REFERENCE REQUEST—FEDERAL RECORDS CENTERS

NOTE: Use a separate form for each request.

SECTION I—TO BE COMPLETED BY REQUESTING AGENCY

RECORD GROUP NO.	ACCESSION NO.	AGENCY BOX NUMBER	RECORDS CENTER LOCATION NUMBER
320	64A-709	22 OF	14/52:15-3

DESCRIPTION OF RECORD(S) OR INFORMATION REQUESTED *(Include file number and title.)*

~~Container 19 docket 1782 Geo. Wilmot, Tungston King Claim~~
" 22 " 1964 Marsham Enterprizes, T-Bone Tungston
" ~~26 " 2209 Warfield Inc., Giant Tongue~~
" ~~27 " 2279 Kenneth W. Rogers, New Moose~~
" ~~36 " 2609 Warfield Inc., Giant Tongue~~
" ~~70 " 3775 Lucky Stone or Eldorado, or~~ Lucky Strike, Eldorado, Lander Co., Wyo.?
" 70 " 3753 Lucky Strike, Eldorado, Lander Co., Wyo. ?

REMARKS

What we want from each of these dockets, is a folder titled "Final Geological Report" or "Final Report." If it is permissible to pull these folders only, this is the information we want specifically. We are uncertain of the title information in the last 2 dockets, so go by the docket number. Enclosed are xerox copies for each of the docket requests.

NATURE OF SERVICE

☐ FURNISH COPY OF RECORD(S) ONLY ☐ PERMANENT WITHDRAWAL ☒ TEMPORARY LOAN OF RECORD(S) ☐ REVIEW ☐ OTHER *(Specify)*

NAME OF REQUESTER	TELEPHONE NO. ☒ FTS	DATE
J. W. Hasler, Chief, Office	776-5607	7-7-88

NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENCY *(Include street address, building, room no. and ZIP Code)*

of Minerals Exploration
U.S. Geological Survey
Box 25046 MS 922
Denver Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225
(In Washington, D.C. area also include STOP number)

RECEIPT OF RECORDS

Requester please sign, date and return this form, for file item(s) listed above, *ONLY* if the block to right has been checked by the Records Center. ☐

SIGNATURE DATE

SECTION II—FOR USE BY RECORDS CENTER

☐ RECORDS NOT IN CENTER CUSTODY ☐ RECORDS DESTROYED

☐ WRONG BOX NUMBER—PLEASE RECHECK

☐ ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY RECORDS REQUESTED

☐ MISSING *(Neither record(s), information nor charge card found in container(s) specified)*

☐ RECORDS PREVIOUSLY CHARGED OUT TO *(Name, agency and date)*:

REMARKS

DATE	SERVICE	TIME REQUIRED	SEARCHER'S INITIALS
7-12			JH

SECTION III—TO BE COMPLETED BY REQUESTING AGENCY

TO

General Services Administration
Federal Records Center NARS

~~J.W. Hasler, Chief, Office of Minerals Exploration~~
U.S. Geological Survey MS 922
~~Box 25046 (City) Denver Federal Center (State)~~ (Zip Code)
Denver, CO 80225

NOTE: In Washington, D.C. area send to STOP 386

MW Selfridge
R3538

Mr. Alexander Tucker
260 South Beverly Drive
Beverly Hills, California

Re: Docket No. DMA 1964 - Tungsten
Marsam Enterprises, Inc.
T-Bone Tungsten Property
Lander County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Tucker:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter of May 3, 1952, in which you request reconsideration of the Administration's decision denying the above-referred-to application for exploration assistance.

As in all organizations, there is sometimes an honest difference of opinion regarding the interpretation of available data. In this particular case I believe that Mr. Johnson may have been somewhat skeptical as to the merits of the property and we definitely were. One cannot lose sight of the fact that not only metal content is important but that volume or tonnage is also. Neither one alone can contribute to a successful operation. If ore bodies are marginal in grade and narrow, dilution by mining must be taken into account with accompanying lower recoveries.

