DMA Form 1

DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
Control Slip

## CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

DMEA ~~DMMA~~ Docket No. 2288 Tungsten (Ned)

## DO NOT DETACH THIS SLIP

Action on this document is recorded and controlled in Reports and Records Branch. Any movement of this document between divisions or offices of DMA or movement outside DMA must be reported to the Reports and Records Branch. Actions taken that affect status of the case must also be reported.

This document has been recorded as

EXPLORATION PROJECT

Any action taken to change the type of request for assistance must be promptly reported to the Reports and Records Branch. Use DMA Form 2 for submitting these reports.

Routing Slip
(ONE COPY TO USGS & ONE TO USBM)

To: CODE 400 Rm. 4541 Date 12-14-51

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

To: _____ Date _____

## DO NOT DETACH

## CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

adams

OCT 14 1955

Mrs. Olive Burney
9307 Olympic Boulevard
Beverly Hills, California

Dear Mrs. Burney:

This will acknowledge your letter dated (November 8, 1955), in which you enclosed a check in the amount of $10.00, also dated (November 8, 1955), to pay for the cost of a photostatic copy of Mr. Fred Murland's (Murkland's) notes before Mr. Murkland returned the application for "The Red Top" and "The Red Ant" Mining Company, L.T.D.

A review of the file on the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company discloses that only one piece of correspondence signed by Mr. Fred W. Murkland, Information Officer, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, dated April 18, 1952 was sent to you. There is no record of notes ever having been made by Mr. Murkland concerning the application. A copy of this correspondence is enclosed.

Information concerning the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company has been given you in previous correspondence, with a final resume of the matter covered in my letter of September 22, 1953.

Your check for $10.00 is returned herewith.

Sincerely yours,

Frank E. Johnson

Acting Administrator

Enclosures 2
REAdams:mm - 10/12/55
Copy to: Administrator
Adm. R. File
Mail & Files
Chron.

9307 Olympic Blvd.
Beverly Hills, Calif.
~~Sept. 29~~, 1955
Nov. 8th

Mr. C. O. Mittendorf
Defence Mineral Administrator
Washington (25) D. C.

Dear Mr. Mittendorf.

Please find enclosed $10.00 for expense of photastatic copy of Mr. Fred Murland's notes; before Mr. Murland returned the application for "The Red Top" and "The Red Ant" Mining Company, L.T.D. a Co-partnership at the top of "heading" % Frank G. Howe 356 So. Broadway Los Angeles, 13, California.

The date this was sent was Dec. 7th 1951 and was received by Defense Mineral Administration Dec. 14, 1951 and was returned because "Exploration loan " signed ( one signature only) by Frank G. Howe and was sent to mineral Administration, instead of Exploration loan Dept.

I was in Howe's office when Postman returned this application which Frank G. Howe had prepared and signed himself. There was practically nothing filled out on that application.

Frank G. Howe opened the Large envelope and told me without reading anything there in; " This was sent to the wrong division."

I will greatly appreciate it if I may have Photostatic notes of Mr. Murland mailed as soon as possible to

Olive Burney- 9307 Olympic Blvd. Beverly Hills, California.

P.S. As of Dec 1st 1951 - Frank G. Howe Refused Moreno & Burney any co-partnership after collecting $5500 from Burney, $2000 from Moreno, (about -) would not notarize (or) record papers under which Howe & Thomas Rhodenizer & Co received said money.

You may recall our City, District Attorney, Mr. Richard Walty. He called your office when the Attorney's convention was there several years ago.

Thanking you and asking that this matter be kept confidental.

Mrs Olive Burney.

Mrs. Olive Burney.

Beverly Hills, California.

P.S. I realize photostatic copies run around 1¢ per page but sending this check to cover any expense, if any? to check Nov 7th to 14th 1951 - for Mr. Murland's reports. Thanking you, for your help. O.B.

228

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

200

Adam

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

SEP 23 1953

Mrs. Olive A. Burney
c/o Andrew J. Copp, Jr.
355 South Broadway
Los Angeles, California

Dear Mrs. Burney:

This will acknowledge your letter dated September 19, 1953, in which you request that we advise you whether or not an application has been filed with this Administration on the Churchill Tungsten Mining Group or the Red Top No. 3 in Churchill County, Sand Springs District, Nevada, and whether or not an application has been received from Fred A. Bennett, Frank G. Howe and Associates, John Olsen, and V. Cox.

As you have been informed in previous correspondence, the only application received by this Agency under the name of the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., was that received on December 14, 1951, c/o Frank G. Howe. No application has been received by this Agency on the Churchill Tungsten Mining Group, the Red Top No. 3 in Churchill County, Sand Springs District, Nevada, or from the persons mentioned in your letter.

This Agency has made inquiry of the General Services Administration regarding the possibility of an application having been filed with that Administration under the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., the Churchill Tungsten Mining Group, the Red Top No. 3, and from the persons mentioned in your letter, and we were informed that no application has ever been filed with that Administration under any of the names mentioned above.

Sincerely yours,

Frank E. Johnson

ACTING Administrator

REAdams/meb
9-23-53
cc to: Adm.Reading File
Mails & Files Br.
Mrs. Olive A. Burney
9307 Olympic Blvd.
Beverly Hills, Calif.

Sept 19th -53-

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
SEP 22 1953

U. S. Department of The Interior
Defense Minerals Administration
Washington, D.C.

Defense Production Act of 1950

Gentlemen -

"Churchill Tungsten Mining Group" or?

Will you please Advise. Today if possible air mail Special Delivery -

Is there an application under Defense Production Act of 1950 for loan - from Fred Q. Bennett, & or? Churchill Tungsten Mining Group! or? and Frank G. Howe and associate? on mining claims - or mines - The Red Top #3 Also possibly given also Red Ant 2, 3, 4, Churchill Co. Sand Springs, district Nevada - near Fallon, Nevada. possibly 32 or 37 miles? It might be - It might be given as 50 miles by road? + or Less (Mr John Olson. and Mr V. Cox) also have an interest - also could be attorneys for Frank G. Howe. & Thomas H. Rhodimer) What is Atty Cruser's Interest? What is Atty Maddux's Interest? & Atty Mc Kay's Interest? attorney Frank G. Howe's Interest?

Would like to know. Date of Application

Mr Howe now the same he is "trust" for us, but won't tell us of #3 Relative claim

#2

and if accepted I believe Frank G. Howe and associates Fred Bennett? or I understand They are building a 25 ton mill? could be more—

I paid for 40% of Purchase Contract Represented to be 40% of The 6 Claims also— have receipt $5925 paid, and ~~same~~

What percents does Frank G. Howe have? is it 20%. in Red Ant 2, 3, 4, Lode mining claims Churchill County—

What percent does Fred Bennett have? a.?

Does John Olson have percentage? if So What Amount? when? Olson & Bennett are together — Money & operation

Does V. Cye Cox show any Interest—

I believe it should be Fred Bennett 80% & Frank G. Howe and associates 20%

I wish to ask about. also 3 more unpatented Claims as of 1951— or only one of These # 3— Could be patented now— I believe are, and was Known as Red Top Lode mining claims 1, 2, 3 Sand Springs District, Churchill Co— near 32 miles from Fallon Nevada or? Have They applied? for Defense Production Loan or Grant? has it been accepted?—

#3 Are they building a mill near (one) of these

This number 1 ? — 2 ? — or 3 ?

6 miles from Red Ant. — 2, 3, or 4. lode mining

Claim in Sand Springs District

Churchill Co. Nevada —

They may have an application for only

one of these # 3 claims — (# number) 3 Lode mining Claim.

Sand Springs Dist. Churchill Co 37 miles Southerly from Fallon, Nevada.

I see by a letter from V. Cye Cox

it is # (3) They have kept and are

working. Red Top # 3 of which I

desire to have information at once.

If humanly possible, need this Information.

now,

Will greatly appriciate this Information

on # 3. The Red Top # 3 Lode mining

Claims — Please send Information on # 3 at once

Red Top # 3 —

Could you check

and see if # 3 or (Churchill Tungsten mining

Co.) [Fred Bennett = Frank Howe and associates.]

(Fred Bennett) John Olson? [Frank G. Howe and

associates] or V Cye Cox? also — or? or?

