/ / Audited

/ / Certified

WORK ANALYSIS SHEET - DMEA PROJECT

Analysis as of 8-23-55
Incl. Voucher for Oct.-Nov. 1954

/ / Actual Cost

/x/ Agreed Unit Costs

Name United States Uranium Co.

Docket No. DMEA-3405

State Utah

Commodity Uranium

Idm-E No. Idm-E717

OPERATION	AUTHORIZED Units	AUTHORIZED Cost	COMPLETED Units	COMPLETED Cost	NET COST
Drifting & Crosscutting					
Raising					
Shafts					
Winzes					
Drilling Diamond-core (Specify)	6000'	$17,574.00	2017	$6,631.09	$6,631.09
Surface Excavation					
Underground Excavation					
Roads and Trails					
Operating Equipment Purchased					
Surface Rehabilitation & Repairs					
Underground Rehabilitation					
New Building, Improvements, etc.					
Other (Specify)					
Totals		$17,574.00		$6,631.09	$6,631.09

Prepared by M. Ching

71626

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

PROJECT SUMMARY REPORT

By: Michael Ching August 23, 1955

1. Docket No. DMEA-3405 (Uranium)
Contract No. Idm-E717

Operator - United States Uranium Company, a Nevada Corporation
711 Seventeenth Street
Denver 2, Colorado

Property - Frying Pan Claims, consisting of three unpatented mining claims, the Frying Pan Nos. 1, 2, and 3, located in Sec. 5, T. 29S., R. 26E., S.L.M., San Juan County, Utah. Applicant has an option to purchase agreement with owners. Owner's Consent to Lien dated September 17, 1954, executed by Ray V. Maris and William O. Hall.

2. Contract dated October 4, 1954 (Short Form)

Work Authorized:

Explore the two upper ore horizons in the Salt Wash member of the Morrison formation by core and non-core drilling. The work shall be divided into 3 stages, with second and third stage work dependent upon the results of the work preceding each, and subject to the prior written approval of the Government. Estimated costs are as follows:

Stage I - 1,800 ft. (6 holes) core and non-core drilling @ $3.35/ft.	$6,030.00
Stage II- 2,400 ft. (8 holes) core and non-core drilling @ $2.77/ft.	6,648.00
Stage III-1,800 ft. (6 holes) core and non-core drilling @ $2.72/ft.	4,896.00
Estimated total cost of project	$17,574.00
Government participation @ 75 percent	$13,180.50

Amendments - None.

Work Completed -

Work was confined to the first stage. Six holes, two on each claim, were drilled for a total footage of 2,017. 895 feet were plug drilled and 1,122 feet cored. Average core recovery was 86.9%. Project work was begun on October 17, 1954, and terminated on November 23, 1954. Costs were as follows:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

1,800 ft. core and non-core drilling @ $3.35/ft.	$6,030.00
217 ft. core and non-core drilling @ $2.77/ft.	601.09
	$6,631.09

3. Auditor's Report of Review, dated January 24, 1955

Total cost as billed by contractor	$6,631.09
Exceptions	none
Total accepted cost	$6,631.09
Government participation @ 75 percent	$4,973.32

4. Certification of Discovery - None.

5. Comments -

The drilling was carried out efficiently, using one to three rigs. Technical supervision by the Operator was somewhat inadequate in connection with determination of collar elevations and the correct depth of coring. USGS personnel supplied much of the required technical direction.

Six widely spaced holes were drilled through the potential ore-bearing sandstone of the Salt Wash. None of the holes encountered mineralization in the Salt Wash although weak mineralization was encountered in the Brushy Basin member in three holes. None of the core was sufficiently radioactive to warrant chemical analyses.

The first two holes were cored throughout for geologic information. The remaining four holes were drilled with a plug bit to a point somewhat above the ore-bearing sandstone and cored the remaining distance. 217 feet were transferred from Stage II to Stage I to permit drilling to the desired horizon.

The project work has established the fact that a possible southwesterly ore trend from the productive Yellow Bird mine does not extend to the subject property. Because the work under Stage I failed to expose any significant mineralization or favorable ground, the second and third stages were not approved by the Government and the project was terminated.

Michael Ching

MChing:am - 8-23-55
cc to: Admr. Reading File Docket Code 700 Mr. Ching
Mr. F. E. Johnson

8/22/55 gla

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

RECEIVED
AUG 12 1955

224 New Customhouse
Denver, Colorado

August 8, 1955

Memorandum

To: Secretary of the Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Field Team, DMEA, Region III

Subject: Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E717, United States Uranium Co., Frying Pan Claims, San Juan County, Utah

Enclosed are the following final reports for work completed under Contract Idm-E717:

1. Original and three copies of the final geologic report by the Geological Survey.

2. Original and three copies of the final engineering report by the Bureau of Mines.

The Operator's final report is attached to and made part of the progress report for the period of October-November 1954. The requirement for an additional and separate report has been waived.

The contract stipulated a three-stage drilling program, authorizing an estimated 6,000 feet of plug-bit and diamond core drilling to be completed in a maximum of 20 holes. Only Stage I work was completed. Six holes were drilled for a total of 2,017 feet; 217 feet of this total approved for transfer from Stage II. Total accepted cost of the project was $6,631.09, as reported in the Report of Review by the Contract Administration and Audit Division, released to this office on January 24, 1955. Final payment to the operator was processed by this office on January 28, 1955.

Certification of discovery or development cannot be made.

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
8-19-55
(date)

W. M. Traver
W. M. Traver

E. N. Harshman
E. N. Harshman

DMEA Field Team, Region III

Enclosures

Field Team final report recd. 8/22/55

DMEA
Date Rec'd.

AUG 4 1955

BUREAU OF MINES
Denver, Colorado

RECEIVED
AUG 12 1955

Building 20
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
August 4, 1955

Region III

Memorandum

To: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

From: John F. Shaw

Subject: Final Engineering Report, Contract No. Idm-E717, Docket No. DMEA 3405, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah

Enclosed are the original and ten copies of a Final Engineering report by M. H. Salsbury, Mining Engineer, covering the work performed under contract Idm-E717.

Contract No. Idm-E717 provided for a drilling - program in three stages. Total estimate cost of the project was $17,587.52. The amount of Government participation at 75 percent was $13,190.64.

The results of drilling under Stage I were negative and the operator requested termination of the contract. The DMEA representatives concurred and the contract was terminated by mutual agreement. No further exploration on this property is recommended and a Certificate of Discovery or Development is not warranted.

John F. Shaw
John F. Shaw
Supervising Mining Engineer

JFS:jw

cc: 10 w/orig.
MMNarshman
Idm-E717
Chron.

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
8-19-55
(date)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DOUGLAS McKAY, SECRETARY

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

REPORT OF EXAMINATION BY FIELD TEAM
REGION III

FINAL ENGINEERING REPORT

DMEA 3405, Exploration Project Contract Idm-#717
Frying Pan Nos. 1, 2 and 3
United States Uranium Company
San Juan County, Utah

Uranium

M. H. Salsbury, Mining Engineer

August 3, 1955

Approved by
DMEA Operations Committee
8-19-55
(Date)

DMEA 3405

FRYING PAN NOS. 1, 2 and 3
UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH

CONTENTS

	Page
Joint engineering and geologic report	
Introduction and summary	1
Location, history, ownership, production	2
Description of the deposits	4
Work completed under contract	5
Expenditures	7
Efficiency of operations	8
Equipment	9
Conclusions	9

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Follows page
1. Location Map, Frying Pan Claims Nos. 1, 2 and 3, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah	2
2. Claim and Drilling Map, United States Uranium Company, Frying Pan Claims, San Juan County, Utah	2

DMEA 3405
Contract Idm-#717

FRYING PAN NOS. 1, 2 and 3
UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH

FINAL ENGINEERING REPORT

By
M. N. Salsbury

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

United States Uranium Company, 711 Seventeenth Street, Denver 2, Colorado, applied for Defense Minerals Exploration assistance in exploration for uranium of their Frying Pan claims onlooking La Sal Creek, San Juan County, Utah. A plug and core-drilling program was proposed at a cost of $20,050.00, in which Government participation at 75 percent would have been $15,037.50.

An examination was made on August 3, 1954 by a DMEA team made up of W. D. Carter of the Geological Survey, and M. N. Salsbury of the Bureau of Mines.

They were accompanied by Francis X.Corbett, manager for the applicant, and William G. Hall, one of the owners of the claims.

A modified drilling program in three stages acceptable to the applicant was agreed on in the field, and a drilling project at an estimated cost of $17,587.52 - Government participation 75 percent, or $13,190.64, was recommended.

A contract on the recommended basis was signed October 4, 1955. Work was started on October 17, 1954 and recessed on November 23, 1954,

after completion of Stage I. Because drilling results were completely negative, the Operator requested termination of the contract. The Geological Survey and Bureau of Mines representatives concurred in recommending the termination, and the project was closed after receipt of a satisfactory final report from the Operator, and notification by the Contract Administration and Audit Division on January 25, 1955, that final payment was valid and proper.

LOCATION, HISTORY, PRODUCTION, OWNERSHIP

The Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2 and 3 are located in Section 5, T. 29 S., R. 26 E. of the Salt Lake Meridian, in San Juan County, Utah, just west of the Colorado-Utah state line (fig. 1 and 2).

The area is typical tableland of the Colorado Plateau at an altitude of 6,000-6,500 feet with steep-walled canyons dissecting sparsely wooded mesas with flat or terraced tops. Access to the property is easy, except in wet weather. Drilling sites and roads were prepared at moderate cost.

The climate is arid, with cool to warm summers, and moderate winters with extremes of cold at infrequent intervals. Precipitation may be heavy with sudden storms at any season, and surface operations are usually suspended in late winter and spring. The claims, on Wray Mesa, are 4.3 miles by Utah State Highway 46 and a dirt access road from the Geological Survey camp on La Sal Creek. Moab, Utah, the nearest supply center, is 48 miles northwest over Utah State Highway 46, a graveled road, and hard-surfaced State Highway 160. La Sal



FIGURE 1 - LOCATION MAP, FRYING PAN CLAIMS NOS. 1, 2, AND 3, UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY, SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH. DMEA 3405, CONTRACT NO. Idm-E717



HOLE NO.	COLLAR ELEVATION FEET	DEPTH IN FEET
1	6855	314
2	6960	390
3	6895	334
4	6885	302
5	6882	332
6	6940	355

FIGURE 2 - CLAIM AND DRILLING MAP, UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY, FRYING PAN CLAIMS, SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH. DMEA 3405, CONTRACT NO. Idm-E 717

Creek is 205 miles from Grand Junction, Colorado. The minimum hauling distance is from Moab which is served by scheduled motor-freight and bus lines. The nearest uranium ore treatment plant and receiving station is at Monticello, Utah, 55 miles from the property.