The results of the preliminary metallurgical tests on the Marsam ore which you have submitted with your letter indicate either high recovery and low grade concentrate or vice versa. This is a typical condition common to the beneficiation of many scheelite ores. Unless a concentrate meets Government specifications, it cannot command the $63.00 per unit price. Concentrates of the Marsam type seldom return more than $45.00 per unit of contained WO_3. They usually require digesting or acid treatment, all of which adds to total cost. From the field report we receive the impression that the property would be a high cost mine if $100.00 a foot for exploration is any indication of future operating costs.

Since the mineralized zone, as indicated by your letter, is much longer than originally thought and since there may be more favorably mineralized areas within it, I am requesting that the field team re-examine the zone in its entirety and in somewhat more detail. However, the examination will not be made until the company has submitted the six signed copies of the landlord's subordination agreement which Mr. Miller suggested, sometime in January, be sent directly to Washington. You should hear from Region III in the near future.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf

Acting Administrator
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

Copy to: Operating Committee
C. C. Selfridge
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
H. W. Geehan, USBM

Copy to: Docket
Admn. Reading File
Field Team, Region 3

Selfridge:ls 5/9/52

400

MAY 13 1952

Mr. E. C. Miller
Executive Officer, Region 3
1013 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Re: Field re-examination
Docket No. DMA 1964 - Tungsten
T-Bone Tungsten Property
Lander County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Miller:

Enclosed is a copy of a letter from Mr. Alexander Tucker who has submitted additional information and requested that the denial of the subject application be reconsidered.

Will you please arrange for a re-examination of the property which will include a close examination of the entire mineralized zone, some sampling of the mineralized outcrop, where warranted, and sampling of the entire 43-foot adit. We believe it advisable that the re-examination be made by a different field team and that it should keep in mind a possible program of surface trenching and sampling and possible diamond drilling or underground work. The latter may be tentatively considered in the field and only definitely acted upon after receipt of the assays of the samples. If it then appears that further work should be done, the project should be drawn up and detailed information concerning the necessary costs submitted. It would appear to us without knowing the circumstances that underground drifting should be done more cheaply than the $100 a foot quoted in the field report.

Please note that in the letter to the applicant we have informed him that the examination will be made only after receipt of the six signed copies of the landlord's subordination agreement which you instructed him by your letter of January 31, 1952, to send directly to Washington. If the company is unable to secure the landlord's subordination agreement and can furnish proof of financial responsibility and ability to repay the exploration assistance, then it is possible that we can waive the requirement of the agreement.

Sincerely yours,

(signed) FRANK E. JOHNSON

Chairman, Operating Committee
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

J. H. Hedges

Member, Bureau of Mines

D. M. Lemmon

Member, Geological Survey

Copy to: Operating Committee
C. C. Selfridge
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
R. W. Geehan, USBM

Selfridge:ls 5/9/52
Copy to: Admin. Reading File
Docket
Field Team, Reg. 3

COPY

NOEL SINGER
Financial & Tax Management

ALEXANDER TUCKER
Associate

260 South Beverly Drive
Beverly Hills, California

May 3, 1952

Hon. Mr. C. O. Mittendorf
Acting Administrator, Defense Minerals Administration
United States Department of the Interior
Washington 25, D. C.

Re: Docket No. DMA 1964X - Tungsten
T-Bone Tungsten Property
Lander County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Mittendorf:

Receipt is acknowledged of a letter from the Defense Minerals Administration, dated April 15, 1952, in which it was stated by your department that some marginal ore was found in the above-entitled property, indicating little probability of making a significant mineral discovery on the basis of which our application for assistance in this exploratory project was denied.

