish to explore, including all land which you possess or control that may be benefited by the exploration, and excluding any land or interest in land which is not to be included in the exploration project contract 1st Tract: of 4-2/3 acres from Barney Huskins and wife, Nannie Huskins, to J.H. Hoyle and wife, Leona Hoyle, recorded in Book # 84, page 218. 2nd tract: 4-2/3 acres, from D.M. Willis and wife, Alice Willis to John H. Hoyle and wife, recorded in Book # 84, page 219 in Register of Deeds office, Mitchell County, N.C.

(*b*) State any mine name by which the property is known.

(*c*) State your interest in the land, whether owner, lessee, purchaser under contract, or otherwise Lessees

(*d*) If you are not the owner, submit with this application a copy of the lease, contract, or other document under which you control the property. Lease attached

(*e*) If you own the land, describe any liens or encumbrances on it None

(*f*) If the land consists of unpatented claims, add to the description above, the book and page numbers for each recorded location notice. None

4. *Physical description.*—(*a*) Describe in detail any mining or exploration operations which have been or now are being conducted upon the land, including existing mine workings and production facilities. State your interest, if any, in such operations. Also describe accessibility of mine workings for examination purposes. See attached sheets

(*b*) State past and current production, and ore reserves, if any, giving quantities and grades. do

(*c*) Describe the geologic features of the property, including mineralization, type of deposit (vein, bedded, etc.), and your reasons for wishing to explore. Illustrate with maps or sketches. Send with your application (but not necessarily as a part of it) any geologic or engineering report, assay maps, or other technologic information you may have, indicating on each whether you require its return to you. do

(*d*) State the facts with respect to the accessibility of the project: Access roads, distances to shipping, supply and residence points. do

(*e*) State the availability of manpower, materials, supplies, equipment, water, and power. do

5. *The exploration project.*—(a) State the mineral or minerals for which you wish to explore Lice

(b) Describe fully the proposed work, including a map or sketch of the property showing a plan (and cross sections if needed) of any present mine workings, and the location of the proposed exploration work as related to such features as contacts, veins, ore-bearing beds, etc. See attached sheets

(c) The work will start within 30 days and be completed within 4 months from the date of an exploration project contract.

(d) State the operating experience and background of the applicant with relation to the ability to carry out such exploration project, and also that of the person or persons who will supervise the operations. See attached sheets

6. *Estimate of costs.*—Furnish a detailed estimate of the costs of the proposed work (you will have to use a separate sheet), under the following headings. Add the totals under all headings to give the estimated total cost of the project: do

(a) *Independent contracts.*—(Note.—If the applicant does not intend to let any of the work to contractors, write "none" after this item. To the extent that the work is to be contracted, do not repeat the cost of the contract-work in subsequent items.) State the cost of any proposed independent contracts for the performance of all or any part of the work, expressed in terms of units of work (such as per foot of drilling, per foot of drifting, per hour of bulldozer operations, per cubic yard of material moved, etc.). None

(b) *Labor, supervision, consultants.*—Include an itemized schedule of numbers, classes and rates of wages, salaries or fees for necessary labor, supervision and engineering and geological consultants. See attached sheets

(c) *Operating materials and supplies.*—Furnish an itemized list, including items of equipment costing less than $50 each, and power, water and fuel: do

(d) *Operating equipment.*—Furnish an itemized list of any operating equipment to be rented, purchased, or which is owned and will be furnished by the Operator, with the estimated rental, purchase price, or suggested use-allowance based on present value, as the case may be. do

(e) *Rehabilitation and repairs.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary initial rehabilitation or repairs of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and movable operating equipment, now owned by the Operator and which will be devoted to the exploration project. do

(f) *New buildings, improvements, installations.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary buildings, fixed improvements, or installations to be purchased, installed or constructed for the benefit of the exploration project. do

(g) *Miscellaneous.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of repairs to and maintenance of operating equipment (not including initial rehabilitation or repairs of the Operator's equipment), analytical work, accounting, workmen's compensation and employers' liability insurance, and payroll taxes. do

(h) *Contingencies.*—Give an estimate of any necessary allowances for contingencies not included in the costs stated above.

NOTE.—No items of general overhead, corporate management, interest, taxes (other than payroll and sales taxes), or any other indirect costs, or work performed or costs incurred before the date of the contract, should be included in the estimate of costs.

7. (a) Are you prepared to furnish your share of the cost of the proposed project in accordance with the regulations on Government participation (Sec. 7, DMEA No. 1)? Yes

(b) How do you propose to furnish your share of the costs? See attached sheets

[x] Money [ ] Use of equipment owned by you [x] Other Labor

Explain in detail on acompanying paper.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, whether as an individual, corporate officer, partner, or otherwise, both in his own behalf and acting for the applicant, certifies that the information set forth in this form and accompanying papers is correct and complete, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Dated Jan. 2nd, 1957

Greene & Sparks (Partnership)
(Applicant)

By R. P. Greene

R.P. Greene, (Partner)
P.O. Box 94
Bakersville, N.C.

Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), Section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—66551-1

Re: GREENE & SPARKS
P.O. BOX 94,
BAKERSVILLE, N.C.

SUPPLEMENT SHEET NO.1.
-re-
FORM MF-103, (Revised)

Sect. 4-(a) PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT.

The proposed mica project hereinafter referred to as the "Old Job Willis Mine", is located in Snow Creek Township, Mitchell County, State of North Carolina. The project site lys on the right hand side,(East) of a small ridge near the bottom of said ridge just above a small spring branch and fish pond and about 600 feet Northwest of the resident of Mr. John H. Hoyle the owner of the property. The old works, some of it dating back to the late 1880's, consists of (1) an old caved tunnel of which no accurate data can be obtained as to workers, length of tunnel,production, ects. (2) A large open pit which is approximately 40' X 40' in diamiter and from 10 feet on lower edge to 35 feet on upper edge in depth. In the bottom of this large open pit a second pit has been sunk down on and with the dip of the main vein to a depth of approximately 20 feet. The bottom of this pit (or shaft) narrows down in the bottom to approximately 6 to 8 feet. It was in this pit or shaft that the last known work was done in 1955 but the operation didnot go on but a few weeks. It is reported that several hundred pounds of high grade mica was recovered by the miners during the short period of operation. On the Northwest side of the large open pit (not the shaft) a shallow drift was opened by Mr. John H. Hoyle, the land owner and others and a large amount of large high grade mica was recoverd during this operation. The mining operation was discontinued after the overhead caved in on the workers causing the death of one worker and badly injuring all the others. The 20' pit or shaft described above is now filled with water up to the floor level of the large 40' open pit. During the past few weeks an open pit has been opened by by means of a bulldozer. This open pit is located a few feet Southeast of the large 40' open pit and is about 120 feet long by 10 feet wide and about 6 feet deep at lowest point. The main pegmatite body is exposed along the full length of this new excavation (120 Ft.) but no actual mining has been done in this new pit so far. The intrest of the applicants is that of the Lessee's who have good reasons to believe that a substancial amount of high grade mica can be recovered at this site if properly mined. The proposed mining site is located only about 600 feet off a main grave road and is readily accessible for inspection purposes.

Sect. 4-(b) PAST & CURRENT PRODUCTION DATA.

As above stated in Sect. 4-(a), no mining has been done at this site since 1955. Several hundred pounds of mica (in book) was said to have been recovered by the last operator. This information was obtained from the landowner, Mr. John H. Hoyle who received the royalty from the mica mined and sold during this operation. Also there was recovered several hundred dollars worth of mica from the shallow drift above mentioned before it caved in on the workers. No accurate data is available on any of the ancient mining operations except reports that mica was obtained from all operations previously worked. There is no current operation of mine thus no production data.

Re: GREENE & SPARKS
P.O. BOX 94,
BAKERSVILLE, N.C.

SUPPLEMENT SHEET NO.2.
-re-
FORM MF-103,(Revised)

Sect. 4-(c) GEOLOGIC DATA OF PROJECT.

The main pegmatite body averaging about 6 feet thick strikes a course running approximately NE-SW with the dip of the body to the S-SE at about 40 to 45 degrees. Only the hangingwall slate is visible but both slates are reported to be visible in the 20' shaft as described in Sect. 4-(a) where the pegmatite body is reported to average about 6 feet between the binding slates. From observation made of the old dumps, the main body is composed of solid and kaolized feldspar, quarts, some granite pods and rich mica rock formation. A very permanent ouartz core is said to be exposed in the bottom of the 20' shaft now filled with water. No books of mica except very small books was observed but an examination of these small books showed a very high grade of light ruby colored mica of good texture and practically free of defects. A small amount of ruling was observed in a few of the books examined. Books of mica weighing as much as 100 pounds are said to have been mined from the large pit in past operations. Mica from this mine has been sold to the U.S. Govt Depot at Spruce Pine, N.C. from operations in 1954-55 and was said to have graded above average.

Sect. 4-(d) . Acessibility Of Project.

In order to reach the proposed mining site by auto take N.C. State Highway # 26, either South from Bakersville, N.C. or North from Spruce Pine, N.C. to a point about 200 feet North of the residence of Mrs. Walter Wilson where the Snow Hill gravel road intersects with State # 26. This is approximately 4 miles south of Bakersville and 8 miles North of Spruce Pine, N.C. on # 26 highway. Take the Snow Hill gravel road westward past the new church building on top of the ridge for about 2 miles to the forks of the said road. Take the upper or right hand road for about ½ mile to the residence of Mr. John H.Hoyle at the bottom of a steep hill. Leave gravel road (foot) and walk Northwest about 600 feet to mining site which can be seen from the gravel road at Mr. Hoyles house.

Sect.4-(e) . Manpower, Supplies, Materials, ects.