Water for mining and domestic purposes is obtainable on La Sal Creek within 3 miles of the drilling sites. Power needs are met by the individual operator with gasoline or diesel driven equipment.

Experienced mine labor is in demand in the area and labor rates for semi-skilled labor run as high as $2.00 per hour. Exploration drilling is usually done by contractors who normally furnish camp facilities for their drilling crews.

The area has been known to contain vanadium deposits for more than 25 years. Activity based on the uranium content of the ores has been carried on since World War II and has increased greatly since 1949. The Geological Survey has had an exploration drilling project in the area since 1952.

There has been substantial production of uranium and vanadium in the area. The Atomic Energy Commission lists, with earlier production estimated, four mines within 2 miles of the Frying Pan claims with a combined total of 19,789 tons with an average content of 0.26 percent, or 102,681 pounds U_3O_8 and 1.92 percent, or 760,326 pounds V_2O_5.

The Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2 and 3 were located by William G. Hall and Ray V. Harris. Discovery notices posted on the claims were dated July 18, 1953, and locations were recorded on page 85 and 86, Book 31, in the San Juan County courthouse, Monticello, Utah on July 27, 1953. Location procedures appeared to have been valid. An option

to purchase was given George C. Vance of Denver, Colorado, on May 27, 1954 at a total price of $12,000.00, payable out of royalties on ore shipments at the rate of 20 percent of the net returns. There was no time limit on completion of the contract except that a lapse in operations of one year constituted forfeiture. A payment of $300.00 in cash to apply on the purchase price, and exploration drilling totaling 2,000 feet within 6 months were required by the contract. The purchase agreement was assigned to the U. S. Uranium Company by Vance who was President of the corporation.

A later location was made by John I. Schumacher of Grand Junction, Colorado, for Strategic Minerals Exploration Company, which overlapped the north end of the Frying Pan claims. Schumacher acknowledged the priority of the Frying Pan locations, did not claim the area in conflict, and so stated to the DMEA examining engineer.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DEPOSITS

The ore-bearing horizons of the La Sal Creek district are the upper sandstone "rims" of the Salt Wash member of the Morrison formation which are present on the Frying Pan claims but do not outcrop, being overlain by the Brushy Basin member. The depth to the ore horizon increases from north to south as the surface rises, and the drilling distance through the productive zone was estimated to range from 250 to 450 feet.

Ore minerals in the area are uranium and vanadium minerals replacing carbonaceous material in sandstone. They occur in definite east-west

trends two of which are parallel to and on either side of La Sal Creek.

The Frying Pan claims are on a trend explored in the Yellowbird mine to the east where substantial ore deposits have been found. This trend had been traced towards the Frying Pan claims by Geological Survey drilling, and some holes had penetrated ore. At the time the DMEA examination was made, drilling by the Survey was being carried on with encouraging results, and was expected to be continued toward the Frying Pan claims, although at that time the nearest exploration was about a mile away. Carter, who was also Geological Survey project chief, stated that eventually one or two holes might be drilled on the Frying Pan claims during the course of the Survey project, but there was no definite plan for it.

It appeared that there was a reasonable possibility that deposits similar to those of the Yellowbird mine might be present on the Frying Pan claims and that a Government-assisted drilling project was justified.

WORK COMPLETED UNDER CONTRACT

During the field examination a plug and core drilling program was agreed on by the applicant's manager, F. X. Corbett, and the DMEA examining team, which was essentially the same as the original proposal. It called for an estimated 6,000 feet of plug-bit and core drilling in a maximum of 20 holes divided in three stages. Authorization of work under Stages II and III was to be dependent on the results of drilling in the preceding stage or stages. The recommended footages were incorporated in the contract.

Only the work under Stage I, which was wide-spaced drilling to

gain geological information as well as to actually cut ore, was completed. The estimated footage for completion of the 6 holes on 600 feet centers, two on the centerline of each claim, was 1800 feet, of which 800 feet was plug-bit drilling and 1,000 feet was core drilling.

Two holes were drilled on each of the three claims as recommended (fig. 2). The Operator let a contract to Sprague and Henwood who were moving off a Geological Survey project, and 1,122 feet of core drilling and 895 feet of plug drilling were done for a total of 2,017 feet, the amount claimed. The Operator furnished one copy each of the driller's logs and also geological logs with the final report. These were verified by Geological Survey hole probing results and core logging carried on under Carter's supervision. Following is a tabulation of the drilling:

Hole No.	Plug drilling feet	Core drilling feet	Ore recovery percent	Completed depth of hole feet
1	---	314	84.3	314
2	---	390	82.1	390
3	190	144	93.4	334
4	170	132	95.1	302
5	250	72	92.2	322
6	285	70	91.1	355
Total	895	1,122	86.9 (ave)	2,017

The holes were bottomed in a red mudstone which underlies the potential ore-bearing sandstones in the upper half of the Salt Wash formation. Bottoming depths were verified by the Geological Survey representative of the examining team. One inspection during the project was made by a Bureau of Mines representative on November 3, 1955, When holes nos. 1 and 2 were being drilled.

Significant mineralization was encountered in three holes: at

169 feet in hole no. 3, at 172.7 feet in hole no. 4, and at 250.0 feet in hole no. 6. Other geological considerations such as sandstone thicknesses were not favorable and further work was not justified in the opinion of the Operator, concurred in by the DMEA inspector, and the Geological Survey representative.

In a letter dated January 17, 1955, the Executive Officer of the DMEA Field Team, Region III, notified the Operator that no work under Stages II or III would be approved, and all authorized work was considered to be completed. In conformance with instructions from the Washington office, no formal termination of the contract was necessary.

EXPENDITURES

Work accomplished under DMEA 3405, contract I6n-E717 was 2,017 feet of plug and core drilling at $3.35 per foot or a total of $6631.09, the amount claimed and approved for payment.

All work was done in accordance with contract terms, and did not exceed contract maximums. The $3.35 per foot allowed in the short-forms unit-cost contract covered all changes, including drill site preparation, access roads, and supervision, as well as actual drilling costs. A provision of Exhibit A under Description of the Work, permitted transfer of footage from Stages II or III to Stage I if necessary to insure completion of holes in Stage I. The drilling footages for Stage I were estimates and proved to be short of requirements by 217 feet. The Operator requested transfer of this footage from Stage I, and this was approved by the Field Team, Region III, on the recommendation of the DMEA inspectors.

Government participation at 75 percent in the claimed cost of $6,631.09, was $4,973.32, the amount approved for payment. A Report of Review, in lieu of a final audit of the Operator's accounts and records, was made by the Contract Administration and Audit Division, the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration on January 24, 1955, and payment was certified therein as valid and proper.

EFFICIENCY OF OPERATIONS

The drilling contractor, Sprague and Henwood, provided competent personnel and the drilling operation was carried on efficiently. No unusual difficulty was experienced, although 90 feet of AX casing was lost in hole No. 2. The usual procedure was to drill to the depth where coring was to be started with NX Hawthorne bits, set casing, and complete the hole with AX diamond core bits. Water was used throughout.

Supervision of the project was unsatisfactory so far as the Operator's performance was concerned. When an inspection of the project was made on November 3, 1954, no provision had yet been made by the Operator to determine when hole-bottoming depth was reached, although one hole had nearly reached a critical depth. William G. Hall, one of the claim owners, had been instructed to have the drillers start on the designated locations but had no instructions on the depth of holes other than those in the contract which were only estimates. Mr. Hall did not have the technical qualifications to log the dull core or to determine when a hole had reached bottoming depth. W. D.

Carter, the Geological Survey representative stationed at the nearby La Sal camp, furnished the necessary technical services. Actually, direction of the entire project was based on his examination of the core, evaluation of drilling results, and judgements.

EQUIPMENT

Equipment used on the project consisted of drilling rigs suitable for wet drilling with either plug or core bits up to NX size, utilizing drilling equipment manufactured by the contractor mounted on half-back trucks. One to three rigs were employed. Equipment was adequate.

CONCLUSIONS

As a result of drilling on the Frying Pan claims, it is concluded that mineralization in significant amounts is not present in the projected continuation of the Yellow Bird ore trend. This has been established with reasonable certainty by the project. Drilling done later by the Geological Survey in an attempt to extend favorable mineralization such as they had found west of the Yellow Bird mine, verified that the mineralization did not extend westward. In this connection, it can be said that the DMEA project might not have been necessary, if the Geological Survey project east of the claims had been completed first. It would seem that in such cases, approval of otherwise favorable, DMEA exploration projects might be delayed for a reasonable period in order to obtain an evaluation of germane Geological Survey or Atomic Energy Commission drilling projects, if these are under way,

or, even if they are only in the planning stage in some cases. This might be advisable even though no definite assurances can be obtained from either Agency about their drilling plans.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the exploration project under DMEA 3405, Contract Idm-E717 be considered as terminated. No occurrence of ore was found on which to base Certification of Discovery or Development. No further exploration with Government assistance is recommended.

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

RECEIVED
AUG 12 1955

Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado

January 17, 1955

Memorandum

To: DMEA Field Team, Region III

From: E. N. Harshman

Subject: DMEA Docket 3405 (uranium), Contract idm-E717, United States Uranium Company, Frying Pan Claims Nos. 1, 2, and 3, San Juan County, Utah

Enclosed are 10 copies of a final geologic report by W. B. Carter, U. S. Geological Survey, on the above subject project.

Six widely spaced holes drilled under the contract failed to discover uranium ore or ground favorable for the occurrence of uranium ore.

Carter summarizes the geologic data obtained during exploration and recommends that the contract be terminated at the end of Stage 1. Physical exploration under Stage 1 is complete.

I concur with Carter's recommendation that the contract be terminated and I made a similar recommendation in my memorandum of December 3, 1954, subject as above.

E. N. Harshman

E. N. Harshman
Member, Field Team

Enclosures (10)

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
8-19-55
(date)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
COLORADO PLATEAU DISTRICT
P. O. BOX 360
GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

December 31, 1954

Memorandum

To: E. N. Harshman, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: W. P. Williams, Colorado Plateau District

Subject: DMEA 3405, contract Idm E-717, United States Uranium Company, Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2, and 3, San Juan County, Utah.

Transmitted herewith are 10 copies of a final geologic report and accompanying maps and drill hole logs by W. D. Carter, covering the above-referenced contract.

Carter recommends that drilling on the Frying Pan claims should be terminated, as six widely spaced holes on the claims failed to penetrate either mineralized ground or ground favorable for ore deposits. I concur with Carter's recommendation.