It is the purpose of this letter to ask you to re-review that denial on the basis of the following information received from Mr. F. D. Shuck, who is the general manager in charge of such mine. In Mr. Shuck's letter to us, dated April 29, 1952, he makes the following statements:

"1. The Sunnyside Milling Co. is building a custom mill to be in operation in June, 1952. This mill is 11 miles from the T-Bone Mine and will make what is now a marginal ore a profitable ore due to savings in hauling charges, a difference of $10.50 per ton of ore, plus any profit from the flotation concentrates which we do not get when shipping to U. S. Vanadium at Pine Creek. The flotation concentrates can be sold to the Nevada Scheelite near Fallon, Nevada, and gives a further saving in freight over the haul to Bishop. I am enclosing copies of the metallurgy on the Mersam ore.

"2. The revised loan in the amount of $15,000.00 was made out by Mr. Matson and F. D. Shuck and approved by Mr. Johnson of the U. S. Bureau of Mines, Reno office. The program was approved by Mr. Matson, Mining Division, and Mr. Reed Craig and Mr. Roscoe Smith of the U. S. Geological Survey according to Mr. Johnson of the U.S. Bureau of Mines, DMA of Reno, Nevada. The Washington denial is inconsistent with this statement.

"3. In a 1919 report on Nevada it was stated that geologically there was no commercial tungsten in the Nevada area. Production since that time refutes this report.

"4. Since the original application was written, some prospecting east of the Pork Chop end line showed the T-Bone lode to extend east of the previously known croppings. The three Caruso claims, each 1500 feet in length are traversed by the T-Bone Lode. This discloses the lode to be 7500 feet in length and the vein is 12 feet wide at the east end of the Caruso #3 claim which is the easterhmost claim. The exposures on the three Caruso claims all showed Scheelite under the lamp and parts of it appeared to be commercial ore. Wherever the T-Bone vein has been exposed below the surface effects of erosion, the scheelite content has increased.

"5. Since Marsam started development work several other tungsten discoveries have been made and located and some of them sold. The Newmont Mining Co. is developing a lode on Ophir Canyon south of the T-Bone and is driving a 600 foot drift for development. McGinnis and Crouch - 3 miles north of the T-Bone, have exposed an area 50 by 300 feet by dozer stripping. This ore averaged .45% WO_3. Meyers Brothers have a lode 2½ miles in length and it shows scheelite for the entire length. It may not be geologically logical but Tungsten is in the area. Is it commercial? Let's see.

"The Linka Mine shipped 2673.49 tons averaging 0.722171% WO_3 to stockpile at Battle Mountain during World War II and were just getting started when the war ended. They will resume operations when the Sunnyside Mill is ready to receive ore.

"The Peer Mine has shipped 1500 tons of ore to U. S. Vanadium at Pine Creek and are still shipping. This ore has averaged .85% WO_3.

From the above stated information, together with the metallurgy of the ore, there would seem to be strong indications upon which a granting of the exploratory loan is warranted. The two points we would like to emphasize in addition to what Mr. Shuck has stated is that so far our client has invested a sum in excess of $20,000.00 in the mine and is prepared to invest a considerably greater sum. It is believed that this money, together with the loan from your department will prove a very valuable aid to the government in its program of trying to get tungsten in this critical time.

We would like to emphasize one other fact which is contained in the quotation from paragraph designated "1" above. Our client is expending a sum in excess of $35,000.00 in building a custom mill in the immediate mine area which will be in operation sometime in the month of June, 1952. You will note that because of the monies expended for the erection of this mill, there will be a saving of $10.50 per ton from ore mined in its immediate area. As noted, marginal ore under these circumstances becomes a profitable venture. Furthermore, the other mines in this area will be afforded an opportunity to operate profitably where heretofore they were unable to do so. In fact, it makes feasible the opening of other projects in this area. Viewing the entire project, that is, our client's mine together with the investment in the mill, plus the assistance this will furnish to other projects in the area, all together will tend to serve the best interest of the government in its desire to secure the greatest amount of tungsten ore for needed war purposes.

Under the circumstances, we feel that a reconsideration of the Department's decision is justified and the conclusion reached for the allowance of the loan requested.