An ample number of thoroughly experienced mica miners are available upon demand. Ample mining matrials and supplies are also available at Spruce Pine, N.C. or Penland, N.C. A power line runs within 600 feet of the proposed project and a spring branch about 200 feet from the project assures ample water supply. The nearest resident is about 600 feet from the project.

Sect. 5-(a) . Proposed Exploratory Work To Be Done.

At a point South of the large pit and between the large pit and the extreeme lower end on the newly excavated pit or trench there is a large mound composed of some muck on the top and vein slate on the bottom. This mound will average about 35 to 40 feet in diamiter and stands some 20 feet higher than the bottom level of the large 40 ft pit at its lower edge. It is proposed to remove this mound by use of bulldozer to a depth as far down as possible to use bulldozer and then to center and drive a 5' X 7' shaft down on and with the dip of the main pegmatite body to a depth of 50 feet. At the bottom of this shaft it is proposed to drive a drift 50 feet each way with the strike of the main body. Under this proposal there would be any contact with any of the old works. (see attached sketch)

Re: GREENE & SPARKS,
P.O. BOX 94,
BAKERSVILLE, N.C.

SUPPLEMENT SHEET NO.3.
-re-
FORM MF-103,(Revised)

Sect. 5-(d) Mining Qualification Of Workers.

Both applicants have had in excess of 10 years actual experience in the mining of mica. All hired workers would be fully experienced mica miners as such experienced miners are plentiful in this section of the county and are available upon demand. While the supervisor for this proposed project has not been settled upon at this time, no one would be selected or hired for this important without a minimum of ten years actual mining experience with a part of that time assigned to a supervisory capacity.

Sect. 6-(b) . Estimate of Costs---Supervision & Labor.

No.	Class.	Hrly Rate.	Wkly Rate.	Est. Costs for 16 Week Period.	640 Wk. Hrs
1	Supervisor	$ 1.75	$ 70.00	$ 1120.00	
1	Drill Operator	1.50	60.00	960.00	
1	Hoist Operator	1.25	50.00	800.00	
2	Muckers @ 1.00 ea.	2.00	80.00	1280.00	
(5)	Man Crew	$ 6.50	$260.00	$ 4160.00	640 Wk Hrs

Sect. 6-(c) . Operating Materials & Supplies. (Purchase Basis.)

Unit	Items	No.Units	Unit Costs	Total Estimated Costs
Gal.	Gasoline	560	$ 0.25	$ 140.00
Qts.	Motor Oil	160	0.22	35.20
Ea.	Lubricator	1	25.00	25.00
Lft.	1½ pipe	200	.16	32.00
Lbs.	Dynamite	500	.25	125.00
Ea.	El Exploders	400	.14	56.00
Lft.	Air Hose	50	.80	40.00
Lft.	Drill Steels	24	(Tung)153.60	153.60
Ea.	Blast Battery	1	25.00	25.00
Lft.	El Lead Line	100	.06	6.00
Ea.	Mine Bucket	1	6.00	6.00
Totals----------				$ 643.80

Sect. 6-(d) . Operating Equipment. (Monthly Rental Basis)

Unit	Items	No Units	Unit Costs	Total Est Costs
Ea.	Compressor (air)	1	$ 150.00	$ 600.00
Ea.	Jackhammer Drill	1	50.00	200.00
Ea.	Hoist	1	50.00	200.00
Ea.	El. Water Pump	1	50.00	200.00
Totals----------		(4)------	$ 300.00	$ 1200.00

Sect. 6-(f) New Buildings-Installations. (Purchase Basis)

Unit	Item	Unit Cost	Total Cost For Period
1	Tool House	$ 75.00	$ 75.00
1	Pdw Magazine	25.00	25.00
1	Exp. Magazine	15.00	15.00
Totals----------			$115.00

Re: GREENE & SPARKS,
BOX 94,
BAKERSVILLE, N.C.

SUPPLEMENT SHEET NO.4.
-re-
FORM MF-103, (revised)

Sect. 6-(g) Miscellaneous Expenses.

Federal Payroll Taxes @ 2% of $ 4160.00 Payroll	$ 83.20
Employers Liability Ins. (Estimated)	150.00
Employee's Ins. (Groupe) (Estimated)	200.00
Totals----------	$ 433.20

Sect. 6-(h) Contingencies.

32 Hours Bulldozer work @ $10.00 per Hr.	$ 320.00
Pushing off dump & Vein	
Totals----------	$ 320.00

Sect. 7-(b) Explination of Operators 25% Participation in Project.

The operators (Lessee's) will furnish their 25% of the total costs of the proposed mining project by meeting $ 1,717.65 of the total costs of labor needed for project.