#4

I will greatly appreciate this (to always be confidential) - as I have been offered 40% of Mr Howes percentage of 5% until 39,200 has been paid. Then 40% of the 20% - he says now 15% but I know there is 20%

In Red Tape # 3 Lode mining claim - application given as (owners)? who are the owners -

My $5925.00 has helped to get this #3 clear through returning #1, and 2, to V. Cye Cox if the (one - two) - of the #3 of the lode mining claim is left clear - But not to me {Escrow closed and Never notified altho. atty. put in notice of Rescension - because they worked not notice or record} Nor do they want me to get my 40% of Frank G. Howe's Interest in this claim #3 - Or do they want to give Mr Ernest R. Moreno 15% of this #3 Red Tap lode mining claim 55% of the 100% collected in - Howe holds 45% The good one - Mr V. Cye Cox may have interest in this also - Strickly confidental and Please advise at once -

5/

Either Telegram or — (air mail) special

Delivery — letter —

Name? (Churchill Tungsten Mining Group) Nevada —

Company has applied for —

as before described — I Sent for Calif. mining Laws — They would not give me laws sent me to Corporate security to explain

Reference, for me. (Mrs Ensloe) Investigator

Corporate security — 145 So. Spring St,

State Bldg. Los Angeles Calif. Could not get Frank G. Howe,

to notarize papers he had in his

office signed without a notary present,

said we would go down The Hall 7th

Floor — 356 So. Broadway, Los Angeles Calif

we would (all three, notarize) "immediately"

after getting my money in Bank at Reno —

"Immediately on Return" — To Los Angeles Nov. 30 1951

(Please do not do anything to stop

activity, at mines.) because they have made

an offer on Red Ant, 2, 3, 4, but no promises

have been kept. So far, and we have not

signed the offer being prepared. (want my 40% of Howes present in #3 Red Top

Col Please protect me (at all times) if lawyers

Andrew J Copp Jr. says are like Criminal lawyers

4 lawyers (over) He "had his wife sue me for $5500. (over) "Said" he got from her "Howe collected 300 back 9 years to

Kari

The over

Collected $500 Howe made Moreno
Pay him for 15% after Moreno had
put up the 1st $1000 and Stiel has his
assignment of 50% of the Contract of Purchase $
Would not give Moreno Receipt for 500
on Red Top 1-2-3 & Red Ant 2, 3, 4, lode mining
Claims - 32-37 miles They claim from
Fallon Nevada Sand Springs Mining District
Mr Ernest R. Moreno was [Frank G. Howe's
Attorney - Client for I believe 11 yrs]
Mr Ernest R. Moreno neither Reads or
writes in any language - Can only
sign his name. Moreno trusted Howe so I accepted Howe's
Offer - to be our atty - no charge at any time
For company ← work -
Mr Moreno although cannot read or
write in any language - (left an orphan) is worth more
(as a man) that all four of those
lawyers - That Each one tried to use
Hypotism in Court. on every witness -

The Judge in Court case They sued Mr Moreno
$3127 to pay one was due when it got to mill,
and I for, in Independence Calif
The Judge ordered [a strange man] who
was going through the motions (with hands & arms)
while I was on stand - I looked down and saw him
when Judge stopped case - [His arms & Hands was still in air
like one reeg at show] Moreno said my head was going up and down
did not think it affected me -

6

They (there four lawyers are after me. Now) because I am trying to get my 40% of Frank G. Howe's percent. I paid for $5925.+ also Ernest R. Moreno - wants his 15% paid $2000 for + work at mine never pd for - Frank G. Howe had 45% - 55%

of the 100% under 3 Documents, we could never get Frank G. Howe to notarize on record.

We have a civil case - and do want to get my money back (on) my 40% + Moreno his 15% - 55% of Howe's in his New Company

Under this offer If I accept offer that is now made. (only want to give me 40% on Red Ant Keeping Red Top out will not tell us who has the good #3 claim)

"We will have to clear Frank G. Howe a Thomas A Rhodiner". "say "There is no fraud." If I have to do That Then want my 40% Frank G. Howe's Interest in (both) Red Top & Red Ant. (Please Rush)

They refuse me to see what is doing on Papers of agreement etc - on the Red Top #3 The Red Ant #3 Lode Mining Claim of Churchill Nevada - near Fallon Nevada - what Interest Has Howe. when? filed

Cordially
Oliver Burney.

Mrs Olive Burney
9307 Olympic Blvd
Beverly Hills Calif
near Rexford Drive

Rush →






If possible
Mr Mitterdorf

Important
Open today

EJB

U.S Department of The Interior
Defense Minerals Administration
Defense Production Act
953 or Exploration Loans

→ Washington
→ D. C.

VIA AIR MAIL
Special Delivery

WASHINGTON, D. C.
SEP 21 3 30 PM 1953
DEL. SEC.

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

200

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

SEP 22 1953

Mrs. Olive A. Burney
c/o Andrew J. Copp, Jr.
355 South Broadway
Los Angeles, California

Dear Mrs. Burney:

Reference is made to your recent correspondence addressed to this Agency regarding an application filed by the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., for exploration assistance dated December 7, 1951 and received by this Agency on December 14, 1951. We also refer to your most recent telegram addressed to Richard Waltz, Attorney, requesting that he contact Mr. Mittendorf, the Administrator of this Agency, regarding testimony for court use. The telegram has been referred to Mr. Mittendorf to be included as part of your file.

It seems desirable to review this entire matter by setting forth chronologically all inquiries and replies concerning the filing of this application.

On December 14, 1951, four copies of Forms MF-103 and MF-100, together with a report were received by the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration from the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., c/o Frank G. Howe, 356 South Broadway, Los Angeles 13, California. This application was officially dated with this Agency's date stamp and assigned Docket No. DMEA 2288. Acknowledgement of the receipt of this application was made on December 17, 1951, and addressed to Mr. Frank G. Howe, Partner, Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., 356 South Broadway, Los Angeles 13, California. The application was subsequently forwarded to the proper division for examination. On January 21, 1952, a letter was addressed to Frank G. Howe, Attorney-at-Law, 356 South Broadway, Suite 708, Los Angeles 13, California (a copy of this letter is enclosed), returning all papers filed with this application to this Agency on Docket No. DMEA 2288, advising that, after study by our mining engineers, it was determined that the application did not come within the exploration program, but was an application for a production loan. Therefore, the application should be filed with the Defense Materials Procurement Agency on Form MF-101, a set of which was enclosed.

R.C.B. 9-22-53

In reply to your letter dated April 12, 1952, in which you made inquiries regarding the application under Docket No. DMEA 2288, and requested information concerning the status of one Thomas H. Rhodimer, who filed a report along with the application, you were informed that the application dated December 7, 1951, was received by this Agency and that the application was returned on January 21, 1952 to Mr. Frank G. Howe, since it was not within the jurisdiction of this Agency. You were also informed that we could find no record showing that Thomas H. Rhodimer was currently employed by the United States Government in any capacity having to do with appraising or approving loans for any mining project.

On August 25, 1952 you sent a telegram to this Agency, requesting information as to whether or not Senator McCurran or anyone else signed the application Docket No. DMEA 2288, which was sent to us by Frank G. Howe, and whether or not any other application was received by this Agency. You followed this telegram with a letter dated August 28, 1953, in which you requested a certified copy of the application under Docket No. DMEA 2288, for use in an action now pending between yourself, Ernest R. Moreno, and Frank G. Howe. In our reply of August 31, 1953, we informed you that all papers concerning this application were returned to Mr. Frank G. Howe, therefore, it was not possible for us to send a certified copy of this application. You were further informed that our records indicated that the application was filed by the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., c/o Mr. Frank G. Howe, and that no other application had been received from the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company.

In your letter dated August 10, 1953, you enclosed photostat copies of application forms MF-100 and MF-103, which bore the official date stamp of this Agency (as to the receipt of the application) and the date shown was December 14, 1951. You requested that this Agency verify that the application from the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., dated December 7, 1951, and received by this Agency December 14, 1951, was the one and only application received. In our reply dated September 11, 1953, we enclosed a certification to the effect that the application referred to was the one and only application received from the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd. We further stated that it was signed by Frank G. Howe, O. A. Burney, and Ernest R. Moreno. With regard to the latter statement, it was assumed that the photostat copy was a true copy of the original application filed with this Agency, and the photostat copy showed the signatures of Frank G. Howe, O. A. Burney, and Ernest R. Moreno. This statement should not have

been certified, for the reason that our records do not show specifically that the application was signed by Frank G. Howe, O. A. Burney, and Ernest R. Moreno.

It is regrettable that this Agency cannot be of further assistance to you in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

FRANK E. JOHNSON

ACTING Administrator

Enclosure
REAdams/meb
9-22-53
cc to: Adm.Reading File
Mails & Files Br.

The Mayflower

A HILTON HOTEL

WASHINGTON · D. C.

Mr. Mittendorf:

I know this lady but I don't know what this telegram is about. Mr. Cox of Kansas is her attorney.

R. C. West Jr.

CLASS OF SERVICE

This is a full-rate Telegram or Cablegram unless its deferred character is indicated by a suitable symbol above or preceding the address.

# WESTERN UNION

1220

W. P. MARSHALL, PRESIDENT

SYMBOLS

DL=Day Letter

NL=Night Letter

LT=Int'l Letter Telegram

VLT=Int'l Victory Ltr.

The filing time shown in the date line on telegrams and day letters is STANDARD TIME at point of origin. Time of receipt is STANDARD TIME at point of destination

PA091 LA019 15 AM 5 11

L.LLA036 LONG NL PD 9 EXTRA=TDL BEVERLYHILLS CALIF 14

RICHARD WALTZ, ATTORNEY=

MAYFLOWER HOTEL WASHDC=

EX 34900.

EX 3007.