Very truly yours,

Signed: Alexander Tucker
Alexander Tucker

AT:cp
Enclosures

400

Acting Administrator, DMEA April 10, 1952

Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division, DMEA

Denial of exploration project in the amount of $50,000.00
(Later amended to $15,000.00)
Docket No. DMA 1964X - Tungsten
Marsam Enterprises, Inc.
T-Bone Tungsten Property
Lander County, Nevada

The denial of the subject application by this Division is based on the following information:

1. Scheelite occurs in actinolite-calcite rocks which are a part of a metamorphosed sedimentary series of probable Ordovician age. The mineralization can be traced for about 3,000 feet, but, in general, is very low grade, averaging only .19 per cent WO_3 on 41 cut samples. The principal ore-bearing zone is about 30 feet wide, within which there are three mineralized "layers", each ranging from 6 inches to 10 feet in width. Those portions of the 3 "layers" which contain sufficient tungsten to be considered are very limited in longitudinal extent, thus requiring a large amount of drifting to locate them. The average grade of the "layers" is 0.20 per cent WO_3 and although the "shoots", or richer portions, may contain as much as 2.0 per cent WO_3, the average value assigned to the shoots is given by the field team as 0.44 per cent WO_3.

2. The field team notes that possible ore reserves are small; that there is a remoteable chance for the discovery of low grade ore in significant quantity; and they suggest a small drifting program, arranged in two stages, at <u>a cost of $100 per foot</u>.

3. The Commodity Division of the USGS recommends denial, noting that the mineralization is in thin beds, submarginal and that there is no likelihood of encountering sufficient tonnage to permit low cost mining and milling.

   The Commodity Division of the USBM notes that the property is marginal, but that 150 feet of drifting might be done if the proposed cost per foot were drastically reduced, but that they would, nevertheless, concur in a denial.

QLBrewer'lm
4/10/52

George C. Selfridge

G. C. Selfridge, Chief
Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division

cc: Admn. Reading File
Docket
R. W. Geehan, USBM
D. M. Lemmon, USGS

G. C. Selfridge Q. L. Brewer

400

APR 1 5 1952

Mr. F. D. Shack
Marsum Enterprises, Inc.
211 South Beverly Drive
Beverly Hills, California

Re: Docket No. DMA 196AX - Tungsten
T-Bone Tungsten Property
Lander County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Shack:

Your application for aid for an exploration project and other data concerning the above noted property available to us in Washington have been carefully reviewed.

The information, while noting some marginal ore distributed along a mineralized zone, indicates that, geologically, there is little probability of making a significant mineral discovery. We regret, therefore, to inform you that your application is denied.

We wish to thank you for your interest in the Defense Minerals Program and for your effort in this respect.

Sincerely yours,

(signed) FRANK E. JOHNSON

Acting Administrator
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

QLBrewer:lm
4/10/52

cc: Admn. Reading File
Docket
R. W. Geehan, USBM
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
Field Team Reg. III
G. C. Selfridge
Q. L. Brewer
Operating Committee

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

April 4, 1952

Memorandum

To: George C. Selfridge, DMEA

From: Robert W. Geehan, Bureau of Mines

Subject: Marsam Enterprises, Inc. - DMA 1964
T-Bone Tungsten Property
Lander County, Nevada

This is a marginal property. There is not a very good chance to locate ore that will be of commercial value at this deposit. However, the Field Team suggests "If it is in the national interest that exploration of such deposits be encouraged, it is recommended that the application be approved."

I feel that this deposit is on the margin where either approval or rejection can be justified. However, the applicant's proposal for drifting at a cost of $100 per foot is prohibitively high and would call for rejection. If this estimate is reduced to $50, or even $60, I recommend approval of 150 feet of work. However, if you feel that rejection is desirable, I will not object.

Robert W. Geehan

Attachment

RWGeehan:lds
Copy to: Field Team (2)
Ferrous Metals
Mr. Geehan
F i l e s

Copy retained 400

self

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

March 27, 1952

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
MAR 31 1952

Mr. F. D. Shuck
Marsam Enterprises, Inc.
211 South Beverly Drive
Beverly Hills, California

Re: Docket DMA-1964 (Tungsten) -
T-Bone Mine, Lander County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Shuck:

I acknowledge your letter of March 24, informing me that on advice of counsel, Mr. and Mrs. George W. Rong would not sign form MF-202, Landlord's Subordination Agreement. This is unfortunate, and I doubt very much if the attorney was fully aware of the reasons for requesting a Landlord's Subordination Agreement and the benefits accruing to the lessor by signing them.