RECAPITULATION OF ESTIMATED COSTS OF PROJECT
---BY UNITS---

Labor & Supervision----------	16 Week Period----------	$ 4160.00
Operating Mat. & Supplies------	" " " ----------	643.00
Operating Equipment----------	" " " ----------	1200.00
Bldgs & Installations----------	" " " ----------	115.00
Miscell Expenses----------	" " " ----------	433.20
Contingencies----------	" " " ----------	320.00
TOTALS----------	16 Week Period----------	$ 6871.00

GENERAL SUMMARY OF PROJECT EXPENSES AND
THE GOVERNMENT & OPERATORS PARTICIPATION

TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS OF PROJECT, (16 WEEK PERIOD)----------	$ 6871.00
LESSEE'S SHARE OF ABOVE @ 25% OF ESTIMATED COSTS----------	1717.65
TOTAL AMOUNT OF LOAN SOLICITED FROM GOVERNMENT, 75%----------	5153.35

Respectfully Submitted,

Greene & Sparks, (Partnership)

By R. P. Greene Partner.
P.O. Box 94,
Bakersville, North Carolina.



Re: GREENE & SPARKS
BOX 94
BAKERSVILLE, N.C.

SKETCH OF JOB WILLIS
MICA MINE
MITCHELL COUNTY, N.C.

RECEIVED
JAN 8 1951

DMEA Form 7
(12-56)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

Date	Surname	Code
4/9	Houk	600
4/10	Selfridge	130
"	Johnson	110
"	Mittendorf	100
4/11	C	220

600

APR 10 1957

Greene & Sparks
c/o Mr. R. P. Greene
P. O. Box 94
Bakersville, North Carolina

Re: Docket No. DMEA-4591-Mica
R. P. Greene and W. H. Sparks
Old Job Willis Mine
Mitchell County, North Carolina

Lamb
Nelson

Gentlemen:

Your application for aid for an exploration project and other reports available to us in Washington relating to the subject property have been reviewed.

Projects approved by the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration must, in its judgment, show definite promise of yielding materials of acceptable grade in quantities that will significantly improve the mineral supply position for the National Defense Program.

Careful study of all our information indicates to us that the probability of disclosing significant reserves of mica is not sufficiently promising to justify Government participation. We regret to advise you, under the circumstances, that your application for exploration assistance is denied.

We wish to thank you for your interest in the Defense Minerals Program and for bringing your property to our attention.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf

Administrator

LGHouk:gad 4/9/57
Copy to: Admr. R. File
Operating Committee
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk
Field Team, Region V

8623

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C. 600

April 8, 1957

Memorandum

To: Mr. C. O. Mittendorf, Administrator

From: L. G. Houk, Chief, Nonmetallic Minerals Division

Subject: Evaluation of application and field examination report.
Docket No. DMEA-4591-Mica
R. P. Greene and W. H. Sparks
Old Job Willis Mine
Mitchell County, North Carolina
Denial of application is recommended.

Mica: Brownish-green books up to six inches in diameter, predominantly in the 1- to 3-inch size range. Muscovite is mostly flat containing a fair percentage of bent and ruled books, some contains fine biotite intergrowth, a few locky or tied books and some "A" reeving. Very little mica seen in place; the description of mica is from a basketful of hand-cobbed books.

Pegmatite: A series of concordant lenticular pegmatites were well exposed at time of previous examination DMEA-4124, the old works were pumped dry and mapped. Exposed in the trench are several small lenticular pods of relatively fine-grained pegmatite. A series of concordant lenticular pegmatites were well exposed at the time of the previous examination. These structures are from 1 to 6 feet thick, maximum dimension. Two small lenticles of fine-grained pegmatite (7 ft. long and 1 ft. thick) were exposed by the present applicants' bulldozing. All structures are concordantly emplaced in a weathered contorted biotite gneiss and schist. The pegmatite is composed of a fine- to medium-grained mixture of oligoclase, perthite, disseminated quartz and muscovite.

Workings: A northeast-southwest trending bulldozer trench has been excavated by the present applicant along the east side of the old open cut (100' x 15' x 5' deep).

Past production: 1,050 lbs. of hand-cobbed block brought a total of $583.33. A total of 24.31 lbs. of sheet, or 2.3% of the block was recovered which is hardly enough to sustain an economic mining operation.

Applicants' Proposal:

32 hours bulldozer work
50 feet of 5' x 7' shaft
100 feet of drift
for an estimated cost of $6,871.00

Recommendation: As this is the same site that was examined and denied under DMEA-4124, March 15, 1956, after being pumped dry and mapped, as the very dangerous condition of the workings and lack of abundant strategic mica and continuity of the pegmatite for any distance along the strike, the Field Team recommends denial. At present the Field Team reports that the old works are full of water and inaccessible. The Nonmetallic Minerals Division concurs in the Field Team's recommendation, as do the Commodity Members of the Bureau of Mines and the Geological Survey.

NOTES by S. C. Bergman and Wm. A. Beck on the Operators and their gripes on so called preferential treatment, and quality of mica prospects in general.