WOULD YOU CALL MR MITTENDORF US DEFENSE MINERAL EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR DO YOU REMEMBER OF FRANK G HOWE THAT PURPORTEDLY SOLD ME FORTY PERCENT OF TITLE INTEREST IN MINING CONTRACT OF PURCHASE IN MY HOME ON TWO QUIT CLAIMS NO PERMIT FROM CORPORATE SECURITY NOT OWNER NOTHING TO SELL NO

PARTNERSHIP. I SEND FRADULENT PHOTOSTAT FOR MR MITTENDORF TO COMPARE WITH NOTES OF FIRST LETTER APRIL 18TH 1952 AND SECOND LETTER SENT ME BOTH ARE CORRECT THE PHOTOSTAT NEVER SHOWN UNTIL DEPOSITION AUGUST 24TH 1953 MR MORENO AND MYSELF HAVE NEVER SIGNED THIS SCRATCHED MIXED UP PURPORTED PHOTOSTAT. SEE IF YOU AND MR MITTENDORF CAN SEND GOOD TESTIMONY FOR COURT FROM FILES OF FIRST LETTER AND SECOND LETTER IS CORRECT ONLY ONE SIGNED APPLICATION MR FRANK G HOWE DECEMBER 7TH RECEIVED DECEMBER 14TH SEEING MR ANDERSON ABOUT CHANGING MY SIGNATURE AT BANK AIR MAIL BEST TESTIMONY ON FIRST AND SECOND LETTER=

MRS OLIVE BURNEY CARE ANDREW J COPP JR 355 SOUTH BROADWAY LA.

THE COMPANY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE

The Mayflower
WASHINGTON 6 · D.C.

WASHINGTON, D.C.
SEP 16
5 30 PM
1953

BUILD YOUR
WISELY.
U.S. SAVINGS BONDS

3 CENTS 3
UNITED STATES POSTAGE

Mr. Mottaudorf
U.S. Defense Mineral
Exploration Administration
Dept. of Interior, D.C.

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

200

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Mrs. Olive A. Burney
9307 W. Olympic Boulevard
Beverly Hills, California

Dear Mrs. Burney:

This will acknowledge your letter of recent date, requesting that the photostat copies of Forms MF-100 and MF-103, which you submitted in a recent letter be returned to you.

In accordance with your request the photostat copies are returned herewith.

Sincerely yours,

Robert E. Adams
Chief, Operations Control
and Statistics Division

Enclosures - 2
REAdams/meb
9-15-53
cc to: Adm.Reading File
Mails & Files Br.

United States -
Department of the Interior
Defense Minerals
Exploration Administration
Washington D.C

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
SEP 15 1953

Mr. C. Mittendorf
Administrator

Dear Mr Mittendorf -

Please do not take action until after our civil action is completely completed ← bill notify you
Please send back Photostate (Clerk of Court case now on) (let me make) to show you what they claimed - tucked away in back of their Deposition They took last minute of Moreno's Deposition when Moreno was ~~seeing~~ them and appearing ~~in~~ Court - nothing true in it but

No (17) Never signed — by
O A Burney or Ernest R Moreno —
Do not Know (who signed it,
But Moreno and Burney never
saw it until Deposition — Moreno
neither Reads or writes / only his name
Howe has been his Lawyer several
years Howe told ~~me~~ "He was
protecting Moreno" — "He was protecting
Himself" — "He there fore would see
all three of us were protected" —
"If I would put $5800. in, He would
never charge Company for any Thing
in a legal way" — "Company would Not
every have to pay for a Lawyer
Misrepresentation in Forms & application
(never sent to you Photostate Copy)
Court Clerk put it on table at noon I believe
I "ask him to take care of it"

Court Clerk said "Just leave it there it will be alright — I am busy." So I said "if you are too busy I will take it" — So after lunch I took out Moreno's deposition they demanded a (very) few day before court case —

The Lawyers have been Keeping them out of Court — Mr Maddux Since March 17 1951 Mr & Mrs Rhodimers attorney Sent notice to collect $7000 — not pd at escrow — Not Know it was going to Rhodimer & Howe — selling mine — Howe collected $500.00 Represented on Both the one signed by Howe only $20000 Pd (See 2nd letter sent to me after Deposition (Mr Mittendorf you wrote the 3rd letter) I am so tired from all the trouble and Hell Rhodimer Howe have made me — I might have

4th

Put in a wrong word, not intended to, is why! The Administor U.S. Department of Interior Defense Minerals Exploration Administration I sent Copy of what Howe was using in Court - to put all their wickedness in our laps -

They told Mr & Mrs Moreno - "not to go on my side - They would be much more money If they Stayed with Them" - Quote Thomas H Rhodmier & Frank G. Howe - in Moreno's Home - Mr & Mrs Moreno are testifing today - I heard Col Copp ask them to be ~~on~~ Monday - Sept 14th - 1953.

Mr Copp I believe is President of American Legion just elected a few months ago I believe - Has to travel quite a little bit now. I presume

in the state a very Honest man
But entirely too busy to have this
Case — He has never heard it
or Read it in full —
So some vitual questions have not
been ask us — now in Court 10 days
had to wait 2 days for court Room
paying lawyers for that time —
I believe Col Copp — Relying on —
Misrepresentation of Facts —
only #17 actually all true in
Phostate Comat Clerk took to their
Phostate office and left for me
County Recorders office for copy
I sent you —
Maybe it would be better If
you did not testify to having
seen it — when later I Know
Corp Security and City attorney Atterbury
Lawyer are waiting for us to get through

F.B.I. and Fraud Dept L.A. wanted me to tell them when case went on — Knew about all cases under Supeny they wiggled out of —
So I did what they told me
They said they decided better if they did not come into court room During trial — but want to see Court Report taken by Court for Judge —

I hope you can excuse my Hurried Report letter if you could ever call it that —
I was so happy to receive it but so upset — when by mistake I was by same a mistake tied into same by mistake —
If you read that photo state pushed under my nose — I believe by Clerk — or Rhodimers attorney

to enroll Howe and help Rhodmen

Anyway when the 3 lawyers they had and Howe who is a lawyer returned They had a big flutter when they saw me Reading same with Mr & Mrs Moreno Present and even ask before Court clerk — Court Not in session yet — Where did I get that ? I ask Court clerk because there's 3 men Are in Court room besides Judge "Are you the Gentle men I ask to take Care of this original Document" He said "yes" — So after Court, I ask him If I could have it Photostated to send to you — and I for Senator Mc Curran They Rhodmen said "he had sent to Senator Mc Curran and Mc Curran said it was

Alright" - in Rhodimers deposition never really finished - his Attorney ~~attorney~~ always has him too sick - Ready for Colapse - and every time a new decease - but never sends the Doctors orders in - pulled out of Court continually -
So Judge Richards finely after 2 yrs - of excuses said -
"Whether Rhodimer under Subpeny even finishes his Deposition or Comes in -
We are going to Court August 27th" I believe - we got into Court - Jude Bayard Rhone now Room 24 - 17th Floor City Hall Tower - second day waiting for court room
Did not Frank G. Howe and all His attorney violate Fiduciary Capacity with the United States Goverment Bureau of mines making this Fraudulent ~~Photostatic~~ copy appear real and

attaching same to Moreno's
deposition the only way they
could put it in — It was
never sent to you — and
never intended for you to see
Howe an attorney if he wanted
loan (I noticed last night
did not get the jest of it before)
Mr Fred W — Murklands letter
~~Dept #~~ Dated April 18th — 1952
speaks of Defense Minerals
Procurement Agency for money
see last of his letter Dated April
18th — also last paragraph —
Enclosing copy of D.E.M.A. etc —
Not knowing law and be so
distrubed because Howe and Rhodma
extracted 5925.00 + about 1000
for expense & discounts to get into this

Fraudulent misrepresentation of
Every thing — (No intent to notarize Record)
No 40% title of Interest of Murray Property given at
Escrow — First National Bank of
Reno — Nevada No Permit to get under 15502.
Ask for Escrow Papers — only showed
me "Contract of Purchase" No Escrow Papers
now want "$75.00 for Their Photostate
of same #1871 They say "it might be
less" 1871 — will not let me see
the New escrow Now 1891 —
Howe and V. Cye Cox have with
another Parties before They
have done one thing about Rescission
as of March 17th 1957 Bank never noticed
I believe — In fact I know —
Never notified Col. Andrew J Lapp Jr
They had closed escrow 1871
and entered Escrow 1891
Hauled Ore. refusing Mrs Burney under 1871 escrow never seen

to ride on Truck with Truck hauler
to mill — with what Howe told
Morens would be $70,000 clear
Rhodimer ~~said~~ Pay off Burney over $40000
gave up to $80,000 value for Damp.
Calif truck Co Jim Nicholous
did not get a permit to Haul
Nevada ore — Picked up on Highway
Talked to The Sheriff on telephone
Yesterday to send his report on
Summons to me for Court — He is.
Sheriffs office one of The Deputies
Sheriff was out —
Then Howe told Morens "not to
tell me anything I was supposed
to be Sec and Treasure — They Collected my $591.00 Plus
under 15502 to be Sec & Treasure could
go with These first loads to mill
to see it got There
Then "Refused to to tell O.A. Burney

who trucker was "an old friend".
"I will not tell you who it is."
Then Howe trucker sued for $3,127.00
for Ore Hauled 269 tons only
suppose to move 60 ton for
a test run
They would not get mill until
it was below Zero and snow
a foot or so deep
Then Howe sent "Trucks by
Gabb" wrote Moreno "he would
not be able to see them get
one - this route" - would not go
through Fallon" - did not want
Fallon motor officers to see
them any more on high way -
Do hope you can send same
as #2 letter only one signature
not ← three as advised by 2nd letter