However, in processing other loans, a few — very few — also refused to sign Landlord's Subordination Agreements. Consequently, the form has recently been revised — so recently, in fact, that printed copies have not yet been received — and seven copies of Owner's Consent to Lien which is to replace the Landlord's Subordination Agreement are attached herewith. This new form may be more acceptable to the Rong's attorney than was the MF-202 form.

The attorney should understand that none of the forms used in connection with the exploration program is designed to give the Government a lien of record against the property, or the production from the property which is the subject of the exploration contract. The Government's lien does not come into being unless and until the Government makes a certification of discovery or development, as provided in the contract form, and it then is and remains a lien arising out of the contract, not of record.

If the attorney is still of the opinion that the Rong's should not sign the Owner's Consent to Lien, on the ground that it does not fully inform the signers of their rights and obligations, ask him if he would consent to their signing it if we insert after the words "exploration project contract" in the opening paragraph of the form, the words "a copy of which is attached", and then attach a full copy of the exploration project contract to the Owner's Consent form. Three copies of a blank exploration project contract are attached. If that would be satisfactory to the attorney, signing the form would have to await granting of the contract so that a full copy of the contract, as drawn up in the Washington Office, can be attached to each copy of the Owner's Consent form before the lessors are asked to sign it.

Kindly let us know soon whether the Owner's Consent form, with or without the cited added phrase, will be acceptable to the Rong's attorney, so that I can notify our Washington Office of his decision.

Sincerely yours,

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Attachments 2

Copy to Jay L. Chambers, Chief,
Contract Administration and
Audit Division, DMEA, Washington, D.C.

E. H. Bailey, USGS

IN REPLY REFER TO:

G-MD-DMG

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

147A

March 12, 1952

Memorandum

To: George C. Selfridge, DMMA

From: D. M. Lemmon, U.S.G.S.

Subject: Field Report on exploration application DMA 1964 - Tungsten
Marsan Enterprises, Inc.
T-Bone Tungsten Property
Sec. 5 T. 17 N., R. 44 E.
Toyabe Range, Lander County, Nevada

The T-Bone property was examined on November 14 and 15, 1951 by R. N. Smith of the Geological Survey and E. J. Mateson of the Bureau of Mines. Their report, dated January 18, 1952, recommends consideration of a proposal to drift 100 feet west from the face of the present 43-foot adit, and to crosscut 25 feet north and south from the new face. This work will cost $15,000 ($100 per foot) according to the applicant.

The field examination showed that scheelite is present in 3 layers of actinolite-calcite rock in schist. The layers are 1/2 to 10 feet wide; in the adit, the layer exposed is 40 feet long, 2 feet thick, and averages about 0.4 percent of WO_3. The average content of WO_3 in the outcrops is about 0.2 percent.

The field team estimate of inferred ore reserves in the adit and for 200 feet west is 1,100 tons containing 0.4 percent of WO_3. In addition, 1,000 tons of similar grade may be present in parallel layers.

Conclusions

1) The mineralization described on the T-Bone claims contains 0.4 or less percent of WO_3, is in thin beds, and is submarginal at this locality because there is no likelihood of sufficient tonnage to permit low-cost mining and milling.

2) The proposed cost of the project is excessive for the amount of mineralization that might be found. The actual work proposed by the field team as stage 1 should be done for about a third of the estimated cost.

3) Trenching and sampling of mineralized zones at the surface should be done in much greater detail before underground workings are warranted.

4) The sampling and/or assaying done by the applicant is suspect.

Recommendation

The property might warrant a little exploration as suggested by the Field Exam. However, it appears that the mineralization will be submarginal, and no significant discovery will be made. Therefore, it is recommended that the application be denied unless the applicant can bring his costs down to a reasonable basis.