Drilling on the Frying Pan claims commenced October 22, 1954, and ended on November 23, 1954. Six holes totaling 2,017 feet were completed at a total cost of $6,756.95. DMEA assistance totalled $5,067.71. None of the six holes encountered ore or favorable ground.

T. E. Mullen
for W. P. Williams,
Geologist.

Enclosures

TEM/mlr

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
8-19-55
(Date)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DOUGLAS McKAY, SECRETARY

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
REPORT OF EXAMINATION BY FIELD TEAM
REGION IV

DMEA 3405, contract Idm E-717, United States Uranium Company
Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2, 3, San Juan County, Utah

Uranium

Final Geologic Report

by

W. D. Carter
U. S. Geological Survey

December 31, 1954

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
8-19-55
(date)

DMEA 3405

SUMMARY

Drilling on the Frying Pan claims from October 22 through November 23, 1954, resulted in the completion of six widely spaced holes testing the ore-bearing sandstone of the Salt Wash member of the Jurassic Morrison formation. These holes totaled 2,017 feet at a total cost of $6,756.95. Of this, the Government's share is $5,067.71. None of the six holes contained mineralized material in the ore-bearing sandstone of the Salt Wash and due to the unfavorable nature of the stratum it was decided that the contract be terminated at the end of the initial phase.

REPORT ON EXPLORATION

Drilling on the Frying Pan group of claims commenced on October 22, 1954, and ended on November 23, 1954, when the ~~contract~~ work was terminated after completion of six widely spaced (600-foot centers) holes totaling 2,017 feet of drilling. Three diamond-drill rigs, owned and operated by the Sprague and Henwood Drilling Company of Scranton, Pa., were employed in the operation. The drilling program was supervised by Mr. F. X. Corbett of the U. S. Uranium Company, Denver, Colorado, and core sampling was done by Mr. W. G. Hall of Paradox, Colorado. The core, totaling 1,122 feet and averaging 187 feet per hole, was stored in wooden core boxes loaned to the project by the U. S. Geological Survey and later transferred to cardboard core boxes supplied by the U. S. Uranium Company in condensed form.

GEOLOGY

Of the six completed holes, the first two were cored from the surface for geologic information and to determine the depth of the principal ore-bearing sandstone of the Salt Wash member of the Jurassic Morrison formation. The remaining holes were drilled with a solid bit to depths far enough above the ore-bearing sandstone to obtain complete geologic information in the cored intervals of the lower portion of the holes. None of the holes penetrated mineralized material in the ore-bearing sandstone which varies in thickness from 0 to 51 feet. The lack of green mudstone and carbonaceous material within the sandstone indicated that holes FP nos. 1, 2, 4, 6 be classed as semifavorable. Holes FP 3 and 5 contained little or no sandstone and were classed as unfavorable. Holes FP 3 and 6 contained weakly mineralized material in the Brushy Basin member at depths of 190 and 280 feet respectively. Such deposits occur frequently in the LaSal Creek area, but are not considered minable, especially at such depths. From these results it was determined by the U. S. Geological Survey and the U. S. Uranium Company that further exploration was not necessary and that the contract should be terminated at the end of phase I.

EXPENDITURES

Total approved for contract Idm E-717	$13,180.50
Total cost of project (2,017 feet at $3.35) . .	6,756.95
Government's share of cost (75 percent)	5,067.71
Government's share unexpended	4,817.67

EFFICIENCY OF OPERATION

In general, the efficiency and competency of the supervisor, Mr. F. X. Corbett, was quite adequate. It might be well to point out, however, that Mr. Corbett determined no collar elevations except by "eyeball" estimate in spite of the fact that elevations of several locations adjacent to the Frying Pan claims had been determined by the U. S. Geological Survey and were available to him. It was necessary, therefore, to take more core per hole than was stipulated in the contract. Coring depths for two holes had to be determined by the USGS representative in the absence of the supervisor, when one rig was moved to a new site and a third rig added to the project.

EQUIPMENT PURCHASED FOR PROJECT

The only known equipment specifically purchased for the project were 50 cardboard core boxes for BX core. These were purchased from the Dixon Paper Company of Lawrence, Kansas, for approximately $50.00.



Figure 2. SKETCH MAP SHOWING GEOLOGY, LOCATION OF HOLES DRILLED, AND THE RESULTS OF EXPLORATION DRILLING ON THE FRYING PAN GROUP OF CLAIMS, U.S. URANIUM CO., SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH



Figure 1. INDEX MAP OF PART OF COLORADO PLATEAU SHOWING LOCATION OF FRYING PAN GROUP OF CLAIMS

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GEOLOGIC AND ASSAY LOGS

COLORADO PLATEAU PROJECT

Drill-hole No. F.P. #1 (AX)
Total depth 314.0'
Collar elev. _____ T _____ P
Area LaSal Creek

Map coord (T) [illegible]
Map coord (P) _____
Sec. 5 T. 29S R. 26E
Co., State San Juan, Utah

Claim Frying Pan #1
Date completed 11-4-54
Date logged 11-4-54
Logged by W. D.C.

Summary of log Semifavorable ; OBSS 31 thick 155-186 ; SS color LBr-Wh ; MS Gn ; Cbn N

Footage Top	Footage Base	Footage Thick	Core rec	Field est U_3O_8	Field est V_2O_5	Rock	Color	Grain	Access mins	Cbn	MS in SS	Other remarks
0	80.0	80.0				MS	Gn			N		Jmb
80.0	154.9	74.9				MS//ST	R//Gn			N		
154.9	164.0	9.1				SS	Wh	F-VF		N		
164.0	165.2	1.2				MS	R			N		
165.2	186.1	20.9				SS	LBr-Wh	M-MF	A; Alt Ch S-A; OP	N	S-A; Gn; P, Int	Thin Fm Gn MS at base
186.1	259.8	73.7				MS	R//Gy//Gn			N		Thin ribs Qzite
259.8	268.4	8.6				SS	Wh	F		N	S-A; Gn; P, Fm	
268.4	268.5	0.1				ST	L Gn			N		
268.5	284.0	15.5				MS//ST	R			N		
284.0	304.6	20.6				SS	R/Br	M-F	S, Ch	N	S-A; R, Int, P, Fm	
304.6	314.0	9.4				MS/ST	R			N		

Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8



Rev		
Ed		

Hole No. F.P. #1

* Assays marked "e" are from lab gamma-ray readings

GPO 832165

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GEOLOGIC AND ASSAY LOGS

COLORADO PLATEAU PROJECT

Drill-hole No. F.P. #2 (Bx AX)
Total depth 390.0
Collar elev. _____ T _____ P
Area La Sal Creek

Map coord (T) 150,250 N, 14,500 E
Map coord (P) _____
Sec. 5 T. 29S R. 26E
Co., State San Juan, Utah

Claim Frying Pan #2 DMEA #3405
Date completed 11-12-54
Date logged 11-16-54
Logged by W.D.C.

Summary of log Semifavorable ; OBSS 51 thick 335-386; SS color LBr-Wh ; MS R, Gn ; Cbn S

Footage Top	Footage Base	Footage Thick	Core rec	Field est U_3O_8	Field est V_2O_5	Rock	Color	Grain	Access mins	Cbn	MS in SS	Other remarks
0	192.0	192.0				MS//ST	Gy Gn			N		
192.0	204.5	12.5				SS//ST	Gy Gn	MF-VF	A, Ch, OP	S	A; Gn; Int, Fm, P	
204.5	247.4	42.9				MS//ST	Gy Gn			N		Sparce RMS Mottling
247.4	260.1	12.7				MS//ST	R			N		
260.1	287.5	27.4				SS	LBr-RBr	F-C	A, Ch, OP	N	S, R, Gn; Int, P	Sparce Banded Ch; Base of Jmb
287.5	334.5	47.0				MS//ST	R//Gn			N		
334.5	362.5	28.0				SS	Wh-LBr	VF-M	S, Ch, OP	N	S; R, Int, P, Sm	
362.5	386.3	23.8				SS	Wh	F-M	S; Ch, OP	S	S, Gn; Fm, P	Fav. looking SS with thin Fm of Gn MS at base.
386.3	386.5	0.2				MS	R			N		
386.5	387.6	1.1				SS	Wh	VF		N	A; R; P, Fm	Qztc
387.6	390.0	2.4				MS	R			N		

Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8



Rev		
Ed		

Hole No. F.P. #2

* Assays marked "e" are from lab gamma-ray readings

GPO 832165

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY | **GEOLOGIC AND ASSAY LOGS** | **COLORADO PLATEAU PROJECT**

Drill-hole No. EP #3 (AX) | Map coord (T) [illegible] | Claim Frying Pan #1 DMEA #3405
Total depth 334.0 | Map coord (P) | Date completed 11-12-54
Collar elev. 6895 T P | Sec. 5 T. 29S R. 26E | Date logged 11-15-54
Area LASAL CREEK | Co., State San Juan; Utah | Logged by W.D.C.

Summary of log Unfavorable; OBSS 8 thick 316-325; SS color R//Gy; MS R; Cbn N

Footage Top	Footage Base	Footage Thick	Core rec	Field est U_3O_8	Field est V_2O_5	Rock	Color	Grain	Access mins	Cbn	MS in SS	Other remarks
0	190.0	190.0										Solid Bit - No Core
190.0	194.3	4.3				MS//ST	Gy Gn			N		
194.3	195.1	0.8		L	1.0	SS	DGy	F-VF	A; OP	N	S; R; Sm, Int	Sampled for Chem. Assay by F.X.C.
195.1	196.3	1.2				MS	LGn			N		
196.3	212.3	16.0				MS//ST	R//Gn			N		
212.3	224.7	12.4				SS-Cgl	RBr-LBr	F-C	A; Ch	N	S-A; R; Int	Base of Jmb
224.7	225.1	0.4				MS	R			N		
225.1	226.3	1.2				MS	LGn			N		
226.3	242.5	16.2				MS//ST	R//Gy			N		Thin QZTC SS ribs
242.5	246.0	3.5				SS	LGy	VF		N	S-A; Gn; P; Fm	Hard
246.0	316.3	90.3				MS//ST	R//Gy//Gn			N		
316.3	319.8	3.5				SS	R//Gy	VF		N	A; R; Int	Hard
319.8	321.3	1.5				ST	R//Gy			N		
321.3	325.0	3.7				SS	RBr//Gy	VF		N	A; R; Int	
325.0	334.0	9.0				MS//ST	R			N		



Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8
										195.0	0.6	0.075			

Rev		
Ed		

Hole No. EP #3

* Assays marked "e" are from lab gamma-ray readings

GPO 892165

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GEOLOGIC AND ASSAY LOGS

COLORADO PLATEAU PROJECT

Drill-hole No. FP #4 (AX)
Total depth 302.0
Collar elev. _____ T _____ P
Area LaSal Creek

Map coord (T) 152,850N, 14,560E
Map coord (P) _____
Sec. 5 T. 29S R. 26E
Co., State San Juan, Utah

Claim Flying Pan #2 DMEA #3405
Date completed 11-16-54
Date logged 11-16-54
Logged by W.D.C.