The applicant, upon being told that no exploration program could be recommended by the examiners, and the reasons thereof, stated that he intended to complain to his representative from the 10th District from North Carolina to Congress, Mr. C. R. Jonas, and that, "he had been turned down under DMEA now three times (?), and since he couldn't get a loan this way, intended to let "the big mica boss," Mr. Jonas, inspect personally." The applicant, Mr. Robert Patterson Greene, Sr., made some serious charges to the effect that some people get all the DMEA contracts and can obtain them at will. The examiners feel that such charges reflect on their personal integrity and are not kindly disposed towards such individuals, who exhibit a complete lack of knowledge of our relationship between Mr. Phillips and others as well as a woeful inadequacy as to determining what constitutes an economic deposit of strategic muscovite. Many still believe that the present program is merely an extension of the wartime Colonial Mica Program.

The belief that Mr. Phillips and associates have a strangle hold on obtaining DMEA contracts can partly be explained by the fact that individuals are aware of only those operations on which contracts have been granted, and are of course unaware of the large number of applications by Mr. Phillips that have been denied. Individuals do not take into account, the fact that Mr. Phillips turns in many times more applications than any other mica operator in the area, for which he has a perfect right to do so. Mr. Phillips has been operating continuously under the DMEA program and is and has been associated with some of the more successful mica mining operations in the area and by now has acquired a very good idea of what constitutes an economic mica deposit. With the above experience behind him, he has been able to apply for exploration assistance on somewhat better mica-bearing pegmatites than the average individual. In the long run, the ratio of denials to recommendations is fairly close in the case of Mr. Phillips vrs. all other individuals.

The above situation will probably become more prevalent as time goes on since the best and more obvious mica pegmatites in the district have been pretty well picked over and mined out. It has become increasingly apparent to the field examiners that the overall quality of mica prospects has been steadily decreasing in the last year or so.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED APR 8 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
4/8	C	220
		600
April 5,	1957	

Memorandum

To: Nonmetallic Minerals Division
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: W. F. Dietrich, Chief, Branch of Ceramic and Fertilizer Materials

Subject: DMEA-4591 (mica)

Applicant: R. P. Greene and W. H. Sparks

Name and Location of Property: Old Job Willis Mine, Mitchell County, North Carolina

Date of Field Team Report: 3/27/57

Date Rec'd. by Br. of Ceramic & Fertilizer Materials: 4/2/57

Field Team Recommendation: Recommend denial of application.

Comments:

1. This is the same property previously examined and denied under DMEA-4124.
2. The field examiners report there is a lack of structural continuity exposed in old works as well as an indicated low percentage of sheet recovery from the block muscovite.
3. Reserves of strategic mica are estimated to be negligible.

Recommendation:

I concur with the recommendation of the field team for denial of application on grounds that further exploration is unwarranted.

W. F. Dietrich

W. F. Dietrich

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
IN REPLY REFER TO:
RECEIVED APR 5 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
4/5	C	220
		600

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

April 4, 1957
Re: DMEA 4591
R. P. Greene & W. H. Sparks
Old Job Willis Mine
Mitchell ~~County, N. C.~~
$6,871 - Mica

Memorandum

To: L. G. Houk, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: N. E. Nelson, U. S. Geological Survey

Subject: Review of Field Team Report

The applicants requested assistance in further exploring a pegmatite on which considerable work has been done and some mica shipped as late as 1955. The prospect has been the subject of two previous examinations and DMEA application 4124 was denied in 1956.

The present applicants have done a small amount of bulldozing which revealed another narrow parallel pegmatite, and it is largely on this pegmatite they base their application.

In the opinion of the examiners, the potential of the new pegmatite plus that of the old is not such as to justify a contract. The applicants apparently think there is mica in the now partially water-filled pit on the old pegmatite. The examiners, under DMEA 4424, had the pit pumped out and know that the conditions are unfavorable for mica production.

The opinions of the examiners and the Field Team are amply sustained and the recommendation of denial is justified. I concur with the recommendation.

N. E. Nelson

N. E. Nelson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
~~WASHINGTON 25, D. C.~~
ROOM 13 POST OFFICE BLDG.
KNOXVILLE 2, TENN.

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED MAR 29 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE

March 27, 1957

Memorandum

To: Field Team Region V - Knoxville

From: S. C. Bergman and Wm. A. Beck

Subject: Old Job Willis Mine Examination, DMEA-4591 (mica)

The subject mine was examined on February 5, 1957. The examiners were accompanied by the applicants, Messrs. R. P. Greene and W. H. Sparks.

This is the same property that was denied under DMEA-4124 and previously examined on 1/30/56 and 2/13/56. At the time of the previous examination the old works were pumped dry and mapped by the examiners. The results of this mapping accompany this memorandum. An application was made on the same mine by Mr. S. L. Phillips in mid-1956 and withdrawn before being officially docketed.

