REFERENCE REQUEST—FEDERAL RECORDS CENTERS

NOTE: Use a separate form for each request.

SECTION I—TO BE COMPLETED BY REQUESTING AGENCY

RECORD GROUP NO.	ACCESSION NO.	AGENCY BOX NUMBER	RECORDS CENTER LOCATION NUMBER
320	64A-709	27 OF	14/52:15-3

DESCRIPTION OF RECORD(S) OR INFORMATION REQUESTED *(Include file number and title.)*

Container 19 ~~docket 1782 Geo. Wilmot, Tungston King Claim~~
" ~~22 " 1964 Marsham Enterprizes, T-Bone Tungston~~
" ~~26 " 2209 Warfield Inc., Giant Tongue~~
" 27 " 2279 Kenneth W. Rogers, New Moose
" ~~36 " 2609 Warfield Inc., Giant Tongue~~
" ~~70 " 3715 Lucky Stone or Eldorado, or Lucky Strike, Eldorado, Lander Co., Wyo.?~~
" 70 " ~~3753 Lucky Strike, Eldorado, Lander Co., Wyo. ?~~

REMARKS

What we want from each of these dockets, is a folder titled "Final Geological Report" or "Final Report." If it is permissible to pull these folders only, this is the information we want specifically. We are uncertain of the title information in the last 2 dockets, so go by the docket number. Enclosed are xerox copies for each of the docket requests.

NATURE OF SERVICE

☐ FURNISH COPY OF RECORD(S) ONLY ☐ PERMANENT WITHDRAWAL ☒ TEMPORARY LOAN OF RECORD(S) ☐ REVIEW ☐ OTHER *(Specify)*

NAME OF REQUESTER	TELEPHONE NO.	DATE
J. W. Hasler, Chief, Office	☒ FTS 776-5607	7-7-88

NAME AND ADDRESS OF AGENCY *(Include street address, building, room no. and ZIP Code)*

of Minerals Exploration
U.S. Geological Survey
Box 25046 MS 922
Denver Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225
(In Washington, D.C. area also include STOP number)

RECEIPT OF RECORDS

Requester please sign, date and return this form, for file item(s) listed above, *ONLY* if the block to right has been checked by the Records Center. ☐

SIGNATURE DATE

SECTION II—FOR USE BY RECORDS CENTER

☐ RECORDS NOT IN CENTER CUSTODY ☐ RECORDS DESTROYED

☐ WRONG BOX NUMBER—PLEASE RECHECK

☐ ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY RECORDS REQUESTED

☐ MISSING *(Neither record(s), information nor charge card found in container(s) specified)*

☐ RECORDS PREVIOUSLY CHARGED OUT TO *(Name, agency and date)*:

REMARKS

DATE	SERVICE	TIME REQUIRED	SEARCHER'S INITIALS
7-12			JH

SECTION III—TO BE COMPLETED BY REQUESTING AGENCY

TO

General Services Administration
Federal Records Center NARS

~~J.W. Hasler, Chief, Office of Minerals Exploration~~
U.S. Geological Survey MS 922
~~Box 25046 (City) Denver Federal Center (State)~~ (Zip Code)
Denver, CO 80225

NOTE: In Washington, D.C. area send to STOP 386

DMEA 2279 - Tumaplan
Copy 14 pages 3 copies

SEP 25 1952

Mr. Kenneth Rogers
P.O. Box 34
Sparks, Nevada

Re: Docket No. DMEA 2279 - Tungsten
Kenneth Rogers
New Moose Mine
Millett Mining District
Mono County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Rogers:

Reference is made to your application for exploration assistance under the above noted docket. On July 21, 1952, you were advised that the field team had examined your property and were preparing a favorable report but that additional data would have to be furnished. The data requested were not furnished with your letter of July 22, 1952, and have not been received to date. In the same letter you added in a postscript: "If this loan cannot be approved in a reasonable length of time please cancel it and notify me by return mail". Since you have not furnished the data requested, we assume that you no longer desire to proceed with the application.