Summary of log Semifavorable ; OBSS 32' thick 259-291 ; SS color LBr-Wh ; MS Gn, R ; Cbn S

Footage Top	Footage Base	Footage Thick	Core rec	Field est U_3O_8	Field est V_2O_5	Rock	Color	Grain	Access mins	Cbn	MS in SS	Other remarks
0	170.0	170.0										Solid Bit - No Core
170.0	225.3	55.3				MS//ST	R//Gy			N		Thin Qzc ribs
225.3	238.6	13.3				SS	LBr	M-MF-F	S; Ch; A; OP	S	S-A; Gn; P; Fm	Base Jmb (?)
238.6	258.7	20.1				MS//ST	R//Gy			N		
258.7	258.9	0.2				ST	LGn			N		
258.9	261.7	2.8				SS	LBr	F	S; Ch, OP	N	S; R; Int	
261.7	271.0	9.3				SS	LBr	F	S; OP, Ch	N	S; R; Fm, Int	
271.0	271.7	0.7				SS	BR	M-MF	S-A; Ch	N	A; R; Int, P S; Gn; P, Fm	
271.7	272.0	0.3				MS	LGn			N		
S, 272.0	272.8	0.8				MS	DGy Gn			S		Cbn count 200%m; Poss V_2O_5; Sampled
272.8	273.9	1.1				MS	LGn			N		
273.9	275.6	1.7				ST//SS	RBr			N		
275.6	282.0	6.4				SS	Wh-LBr	MF-F		N	A; Gn; P, Fm S; R, Fm, P	
282.0	282.3	0.3				MS	R			N		
282.3	290.9	8.6				SS	Wh-LBr	MF-F		S	A; Gn; P, Fm, Int S; R; Fm	
290.9	302.0	11.1				MS	R			N		



Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8

Rev		
Ed		

Hole No. FP #4

* Assays marked "e" are from lab gamma-ray readings

GPO 832165

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY | **GEOLOGIC AND ASSAY LOGS** | **COLORADO PLATEAU PROJECT**

Drill-hole No. FP #5 (AX) | Map coord (T) 153,140 N ; 13,930 E | Claim Frying Pan #3
Total depth 322.0' | Map coord (P) | Date completed 11-22-54
Collar elev. T P | Sec. 5 T. 29S R. 26E | Date logged 11-23-54
Area LaSal Creek | Co., State San Juan, Utah | Logged by JRG

Summary of log Unfavorable ; OBSS 12 thick 300-312 ; SS color Gy-Wh, LBe ; MS R, Gn ; Cbn N

Footage Top	Footage Base	Footage Thick	Core rec	Field est U_3O_8	Field est V_2O_5	Rock	Color	Grain	Access mins	Cbn	MS in SS	Other remarks
0	250.0	250.0										Solid Bit - No Core
250.0	257.7	7.7				ST//MS	Gy, R//R			N		
257.7	262.3	4.6				SS	Gy, Gy-Wh	VF	VS-S, OP	N	N	
262.3	262.4	0.1				ST	Gn			N		
262.4	263.2	0.8				MS	R			N		
263.2	267.3	4.1				SS	Gy-Wh, Wh	F, MF	VS, OP	N	S; Gn; Fk	
267.3	284.6	17.3				ST, MS//SS	R//Gy-Wh	VF	VS, OP	N	N	
284.6	300.6	16.0				MS/ST	R			N		With thin sandy units, VF grained
300.6	312.2	11.6				SS	Gy-Wh, LBe	MF, M	S-A, OP, Ch S, Lim	N	S; R; Sm, Fk VS; Gn; P	
312.2	322.0	9.8				MS//ST	R, LR, Gn			N		ST is sandy

Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8



Rev		
Ed		

Hole No. FP#5

* Assays marked "e" are from lab gamma-ray readings

GPO 82-800321

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY | **GEOLOGIC AND ASSAY LOGS** | **COLORADO PLATEAU PROJECT**

Drill-hole No. FP #6 (AX) | Map coord (T) 152,550 N ; 13,920 E | Claim FRYING PAN #3
Total depth 355.0' | Map coord (P) | Date completed 11-23-54
Collar elev. T P | Sec. 5 T. 29S R. 26E | Date logged 11-23-54
Area LASAL CREEK | Co., State SAN JUAN, UTAH | Logged by W.D.C.

Summary of log Semifavorable ; OBSS 42 thick 313-355 SS color LBR ; MS R, Gn ; Cbn S

Footage Top	Footage Base	Footage Thick	Core rec	Field est U_3O_8	Field est V_2O_5	Rock	Color	Grain	Access mins	Cbn	MS in SS	Other remarks
0	285.0	285.0										Solid Bit - No Core
285.0	286.3	1.3				SS	LGy-R	VF		N	A; R; Int; Sm	
286.3	293.2	6.9				MS//ST	R			N		
293.2	293.7	0.5				MS//ST	Gn//R			N		
293.7	294.9	1.2				SS	LGy	VF		N	S; Gn; Int	Qztc
294.9	295.4	0.5				MS//ST	LGn			N		
295.4	313.2	17.8				MS//ST	R//Gn			N		
313.2	328.9	15.7				SS	LBR	F-VF	S; Ch, OP	N	S; Gn; P	
328.9											S-A; R; Int, Sm, P	
328.9	329.2	0.3				SS	LBR	VF		N	A, R, P, Sm	
329.2	333.3	4.1				SS	LBR	M-F	S; Ch, OP	S	S; Gn, Fm	
333.3	335.1	1.8				SS	LBR	F-VF		N	A, R, P, Sm	
											S; Gn; P	
335.1	336.0	0.9				SS	LBR	M-F	S; Ch, OP	N	S; Gn; P	Sparce White Chert
336.0	336.8	0.8				SS	LBR-Wh	M-MF	S; Ch, OP	N	A; R; P, Sm	RMS pels w/ Gn MS outer halos
											S; Gn; P	
336.8	337.5	0.7				SS	LBR	M-MF		N	S; Gn; P, Int	
337.5	338.2	0.7				SS	LBR	M-MF		N	A, R, P, Sm, Fm	
											S; Gn, P, Fm	
338.2	342.0	3.8				SS	LBR	M-F	S; Ch, OP	N	S, R, Gn; P, Fm	
342.0	354.8	12.8				SS	LBR	M	S-A; Ch, OP	N	S; Gn; P, Fm	Fav. looking ss. lens
354.8	355.0	0.2				SS	BR	F	A; Fe Stn	N	S, R; Int	Twisted off Core Barrel at 355.0'
												Hole Aban. 10-20' off Est. Bottom

Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Sample Base	Sample Thick	Lab assay (%) U_3O_8*	Lab assay (%) V_2O_5	Lab assay (%) $CaCO_3$	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8	Interval Base	Interval Thick	γ-ray % eU_3O_8
										253.5	0.8	0.079			
										255.2	1.0	0.026			



Rev
Ed

Hole No. FP #6

* Assays marked "e" are from lab gamma-ray readings

GPO 82-800921

1/31/55 gfe

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION AND AUDIT DIVISION

DEPARTMENT OF
Defense Minerals
RECEIVED
JAN 27 1955

REPORT OF REVIEW

I have reviewed the Monthly Reports of:

United States Uranium Company
711 Seventeenth Street
Denver, Colorado

pertaining to Exploration Project Contract No. Idm-2717, Docket No. DMEA 3405, for the months of October to November, 1954, inclusive, covering a project for the exploration of Uranium on property, known as Love Mining Claim, located in San Juan County, Utah.

My review included an examination of the Monthly Reports (Form MP-104) and supporting documents attached thereto, comparison of the costs claimed with the contract and pertinent schedules, and consultation with the Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III, and a determination of the reasonableness and propriety of the costs.

This review was made in lieu of a final audit of the Operator's accounts and records for the following reasons:

All of the costs incurred were under a contract provision for payment by the Government on the basis of agreed estimated costs of units of work actually performed

The review disclosed the following facts in regard to the amount paid or to be paid the Contractor:

Total cost as billed by Contractor:	$6631.09
Exceptions:	0
Total accepted cost	$6631.09

The contract calls for a 75 per cent participation of exploration expenses by the United States Government, Therefore, payment to the contractor by the Government in the amount of Four Thousand-Nine-Hundred-Seventy-Three and 32/100ths Dollars

-$4,973.32-

is considered valid and proper, provided that the Regional Executive Officer, the Administrator, or other competent official has accepted or will accept the project as having otherwise met the terms of the contract.

Dated: January 24, 1955

F. H. Breading, Auditor

Audit Report of Review recd. 1/31/55

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

October 22, 1954

Memorandum

To: The Administrator, DMEA
Attention: Mr. E. W. Ellis

From: Acting Assistant Solicitor, Defense Minerals

Subject: United States Uranium Company, Docket No. DMEA-3405 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E717

Article 2 of the subject contract, drafted in Region IV, recites that the Operator is an "optionee". There is no copy of the option agreement in the docket. Mr. Salsbury's undated engineering report states that an option to purchase was granted to George C. Vance (President of the Operator-Company) and that presumably he assigned it to the Operator. We have approved the contract on this assumption.

J. L. Hofflund

J. L. Hofflund
Acting Assistant Solicitor
Defense Minerals

Attachment

HCRubin/emd
Copy to: DMEA Docket
Solicitor's Docket
E. W. Ellis (2)
J. L. Hofflund
H. C. Rubin
chron.

October 13, 1954

Memorandum

To: Hazel Berry, Operating Committee

From: Grace L. Ault, Rare & Misc. Metals Division

Subject: Contract finalized by Region IV

Mr. Ellis has reviewed and approved Contract Idm-E717, Docket No. DMEA-3405, United States Uranium Company (Uranium), dated October 4, 1954, which was executed by the Executive Officer, Region IV, and the Operator.

/s/ Grace L. Ault

Grace L. Ault

Date October 12, 1954

To: Mr. Ellis (for assignment to engineer)

Docket No. DMEA-3405 United States Uranium Company (Uranium)
Contract No. Idm-E717

Contract finalized by Region IV

Attached is ~~Amendment No.~~ _____, dated October 4, 1954

to be reviewed.

Approved: _____

Other action _____

Please return this slip to me for my records.