I saw this when it was returned by Post man opened in my presents (only one signature)

Frank G. Howe — signed only one signed

will appreciate your sending back photostate Clerk took to Photostate Dept) for me to pick up 3 copies) his left at Photostate Dept

Please see the mis understood report on Photostate (Third letter) is removed from your files because not Correct Because these 4 lawyers have sued Burney & Moreno for $500 for Howe with

Names of O.O. Burney beautifully forged — going to see Mr Anderson at Bank of America 469 No. Beverly Dr — No. Beverly Drive & Santa Monica Blvd Beverly Hills Calif my name is changed in some way — will let Mr anderson pick me a new name — Family & friends call me Peachie Mary Ann hereafter

P.S

This Contract of Purchase was
as of Jan 22nd 1952
Delinquent when this purported
Contract — Purportedly sent?
to United States Government
owner entered to U.S Government
would be delinquent Jan 22nd 1951
nothing any way (only 2 quit claims)
as of Aug — 24th — 1957 (was delinquent)
Oct 24th when they took my $800 —

Every thing is false on this application
only (17) absolutely true
not owner — only 2 quit claims
Cox to Howe (Aug 24th —
Howe to Cox Aug 24th — Recorded to
O Cye Cox in Recording office Fallon Nevada)
you can have these Photostatic Copies
when I am through — to Inspect

Nothing to sell any one —
My money was collected 22 days before
next 200 a month (was delinquit)

Mrs P. Olive Burney — has to be changed to some thing — I want to stay in the same band — I believe it is Howe or at least some one he gets — other unreal Papers put in against us — I have not money to have another hand a hand writer expert — but Know I never saw it — when I saw this Document at Deposition I spoke up — said "I never saw it before" — Col Copp said "Mrs Burney you are not testifying" Please straighten this out according to Letter #1 — Letter #2 your Information officers (at mee) and (remove) from your files) to comply to your records — Thank you Mr. Mittendorf I Know you will take care of it — Mrs Moreno They say in false forged Photostat (mg 1 of Mine and mill) P. Olive A Burney

over

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

SEP 11 1953

Mrs. Olive A. Burney
9307 W. Olympic Boulevard
Beverly Hills, California

Dear Mrs. Burney:

This will acknowledge your letter dated August 10, 1953 (incidentally, the envelope in which your letter was enclosed bears the postmark date of September 9, 1953), in which you enclosed a photostat copy of an application for Government financial assistance from the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., the original of which was received by this Agency on December 14, 1951. In your letter you request that this Agency verify that the application from the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., dated December 7, 1951, and received by the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration on December 14, 1951, signed by Frank G. Howe, O. A. Burney, and Ernest R. Moreno, was the one and only application received by this Agency. There is enclosed a certification to this effect.

The photostat copies of the application and your cashier's check for five dollars ($5.00) are also enclosed.

If you want any part of this 5.00 please advise

Sincerely yours,

O Whittaker

Administrator

Enclosures - 3

O A Burney — Ernest R Moreno — was not the one and only Application Please check 1st letter April 18th — Second letter August — check (at two) Please check Second letter sent in April — you will see the letter and not correct

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

CERTIFICATION

I, C. O. Mittendorf, Administrator, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, do hereby certify that ONE and ONLY ONE application for Government financial assistance on Forms MF-100 and MF-103, dated December 7, 1951, in the name of the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., a co-partnership, in the name of Frank G. Howe, 356 South Broadway, Los Angeles 13, California, and signed by Frank G. Howe, O. A. Burney, and Ernest R. Moreno, was received by the Defense Minerals Administration (now Defense Minerals Exploration Administration) on December 14, 1951.

Dated: Sept 11, 1953

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

C O Mittendorf
Administrator, Defense Minerals
Exploration Administration

Mr C.D. Mittendorf
Administrator
United States
Department of Interior
Washington DC

9307 Olympic Blvd
Beverly Hills
Sept 12th 53

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
SEP 14 1953

Please Rush
this Correction
your certificate
is not correct

Hon.
Mr C.D. Mittendorf Esq.

Your letter of 11th was
definitely mis-understood —
Please (at once) see letter
by Fred W Markland — (not with you
Information Officer
as of (April 18 - 1952)
Now
See files!
This is the Truth. ← Second letter truth

Please Read Second Paragraph
The application (requested!)
funds (for mill construction)

And did not outline any exploration

Dec 7th 1951

Consequently, on January 21st 1951

Mr Howe was notified that the

application ~~filed~~ did not ~~come~~

"within the exploration program"

→ Please Read Mr Fred W. Murland's

letter of April 18th - 1952 (at once)

which is correct

Please Read (2nd letter) received

from your office now in my

Attorney's files (Received last week) last week about

Sept - 2 or 3rd or 4th

This one and (only) (filed) (ever)

sent to your office Dec 7th - Received Dec 14th

→ Only (one) (signature) (only)

which I have the truth about

Page 2/6 Please change your certificate and mail

Please see at once || letter (Air-mail Special Delivery)

Received in Los Angeles — sent to

Mrs O.A. Burney or Mrs Olive A. Burney

looked up Recently (Do not have the

Ally

~~Co 355 So Broadway LA Co Andrew J Copp Jr~~

~~the name of Man~~) because same

is in My Lawyers office —

But you can find letter to Mrs

O.A. Burney or Olive A. Burney sent

in care of my Counsel — This is Saturday So cannot get the name of 1st letter

Col Andrew J Copp Jr Ally Los Angeles

355 So. Broadway

Calif) which states — Only one person

signed application Mr Frank G. Howe

one and only signer never was asked

to sign to sign Application of Dec 7th

Received Dec 14th

3rd Page

In letter sent to Mrs O. A. Burney

or Olive A Burney ——

Dated 1917 Dec 7th

The Application of (Only one) ~~Dated~~ Recd Dec 14th

(2nd letter is correct) (not yours)

The copy of what Frank G. Howe

(Phostated) I sent you ——

is (not) The Application of Dec 7th 1914

never sent to you (at) (any) (time)

(Only This Fraudulent Photostatic copy That he brought to a Deposition) "never sent to your office"

The ~~one~~ Howe sent your office had (only) (one) name Frank G. Howe

made out so they would not get loan Promised in 3 or 4 weeks

Sent un be known to Olive A Burney
or Ernest R. Moreno to your Division

Neither Olive A Burney or
Ernest R Moreno — "ever
Ever signed this (Enclosed)
Photostatic copy" (I sent to
you. I had photostated because
"My name and Mr Moreno's
name have been forged" and a
good job of my signature
If I had ever (seen) these papers
or (heard of same)!
I would wonder.
How I ever signed them". (But I never
Seigned them nor did your office Receive them
I was in Mr Howes office the
day these one signatures returned he did not want
to get a application Grant — "was

Returned To Him By post man —
Mr Howe jumped up — later opened
this and said "Why this is my
Grant" — Returned because "They
say not made out right" —
I Seen there was only one
Signature Frank G. Howe —
not even The Signature of
Thomas H Rhodimer M.E — All untrue
Consultant Mining Engineer
Registed in Washington D.C Rhodimer
Claimed he had a $75000 Honesty
Surety Bond in Washington DC — 1951 — and many other
things — also a letter signed M.E.
Not true even about Thomas H. Rhodimer
Pg 4 Jamie Boyd — a letter with typewritten name of
James Boyd Selling a Mr H Gorden Benson
off — and stelling many things
Mr Thomas H Rhodimer was
"Commander in The Navy ele ete"
Mr James Boyd has never heard

of Thomas H Rhodimer "never Remembers his father ever speaking of Thomas H Rhodimer" ("Mr Benson "never Read this letter Frank J. Howe handed me, in his office when I began to see the light") "That Thomas H. Rhodimer never worked appraising loans for the U.S Goverment That Thomas H Rhodimer never appraised and approved 211 U.S. Goverment loans in Region # III Calif. Nevade, IV Arizona or VI Texas (at the same time working in 3 Divisions) We have a Civil Case as I am a widow 66 yrs old and cannot afford to lose $6000 "pd for 40 per cent Title equity (without (a permit from Corporate Security) Never even applied for same -)

Page 4th

Ensloe a wonderful woman with Corporate Security 145 So. Spring Los Angeles Calif Corporate Security Mirror Bldg knew both men when I went in there - she took me to her office - she is on her vacation but has arranged for her notes on Frank G. Howe called into Corporate Security on 2 or more cases but not Indicted is coming into our Case Monday also Col Copp Jr is Bringing Thomas H Rhodimer's case because on Rhodimers Deposition - Said he (Rhodimer) had never been Indicted in Los Angeles Co. "never in County Jail in L. A. County" California was given 5 yrs probation out on 3 yrs - pd and money $500.