We, therefore, will remove the application from our active file and consider the matter closed.

We wish to thank you for your interest in the Defense Minerals Program and for bringing this property to our attention. If at any future date you desire to submit a new application for exploration assistance at the New Moose mine, please be assured that we will be glad to consider a new application if sufficient supporting data are included.

Sincerely yours,

FARutledge:lm
9/23/52

(signed) FRANK E. JOHNSON
ACTING Administrator

cc: Admn. Reading File
Docket
R. W. Gechan, USBM
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
Field Team Reg. III
Operating Committee
O. C. Selfridge
F. A. Rutledge

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

July 24, 1952

Mr. Kenneth Rogers
P O Box 34
Sparks, Nevada

Re: Docket DMEA 2279X (Tungsten)
New Moose Tungsten Prospect,
Nye County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Rogers:

We acknowledge receipt of your letter of July 22, but note that you did not supply the requested answers to the questions indicated on the MF-103 form, which I sent you with my letter of July 21. An exploratory contract cannot be drawn merely on the basis of $20 a foot - other information, such as a sketch of where you plan to run the crosscut and drift, wages to be paid, rental to be paid monthly for equipment, supplies and equipment to be purchased and their cost, etc. is needed. In other words, we need answers to the questions referred to on the MF-103 form.

You state in your letter that if this loan cannot be approved in a reasonable length of time to please cancel it and notify you by return mail. Applications for loans are processed in the Washington Office of the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration and every effort is made to culminate them as quickly as possible. When they are unduly delayed it is usually because the applicant has not submitted the information necessary for drawing up a contract.

Your application will be given prompt attention, both in this office and in Washington upon receipt of the requested information.

Sincerely yours,

H. C. MILLER

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Copy to DMEA, Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C. (with Rogers' letr)
E. H. Bailey, USGS (with Rogers' letr)

Kenneth Rogers
P O Box [illegible]
Sparks, Nevada

Re: Docket DMTA [illegible]

Mr. M. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMTA Field team, Region III

Dear Mr. Miller:

In sofar as I have moved onto the New Goose mine, built a cabin, purchased and moved on a [illegible] cu. ft. compressor, piped air to and started a crosscut and run in about [illegible] ft. at a point examined and approved by your geologists and upon the basis of a field discussion and their suggestion I would like to propose the following revisions.

To run a combined [illegible] ft. of crosscut and drift at a price of [illegible] per measured foot.

[illegible] to be furnished by my labor and equipment.

Work to start as soon as funds are available and completed within [illegible] working days.

Very truly yours,

Kenneth J. Rogers

7-22-52

Ps. If this loan cannot be approved in a reasonable length of please cancel it and notify me by return mail .

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

400

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

July 21, 1952

Mr. Kenneth Rogers
P O Box 34
Sparks, Nevada

Re: Docket DMEA 2279X (Tungsten)
New Moose Tungsten Prospect,
Nye County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Rogers:

In relation to the above-captioned docket, geologists of the U S Geological Survey have examined your prospect and have suggested that consideration should be given by the Washington Office of the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration to some exploration work on your property.

During the examination, the geologists discussed an exploration program with you and they reported that you were in agreement with their suggestion that a request should be made to Washington for a project consisting of about 180 feet of cross-cutting and drifting at a cost of about $3,600. The underground work, therefore, is estimated to cost about $20 per foot of advance.

Before we can further process your application for an exploration loan of $3,600, we will need detailed answers to the questions indicated by a red "X" on the second page of the attached Form DF-103.

As you plan to be one of the miners on the work, you should indicate the rate you will charge the project, as well as the rates of the miners and others you plan to employ. Should you plan to rent an air compressor, kindly furnish information on the type and capacity of the compressor, as well as the cost of renting it.

If you will furnish us with the requested information, we will then be in a position to give further consideration to a possible exploration loan on your property.