Mrs. Ault

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OCT 11 1954

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

REGISTERED

October 5, 1954

Memorandum

To: Administrator, DMEA, Attention Code 200

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E717, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah.

Enclosed are the original and two copies of Contract Idm-E717. Copies of the contract have been given to the operator, the Finance Office, Region IV, and a copy has been retained for our files.

W H King
W. H. King

Enclosures

MF-200 (A)
(February 1952)

DOCKET NO. DMEA 3405

COMMODITY Uranium

Idm-E717

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

EXPLORATION PROJECT CONTRACT[1]
(Short Form)

IT IS AGREED this 4th day of October, 1954, between the United States of America, acting through the Department of the Interior, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, hereinafter called the "Government," and[2] United States Uranium Company - A Nevada Corporation, 711 Seventeenth Street, Denver 2, Colorado,

hereinafter called the "Operator," as follows:

ARTICLE 1. *Authority for contract.*—This agreement is entered into under the authority of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, pursuant to DMEA Order 1 entitled "Government Aid in Defense Exploration Projects."

ARTICLE 2. *Operator's property rights.*—With respect to that certain land situated in the State of Utah, County of San Juan, described as follows:[3] Lode Mining Claims recorded in the County Clerk and Recorder's Office at Monticello, Utah:

Claim: Frying Pan No. 1	Book: 31	Page: 85
Frying Pan No. 2	31	85
Frying Pan No. 3	31	86

the Operator represents and undertakes:[4]

(*a*) [redacted]

(*b*) That the Operator is an optionee in possession and entitled to possession, and the Owner's Consent to Lien is attached.

The Operator shall devote the land and all existing improvements, facilities, buildings, installations, and appurtenances to the purposes of the exploration project without any allowance for the use, rental value, depreciation, depletion, or other cost of acquiring, owning, or holding possession thereof.

ARTICLE 3. *Exploration project.*—The Operator, within 60 (sixty) days from the date of this contract shall commence work on a project of exploration for[5] Uranium

in or upon the described land; and shall bring the project to completion within a period of six (6) months from the date of this contract. The work to be performed is more fully described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto, which, with any maps or drawings thereto attached, are made a part of this contract. The Government will contribute to the cost of this work as hereafter provided. The work shall be performed by the Operator or by independent contractor or contractors efficiently, expertly, in a workmanlike manner, in accordance with good mining standards and State regulations for health and safety and for workmen's compensation and employers' liability insurance, with suitable and adequate equipment, materials, and labor, to bring the project to completion within the time fixed. The Government shall have the right to enter and observe and inspect the work at all reasonable times, and the Operator shall provide the Government with all available means for doing so. The Government may consult with and advise the Operator on all phases of the work.

ARTICLE 4. *Costs of the project.*—The agreed, estimated costs of performing the work, expressed in terms of units of work to be performed (per foot of drifting, per foot of drilling, per cubic yard of material to be moved, etc.) are set forth in Exhibit "A," attached hereto. The Government will pay seventy-five (75) percent of these agreed, estimated costs, as they accrue, for units of work actually performed that conform with the description or specifications for the work set forth in this contract, in an aggregate total amount not in excess of $13,180.50, which is 75 percent of $17,574.00, the agreed, estimated total cost of the project in which the Government will participate: *Provided,* That until the Operator's final report and final accounting have been rendered to the Government and any final check or auditing required by the Government has been made, and a final settlement of the contract has been made, the Government may withhold from the last voucher or vouchers such sums as it sees fit not in excess of ten (10) percent of the maximum total which the Government might have been called upon to pay under the terms of the contract. The Government may, as it sees fit, make payments direct to the Operator's independent contractors, if any, for the account of the Operator, rather than to the Operator.

ARTICLE 5. *Reports, accounts, audits.*—(*a*) *Progress reports.* The Operator shall provide the Government with monthly reports of units of work performed under the contract, in quintuplicate (five copies), upon forms provided by the Government. These progress reports shall be certified by the Operator, and shall constitute both the Operator's invoice of units of work performed on the project during the period covered by the report and his voucher for repayment by the Government, unless the Government requires the use of a standard voucher form with invoice attached. Progress reports shall include surface and/or underground engineering-geological maps or sketches showing the progress of the exploration, with assay-reports on samples taken concurrently with the advance in mineralized ground.

[1] If sufficient space is not provided in any blank, use an extra sheet of paper and refer to it in the blank.
[2] State name, address, and nature of organization if any.
[3] Give legal description or enough to identify the property, particularly excluding any land or interest therein to which the Government's lien is not to attach or the production from which is not to be subject to the Government's percentage royalty.
[4] Strike out the provision not applicable.
[5] Name of mineral or minerals.

(*b*) *Final report.*—Upon completion of the exploration work or termination of the contract the Operator shall provide the Government with an adequate geological and engineering report, in quintuplicate (five copies), including an estimate of ore reserves resulting from the exploration work.

(*c*) *Compliance with requirements.*—If, in the opinion of the Government, any of the Operator's reports are insufficient or incomplete, the Government may procure the making or completion of such reports and attachments as an expense of the exploration work; and the Government may withhold approval and payment of any vouchers depending upon insufficient or incomplete reports.

(*d*) *Accounts and audits.*—The Operator shall keep suitable records and accounts of the units of work performed, which the Government may inspect and audit at any time. The Government may at any time require a check of the work performed and an audit of the Operator's records and accounts, by a certified public accountant or otherwise, the cost thereof to be treated as a cost of the project. The Operator shall keep and preserve said records and accounts for at least 3 years after the completion of the project or the termination of this contract. Upon the completion of the project or termination of the contract the Operator shall render a final account and statement of work performed to accompany his final report.

~~ARTICLE 6. *Repayment by Operator.*—(*a*) If, at any time, the Government considers that a discovery or a development from which production may be made has resulted from the exploration work, the Government, at any time not later than 6 months after the Operator has rendered the required final report and final account, may so certify in writing to the Operator. The certification shall describe broadly or indicate the nature of the discovery or development. In the event of such certification, any minerals mined or produced from the land described in Article 2 within 10 years from the date of this contract, including any mined or produced before the certification, shall be subject to a percentage royalty which the Operator or his successor in interest shall pay to the Government, upon the net smelter returns, the net concentrator returns, or other net amounts realized from the sale or other disposition of any such production, in whatever form disposed of, including ore, concentrates, or metal, until the total amount contributed by the Government, without interest, is fully repaid, or said 10 years have elapsed, whichever occurs first, as follows:~~

~~(1) One and one-half (1½) percent of any such net amounts not in excess of eight dollars ($8.00) per ton.~~

~~(2) One and one-half (1½) percent of any such net amounts, plus one-half (½) percent of such net amounts for each additional full fifty cents ($0.50) by which such net amounts exceed eight dollars ($8.00) per ton, but not in excess of five (5) percent of such net amounts.~~

~~(For instance: The percentage royalty on a net amount of five dollars ($5.00) per ton, would be one and one-half (1½) percent; on a net amount of ten dollars ($10.00) per ton, three and one-half (3½) percent.)~~

~~(*b*) As here used, "net smelter returns," "net concentrator returns," and "other net amounts realized from the sale or other disposition," mean gross revenue from sales, or if not sold, the market value of the material after it is mined in the form in which and the place where it is held. In the case of integrated operations in which the material is not disposed of as such, these terms mean what is or would be the gross income from mining operations for percentage depletion purposes in income-tax determination.~~

~~(*c*) To secure the payment of its percentage royalty, the Government shall have and is hereby granted a lien upon the land described in Article 2 and upon any production of minerals therefrom, until the royalty claim is extinguished by lapse of time or is fully paid.~~

~~(*d*) This article is not to be construed as imposing any obligation on the Operator or the Operator's successor in interest to engage in any mining or production operations.~~ **See Annex I substituted for Article 6 above.**

ARTICLE 7. *Assignment, transfer, or loss of Operator's interest.*—Without the written consent of the Government, the Operator shall not assign or otherwise transfer or hypothecate this contract or any rights thereunder. The Operator shall not make any voluntary nor permit any involuntary transfer or conveyance of the Operator's rights in the land described in Article 2, without making suitable provision for the preservation of the Government's right to a percentage royalty on production and lien for the payment thereof; ~~*Provided*, that mere failure by the Operator to maintain the Operator's rights in the land, without any consideration running to the Operator other than relief from the cost of maintaining such rights (as by surrender of a leasehold, failure to perform assessment work, or failure to exercise an option), coupled with complete abandonment by the Operator of all interest in or operations on the land for a period of 10 years from the date of this contract, shall not constitute such a transfer or conveyance~~. Should the Operator make or permit any transfer or conveyance in violation of this provision, the Operator shall be and remain liable for payment to the Government of the same amounts, at the same times, as would have been paid under the terms of the percentage royalty on production. If for any reason the net smelter returns, net concentrator returns, or other net amounts realized from the sale or other disposition of such production are not available as a means of measuring the amount of the Operator's liability, the amount thereof shall be estimated as well as may be, and in the event of dispute as to such estimates, the determination thereof by the Administrator of Defense Minerals Exploration Administration or by his successor shall be final and binding upon the Operator.

ARTICLE 8. *Termination and completion.*—The Government may, at any time, by written notice to the Operator, terminate this contract: (*a*) If the Operator fails to provide his share of the money necessary to prosecute operations pursuant to the terms of the contract; (*b*) if the Operator, in the opinion of the Government, fails to prosecute operations pursuant to the terms of the contract; or (*c*) if, in the opinion of the Government, operations up to the time of the notice have not indicated the probability of making any worth while discovery and in the opinion of the Government further operations are not justified.

ARTICLE 9. *Changes and added provisions* **That part of the second sentence of Article 7 beginning with the word "Provided" is deleted.**

Executed in sextuplicate the day and year first above written.

United States Uranium Company
(Operator)

By George C Vance
President

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

By W. H. Kery
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region IV

I, Anthony F Zarlengo, certify that I am the secretary of the corporation named as Operator herein; that George C Vance, President, who signed this contract on behalf of the Operator, was then of said corporation; that said contract was duly signed for and in behalf of said corporation by authority of its governing body, and is within the scope of its corporate powers.