Please check last letter sent me - (not yours) because you did not understand this photostat

Copy Page 8th
is not true has been forged
never sent to your office —
Please Note only Photostat by me —
as I wrote you (4) has been scratched
out — under Received Dec 1 — 1951
should be 14th 1951
attached Sheet Form MF 100 —
To (1) in omitted
To should be
Please Note following page
Complete map showing — e
possibly MIN e
scratched off — as told you in
letter Please note allon The
Should be Fallon (7) scratched out
D A Burney and Ernest R Moreno)
Is not and was not on the one and
only application sent in so you
would not make a loan — wanted and
Intended to freeze and take these
6 Claims away from Burney & Moreno

the mine and mill —

Mr Howe was mgr — Mr Rhodmer was to manager the mill —

Please Note article (8) Address of (Officers) (Directors 1 & Partner as arranged by Frank G. Howe.

4th Page Has me the 1st Party Mr Moreno the 2nd Party (Mr Frank G Howe the 3 Party — His Papers He's First Party under (c) Total Annual Salary (Commesson) Should be → $2500 — To Thomas H Rhodmer

Pd By O A Burney $500 — to Frank G Howe

Pd By Moreno → "would give No Receipt to Moreno" — Both of the men "too Busy had No time to give a receipt" to Moreno. $3000 Have been collected Rhodmer and Howe both admit His Commission but said it was for something else

Howe's wife Suing us for 5500 She and Howe said Mr Moreno and O A Burney borrowed from Mrs Howe an Absolute misrepresentation

of fact — Mrs Howe at Case of Rescission — under oath said "she paid this 500.00 collected December 4th in Attorney Howe's office was paid on loan she loaned Company as of August 24th 1951" — my 5800 pd at Escrow at Nevada Bank Nov 28 1951 (Receipt from Bank of $3000) (for 2 quit Claims) 1st Cox to Howe Aug 24th 1951 Frank G. Howe to Cox Aug 24 1951 unbeknown to me or moreno — 200.00 a month payments after 60 days — "Nothing to sell" "nothing to Buy" — Please let us finish our Civil Case — Then If Mr Atterbury City Attorney and other attorney want to Investigate and take over I will then tell them the truth of supposed — Have explained to

Page 11

Note the first Paragraph

also note next to last paragraph same letter April 18th "As to Mr Thomas H Rhodimer we can find no record showing that he is currently employed by the U.S Goverment, in any Capacity which has to do with appraising or approving loans for any mining project" — which is now Proved untrue — by at least 8 letters —

Mr Rhodimer according to Conts Cask, in Los Angeles files, has never gone higher than the 9th grade never was an M E, never did work for The United States Defense mineral Administration —

We ~~dot~~ not want to be in business
with two men of past Record of
and as Howe and Rhodimer
and Thank Heavens they never
recorded or notarized The paper
Mr Howe an Attorney excisted
a violation of his fiduciary Capacity
and did enter into Fiducia ←
Agreement" and "Contract of Purchase"
under manipulation) Mr Moreno
was his client for Manys Years
neither Reads or writes - Mrs Burney
a woman believed all of the
Misrepresentation told her to be
in Truth and in fact! Every one of
them Fraudulent — The only Think
I Know and Mr Moreno Knows to
be absolutely true is #17 this Pholostate
"We deny every thing else altho I
have prepared corrections that have

to be presented in Court because
attached to Mr Moreno's deposition
They took just a few days before
The trial Have been trying to get
them in Court for civil trial as in Court Room Aug 27 Aug 27/1
Since March 17 1952 - Got them in Aug 27
"Rescinding asking for our money back"
I was supposed to be see Treasure
The papers Howe showed at Deposition
was different from this Photostatic
Copy where as. Howe made Moreno
Manager of Mine! - Manager of mill
Moreno neither Reads or writes
Mr Howe collected $2000 when he Knew
Moreno Could not be a Supt. forbidden
by Nevada Law - I have ask for this papers I saw
~~The Photostate~~ might be The same - But not exactly I believe
You Note on 6 H. page one The
applicant, the partnership as such has
had No Mining or general business
experience - Mr Moreno, will manage

The Mine and the mill"

I have have studied Book Keeping 2 years - Never studied Bookeeping one day.

I will definately stand by and with and Mr Moreno will do after our case is over with our Records. I am working for 1.05 part time at Lane Bryant Beverly Hills but intend to see what the Price of parts of Case I can get from Court Reporter - or if you want all of case - I do not want them to know you are getting one - Because if they go up the River - I will not get my money My lawyer charges quite a fee to but If you want me to get a full report I will If I have to borrow the money - will correct certificate after you read 2nd Copy sent me Yours was the Third Remove Your certificate because I evidently

Did not make it clear to you —
Frank is not owner — $7000 due
on 20,000 they said was already
paid at Escrow —
I am not sure they mentioned the
$200 a month —
I believe time Howe mailed you
the application he signed the only one
that did sign — He was default —
on this $200. a month —
Please protect us and wait until
our civil case is through — will
let you know when it is — F.B.I.
have invested Rhodimer and want to
read case — He is such a good blow Hard —
He made Mr Walcott believe quite a few
Misrepresentation of facts but Mr Walcott
does want to read case — Can you and
Mr Walcott — Corporate security etc have
access to Court Report — If so I presume

I would not need to put any more money out - Please correct your certificate accordingly to 2nd letter about Sept 1st or last of August 1 2 3 4 5

It will be in your file - under O.A. Burney or Olive A Burney % Andrew J Copp Jr Attorney 335 So. Bdwy Los Angeles near 4th st and send air mail Special Delivery to O.A. Burney send Immediately Monday Morning - That this Photostate has 3 signatures - Only one signature on 1st see 2nd letter in your files This is absolutely True -

Your certificate is fine - But I did not make it clear to you - (Please excuse Haste O.A.B.)

Please Rush need not later than Tuesday but change to the True Facts not true in Photo stole -

Thanking you - Cordially Mrs. Olive A Burney

17th Page

Certificate

no 3. Signatures

Please take all of these 3 people out of my records

Not Correct

over

The truth

Only Frank G. Howe signed

application Dec 7th 1951 —

Reed 1952

no other signature was on this

application for a mill —

not an exploration Program ?

(over)

Note only MF 100 — and MF 103

Sec # 9 I have invested $10000 (the owner) and one signature

$4000 for expenses — I paid $125 of said

Fraudulent Misrepresentation (no intention

to Del Calif mining 40% of title in mining

co —) all was misrepresentation — no permit

from corporate security) Ever applied for —

Howe Refused to tell me How the Hoaler

was under terms to get my 5925

plus about 1000 — for discounts to

Frank G. Howe calling the

Howe had hoaler

in expenses of atty etc

Sent more

2 to 3 127

hoaler

appeal

Mr & Mrs Howe Mr & Mrs Rhodmier
They have 3 attorneys All as bad as Howe is!
Howe also is an attorney Rhodmier

So there are 4 attorneys against
my attorney — but when
this certificate is checked by
1st File and second file the truth
will be told ←
Be Sure and get Certificate attested and letter
out of file in your hands
They set this up according to
Corporate Security
strickly
Confidential

to steal it! Corporate Security will follow thru
is why they do not want this to
2 took #5030 working partnership
to Howe when Howe or Rhodmier
would not notarize or record or enter
into Calif Partnership — Forbode
Frank G. Howe to enter into 5030 — Thereby
Checking me out [Said he wouldn't] got $125
from me — Then left — He and Rhodmier and Howe

Moreover, client to begin work with him making 55-90 $

P.S. Howe demanded, forced
Moreno Sr - and Moreno Jr to
get in Rhodimers car -
Said they would all get arrested
If Moreno refused to come with
him District attorney tried to get
Howe not to enter in it sending me
a letter Howe testified Asst. District Attorney
made it out
There is no Asst. District
Asst. Attorney

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

200

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

SEP 11 1953

Mrs. Olive A. Burney
9307 W. Olympic Boulevard
Beverly Hills, California

Dear Mrs. Burney:

This will acknowledge your letter dated August 10, 1953 (incidentally, the envelope in which your letter was enclosed bears the postmark date of September 9, 1953), in which you enclosed a photostat copy of an application for Government financial assistance from the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., the original of which was received by this Agency on December 14, 1951. In your letter you request that this Agency verify that the application from the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., dated December 7, 1951, and received by the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration on December 14, 1951, signed by Frank G. Howe, O. A. Burney, and Ernest R. Moreno, was the one and only application received by this Agency. There is enclosed a certification to this effect.

The photostat copies of the application and your cashier's check for five dollars ($5.00) are also enclosed.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf

Administrator

Enclosures - 3
REAdams/meb
9-11-53
cc to: Adm.Reading File
Mails & Files Br.

9-11-53

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

200

Adams

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

AUG 31 1953

Mrs. Olive A. Burney
9307 W. Olympic Boulevard
Beverly Hills, California

Dear Mrs. Burney:

This will acknowledge receipt of your telegram dated August 25, 1953, addressed to Mr. Fred W. Murkland, requesting a reply by night letter regarding information contained in an application filed by Mr. Frank G. Howe - Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company. We also received a letter from you dated August 28, 1953, requesting that we forward by Air Mail, Special Delivery the same information contained in the telegram and a certified copy of the application.