Very truly yours,

H. C. MILLER

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Enclosure

Copy to: DMEA, Interior Building, Washington
E. H. Bailey, USGS

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

400

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

July 17, 1952

Memorandum

To: Frank E. Johnson, Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA, Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket 2279 (Tungsten), Kenneth Rogers, New Moose Claim, Millett Mining District, Nye County, Nevada

In reply to your subject letter, dated July 10, the Geological Survey to whom the subject docket was referred reports that the property has been examined and that the report on it will be completed in a few days.

H. C. MILLER

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Copy to E. H. Bailey, USGS

EXPLORATION

Mr. H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, DMEA Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Re: Docket No. 2279 - Tungsten
Kenneth Rogers
New Moose Claim
Millett Mining District
Nye County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Miller:

Will you please advise us regarding the status of the field examination on the subject property?

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) FRANK E. JOHNSON

Chairman, Operating Committee
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

Approved:

J. H. Hedges

Member, Bureau of Mines

T. P. Thayer

Member, Geological Survey

GCSelfridge:ls
7/7/52

CC: Docket
Admn. Reading File
Field Team, Reg. 3
G. C. Selfridge
Operating Committee
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
R. W. Geehan, USBM

110

JAN 4 1952

400's file

Mr. Kenneth Rogers
P. O. Box 34
Sparks, Nevada

re: Docket No. DMEA-2279
New Moose Claim
Tungsten

Dear Mr. Rogers:

Your application for assistance in exploring the New Moose Claim in Nye County, Nevada, Docket No. DMEA-2279, has been reviewed by the Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division of the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration and has been referred to Mr. H. C. Miller, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III, MRS Flood Building, 870 Market Street, San Francisco 2, California, for a field examination.

The Regional office will contact you at the earliest opportunity in regard to your project.

Sincerely yours,

R. C. Mittendorf

Acting Administrator
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

COMITTENDORF/hb

Copy to: H. C. Miller, Region III
Adm. Reading File
Mr. Selfridge, Br. 3538
DMEA Files

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Copy
Struck file
OMB
1/20
Otto finish
(note added TP on draft)

Dear Sir:

We attach "Review of Application for Exploration Assistance" on:

Applicant Kenneth Rogers, PO Box 314, Sparks, Nev.

Docket No. 2279X

Commodity ~~[illegible]~~ Tungsten

Amount $ 6,242.00

Please expedite consideration of the application with particular reference to the points raised in the attached "Review".

Sincerely yours,

Chairman, Operating Committee
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

APPROVED:

Member, Bureau of Mines

Member, Geological Survey

Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

Review of Application for Exploration Assistance

Note, See DMA 626 - lead zinc, on same property
" , see DMA 2209 - Tungsten, on adjoining property

Docket No. DMA-2279 X

Commodity tungsten

Total Amount $ 6,242.00

Govt. Participation 75%

1. Name and Address of Applicant Kenneth Rogers
P.O. Box 34, Sparks, Nevada

2. Location of Property Millet Mining Dist; Toyabe Range,
Nye County

3. Mineral or metal tungsten Is it listed in Section 9 of MO-5? yes

If not, application will be rejected.

4. Geologic probability of discovery—based on data in application (question #16, 17, 18, 20 and 22)*

Mines or Survey reports, etc., do you rate chances:

a) Good yes

b) Poor

c) None

d) Don't know—needs field examination

e) Is there an alternative and favorable project?
(If so, attach an explanation)

5. Is the applicant's right to the property clearly stated? yes
(question 5, 6, 7)*

6. If applicant is a lessee, is a copy of the lease attached? —

Does the lease have sufficient time to run to cover a future

productive period? —

*question numbers are those of MF-103

7. Are subordination agreements necessary? No

a) MF-201? _____

b) MF-202 _____

8. From the data presented, does the proposed project appear feasible from the point of view of:

a) Available manpower Yes

b) Equipment and supplies Yes
(question 14 plus general knowledge of availability)*

c) Accessibility (question 12)* Yes

d) Water (question 13)* Yes

e) Power (question 13)* Yes

Is a field check needed on any of these points? No

9. Does the experience of the applicant appear to be adequate to assure that he can properly conduct the proposed project? (question 4)* Yes