Anthony F Zarlengo [CORPORATE SEAL]

PROPERTY OF THE [illegible]
Defense [illegible]

OCT 11 1954

ANNEX I

The following provisions are in lieu of Article 6:

Repayment by Operator.--a. Certification.--If the Government considers that a discovery or development from which production may be made has resulted from the work, the Government, at any time not later than six months after the Operator has rendered the final report and final account, may so certify in writing to the Operator. Such certification shall describe broadly or indicate the nature of the discovery or development.

b. Royalty on production.--The Operator, as principal, if the Operator is the producer, or as surety, if the Operator is not the producer, shall pay to the Government a royalty on all minerals mined or produced from the land, as follows: (1) regardless of any certification of discovery or development, from the date of the contract until the lapse of the time within which the Government may make such certification, or until the total net amount contributed by the Government without interest is fully repaid, whichever occurs first; or (2) if the Government makes a certification of discovery or development, for a period of ten years from the date of the contract, or until the total net amount contributed by the Government without interest is fully repaid, whichever occurs first.

c. Basis for computation.--The Government's royalty shall be a percentage of the gross proceeds (including any bonuses, premiums, allowances, or other benefits) from the production sold, in the form sold (ore, concentrates, metal, or equivalent), at the point of delivery (the f.o.b. point); except, that charges of the buyer arising in the regular course of business, and shown as deductions on the buyer's settlement sheets, on account of the cost of treatment processes performed by the buyer, sampling and assaying to determine the value of the production sold, and freight paid by the buyer to a carrier (not the Operator), shall be allowed as deductions in arriving at the "gross proceeds" as that term is used herein. Any costs of treatment processes, sampling or assaying, or transportation, performed or paid by the

Operator or by anyone other than the buyer, are not deductible in arriving at the "gross proceeds" as that term is here used. The term "treatment processes," as here used, means those processes (such as milling, concentrating, smelting, refining, or equivalent) applied to the raw ore or other production after it is extracted from the ground, to put it into a commercially marketable form; excluding fabricating or manufacturing.

d. Unsold production.--If any production (ore, concentrates, metal, or equivalent), after the lapse of six months from the date the ore was extracted from the ground, remains neither sold nor used by the Operator in integrated manufacturing or fabricating operations (for instance, if it is stockpiled), the Government, at its option, as long as it so remains, may require the computation and payment of its royalty on the value of such production in the form (ore, concentrates, metal, or equivalent) it is in when the Government elects to require computation and payment. If any production is used by the Operator in integrated manufacturing or fabricating operations before the Government makes its election, the Government's royalty on such production shall be computed on the value thereof in the form in which and at the time when it is so used. "Value" as here used means what is or would be gross income from mining operations for percentage depletion purposes in Federal income tax determination, or the market value, whichever is greater.

e. Percentages of royalty.--The percentages of the Government's royalty shall be as follows:

> One and one-half ($1\frac{1}{2}$) percent of amounts ("gross proceeds" or "value") not in excess of eight dollars ($8.00) per ton of production in the form in which sold, held, or used, plus one-half ($\frac{1}{2}$) percent for each additional full fifty cents ($0.50) by which such amounts exceed eight dollars ($8.00) per ton, but not in excess of five (5) percent of such amounts.
>
> (For instance: the royalty on an amount of five dollars ($5.00) per ton, would be one and one-half ($1\frac{1}{2}$) percent; on an amount of ten dollars ($10.00) per ton, three and one-half ($3\frac{1}{2}$) percent.)

f. Lien for payment.--To secure the payment of its percentage royalty, there is hereby granted to the Government a lien upon the land or the Operator's interest in the land and upon any production of minerals therefrom, until the royalty claim is extinguished by lapse of time or is fully paid.

g. Notice to purchasers.--The Operator shall give notice of the Government's claim for royalty to any purchaser of the production, and shall authorize and direct such purchaser to pay the royalty directly to the Government and to furnish the Government with copies of the settlement sheets. If the records of any production and sales or other disposition of production, whether the production is by the Operator or by others, are not made available to the Government, the amount of the royalty may be estimated by the Administrator, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, or his successor, and his estimate thereof shall be final and binding upon the Operator.

h. No obligation to produce.--Nothing in this contract is to be construed as imposing any obligation on the Operator or the Operator's successor in interest to engage in any mining or production operations.

i. Government not obligated to buy.--Nothing in this contract shall be construed as imposing any obligation on the Government to purchase any minerals mined or produced from the land.

MF-203
(Revised July 1, 1954)

OWNER'S CONSENT TO LIEN

WHEREAS, the undersigned, as owner, co-owner, lessor, or seller has an interest in certain property in the State of Utah, County of San Juan, described as follows:1/ Three lode mining claims recorded in the County Clerk and Recorder's office at Monticello, Utah.

Claim	Book	Page
Frying Pan No. 1	31	85
Frying Pan No. 2	31	85
Frying Pan No. 3	31	86

which is the subject of a proposed exploration project contract, hereinafter called the "contract", between the United States of America, hereinafter called the "Government", and

2/ United States Uranium Company (a Nevada corporation)
711 - 17th Street
Denver 2, Colorado

hereinafter called the "Operator"; and

WHEREAS, under the provisions of said contract the Government is entitled to a percentage royalty on production and to other rights and equities which do or may conflict with or be adverse to the rights of the undersigned:

NOW THEREFORE, the undersigned, in consideration of said contract and as an inducement to the Government to enter into same, undertakes and agrees as follows:

1. The Government's equity in and right to dismantle, sever, take possession of, and remove and dispose of facilities, buildings, fixtures, equipment, or other items as provided in the contract or any amendment thereof shall prevail over and be prior and superior to any conflicting or adverse rights of the undersigned, and the Government is authorized to enter upon the land for such purposes.

2. To secure the payment to the Government of the percentage royalty on production3/ provided for under the terms of said exploration project contract or any amendment thereof there is hereby granted to the Government a lien upon the land above referred to and upon any production of minerals therefrom until said royalty is fully paid or ten years have elapsed from the date of the contract, whichever occurs first.

1/ Either (a) insert the legal description of the land, or (b) strike out the words "as follows" and insert "in a lease [or contract, deed, or other document] dated _____, and recorded in book _____ page _____ official records of said county." If (b) is used, the book and page of recordation cannot be dispensed with. If the space provided is insufficient, use an Annex, and refer to the Annex in the space.

2/ Insert the name of the Operator as it will appear in the exploration project contract.

3/ Mining or production from the land is not required, and in the absence of production there is no obligation to repay the Government.

(OVER)

3. The undersigned shall commit no act nor assert any claim that may contravene or conflict with the lien, claim, or rights of the Government under the provisions of said contract. This agreement shall be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns of the undersigned.

4. Changes and added provisions The option for purchase of mining claims dated May 27, 1954, granted to George C. Vance will not be terminated for any reason during the time that said exploration contract or any extension or amendment thereof is in force and effect.

Dated this 17 day of September, 1954.

Ray V. Maris [Seal]

William G Hall [Seal]

[Seal]

EXHIBIT "A"

Description of the Work

The work will be divided into three stages as follows:

Stage I will consist of drilling six holes on a minimum of 600-foot centers at locations shown on Figure 2 which is attached and made a part of Exhibit "A". The objective of this work is the exploration of the two upper ore horizons in the Salt Wash member of the Morrison formation. Both core drilling and non-core drilling will be used in accordance with the following table of estimated drill footages:

Hole No.	Estimated Depth Non-Core Drilling in Feet	Estimated Depth Core Drilling in Feet	Total Depth of Hole in Feet
1		350	350
2		250	250
3	175	100	275
4	200	100	300
5	250	100	350
6	175	100	275
Total	800	1000	1800

Holes numbered 1 and 2 shown in Figure 2 will be the first two holes drilled and will be completely core drilled from the top to the bottom of the holes. The remaining holes will be core drilled from a point 10 feet above the upper potential ore-bearing sand to the bottom of the hole, a distance of approximately 100 feet.

The depth of the ore horizon is only an estimate and it is possible that the 1800 feet of drilling allowed in Stage I might not be sufficient to complete all six holes to the desired depth. Because it is advisable to complete all Stage I holes to the bottom of the two upper ore horizons in the Salt Wash, provision is hereby made to transfer the necessary additional footage and funds involved from either Stage II or Stage III drilling, contingent on prior written approval from the DMEA Field Team, Region IV, on request from the operator.

Stage II is dependent on the results obtained in Stage I and may be started only with the prior written approval of the DMEA Field Team, Region IV. The work under this stage will consist of drilling a maximum of 8 holes or an estimated 2400 feet of drilling at locations approved by the DMEA Field Team, Region IV.

Stage III is dependent on the results obtained in previous stages and may be started only with the prior written approval of the DMEA Field Team, Region IV. The work under this stage will consist of drilling

a maximum of 6 holes or an estimated 1800 feet of drilling at locations approved by the Region IV DMEA Field Team to further explore any significant mineralization encountered in drilling under Stage I or Stage II.

The drilling and sampling specifications for all stages are as follows:

1. Holes are to be cored a minimum of AX size through the two upper ore horizons in the Salt Wash member of the Morrison formation.

2. The drilling and the obtaining and storage of core and sludge samples shall be performed in accordance with acceptable practices pertaining thereto which are subject to approval of the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration.

3. Core barrel equipment and drilling practices shall be such as to enable a maximum of core recovery.

4. All core shall be split and one-half retained and properly stored for later use by the Government.

5. Separate sludge samples shall be obtained to correspond to each coring interval.

6. All drill core and sludge will be checked for the presence of uranium by radiometric instrument. Each section showing significant mineralization will be chemically analyzed for U_3O_8, V_2O_5, and $CaCO_3$, using the operator's one-half of the sludge or core for the sample.

7. A copy of all assay results and drill logs will accompany the final engineering report.

8. In Stages II and III the last hole will not be drilled unless sufficient unexpended footage remains to assure completion of the hole to its objective within the total allowable footage.

Estimated Costs of the Work

Stage I

1/	Drilling 6 holes, estimated 1800 feet at $3.35 per foot	$6,030.00
	Total Stage I	$6,030.00
	Government Participation, Stage I, at 75%	$4,522.50

Stage II

2/	Drilling a maximum of 8 holes, estimated 2400 feet at $2.77 per foot	$6,648.00
	Total Stage II	$6,648.00
	Government Participation, Stage II, at 75%	$4,986.00

Stage III

1/	Drilling a maximum of 6 holes, estimated 1800 feet at $2.72 per foot	$4,896.00
	Total Stage III	$4,896.00
	Government Participation, Stage III, at 75%	$3,672.00
	Total Stages I, II, and III -	$17,574.00
	Government Participation, Stages I, II, and III, at 75% -	$13,180.50

1/ Includes a minimum of 15 chemical analyses for U_3O_8, V_2O_5, and $CaCO_3$.

2/ Includes a minimum of 20 chemical analyses for U_3O_8, V_2O_5, and $CaCO_3$.