In our letter dated April 18, 1952, we informed you that an application dated December 7, 1951, was received from Mr. Frank G. Howe for the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company in the amount of $20,000. Further, we informed you that the application requested funds for mill construction and did not come under the jurisdiction of this Agency, but should be filed with the Defense Materials Procurement Agency. On January 21, 1953, the application in its entirety was returned to Mr. Howe, therefore, it is not possible for us to forward a certified copy to you.

With regard to your question as to whether or not the application was signed by Senator McCurran or anyone else, our records show that only Mr. Howe's signature appeared on the application. There was no other application sent in on the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company.

The cashier's check for $5.00 to cover the cost of the photostat copy of the application is returned herewith.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf

Administrator

MDA 8-31-53

Enclosure

REAdams/meb
8-31-53
cc to: Adm.Reading File
Mails & Files Br.

CLASS OF SERVICE

This is a full-rate Telegram or Cablegram unless its deferred character is indicated by a suitable symbol above or preceding the address.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
AUG 26 1953

# WESTERN UNION

W. P. MARSHALL, PRESIDENT

751. 1220

SYMBOLS

DL=Day Letter
NL=Night Letter
LT=Int'l Letter Telegram
VLT=Int'l Victory Ltr.

The filing time shown in the date line on telegrams and day letters is STANDARD TIME at point of origin. Time of receipt is STANDARD TIME at point of destination

1953 AUG 26 AM 11 17

WP023 LONG NL PD=BEVERLY HILLS CALIF AUG 25 1953=

FRED W MURKLAND, INFORMATION OFFICER DLR=

=DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION=

4539

WOULD YOU PLEASE SEND NIGHT LETTER TOONIGHT, COLLECT, NEED AT ONCE. PLEASE CHECK LETTER OF APRIL 18TH TO OLIVE BURNEY 9307 OLYMPIC BLVD BEVERLY HILLS RELATIVE TO APPLICATE FOR GRANT OF $20,000 TO BUILD A MILL BY FRANK G. HOWE - RED TAP & RED ANT MINING CLAIMS CHURCHILL CO NEVADA. RETURNED. DID SENATOR MCCURRAN, OR ANYONE ELSE, SIGN APPLICATION FRANK G. HOWE SENT YOU DATED DEC 7TH 1952 DID HOWE SIGN ALONE? WAS THERE ANOTHER APPLICATION SENT IN=

OLIVE BURNEY=

Mrs Fair
Mgt. Elev
4186

18 9307 $20,000 7 1952=

THE COMPANY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE

ANDREW J. COPP, JR.
AND
H. DEXTER McKAY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
355 SOUTH BROADWAY
LOS ANGELES 13, CALIFORNIA
MADISON 2507

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
AUG 31 1953

August 28, 1953

United States Department of the Interior
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Washington 25, D.C.

Attention: Information Officer

Gentlemen:

In reference to your letter of April 18, 1953, addressed to me in which you stated that an application for a loan of $20,000.00 from the United States Government for minerals exploration, dated December 7, 1951, was received by your Agency from Mr. Frank G. Howe who described himself therein as partner in the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company.

I desire a certified copy of that application for use in an action now pending between the undersigned, Oliver A. Burney, Mr. Ernest Moreno and Mr. Frank G. Howe, purported partners referred to.

The purpose of this request is to obtain a certified copy of a document under your custody to disclose who were the parties signatory thereto. A photostatic copy will disclose the true signatures of the signers and the parties will be enabled thereby to state positively whether they or any of them did sign the application.

This case is now set for trial for Monday, August 31, 1953.

Enclosed, herewith, is a Cashier's Check in the sum of $5.00, drawn on the Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, payable to the order of Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, to be applied on account of costs of photostatic copies called for.

Also enclose, herewith, is self-addressed stamped envelope with postage to cover Air Mail, Special Delivery.

Very truly yours,

Oliver A. Burney

Olive A. Burney

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

CERTIFICATION

I, C. O. Mittendorf, Administrator, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, do hereby certify that ONE and ONLY ONE application for Government financial assistance on Forms MF-100 and MF-103, dated December 7, 1951, in the name of the Red Top and Red Ant Mining Company, Ltd., a co-partnership, in the name of Frank G. Howe, 356 South Broadway, Los Angeles 13, California, and signed by Frank G. Howe, O. A. Burney, and Ernest R. Moreno, was received by the Defense Minerals Administration (now Defense Minerals Exploration Administration) on December 14, 1951.

Dated_____

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

_____
Administrator, Defense Minerals
Exploration Administration

August [illegible] -1953

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
SEP 11 1953

Gentlemen

You Note Mr Frank G. Howe
Has sworn under oath
These papers all put in backward
To confuse the last first the first last

our names Ernest Moreno -
Olive Burney

I Never say these Papers or any-
one of them. (as far as I Know only #17 about building & Equipment is true
3 lawyers
They took Mr Moreno's Deposition just
to attach these papers to the back of
deposition unbeknow to me or Moreno

I was in Howe's office when Howe opened
the returned - application which he filled
out so you would not give him a loan
signed only Frank G Howe
Howe claimed
might of been E
Should be Frank G. Howe

the one Enclosed is what
he sent you - Dec - 7th -
The 4 is scratched out note you stamp appearing
as Dec 1 1950

A deposition ~~Ca~~ could one of your men be here from San Francisco to testify

You could air mail these papers of yours to your representative

in Care of

If needed to appear

San Francisco Calif

Andrew J Copp Jr.

Letter following

355 So. Broadway

Los Angeles - Calif

4th at Broadway

7th Floor

Air mail Special delivery

I am a widow 66 yrs old no transportation sending this by Son in law to Post office excuse haste.

#8 (8) Name and (addresses of officers) or Partners and in addition The five largest stock holders — If application is a corporation

To my Lawyer Col Andrews Copy of Policy 355-80 L.H.

| Name & address | Official Title | Total of Annual Salary |
|---|---|---|
| a | | |
| Should | | 500 |
| | | 2500 |

~~Commission~~ Frank G. Howe to which Cruse Lawyer and Frank G. Howe admitted

~~Commission~~ for R. Holmes which he admitted in his sworn deposition

Could you have you verification of your notes of actual The only and only application sent to you Dec 7 Recd 14 — need in Court not later than Friday this week — Sending little Check back

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

APR 18 1952

DMEA-2288

Mrs. Olive Burney
9307 W. Olympic Blvd.
Beverly Hills
California

Dear Mrs. Burney:

This is in answer to your inquiries regarding an application filed with this agency for an exploration project and information concerning the status of one Thomas A. Rhodimer.

An application, dated December 7, 1951, was received by this agency from Mr. Frank G. Howe, who describes himself as partner in the Red Top & Red Ant Mining Company, with an address at 356 South Broadway, Los Angeles 13, California. This application was for $20,000 for a minerals exploration loan from the Government. It described the Red Top and Red Ant property as being in the Sandy Spring District, Churchill County, Nevada. The application requested funds for mill construction and did not outline any exploration program. Consequently, on January 21, Mr. Howe was notified that the application did not come within the exploration program and so was being returned to him. He was informed that production loans should be filed with the Defense Materials Procurement Agency. We have no record of any application filed in the name of the "Nevada Mining Company or Corporation".

As to Mr. Thomas A. Rhodimer, we can find no record showing that he is currently employed by the U. S. Government, in any capacity which has to do with appraising or approving loans for any mining project.

I am enclosing a copy of "DMEA Order-1" which describes the program of this agency and the requirements for obtaining financial aid to carry on an exploration project.

Returned herewith are stamps enclosed with your letter of April 12.

I hope this is helpful to you.

Sincerely yours,

F. W. M.

Fred W. Murkland
Information Officer
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

P.N.
4-18-52

FWMurkland:ds
April 17, 1952
CC: ADMR. READING FILE
Mails and Files
F. W. Murkland

Enclosures 2

9307 W. Olympic Blvd
Beverly Hills Calif
April 12th -1952

Defense
Minerals Exploration Administration
Washington D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
APR 15 1952

Gentlemen.

Will you please inform me by return airmail (stamp enclosed.

Was there an application for Grant for Red Top 1,2,3 - Red Ant 2,3,4 mining claims Churchill Co. Nevada - near Fallon, sent in by Red Top & Red Ant mining Co.? or by. (Nevada Mining Corp.?) or Co.? mining claims Churchill Co. Nevada. (formerly owned by V. Cye Cox of Fallon Nevada) Los Angeles Calif address 356 So Broadway Please advise me. not them. I was a member of this Co. This was Returned to "Nevada Mining Co.? or Corp.?" through the U.S. mails. I was there when postman brought it in. To "Mr Frank G. Howe, who was supposed to get this Grant in 2 or 3 weeks. Thomas H Rhodimer E.M. Recommending same." So they told us.

Will you please advise how much they applied for in this loan? Should of been $10,000.00 believe it was $2000.00 or more Mr Frank G. Howe told me it was returned because it was not made out right." "It was sent to the wrong office" Thomas H Rhodimer Represents he is working for the U.S Government appraiser & approving mining Grants loans? Please advise. Mr H. C Miller Executive officer E H Field Team Region III. advised you may have this information

Yours. Very Cordially - Mrs Olive Burney.