This memorandum is somewhat detailed, because after completion of the present examination, the applicant, upon being told that no exploration program could be recommended by the examiners, and the reasons thereof, stated that he intended to complain to his representative from the 10th district from North Carolina to Congress, Mr. C. R. Jonas, and that, "he had been turned down under DMEA now three times (?), and since he couldn't get a loan this way, intended to let 'the big mica boss,' Mr. Jonas, inspect the mine personally." The applicant, Mr. Robert Patterson Greene, Sr., intimated that Mr. Jonas was a personal friend of his and that he had considerable influence with him. Inquiries made in the area about Mr. Greene, indicate that this relationship does not actually exist, except as a political constituent. Mr. Green also made some serious charges to the effect that some people get all the DMEA contracts and can obtain them at will. He referred specifically to Mr. S. L. Phillips of Spruce Pine, N. C. He was told in no uncertain terms that the charges were false and that the examiners had statistical evidence to back up their statement. The examiners feel that such charges reflect on their personal integrity and are not kindly disposed towards such individuals, who exhibit a complete lack of knowledge of our relationship between Mr. Phillips and others as well as a woeful inadequacy as to determining what constitutes an economic deposit of strategic muscovite. Such individuals are usually badly misinformed and uninformed as to DMEA

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE

(date)

regulations and requirements. An extensive amount of time has been spent by the field examiners during and outside of working hours trying to explain and clarify the requirements under the program to individuals, many of whom still firmly believe that the present program is merely an extension of the wartime Colonial Mica Program.

The belief that Mr. Phillips and associates have a strangle hold on obtaining DMEA contracts can partly be explained by the fact that individuals are aware of only those operations on which contracts have been granted, and are of course unaware of the large number of applications by Mr. Phillips that have been denied. Individuals do not take into account, the fact that Mr. Phillips turns in many times more applications than any other mica operator in the area, for which he has a perfect right to do so. Mr. Phillips has been operating continuously under the DMEA program and is and has been associated with some of the more successful mica mining operations in the area and by now has acquired a very good idea of what constitutes an economic mica deposit. With the above experience behind him, he has been able to apply for exploration assistance on somewhat better mica-bearing pegmatites than the average individual. In the long run, the ratio of denials to recommendations is fairly close in the case of Mr. Phillips vrs. all other individuals.

The above situation will probably become more prevalent as time goes on since the best and more obvious mica pegmatites in the district have been pretty well picked over and mined out. It has become increasingly apparent to the field examiners that the overall quality of mica prospects has been steadily decreasing in the last year or so. Under the present program, they will probably continue to decrease in quality. The potential remains in the district, but there appears to be a crying need for the application of professional engineering and geological methods to mica exploration, development, and mining. Under the present program, the field can assist in only a very limited way. More and more applications are being put in on large abandoned workings on which it is impossible to set up a satisfactory exploration program without an extensive amount of geologic and engineering control. The field examiners are in no position to do surveying for the applicants and almost all applicants appear to be incapable of doing it themselves or are unwilling to hire it done by competent personnel.

SSB/as

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

ROOM 13 POST OFFICE BLDG.
KNOXVILLE 2, TENN.

March 27, 1957

OFFICIAL FILE COPY DMEA RECEIVED MAR 29 1957		
DATE	INITIALS	CODE
3/29	C	220
		1302
4/4	C	220
		600

Memorandum

To: Operating Committee, DMEA - Washington

From: DMEA Field Team, Region V - Knoxville, Tennessee

Subject: Field Examination Report - DMEA-4591, Mica.
R. P. Greene & W. H. Sparks, Old Job Willis, Mine.
Mitchell County, N.C.

Transmitted are four copies of the subject examination report with map attached, and 3 copies of examiners' memo.

The Field Team concurs with the field examiners that sufficient work has been done by previous Operators to fully outline the mica potential of the site and does not feel further work is justifiable.

Robert A. Laurence
Robert A. Laurence
Executive Officer, DMEA
Region V

V. J. Lynch
V. J. Lynch, Member
Bureau of Mines

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
4-3-57
(date)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
D M E A
RECEIVED MAR 29 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
		1302
		220

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

FIELD EXAMINATION REPORT
REGION V

On

DMEA-4591 (mica) OLD JOB WILLIS MINE
Mitchell County, N. C.

By

S. C. Bergman, Geologist
U. S. Geological Survey

and

Wm. A. Beck, Mining Engineer
U. S. Bureau of Mines

February 5, 1957

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
4-3-57
(date)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED MAR 29 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
		130-16
		220

FIELD EXAMINATION REPORT

DMEA-4591 (mica) OLD JOB WILLIS MINE

Applicant: R. P. Greene, P. O. Box 94, Bakersville, North Carolina.
W. H. Sparks, Bakersville, North Carolina.