No reimbursement will be made on partially completed holes. Claim for reimbursement for completed holes must be supported by a certified drill log of the hole or holes involved.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
DOCKET 3405



Map by W. D. Carter

FIGURE 2

FRYING PAN CLAIMS

SEC. 5 T. 29 S., R. 26 E., S. L. M.
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH
OWNED BY U.S. URANIUM CO.
DENVER, COLORADO
MAP SHOWS LOCATION OF SUGGESTED
EXPLORATORY DIAMOND-DRILL HOLES
SCALE 1" = 500'

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

AUG 29 1955

Mr. George C. Vance, President
United States Uranium Company
711 Seventeenth Street
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket No. DMEA-3405 (Uranium)
Contract No. Ide-5717
Frying Pan Claims
San Juan County, Utah

Dear Mr. Vance:

Reference is made to your completed exploration contract with the Government.

We sincerely regret that the work performed did not result in a certification of discovery or development under the provisions of the contract. In the absence of such certification, the Government retains no claim or lien against the property subject to the contract or any future production therefrom. We, therefore, are closing our books and records on this project. Your attention, however, is called to the provisions of the contract which require the operator to keep and preserve certain records for the period indicated.

Your interest in the DMEA exploration program and participation therein are fully appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

D. Mittendorf

Administrator

Copies: Ray V. Marie
William G. Hall

MChing/gla
8/24/55
cc to: Admr.'s Reading File
Docket
Messrs. JECrawford, Rm. 3641
THKiilsgaard, Rm. 5224
JOHosted, Rm. 3210, GSA
Contract Adm. & Audit Division
Code 700
DMEA Field Team, Region III (2)
Mr. Johnson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

AUG 2 9 1955

Mr. W. M. Traver
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III
224 New Customhouse Building
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket No. DMEA-305 (Uranium)
Contract No. Ion-2717
United States Uranium Company
Frying Pan Claims
San Juan County, Utah

Dear Mr. Traver:

Enclosed for your files are two copies of a letter to the Operator closing the subject contract.

Since complete addresses for the owners of the property who signed the Owner's Consent to Lien are not available in our docket, we are also enclosing two extra copies to be forwarded by your office to the proper addresses.

Sincerely yours,

George C. Selfridge
Chairman, Operating Committee

Enclosures

APPROVED:

P. F. Yopes
Member, Bureau of Mines

Thor H. Kiilsgaard
Member, Geological Survey

MChing/gla
8-24-55
cc to: Admr.'s Reading File
Operating Committee
Docket
Messrs. JECrawford, Rm. 3641
THKiilsgaard, Rm. 5224
JOHosted, Rm. 3210, GSA
Code 700
Mr. Ching

2/8/55 gh

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

January 28, 1955

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-3405 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E717, United States Uranium Co., (Frying Pan claims), San Juan County, Utah --FINAL PAYMENT.

Reference is made to our memorandum of December 22, 1954 whereby we transmitted the final Form MF-104, Operator's Monthly Progress Report and Voucher for the period of October and November, 1954, and instructed you to withhold the amount of $200.00 from reimbursement to the Operator pending release to this office of the Report of Review by the Contract Administration and Audit Division.

We now recommend that final payment to the Operator be made on the following basis which is in conformance with the Report of Review by the Contract Administration and Audit Division dated January 24, 1955.

Basis for Final Payment

Total accepted cost as per Report of Review	$6,631.09
Government participation at 75%	4,973.32
Total prior payments	4,773.32
Balance due the Operator	$ 200.00

W. M. TRAVER

W. M. Traver

JWT:pw

cc: Subject
Chron
Sec.Op.Comm. (2)
Shaw
Townsend

Paid 200 od
Randel R. Cooper
Authorized Certifying Officer
2-2-55 DMA-55-60

1/24/55 gla

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

January 17, 1955

Mr. Francis X. Corbett
United States Uranium Co.
18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth Street
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium)
Contract Idm-E717

Dear Mr. Corbett:

Reference is made to your letter of December 1, 1954 wherein you advised that you wished to terminate Contract Idm-E717 upon completion of the drilling in Stage I. Your letter of December 1 was forwarded to the Washington office, DMEA, for advice in the matter on which we have been advised as follows:

> Since Exhibit "A" of the contract states, 'Stage II is dependent on the results obtained in Stage I and may be started only with the prior written approval of the DMEA Field Team, Region IV,' no formal termination of the contract will be necessary, provided you advise the Operator that Stages II and III will not be approved."

In conformance with the above quoted material, and as a matter of record, you are hereby advised that work under Stages II and III of Contract Idm-E717 will not be approved by the DMEA Field Team, therefore it is to be considered that all authorized work has been completed.

Very truly yours,

W. M. TRAVER,

W. M. Traver
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region III

JWT:jp
cc Subject
Chron
Chairman, Op. Comm. (4)
Harshman) w/copy
Shaw) Chairman's
Williams) ltr 1/13/55
Townsend

JAN 13 1955

Mr. L. H. King
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region IV
[illegible] New Customhouse Building
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Contract No. DMEA-[illegible] (Uranium)
Contract No. [illegible]
United States Uranium Company
Flying Pan Claims
San Juan County, Utah

Dear Mr. King:

Reference is made to your memorandum of December 30, 1954, with enclosures, regarding termination of the subject contract.

Since Exhibit "A" of the contract states, "Stage II is dependent on the results obtained in Stage I and may be started only with the prior written approval of the DMEA Field Team, Region IV," no formal termination of the contract will be necessary, provided you advise the Operator that Stages II and III will not be approved.

Upon receipt of all the final reports on the project a closing letter to the Operator from the Administrator will be prepared, advising that our books and records with respect to the project are being closed.

Sincerely yours,

George C. Selfridge

Chairman, Operating Committee

ATTACHED:

J. H. Hedges
Member, Bureau of Mines

Thor H. Kiilsgaard
Member, Geological Survey

MChing/gla
1-10-55

cc to: Admr's Reading File
Operating Committee
Docket

Messrs. J. R. Crawford, Rm. 3641
T. H. Kiilsgaard, Rm. 5[illegible]
J. O. Hertel, Rm. 3210, GSA
Code 700
Mr. Ching

1/7/55 gla

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN - 1 1955

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 30, 1954

Memorandum

To: Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E717, United States Uranium Company, Frying Pan Claims, San Juan County, Utah

Enclosed is the following material relative to termination of the subject contract upon completion of work approved for Stage I:

1. Original and three copies of a letter from the operator dated December 1, 1954 requesting Government approval for the termination of the contract.

2. Original and three copies of a memorandum dated December 3, 1954 from A. H. Koschmann, U.S. Geological Survey, concurring in the termination of the contract.

3. Original and three copies of a memorandum dated December 27, 1954 from M. H. Salisbury, Mining Engineer, U.S. Bureau of Mines, also recommending that the Government agree to the termination of the contract.

4. Four copies of a letter dated December 30, 1954 indicating Field Team approval for the transfer of footage and funds from Stage II drilling in order to complete Stage I work to the stipulated objectives. Also enclosed are four sets of data relevant to the transfer of the funds and footage.

The material under items 1 to 3 is forwarded for your review and preparation of a termination agreement or advice to us in the matter.

W. H. King

Encls.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Mines Administration
RECEIVED
JAN - 1 1955

Region IV

Building 20, Room 137
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
December 27, 1954

Memorandum

To: Chief, Mineral Resources Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

From: M. E. Salsbury

Subject: DMRA 3405, Contract Idm-R717 (Uranium) United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims) San Juan County, Utah - Operator's request for termination of the contract

The operator of the subject contract requested by letter of December 1, 1954, termination of the contract after completion of Stage I. Inasmuch as the results of drilling were negative, there is no justification for proceeding to Stages II or III, and it is recommended that termination of the contract by mutual agreement be approved.

for M. E. Salsbury
Mining Engineer

cc 8
R717
DMRA Chron.

MES/pah

IN REPLY REFER TO:

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN 11 1955

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado

December 3, 1954

Memorandum

To: DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: A. M. Hawkinson

Subject: DMEA Docket 3405 (uranium), Contract 1dm-E717, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah

On December 1, 1954, I discussed by phone the above subject contract with W. P. Williams, U. S. Geological Survey, Grand Junction, Colorado. I was informed by him that Stage I drilling had been completed and that no pre or ground favorable for ore had been discovered by exploration under that stage.

Mr. Williams expressed the opinion that the contract should be terminated by mutual consent as requested in a letter of December 1, 1954, from Francis X. Corbett to W. H. King.

It appears in the best interest of the Government to terminate the contract as requested by the United States Uranium Company.

E. M. Hawkinson
for A. M. Hawkinson
Supervising Geologist
Colorado-Wyoming

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
[illegible]

18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth [illegible]
Denver 2, Colorado

December 1,
1954

Mr. W. H. King, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region IV
U. S. Bureau of Mines
New Customhouse
Denver 2
Colorado

Dear Mr. King:

Re: [illegible]
Contract [illegible]

[illegible] the exploration of [illegible] designated contract [illegible] in Stage [illegible].

[illegible] with the [illegible]

[illegible] failed to reveal any [illegible] or other [illegible] to indicate [illegible] the continued exploration [illegible].

[illegible]

[signature]

[illegible]

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 30, 1954

Mr. Francis X. Corbett
United States Uranium Company
18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth Street
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium)
Contract Idm-E717

Dear Mr. Corbett:

Reference is made to your letter of December 1, 1954 wherein you request DMEA Field Team, Region IV approval for the transfer of 217 feet of drilling from Stage II to Stage I.

Consistent with the provisions of Contract Idm-E717 relative to Stage I drilling, this confirms approval from the DMEA Field Team, Region IV, to transfer to Stage I 217 feet of drilling at $2.77 per foot from footage and funds approved in the contract for Stage II work, in order to complete the drilling under Stage I to the stipulated objectives.

Very truly yours,

W. H. KING

W. H. King
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region IV

JWT:jp
cc Subject
Amendment
Chron
Harshman
Traver
Brokaw
Chairman, Op. Comm. (4)
Townsend

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau Mines Library
RECEIVED
JAN 4 1955

Region IV

Building 20, Room 137
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
December 27, 1954

Memorandum

To: Chief, Mineral Resources Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

From: M. N. Salsbury

Subject: DMMA 3405, Contract Idm-2717 (Uranium) United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims) San Juan County, Utah - Operator's request for transfer of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I

The operator, under the subject contract, requested by letter of December 1, 1954, transfer of 217 feet of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I as provided for in Exhibit "A" of the contract. The 1,800 feet provided originally in the contract for Stage I was an estimate only and proved to be insufficient to reach the required depth to penetrate the potential ore-bearing horizon. It is recommended that transfer of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I be approved.

M. N. Salsbury
Mining Engineer

cc 8
2717
DMMA Chron.