DM Lemmon

DMLemmon:gt

I+7A
files

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
FEB 18 1952

February 14, 1952

Memorandum

To: Frank E. Johnson, Acting Deputy Administrator, DMEA

From: Chief, Mining Division, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1964 (Tungsten) - Mr. F. D. Shuck, Marsam Enterprises, Inc., 211 So. Beverly Drive, Beverly Hills, California.

Enclosed are the following figures to go with the report that was furnished you by Smith and Matson on the Marsam Enterprises, Inc. application for an exploration loan on the T-Bone property:

Fig. 1- Location Map, Marsam Enterprises, Inc. (T-Bone Tungsten Property), Lander County, Nevada.

Fig. 2- Claim Map, Marsam Enterprises, Inc. (T-Bone Tungsten Property), Lander County, Nevada.

Fig. 4- Assay Map, Marsam Enterprises, Inc. (T-Bone Tungsten Property), Lander County, Nevada.

Fig. 5- Plan of proposed Exploration Program - Marsam Enterprises, Inc. (T-Bone Tungsten Property), Lander County, Nevada

This is in compliance with your teletype of February 12 to Mr. H. C. Miller, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III.

A. C. Johnson, Chief
Mining Division
Region III

Encls.
ACJ:gh
cc: H. C. Miller
Files

JAN 29 1952

Mr. H. G. Miller
Executive Officer, Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Re: Docket No. DMA 196AX - Tungsten
Marmon Enterprises, Inc.
T-Bone Mine
Lander County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Miller:

A field appraisal of the above noted property was requested of your office by letter dated October 31, 1951, and our local information is that the property has been visited.

Please advise us as to the status of your report at this time.

Sincerely yours,

Acting Administrator
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

QLBrewer:lm
1/24/52

cc: Admn. Reading File
Docket
R. W. Goehna, UEBM
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
Field Team Reg. III
G. G. Selfridge
Q. L. Brewer

Defense Production Staff

Frank Johnson, Operating Com., DMEA
5369 4624

14.20137 Salaries and Expenses, Defense Production Activities, Interior, 1952
February 12, 1952

H. C. Miller, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Reg. III, San Francisco, Calif.

Marsam Enterprises, Inc. report, DMA-1964.

Please forward Figures Nos. 1, 2, 4, and 5 to Field report on subject application.

(signed) FRANK E. JOHNSON

Frank E. Johnson
Chairman, Operating Committee
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

FEJohnson/hb

Copy to: Adm. ReadingFile
Docket
DMEA Operating Com. File
✓Mr. Selfridge
Mr. T. P. Thayer
Mr. J. H. Hedges

Oct 31, 1951

Mr. F. D. Shuck
Marsam Enterprises, Inc.
211 South Beverly Drive
Berverly Hills, California

In reply please refer
to DMA-1964 - Tungsten

Dear Mr. Shuck:

We have referred your application to the field for additional information. It is suggested that you contact Mr. H. C. Miller, 1012 Flood Building, 870 Market Street, San Francisco 2, California, and offer him whatever assistance he may require.

Very truly yours,

Sgd.

Acting Director,
Supply Division

CHJacoby;XXX ec
Oct 24, 1951

CC: DMA Docket File
Adm. Reading File
Field Team Region III
Mr. Holderer

Oct. 31, 1951

Mr. H. C. Miller, Executive Officer
DMA Field Team, Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Subject: DMA-1964 - Tungsten - Mr. F. D. Shuck
Marsam Enterprises, Inc.
211 South Beverly Drive
Beverly Hills, California

Dear Mr. Miller:

We are enclosing the above docket for additional information. The applicant has submitted a plan of exploration work which includes considerable development. Our main objection would be the upper drift. We would be agreeable with extending the main drift another 170 feet if the applicant so desires.

We would like some samples taken, particularly along the face, as of September 14, 1951. The applicant proposes to do his own assay work. We believe this should be done by an independent assay office. This would eliminate the assay office from the cost sheet.

