Mr. Robert E. Adams, Administrative Officer
Attention: Docket Control Section
Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division, DMEA

May 27, 1952

Withdrawal of application for exploration assistance
Docket No. DMEA 2209X - Tungsten
Warfield, Inc.
Giant Tongue, et al. claims
Millet Mining District, Nye County, Nevada

The applicant has transferred his control of the subject property to a third party for development, mining and milling, and has therefore withdrawn his application for exploration assistance. We have removed the docket from our active file and now consider the matter closed.

G. C. Selfridge, Chief
Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division

Attachment

GCSelfridge:ls 5/27/52

cc: File - Docket No. DMEA 2209
Admn. Reading File
G. C. Selfridge
Field Team, Region 3
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
R. W. Geehan, USBM

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

40's file

January 10, 1952

Memorandum

To: Mr. George Selfredge, M&A

From: Mr. R. W. Goehen, Bureau of Mines

Subject: Exploration application - 072,100. DMA 2209
Warfield Inc.
370 Hampton Road
Piedmont, California

Subject application having been referred to Field for consideration and report, according to D. M. M. A. Operating Committee Minutes Number 13, I am returning docket of same without comment.

Robert W. Goehen

CKatlin:ll

cc: RWGoehen
Ferrous M&A
Mr. Katlin
Files
Mr. Selfredge - 3

4 copies

6/5/51

Defense Minerals Administration

Review of Application for Exploration Assistance

Docket No. DMA 2209

Commodity Tungsten

Total Amount $72,106

Govt. Participation 75%

1. Name and Address of Applicant Warfield, Incorperated 370 Hampton Road, Piedmont 11, Calif.

2. Location of Property Sec 8 T15N R42E Nye County Nevada, Millett Mining District in Toyabe Mts

3. Mineral or metal Tungsten Is it listed in Section 9 of MO-5?

If not, application will be rejected. Yes

4. Geologic probability of discovery—based on data in application (question #16, 17, 18, 20 and 22)*

Mines or Survey reports, etc., do you rate chances:

a) Good

b) Poor

c) None

d) Don't know—needs field examination ✓

e) Is there an alternative and favorable project?
(If so, attach an explanation)

5. Is the applicant's right to the property clearly stated? No statement that the claims are presently held in good standing
(question 5, 6, 7)*

6. If applicant is a lessee, is a copy of the lease attached? yes

Does the lease have sufficient time to run to cover a future productive period? yes

*question numbers are those of MF-103

7. Are subordination agreements necessary? _____

a) MF-201? _____

b) MF-202 yes. Have been requested of applicant

8. From the data presented, does the proposed project appear feasible from the point of view of:

a) Available manpower _____

b) Equipment and supplies _____
(Question 14 plus general knowledge of availability)*

c) Accessibility (question 12)* ✓ _____

d) Water (question 13)* _____

e) Power (question 13)* _____

Is a field check needed on any of these points? yes

9. Does the experience of the applicant appear to be adequate to assure that he can properly conduct the proposed project? (question 4)* yes

Is a field check needed on this point? No

10. Exhibit A. (questions 21 and 22)*

a) Is the project adequately described? No

If not, are there sufficient data given so that you can describe the project? No If so, attach a draft.

b) Is there an adequate map or sketch of the proposed work? No

c) Is the proposed work "exploration" under the definition of Section 8, MO-5? ~~In part~~ yes Trenching + Diamond drilling

If not, what part of it is? ~~The sinking + drifting might be considered development after diamond drilling has been completed with prelim outcrops~~

Is this part a worthwhile exploration project?_____

d) Are the cost estimates detailed? Yes

Can they be summarized, as on page 2 of Procedural Instruction 5-A, from the available data? Yes If so, attach a draft. See applicants statement

e) Are the cost estimates reasonable? yes but Engineering + supervision seem high.