Defense Mineral Administration
Dept of The Interior
Washington 25 D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
MAR 28 1952

9307 W. Olympic
Beverly Hills. Calif.
March 26th - 1952

Gentlemen,

I bought 40% of The Red ~~Lode~~ 1,2,3 & Red Ant 2,3,4, of Churchill Co. Nevada. with understanding Nov 26. That Thomas A. Rhodimer approved loans during World war 2 - and had now been called back into That Capacity.

Please advise is This True?

Also That Thomas A Rhodimer was Registered under The latest Roaster of Mining Engineers in Washington D.C.? under a Surety bond of $75,000?

would you be Kind enough to let me hear, if this is true? As to 75,000 Surety Bond also?

Mr Frank G. Howe sent in a grant for this Co. and property. 355 So. Broadway, Los Angeles Calif. I was in Mr Frank G. Howe's office when a large square envelope was brought in by The Post man, Who said. "Does Nevada Mining Co? or Corp? 355 So. Broadway Los Angeles Calif. mean anything to you?" Frank G. Howe a lawyer Mr Frank G. Howe jumped up & said "yes." Howe then opened The letter. and said it is our Grant returned. They said 'we did not make it out right". "They say we sent ~~we sent~~ it to the wrong place." I don't believe we will

ask for a Grant."

When Frank G. Howe collected $3300.00 from me. and Thomas A Rhodimer (E M ?) collected $2500 from me, a hidden commission of $10,000 he had arranged for. unbeknown to me. Said $20,000 had been paid in. when only. $10,000 was paid in. + my $2500 to Rhodimer supposed to be a return to Rhodimer's (brother in-law.) whom they say worked in a Los Angeles Bank. and Mr Ernest R. Moreno. paid $500 to Rhodimer on this hidden rebate. neither he nor Howe would give Moreno receipt for this $500.

My questions I would like answered by Return air mail is.

What name was this Grant (Taken out) I mean applied for. Red Top. Red Ant.? or Nevada mining Co.? or Corp.?

How much did they apply for $20,000.00 ? with only 10,000 in + 3000 hidden commission collected, Or was this application for Grant sent to your office for more than $20,000 ? loan or Grant?

Mr Thomas A Rhodimer I found out served 6 Mo. Jail sentence. indited by Corporal Security 5 yrs probation out on 3 yrs. Frank G. Howe also was brought into care. and convicted Corporal Security. Refer to Miss Enlow. Mirror Bldg. Los Angeles. Mr Thomas A Rhodimer claims he is very friendly with Mr Boyd. Will greatly appreciate your kindness in letting me know about Grant. I am a widow Mrs O.A. Burney

Dear Sirs.

Will greatly appreciate an answer to questions about Grant - sent in by Frank G. Howe & Questions about Thomas H Rhodines

If They can be mailed to me H.R. mail

Mrs O.A. Burney

Would you check on Reg Post etc. & Whereabouts Multicourse To me. — 3/5 Send her Data! OAB

3/26

Form 6-95

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

# REFERENCE SLIP

DATE 3-21-52

REFERRED TO:

1. Mr. Marsh
2. ~~Minerals Division~~
3. ~~Mr. Mittendorf~~
4. Mr. Clark

FOR:

| | |
|---|---|
| ..... Action | ..... Recommendation |
| ..... Approval | ..... Record |
| ..... Comment | of ..... |
| ..... Conference | ..... Referring |
| ..... Consideration | to ..... |
| ..... Filing | ..... Reply for signa- |
| ..... Instructions | ture of ..... |
| ..... Investigation | ..... Rewriting |
| ..... Initials | ..... Signature |
| ..... Mailing | ..... Suggestions |
| ..... Previous correspondence | ..... Your information |

REMARKS: I suggest ~~xx~~ you refer this incoming letter and the file to Mr. Zinner and Mr. Mittendorf for consideration.

Check (X) before the items needing attention.

J. L. Acuff (ord)
*Initials of sender.*

GPO 16—51162-1

Beverly Hills, California
9307 W. Olympic Blvd.
March 14th - 1952

MAR 20 1952

J. L. Acuff
Chief Personnel Officer.
The United States Department of The Interior

Bureau of Mines.

Dear Sir. I am writing tonight upon receipt of your letter both Departments given as of your letter of March 10th - 1952.

I have Mr Thomas A. Rhodimer's address should you wish it.

Mr Thomas A. Rhodimer in getting myself and Mr Ernest R Moreno in mining deal. made this statement to me. "He could not go to Gabbs, Nevada, on way to Fallon Nevada and Reno Nevada in which he told "because he had to get back to Los Angeles Calif; "he had men waiting there for him to fly to Arizona and another man waiting for him to fly to Texas to approve Goverment mining loans for the Goverment."? This was November 25th - 1951

Does Mr Thomas A. Rhodimer work as appraiser? or approval of Government loans? or Grants? for mining claims and mines? If you could place this letter where I would receive information. Personally I do not think he is. He was Indited 6 Months in Lth. County Jail and given 5

years probation. They let him out after 3 years period.
Rhodimer & Frank G. Howe his associate and
Manager of Red Top & Red Ant mining Co said
"They could get Red Top & Red Ant mining claims
a grant in one week, not over 3 to 4 weeks
at most. Thomas A. Rhodimer would approve
this Grant." We believed they were honest at this time.
Grant was returned in my presence. Postman
ask Frank G. Howe. Does Nevada Mining Corp? I believe
Corp. mean anything to you? Mr Howe jumped up
from his desk, and said "yes." Postman said I
remember delivering mail here to you before
address Nevada mining ---."
Howe open large square or nearly square"
envelope. and said. "Why it is our Grant returned
They say "It is made out wrong" Howe is an
attorney working with Rhodimer to sell mining
"properties, equipment, obtain leases etc. Promising
"Roads being repaired 50 or 100 ft by Dept of Interior
of Fallon Nevada "wild Life Dept" when no road
exists to this mine where there could be a 50 or
100 ft wash out. Mr Howe told me "He and Rhodimer"
went into the Dept of Interior Fallon "wild life Department"
as of about January 3rd 1952. "but they could not
find out much about road to Red Ant, because
man in Dept of Interior had stole all of the money
for roads" "and day they were in; "State accountants
were there" "One man had committed suicide." I said
That is terrible! When did. That happen? Howe. "since we
were there" We are demanding our money back. I not given
money obtained under false statements. has. record in washington

D. C. in any capacity, also was there a Grant sent to Washington D.C. for either Red Tap & Red Ant mining claims? or Nevada Mining Corp.? or Company? supposed to be for $20,000 because they claimed they had $20,000.00 pd in. They could of sent it in for any amount? He took out a form up to $50,000.00" I ask Howe, why did they sent that $5,000. Howe always gives the same answer "I don't know".

Later Mr Moreno and I found out there has been only $10,000 paid in on mining claims. Grant should of been for $10,000 because that was amount paid in. a small 3 table mill could be assembled for $10,000. That has been successful handling this coarse grain scheelite, where they can rerun tungsten if all not recovered. Part of this mill would be second hand. New Tables. There is a gold mill near (the best 3 mining claims) I understand could be obtained for $650.00 could be converted right there until one was ready to move it and used right there.

Mr Moreno and I have been intimidated by Thomas A. Rhodimer & Howe. to cover up their false doings. and breaking their agreements etc until we are asking for our monies pd in, to be returned. or we will sue for same. need to know the (truth about Grant) under which Name? and for how much? Is Rhodimer a Gvernment appraiser? We have established

The fact There was no suicide in Churchill Co.

Are the Dept of Interiors, building roads to mining claims? where ore can be removed to a mill. and if so how long does it take to make arrangments for them, to do so.

Is Gverment making loans for mining Tungsten? getting it to mill?

I am sorry I am asking all of these questions after, you have answered; so nicely.

But knowing Washington offices as I know you do, and needing information at the very ealiest moment possible. I am taking the priviledge of asking your help.

Mr Ernest R Moreno. is a good hard working mining man. Has been in mining all of his life. Went in as Supt. but Howe wants our money but not us, Mr L.B. Ruppers. Fraud Dept. of L.A. Police took Mr Moreno and myself to Mr Atterbery L.A. Detective Bureau. as attorney for same who looked over some of our documents prepared by Howe and I am going to see them again the first of the week. If our money approximately $5800 + $1300 additional cost my part; and $2000 + labor $775. + other expenses making about $3000 for Ernest R Moreno.

Mr Frank G Howe has manipulated very bad deals with some culling of ore. They did not tell us had been culled 3 times + trying to make us pay $7000 more hidden Commission to Rhodimer.+ Very truly, Mrs O.A. Burney

NO REPLY NECESSARY. (Previous ltr. from Mrs. Burney regarding same matter was answered by DMEA Information Office).

Beverly Hills California
9307 W. Olympic Blvd.
April 19th - 1952.

APR 23 1952

The United States Bureau of Mines.
Department of The Interior
Washington D.C.
Gentlemen.

Will you please advise by return air mail. If possible? Stamp enclosed.