SUMMARY

This is the same site that was examined and denied under DMEA-4124. An application was made by Mr. S. L. Phillips of Spruce Pine, N. C., on the same mine in mid- 1956, but was withdrawn before being officially docketed. The bottom of the old works was pumped dry and mapped by the examiners at the time of the previous examination. At the present time the old works are full of water and inaccessible. The present applicant has never seen these workings or the pegmatites, and has apparently based his application entirely on reported conditions. He has bulldozed a shallow strike-length trench to the side of the old works exposing two very small discontinuous lenses of fine-grained pegmatite which only support the conclusions reached by the examiners on the previous examination that the structural environment is very unfavorable as to the size and continuity of the exposed pegmatites (see map). Previous sales of muscovite from the site indicate a recovery out of the block much too low to be economic under the existing structural discontinuity. The examiners recommend that the application be denied because of conditions outlined above.

INTRODUCTION

Location: The Old Job Willis Mine is 4.7 airline miles N $51\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$E of Micaville, Mitchell County, North Carolina. It is located in the east-central 1/9th of the Micaville, North Carolina, Quadrangle, USGS-TVA Ed. 1934, 1:24,000.

Examination: The subject property was examined on February 5, 1957. The examiners were accompanied by the applicants Messrs. R. P. Greene and W. H. Sparks.

This is the same property that was previously examined on 1/30/56 and 2/13/56, and denied under DMEA-4124. At the time of the previous examination, the old works were pumped dry and mapped by the examiners. The results of that mapping accompany this report. An application for DMEA assistance on

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
4-3-91
(date)

the same site was made by Mr. S. L. Phillips in mid-1956, but it was withdrawn before being officially docketed.

A northeast-southwest trending bulldozer trench has been excavated by the present applicant along the east side of the old opencut (100' x 15' x 5' deep) (see map). Exposed in the trench are several small lenticular pods of relatively fine-grained pegmatite. The applicant has reported continuous pegmatite exposed the length of bulldozer trench. This condition is simply not present. The applicant has not seen the bottom of the old works and his application is based entirely on the reported occurrence of pegmatite since very little pegmatite is presently exposed and has not been since the last DMEA examination.

Nothing can be added that was not outlined in the previous examination report since, except for the limited bulldozing, the physical conditions at the site are exactly the same. No equipment or supplies are presently at the mine site.

GEOLOGY

A series of concordant lenticular pegmatites were well exposed at the time of the previous examination in the north wall of the old opencut and shaft. These structures are from 1 to 6 feet thick at their maximum dimension. The lack of vertical continuity is well illustrated by the accompanying cross-section while the lack of strike length continuity is illustrated by the lack of expression along strike, on the south wall of the shaft, of structures exposed on the north wall. Two small lenticles of fine-grained pegmatite

(7' long and 1' thick) were exposed by the present applicant's bulldozing. All structures are concordantly emplaced in a weathered contorted biotite gneiss and schist. The pegmatites strike N5°E to N10°W and dip 40° to 65° to the east. Pegmatite is composed of a fine- to medium-grained mixture of oligoclase, perthite, disseminated quartz and muscovite. Some graphictextured material is present. A minor amount of sericite can be found along fracture planes.

As outlined in the previous report, a sale made on 7/21/55 of 1050 pounds of hand-cobbed block muscovite brought a total of $583.33. A total of 24.31 pounds of sheet, or 2.3% of the block, was recovered. This percentage of recovery, even in pegmatite with good indicated structural continuity, is hardly high enough to sustain an economic mining operation. Muscovite seen by the examiners was in brownish-green books up to 6 inches in diameter, but predominantly in the 1- to 3 inch size range. The muscovite is mostly flat but does contain a fair percentage of bent and ruled books. A small amount of the muscovite contains fine biotite intergrowths. A few locky or tied books were noted as well as some "A" reeving. This description is from a basketful of hand-cobbed books supplied by the present applicant. Very little mica has been seen in place and what has been exhibited by the applicant cannot be certified as being representative.

CONCLUSIONS

As outlined above, there is an indicated lack of structural continuity exposed in the old works as well as an indicated low percentage of

sheet recovery from the block muscovite. The examiners feel that a sufficient amount of work has been done by previous operators to fully outline the mica-potential of the site and does not deserve further exploration work. It is recommended that the application be denied.

February 5, 1957

S. C. Bergman, Geologist
U. S. Geological Survey

Wm. A. Beck, Mining Engineer
U. S. Bureau of Mines

s

DMEA Form 7
(12-56)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

Date	Surname	Code
1/12	Hopkins	600
1/15	Houk	600
1/16	Selfridge	130
	Flemm	110
	Hetherington	100
		225

600

JAN 16 1957

Mr. Robert A. Laurence
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region V
Room 13, Post Office Bldg.
Knoxville 2, Tennessee

Re: Docket No. DMEA-4591-Mica
R. P. Greene and W. H. Sparks
Old Job Willis Mine
Mitchell County, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Laurence:

Please review the subject application and arrange for a field examination if you deem it necessary.

Copies of memoranda dated January 4 and 8, 1957, by Mr. N. E. Nelson, USGS, and Mr. W. F. Dietrich, USBM, respectively, relating to the project are enclosed. There is also enclosed a copy of the application for the use of the Field Team.