f) Should more information be requested from applicant? yes drilling size number of holes

g) Is a field examination necessary to complete Exhibit A? yes

11. Exhibit B (question 23-d)* Construction of additional facilities

a) Is it applicable? Not applicable

b) If so, are sufficient data furnished?_____ If so, attach a draft.

c) Is a field check needed?_____

12. Exhibit C (question 23-e, f and g)*

a) Is it applicable? Yes.

b) Sufficient data? No If so, attach a draft.

c) Are rents and charges reasonable? not detailed enough.

d) Is a field check needed? Yes who owns equipment

13. Exhibit D (question 23-a, b)*

a) Are there sufficient data? Yes

b) Is the schedule adequate for the work proposed? Yes

c) Is the schedule excessive for the work proposed? ?

d) Are the proposed wages and salaries reasonable? Seems high

e) Is a field check needed? yes.

14. Exhibit E (question 23-c)*

a) Is it applicable? No

b) Sufficient data?

c) Reasonable?

d) Field check needed? No.

15. In your judgment, can the proposed work be done in the proposed time? yes.

(All exploration must be completed within two years)

16. If field examination is not needed, attach your recommendation.

17. If field examination is needed, do you have any special instructions for the field team not indicated by your answers to the foregoing question? The project should be set up in stages starting with Tungsten Queen group as Stage #1 — Tungsten King Group Stage II etc. ~~[illegible]~~ Control of Tungsten King #1 claim mining rights should be investigated. Trenching and short shafts from each group should be completed before diamond drilling for extensions in depth.

Reviewed by: Hook

Date 12/11/51

~~Below [illegible]~~ sampling of outcrops with large bulk samples up to 18 tons each and shipped to mill in Bishop might prove the most effective method. Regardless sampling and mapping of the area should precede any shaft sinking.

97692

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

400

January 10, 1952

Memorandum

To: Mr. George Selfredge, [illegible]

From: Mr. R. W. Coehan, Bureau of Mines

Subject: Exploration application - 172,106. DMA-2209
Marfield Inc.
370 Hampton Road
Piedmont, California

Subject application having been referred to Field for consideration and report, according to D. M. A. Operating Committee Minutes Number 13, I am returning docket of same without comment.

Robert W. Coehan

CKatlin:clk

cc: RWCoehan
Ferrous MRA
Mr. Katlin
Files
Mr. Selfredge - 3

Copy retained 400

WARFIELD INCORPORATED
370 HAMPTON ROAD
PIEDMONT 11, CALIFORNIA
HUMBOLDT 3-9710

400

J. M. WARFIELD
PRESIDENT

October 29, 1951.

Defense Minerals Administration,
Department of Interior,
Washington, D. C.

Subject: Exploration Loan Application
Tungsten

Gentlemen:

Enclosed herewith find application (Form DM-102) for a loan to explore tungsten properties in the Illipah Mining District, Nye County, Nevada for your consideration.

When papers were received with your Mr. Miller of the San Francisco office, he suggested it be charged to exclude the road development cost, as the only road cost you could give consideration to, would be that portion of road cost necessary for entrance to the properties, for the purpose of bringing in the necessary equipment to do the exploration work, and forward the application to you in Washington so as to save time.

In reviewing and reshaping the figures submitted herewith, I find that the road development costs as submitted cover only the road-work necessary to get the exploration equipment to the property and the word "development" used on the exhibit and the schedule in answer to question No. 23 is for that purpose only, as it would be impossible to use the road as outlined in the cost figures for taking the ore out; a new road to take the ore out would have to be constructed after it is ascertained whether or not the volume and values are there. The road development is a misnomer.

It would be appreciated if you will give this application your earliest possible attention and consideration, as it is our desire to have the exploration work completed so that work could be commenced in the early Spring.

If there is any further information required, we shall be happy to submit it to you upon request.

Very truly yours,

J. M. Warfield

J. M. Warfield,
President.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
NOV 1 - 1951

mf/b