Various cost items appear to be high. Some items have been grouped instead of broken down, as requested. (stoper, steel, hose, bits, $850). Question 23d is a good example of this. $.60 per foot is too high for air line pipe. The applicant proposes to buy a pick-up truck for $1,600 for 8 months work. Can a truck be rented for less? We would like for the field team to go into the applicant's cost figures carefully.

We believe that a two or three phase exploration program might be more advantageous from the Government's standpoint in view of the outcrop assays. A Landlord's Subordination Agreement is needed.

Very truly yours,

Acting Chairman
Coordinating Committee

Enclosure

CHJacoby:ec
Oct. 24, 1951
CC: Adm. Reading File
DMA DOcket File
Coord. Comm.
Mr. Lemmon
Mr. Geehan
Mr. Holderer

Geological Survey

Bureau of Mines

Index of File to Field Team Region III

DMA-1964 - Tungsten

1. 1 Copy letter to Holderer from Shuck dated 9/19/51
2. 1 Copy report by Shuck dated 7/14/51
3. 1 Copy Geological map and cross section
4. 1 Copy Spectragraphic Analysis
5. 1 Copy list of samples
6. 1 Copy assay map.
7. 2 Copies assay map of Fried Chicken, T Bone, & Pork Chop with list of assays.
8. 1 Copy answer to questions 21,22,23 and 24
9. 1 Copy Geologic sketch
10. 1 Copy letter to Shuck from Holderer 9/6/51
11. 1 Copy Form MF-100 with attached sheets
12. 1 Copy Form MF-103 with attached sheets
13. 1 Copy Lease agreement

George B. Holderer October 19, 1951

T. P. Thayer

DMA-1964 - Marsam Enterprises

The sampling on the main vein indicates an average grade of about 0.2 percent WO_3, too low to be minable. The general sampling appears to contradict the high values (1.22 and 2.3 percent) said to have been found in the cut at the base of the hill. The probable explanation would be that the cut is in an ore shoot, the size of which appears to be the nub of the problem.

The assay reports on milling do not make sense, and I wonder if the applicant isn't as puzzled as I. I had supposed Smith Emery to be a good outfit, but if quoted accurately, someone certainly slipped on his calculations.

On the basis of the data submitted I see no justification for 500 feet of drifts and 300 feet of raises, at a total cost of $50,000.

The proposal will have to be referred to the field for checking as to grade and size of the possible ore shoot, and a reasonable exploration program.

TPThayer:gt

Sept 7, 1951

AIR MAIL

Marsam Enterprises Inc.
% Mr. F. D. Shuck
211 South Beverly Drive
Beverly Hills, California

In reply please refer to
DMA-1964

Dear Mr. Shuck:

We have had your application under consideration and find that insufficient information has been submitted in answer to some of the questions. Before an additional action can be taken it will be necessary for you to supply us with this information.

In answer to question 21 you have not given us a clear concise proposal of work or the time schedule for the accomplishment of this work.

Question 22 asks for assay maps, report of assays and the reason why you believe ore might be found. If Mr. Robinson has made a report or has assay results on the samples he has taken, these would help us form an opinion. Maps of the area and geologic cross sections are needed.

You have given answers to a number of items in question 23 but the following need answering;

(23a) Salaries of men to be employed
(23b) Salary of supervisor
(23c) Cost of necessary repairs
(23d) Cost of necessary installations
(23e) Cost of necessary equipment
(23f) Cost of necessary rental equipment
(23g) Rental value of item owned by applicant to be used on the job
(23h) Cost of material and supplies
and item 24 needs a complete answer.

Upon receipt of the above mentioned information prompt action maybe expected on your application.

CHJacoby:ec
Sept. 6, 1951

CC: Adm. Reading File
Reports & Records Unit
Mr. Holderer

Very truly yours,

George B. Holderer, Chief
Ferro-Alloys Branch

Marsam Enterprises, Inc. DMEA 1964

All material transferred to docket DMEA 2567

3 copies please
Bill