Sincerely yours,

George C. Selfridge

Chairman, Operating Committee (GCS)

Approved:

Frank D. Lamb (GCS)
Member, Bureau of Mines

N. E. Nelson (GCS)
Member, Geological Survey

Enclosures 5

JHopkins:gad 1/14/57
Copy to: Admr. R. File
Operating Committee
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk

8623

DMEA Form 7
(12-56)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

Date	Surname	Code
1/14	Houk	600
1/15	Houk	600
1/16	Selfridge	130
	[illegible]	110
1/16	Mitchell	100
		225

Nelson
Lamb

600

JAN 16 1957

Greene & Sparks
C/O Mr. R. P. Greene
P. O. Box 94
Bakersville, North Carolina

Re: Docket No. DMEA-4591-Mica
R. P. Greene and W. H. Sparks
Old Job Willis Mine
Mitchell County, North Carolina

Gentlemen:

Your application for assistance in exploring the subject property has been reviewed by the Nonmetallic Minerals Division of the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration and has been referred to Mr. Robert A. Laurence, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region V, Room 13, Post Office Building, Knoxville 2, Tennessee, for further consideration and possibly a field examination.

The Regional Office will contact you at an early date if an examination is to be made. Any assistance you may give the members of the Field Team during the examination will be appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mitchell (for)

Administrator

JHopkins:gad 1/14/57
Copy to: Admr. R. File
Operating Committee
Field Team, Region V
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk

8623

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED JAN 8 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
		600
January 8, 1957		

Memorandum

To: Nonmetallic Minerals Division
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: W. F. Dietrich, Chief, Branch of Ceramic and Fertilizer Materials

Subject: DMEA- 4591 (mica)

Applicant: Green and Sparks (Partnership)

Name and Location of Property: Old Job Willis Mine
Mitchell Co., North Carolina

Date Application Rec'd. by Br. of Ceramic & Fertilizer Materials: Jan. 3, 1957

Comments:

1. The applicant states that it is reported several hundred pounds of high grade mica was recovered from an open pit during a few weeks of operation in 1955.

2. Exploration proposed by applicants is consistent with local practices and in line with unit-cost estimates for other DMEA projects.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the field team visit this mine when in that vicinity to ascertain if an exploration project is justified. If so, the field team and applicant should agree on a definite plan of exploration on a unit-cost basis.

W. F. Dietrich

W. F. Dietrich

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IN REPLY REFER TO

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED JAN 7 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
		600

January 4, 1957
Re: DMEA 4591
Greene & Sparks
Old Job Willis Mine
Mitchell County, N. C.
$6,871.00 - Mica

Memorandum

To: L. G. Houk, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: N. E. Nelson, U. S. Geological Survey

Subject: Review of application

The applicants request assistance in sinking and drifting in a pegmatite on which considerable recent work has been done and some production obtained as late as 1954-55.

Referral of the well prepared application to the Field Team for appropriate action is recommended.

N. E. Nelson

N. E. Nelson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

January 3, 1957

Mr. R. P. Greene
P. O. Box 94
Bakersville, N. C.

Subject: DMEA-4591

Dear Mr. Greene

Your application for exploration assistance, dated January 2, 1957 submitted to our office at Knoxville, has been assigned Docket Number DMEA-4591 and referred to the Nonmetallic Minerals Division in the Washington office. Kindly identify all future correspondence relating to your application by this Docket Number.

Sincerely yours,

Allen S. Dakan, Director
Operations Control and
Statistics Division

Copy to:

Code 600

6816



OLD JOB WILLIS MINE

MITCHELL COUNTY, N.C.

DMEA-4591 (MICA)

SCALE: 1" = 20'

LEGEND

- PLAG.-PERTHITE-QTZ-MUSCOVITE PEGMATITE
- BIOTITE SCHIST & GNEISS
- FELDSPATHIC INJECTION GNEISS
- EXCAVATION
- DUMP

SCB 2/57

S. Government Messenger Envelope

rd Form No. 65 (Rev. 1/46)

1. U blocks consecutively, one at a time.
2. Void previous block.
3. Write clearly.

NAME OR TITLE OF PERSON, DEPARTMENT, ADDRESS, AND ROOM		NAME OR TITLE OF PERSON, DEPARTMENT, ADDRESS, AND ROOM	
~~Jane Elmer~~ ~~4216~~	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
~~Montgomery~~ ~~Rm. E 228~~	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
~~Jane Elmer~~ B-242-A	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
A Walker Rm 256	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
~~Wm. Ellis~~ ~~D. M. E. A.~~ ~~4640, Interior~~	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
A. W. L. Martin Rm. 5538 Interior Bldg.	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP
	RUN / STOP		RUN / STOP

surplus envelopes to y room for reissue.

Use other side

16—38949a-2

Use RUN and STOP only when messenger service between Government buildings in Washington is required.
Your mail room has RUN and STOP information.