REGIONAL DIRECTOR'S
OFFICE
REGION IV
AUG 16 1951
BUREAU OF MINES
DENVER, COLORADO
AUG 1 1951

350

Re: Mountain View Mine
DM Docket 173

Messrs. G. A. Hurt and Charles Tessaro
1578 Logan Avenue
Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Sirs:

The report of the examination of the Lead Mountain Lease, Mountain View Mine by a Defense Minerals Administration Field Team has been reviewed by the Lead-Zinc Branch.

The Field Team report indicates that there is disagreement as to the exact location of the boundary line between the Mountain View claim and the Mountain View Extension claim. As the largest ore body located by diamond drilling on the Mountain View claim is under this boundary line, a displacement of the line would have considerable effect upon the estimated ore reserves. We regret to advise you that no further action can be taken on your application for a Government loan until a suitable proof of a mutual boundary line agreement between the owners of the two claims is submitted.

It is of no moment that your original application stated that some equipment no rails, pipe, hoses, etc. would be required for your proposed project. An itemized statement of equipment requirements together with costs will be required by this office before further action is taken.

Very truly yours,

R. F. Billings, Chief
Lead-Zinc Branch

AHCampbell:jr
8/10/51

Copies to: Files
Chief, Lead-Zinc Br.
E. T. McKnight
R. H. Metz
Reports and Records Unit
Dir. Reading, Rm. 4212
Br. Reading, Rm. 4212
J. H. East, Jr. (2)