Is a field check needed on this point? No

10. Exhibit A. (questions 21 and 22)*

a) Is the project adequately described? Yes-, except Geologically

If not, are there sufficient data given so that you can describe the project? no If so, attach a draft.

b) Is there an adequate map or sketch of the proposed work? No

c) Is the proposed work "exploration" under the definition of Section 8, MO-5? Yes

If not, what part of it is? _____

Is this part a worth-while exploration project?_____

d) Are the cost estimates detailed? Yes

Can they be summarized, as on page 2 of Procedural Instruction 5-A, from the available data? _____ If so, attach a draft.

e) Are the cost estimates reasonable? Yes

f) Should more information be requested from applicant? No

g) Is a field examination necessary to complete Exhibit A? Yes

11. Exhibit B (question 23-d)*

a) Is it applicable? Yes

b) If so, are sufficient data furnished? Yes If so, attach a draft.

c) Is a field check needed? No

12. Exhibit C (question 23-e, f and g)*

a) Is it applicable? Yes

b) Sufficient data? Yes If so, attach a draft.

c) Are rents and charges reasonable? Yes

d) Is a field check needed? No

13. Exhibit D (question 23-a, b)*

a) Are there sufficient data? Yes

b) Is the schedule adequate for the work proposed? Yes

c) Is the schedule excessive for the work proposed? No

d) Are the proposed wages and salaries reasonable? Yes

e) Is a field check needed? No

14. Exhibit E (question 23-c)*

a) Is it applicable? yes

b) Sufficient data? yes

c) Reasonable? yes

d) Field check needed? no

15. In your judgment, can the proposed work be done in the proposed time? yes - in fact, the proposed time appears to be too large by 20%

(All exploration must be completed within two years)

16. If field examination is not needed, attach your recommendation.

17. If field examination is needed, do you have any special instructions for the field team not indicated by your answers to the foregoing question? yes - See attached sheet. -

Reviewed by: _____

_____

PFB

Date 12/19/1951

DRAFT

Review of Application for Exploration Assistance - Re: DMA 2279-Tungsten

Items 10 and 17

This application appears to be in fair shape, save for sampling and geological factors. A geologic map giving data to support the applicant's proposed "120 to 160" feet of drifting to encounter a vein outcropping some 300 feet higher in elevation seems essential. Assays taken from the surface, and elsewhere, to support the value of the expected ore, also seem essential.

The applicant desires to do this work, with one miner, and states that four months will be required to drive the required distance of "120 to 160 feet", which seems to be a rather long time. Your recommendations as to time required as well as to the capability and reliability of the applicant will be appreciated.

Enclosures - Applicant's MF-100
Applicant's MF-103
Memo from USGS and USBM

Your attention is called to DMA 626, Lead-Zinc, on the same property and to DMA 2209, Tungsten, on adjoining property.

Review of Application for Exploration Assistance - Re: DMA 2279-Tungsten

Items 10 and 17

This application appears to be in fair shape, save for sampling and geological factors. A geologic map giving data to support the applicant's proposed "120 to 160" feet of drifting to encounter a vein outcropping some 300 feet higher in elevation seems essential. Assays taken from the surface, and elsewhere, to support the value of the expected ore, also seem essential.

The applicant desires to do this work, with one miner, and states that four months will be required to drive the required distance of "120 to 160 feet", which seems to be a rather long time. Your recommendations as to time required as well as to the capability and reliability of the applicant will be appreciated.

Your attention is called to DMA 626, Lead-Zinc, on the same property and to DMA 2209, Tungsten, on adjoining property.

Enclosures: Applicant's MF-100
Applicant's MF-103
Memo from USGS and USBM

Review of Application for Exploration Assistance - Re: DMA 2279-Tungsten

Items 10 and 17

This application appears to be in fair shape, save for sampling and geological factors. A geologic map giving data to support the applicant's proposed "120 to 160" feet of drifting to encounter a vein outcropping some 300 feet higher in elevation seems essential. Assays taken from the surface, and elsewhere, to support the value of the expected ore, also seem essential.