Does Thomas H. Rhodimer work as appraiser of Defense Mineral Administration in in Arizona or Texas?
I know he does not work for California or Nevada.
D.M.E.A. Field Team Region III. Mr Miller advised.
Has Thomas H Rhodimer ever worked as Mining Engineer in D.M.E.A. during world (war 2.)? or
In any capacity? of making government loans. in world war 2? or.
"assigned to The Smaller War Plants Corporation as Senior Appraisal Engineer of mines?"
Is this true? or false?

Thanking you. most kindly

Mrs Olive A. Burney
Chief archivist Washington

"related to you." Jane F Smith for Oliver W Holmes

Date of receipt in Personne 4-23-52
Date of letter 4-19-52
From Olive A. Burney
Subject
Information on thereabouts of Thomas A. Rhodimer

Referred to for answer kri
for comment _____ Returned _____
Answer for signature of _____ Due 4-29-52
Remarks

61474

Mrs O. A. Burney
9307 W. Olympic Blvd.
Beverly Hills Calif.

BEVERLY HILLS CALIF.
APR 21
2 - AM
1952

AIR MAIL
6¢
U.S. POSTAGE

To Manager
The United States Bureau of Mines
Department of the Interior
Washington
D. C.

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION 200
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

JAN 21 1952

DMEA-2288

Mr. Frank G. Howe
Attorney at Law
356 South Broadway, Suite 708
Los Angeles 13, California

Dear Mr. Howe:

This Administration received four copies of Form MF-103, application for aid on an exploration project, and assigned docket No. DMEA-2288.

Upon study of this application by our mining engineers, it has been determined that the application does not come within the exploration program, but is an application for a production loan. Production loans should be filed with the Defense Materials Procurement Agency, Washington 25, D. C., on Form MF-101, a set of which is enclosed.

There are returned herewith all papers filed with this Administration.

Sincerely yours,

ROBERT E. ADAMS

1-21-52

Robert E. Adams
Acting Administrative Officer
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

Enclosures

REAdams/hb

Copy to: Adm. Reading File
✓DMEA Mails and Files Branch

FRANK G. HOWE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
356 SOUTH BROADWAY-SUITE 708
LOS ANGELES 13, CALIFORNIA
MUTUAL 4942

Reply by Adams 1/21/52

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 14 1951

December 12,1951

Defense Minerals Administration,
Department of the Interior,
Washington 25,D. C.

Dear Sirs:

Enclosed please find four duplicate origionals of Form MF-100,with attached sheets and four duplicate origionals of Form MF-103, with attached sheets, and copy of Report by T. A. Rhodimer, E. M., all for Red Top and Red Ant Mining Co. Ltd, a co-partnership.

All of the above forms were signed by all of the partners.

Very truly yours,

Frank G. Howe

FGH/na
9 Encls.

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

# *Office Memorandum* • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : George Selfridge, DMEA DATE: January 2, 1952

FROM : D. M. Lemmon, U. S. Geological Survey

SUBJECT: Loan application $20,000 DMEA 2288 - Tungsten
Red Top and Red Ant Mining Co., Ltd.
c/o Frank G. Howe
356 South Broadway
Los Angeles 13, California

Property: Red Top and Red Ant groups of claims
Sand Spring District
Churchill County, Nevada

The application requests funds for mill construction, and does not outline any exploration program. Therefore, the docket should rightly be transferred to D.M.P.A.

The applicant has not submitted any acceptable proof of ore reserves, or any adequate metallurgical report. Therefore, the proposal for a production loan should be denied by D.M.P.A.

The past production cited by the applicant (500 tons of 2.92% $WO_3$) is not verified by information in Government files. We have no record of any shipments to MRC ore purchase depots.

It is recommended the applicant be advised by letter from DMEA that the request for a production loan has been transferred to DMPA, but that this loan will probably be denied because an adequate ore reserve has not been shown. He should also be advised that DMEA will consider any application that may be made for exploration assistance, including sampling, trenching, etc., provided the proposal is properly supported with maps showing the work planned.

The Geological Survey and Bureau of Mines have not examined these properties in detail. If exploration assistance is requested, a field examination will be necessary.

DM Lemmon

George Selfridge, DMEA January 2, 1952

D. M. Lemmon, U. S. Geological Survey

Loan application $20,000 DMEA 2288 - Tungsten
Red Top and Red Ant Mining Co., Ltd.
c/o Frank G. Howe
356 South Broadway
Los Angeles 13, California

Property: Red Top and Red Ant groups of claims
Sand Spring District
Churchill County, Nevada

The application requests funds for mill construction, and does not outline any exploration program. Therefore, the docket should rightly be transferred to D.M.P.A.

The applicant has not submitted any acceptable proof of ore reserves, or any adequate metallurgical report. Therefore, the proposal for a production loan should be denied by D.M.P.A.

The past production cited by the applicant (500 tons of 2.92% $WO_3$) is not verified by information in Government files. We have no record of any shipments to MRC ore purchase depots.

It is recommended the applicant be advised by letter from DMEA that the request for a production loan has been transferred to DMPA, but that this loan will probably be denied because an adequate ore reserve has not been shown. He should also be advised that DMEA will consider any application that may be made for exploration assistance, including sampling, trenching, etc., provided the proposal is properly supported with maps showing the work planned.

The Geological Survey and Bureau of Mines have not examined these properties in detail. If exploration assistance is requested, a field examination will be necessary.

DM Lemmon

DMLemmon:gt

Copies to: GHHolderer

George Selfridge, DMEA

January 2, 1952

D. M. Lemmon, U. S. Geological Survey

Loan application $20,000
Red Top and Red Ant Mining Co., Ltd.
c/o Frank G. Howe
356 South Broadway
Los Angeles 13, California

DMEA 2288 - Tungsten

Property: Red Top and Red Ant groups of claims
Sand Spring District
Churchill County, Nevada

The application requests funds for mill construction, and does not outline any exploration program. Therefore, the docket should rightly be transferred to D.M.P.A.

The applicant has not submitted any acceptable proof of ore reserves, or any adequate metallurgical report. Therefore, the proposal for a production loan should be denied by D.M.P.A.

The past production cited by the applicant (500 tons of 2.92% $WO_3$) is not verified by information in Government files. We have no record of any shipments to MRC ore purchase depots.

It is recommended the applicant be advised by letter from DMEA that the request for a production loan has been transferred to DMPA, but that this loan will probably be denied because an adequate ore reserve has not been shown. He should also be advised that DMEA will consider any application that may be made for exploration assistance, including sampling, trenching, etc., provided the proposal is properly supported with maps showing the work planned.

The Geological Survey and Bureau of Mines have not examined these properties in detail. If exploration assistance is requested, a field examination will be necessary.

Don Lemmon

DMLemmon:gt

Copies to: GHelderer

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

January 11, 1952

Memorandum

To: Mr. George Selfredge, D.M.E.A.

From: Mr. Robert W. Geehan, Bureau of Mines

Subject: Exploration Application $20,000 DMEA 2288
c/o Frank G. Howe Tungsten
356 South Broadway
Los Angeles 13, California

Subject application is for funds to erect a mill--

---no exploration.

Robert W. Geehan

Robert W. Geehan

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

January 11, 1952

Memorandum

To: Mr. George Selfridge, [illegible]

From: Mr. Robert E. Geehan, Bureau of Mines

Subject: Exploration Application [illegible]20,210 [illegible] 22[illegible]
c/o Frank G. Ferro [illegible]ington
310 South Broadway
Los Angeles 12, California

Subject application to [illegible] lands to erect a utili-
[illegible] exploration.

Robert E. Geehan

Ghatlin:clk

cc: Mr. Geehan
Ferrous M&A
Files
Mr. Katlin
Mr. Selfridge

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

[illegible]

Memorandum

To: [illegible]

From: [illegible], Bureau of Mines

Subject: [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] to the funds to erect a mill-
[illegible] emplacement.

[illegible]

[illegible]

cc: Mr. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Mr. [illegible]
Mr. Goldridge

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

[illegible] 1957

Memorandum

To: [illegible]

From: [illegible]

Subject: [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Los [illegible], California

[illegible] to erect a mill-

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

cc: Mr. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
Mr. Kaplin
Mr. Selfridge

12/28

Rhodimer (Cons. Min. Engr)
Report (apparently only 1 copy
furnished with App.) (Lemmon)
Sent to US BM to complete
their file for Examination

PBB

Dec 17, 1951

EXPLORATION

Subject: DMEA-2288
Re: Exploration Loan
Red Top & Red Ant

Mr. Frank G. Howe, Partner
Red Top & Red Ant Mining Co.
356 South Broadway
Los Angeles 13, California

My dear Mr. Howe:

This will acknowledge receipt of your application dated December 7, 1951 for a loan under the Defense Production Act of 1950.

Your application was assigned Docket Number DMEA-2288 and referred to the Iron & Ferro-Alloys Division.

Kindly refer to DMEA-2288 in any future correspondence relating to your application.

Sincerely yours,

ROBERT E. ADAMS

Robert E. Adams
Acting Administrative Officer

Note - "Red Top" "Red Ant" are 5 miles apart
note milling equipment listed under equipment!

7862