The applicant desires to do this work, with one miner, and states that four months will be required to drive the required distance of "120 to 160 feet", which seems to be a rather long time. Your recommendations as to time required as well as to the capability and reliability of the applicant will be appreciated.

Your attention is called to DMA 626, Lead-Zinc, on the same property and to DMA 2209, Tungsten, on adjoining property.

Enclosures: Applicant's MF-100
Applicant's MF-103
Memo from USGS and USBM

Review of Application for Exploration Assistance - Re: DMA 2279-Tungsten

Items 19 and 17

This application appears to be in fair shape, save for sampling and geological factors. A geologic map giving data to support the applicant's proposed "120 to 160" feet of drifting to encounter a vein outcropping some 300 feet higher in elevation seems essential. Assays taken from the surface, and elsewhere, to support the value of the expected ore, also seem essential.

The applicant desires to do this work, with one miner, and states that four months will be required to drive the required distance of "120 to 160 feet", which seems to be a rather long time. Your recommendations as to time required as well as to the capability and reliability of the applicant will be appreciated.

Your attention is called to DMA 626, Lead-Zinc, on the same property and to DMA 2209, Tungsten, on adjoining property.

Enclosures: Applicant's MF-100
Applicant's MF-103
Memo from USGS and USBM

Review of Application for Exploration Assistance - Re: DMA 2279-Tungsten

Items 10 and 17

This application appears to be in fair shape, save for sampling and geological factors. A geologic map giving data to support the applicant's proposed "120 to 160" feet of drifting to encounter a vein outcropping some 300 feet higher in elevation seems essential. Assays taken from the surface, and elsewhere, to support the value of the expected ore, also seem essential.

The applicant desires to do this work, with one miner, and states that four months will be required to drive the required distance of "120 to 160 feet", which seems to be a rather long time. Your recommendations as to time required as well as to the capability and reliability of the applicant will be appreciated.

Your attention is called to DMA 626, Lead-Zinc, on the same property and to DMA 2209, Tungsten, on adjoining property.

Enclosures: Applicant's MF-100
Applicant's MF-103
Memo from USGS and USBM

147A

George Selfridge, D.M.E.A. December 14, 1951

D. M. Lemmon, U. S. Geological Survey

Exploration application $6,242 D.M.E.A. 2279-Tungsten
(See also DMA 626 Lead-zinc)

Kenneth Rogers
P.O. Box 34
Sparks, Nevada

Property: New Moose claim
Millett Mining district
Toyabe Range
Nye County, Nevada

Proposal: Build cabins, retimber portal of adit, and extend adit 120 to 160 feet in search of downward continuation of mineralization exposed at surface 300 feet above.

Summary: The applicant states that a 200-pound sample from a 4-ton lot assayed 0.4% of WO_3, and that this sample is representative of an exposure 12 by 130 feet. The surface exposure obviously has not been sampled adequately.

The applicant apparently applied for a lead-zinc production loan of $39,000 last January (DMA-626) on the same property. This application was held until the exploration program was established; it is now in the non-active file awaiting information from the applicant. The sketch map accompanying DMEA 2279 (tungsten) is marked with the old docket number, DMA 626.

The Geological Survey has no record showing examination of this property. In my memo of November 28, I recommended a field referral on the adjoining property (Warfield Incorporated - DMA 2209).

Recommendation: It is recommended that this proposal be referred to Mr. H. C. Miller, Executive Officer, Field Team, Region III, San Francisco with the request that an examination be made of the property when weather permits. Possibly the examination of DMEA 2209 can be handled at the same time.

Docket DMA 626 may contain information pertinent to the current proposal, and should be consulted before the tungsten docket is sent to the field.

DMLemmon:gt

Sgd. D. Lemmon
and o'k'd - R.W. Geehan