MNS/pah

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado

December 3, 1954

Memorandum

To: DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: A. M. Kaechmann

Subject: DMEA Docket 3405 (uranium), Contract Ida-5717, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah

On December 1, 1954, I discussed by phone the above subject contract with W. P. Williams, U. S. Geological Survey, Grand Junction, Colorado. I was informed by him that Stage I drilling had been completed and that no ore or ground favorable for ore had been discovered by exploration under that stage.

Mr. Williams stated that the drilling required to complete the 6 holes authorized under Stage I of the contract was 217 feet more than the estimate of 1800 feet.

In a letter of December 1, 1954, from Francis X. Corbett to M. M. King, the United States Uranium Company requested the transfer of 217 feet of drilling from Stage II to Stage I in order to complete the 6 holes. Approval of their request is recommended.

E. M. Hirschman
for A. M. Kaechmann
Supervising Geologist
Colorado-Wyoming

18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth St.
Denver 2, Colorado

December 1
1 9 5 4

Mr. W. H. King, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region IV
U. S. Bureau of Mines
New Customhouse
Denver - 2
Colorado

Dear Mr. King:

Re: Docket [illegible] - [illegible] Franklin
Contract Adm - 717

In response to your letter of November [illegible], [illegible] writing to clarify the request made in our letter of November 19th.

The exact footage [illegible] in Stage I which will be necessary [illegible] from Stage II to complete the [illegible] feet.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

Region IV

Building 20, Room 137
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
December 27, 1954

Memorandum

To: Chief, Mineral Resources Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

From: N. M. Salsbury

Subject: DMEA 3405, Contract Idm-8717 (Uranium) United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims) San Juan County, Utah - Operator's request for termination of the contract

The operator of the subject contract requested by letter of December 1, 1954, termination of the contract after completion of Stage I. Inasmuch as the results of drilling were negative, there is no justification for proceeding to Stages II or III, and it is recommended that termination of the contract by mutual agreement be approved.

N. M. Salsbury
Mining Engineer

cc 8
8717
DMEA Chron.

NMS/pah

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Public Lands Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 2 1 1955

December 3, 1954

Memorandum

To: DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: A. M. Kaschmann

Subject: DMEA Docket 3405 (uranium), Contract Idm-5717, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah

On December 1, 1954, I discussed by phone the above subject contract with W. P. Williams, U. S. Geological Survey, Grand Junction, Colorado. I was informed by him that Stage I drilling had been completed and that no ore or ground favorable for ore had been discovered by exploration under that stage.

Mr. Williams expressed the opinion that the contract should be terminated by mutual consent as requested in a letter of December 1, 1954, from Francis X. Corbett to W. H. King.

It appears in the best interest of the Government to terminate the contract as requested by the United States Uranium Company.

E. M. Hauchman
for A. M. Kaschmann
Supervising Geologist
Colorado-Wyoming

18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth St.
Denver 2, Colorado

December 1,
1 9 5 4

Mr. W. H. King, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region IV
U. S. Bureau of Mines
New Customhouse
Denver - 2
Colorado

Dear Mr. King:

Re: Docket DMEA C 3405 Uranium
Contract Idm - 717

Please be advised that we wish to terminate the exploration of the Frying Pan Group under the above designated contract with the completion of the drilling in Stage I.

This decision has been reached with the agreement and consent of the representatives of the U. S. Geological Survey.

The drilling of the holes in Stage I has failed to reveal any significant mineralization or other favorable indications on which to predicate the continued exploration of the claims.

Sincerely,

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

By Francis X. Corbett

Francis X. Corbett

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 30, 1954

Mr. Francis X. Corbett
United States Uranium Company
18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth Street
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium)
Contract Idm-E717

Dear Mr. Corbett:

Reference is made to your letter of December 1, 1954 wherein you request DMEA Field Team, Region IV approval for the transfer of 217 feet of drilling from Stage II to Stage I.

Consistent with the provisions of Contract Idm-E717 relative to Stage I drilling, this confirms approval from the DMEA Field Team, Region IV, to transfer to Stage I 217 feet of drilling at $2.77 per foot from footage and funds approved in the contract for Stage II work, in order to complete the drilling under Stage I to the stipulated objectives.

Very truly yours,

W. H. KING

W. H. King
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region IV

JWT:jp
cc Subject
Amendment
Chren
Harshman
Traver
Brokaw
Chairman, Op. Comm. (4)
Townsend

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Grand Junction Office
RECEIVED
JAN 4 1955

Region IV

Building 20, Room 137
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
December 27, 1954

Memorandum

To: Chief, Mineral Resources Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

From: M. M. Salsbury

Subject: DMMA 3405, Contract I4m-X717 (Uranium) United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims) San Juan County, Utah - Operator's request for transfer of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I

The operator, under the subject contract, requested by letter of December 1, 1954, transfer of 217 feet of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I as provided for in Exhibit "A" of the contract. The 1,800 feet provided originally in the contract for Stage I was an estimate only and proved to be insufficient to reach the required depth to penetrate the potential ore-bearing horizon. It is recommended that transfer of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I be approved.

for M. M. Salsbury
Mining Engineer

cc S
X717
DMMA Chron.

MMS/pah

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado

December 3, 1954

Memorandum

To: DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: A. M. Kaschmann

Subject: DMEA Docket 3085 (uranium), Contract IdM-E717, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah

On December 1, 1954, I discussed by phone the above subject contract with W. P. Williams, U. S. Geological Survey, Grand Junction, Colorado. I was informed by him that Stage I drilling had been completed and that no ore or ground favorable for ore had been discovered by exploration under that stage.

Mr. Williams stated that the drilling required to complete the 6 holes authorized under Stage I of the contract was 217 feet more than the estimate of 1800 feet.

In a letter of December 1, 1954, from Francis X. Corbett to W. H. King, the United States Uranium Company requested the transfer of 217 feet of drilling from Stage II to Stage I in order to complete the 6 holes. Approval of their request is recommended.

E. M. Hauchman
for A. M. Kaschmann
Supervising Geologist
Colorado-Wyoming

18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth St.
Denver 2, Colorado

December 1
1 9 5 4

Mr. W. H. King, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region IV
U. S. Bureau of Mines
New Customhouse
Denver - 2
Colorado

Dear Mr. King:

Re: Docket DMEA - [illegible] Radium
Contract Pdm - 717

In response to your letter of November [illegible], I am writing to clarify the request made in our letter of November 15th.

The exact footage in excess of that allowed in Stage I which it will be necessary to have allocated from stage II to complete the first air lower is 217 feet.

Very truly yours,

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

By Francis P. Corbett

Francis P. Corbett

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

Region IV

Building 20, Room 137
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
December 27, 1954

Memorandum

To: Chief, Mineral Resources Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

From: M. E. Salsbury

Subject: DMEA 3405, Contract IAm-2717 (Uranium) United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims) San Juan County, Utah - Operator's request for termination of the contract

The operator of the subject contract requested by letter of December 1, 1954, termination of the contract after completion of Stage I. Inasmuch as the results of drilling were negative, there is no justification for proceeding to Stages II or III, and it is recommended that termination of the contract by mutual agreement be approved.

M. E. Salsbury
Mining Engineer

cc 3
2717
DMEA Chron.

MES/pah

IN REPLY REFER TO:

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 1 1956

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado

December 3, 1956

Memorandum

To: DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: A. M. Hawkinson

Subject: DMEA Docket 3005 (uranium), Contract Idr-5717, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah

On December 1, 1956, I discussed by phone the above subject contract with W. P. Williams, U. S. Geological Survey, Grand Junction, Colorado. I was informed by him that Stage I drilling had been completed and that no ore or ground favorable for ore had been discovered by exploration under that stage.

Mr. Williams expressed the opinion that the contract should be terminated by mutual consent as requested in a letter of December 1, 1956, from Francis X. Corbett to H. K. King.

It appears in the best interest of the Government to terminate the contract as requested by the United States Uranium Company.

E. M. Hawkinson
for A. M. Hawkinson
Supervising Geologist
Colorado-Wyoming

18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth St.
Denver 2, Colorado

December 1,
1 9 5 4

Mr. W. H. King, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region IV
U. S. Bureau of Mines
New Customhouse
Denver - 2
Colorado

Dear Mr. King:

Re: Docket DMEA O 3405 Uranium
Contract Idm - 717

Please be advised that we wish to terminate the exploration of the Frying Pan Group under the above designated contract with the completion of the drilling in Stage I.

This decision has been reached with the agreement and consent of the representatives of the U. S. Geological Survey.

The drilling of the holes in Stage I has failed to reveal any significant mineralization or other favorable indications on which to predicate the continued exploration of the claims.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Francis L. Corbett

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 30, 1954

Mr. Francis X. Corbett
United States Uranium Company
18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth Street
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium)
Contract Idm-8717

Dear Mr. Corbett:

Reference is made to your letter of December 1, 1954 wherein you request DMEA Field Team, Region IV approval for the transfer of 217 feet of drilling from Stage II to Stage I.

Consistent with the provisions of Contract Idm-8717 relative to Stage I drilling, this confirms approval from the DMEA Field Team, Region IV, to transfer to Stage I 217 feet of drilling at $2.77 per foot from footage and funds approved in the contract for Stage II work, in order to complete the drilling under Stage I to the stipulated objectives.

Very truly yours,

W. H. KING

W. H. King
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region IV

JWT:jp
cc Subject
Amendment
Chron
Harshman
Traver
Brokaw
Chairman, Op. Comm. (4)
Townsend

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
RECEIVED
JAN 1 1955

Region IV

Building 20, Room 137
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
December 27, 1954

Memorandum

To: Chief, Mineral Resources Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

From: M. E. Salsbury

Subject: DMMA 3405, Contract Idm-2717 (Uranium) United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims) San Juan County, Utah - Operator's request for transfer of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I

The operator, under the subject contract, requested by letter of December 1, 1954, transfer of 217 feet of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I as provided for in Exhibit "A" of the contract. The 1,830 feet provided originally in the contract for Stage I was an estimate only and proved to be insufficient to reach the required depth to penetrate the potential ore-bearing horizon. It is recommended that transfer of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I be approved.

M. E. Salsbury
Mining Engineer

cc 8
2717
DMMA Chron.

MES/pah

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 1 1955

December 3, 1954

Memorandum

To: DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: A. H. Hauchmann

Subject: DMEA Docket 3005 (uranium), Contract Id-6717, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah

On December 1, 1954, I discussed by phone the above subject contract with H. P. Williams, U. S. Geological Survey, Grand Junction, Colorado. I was informed by him that Stage I drilling had been completed and that no ore or ground favorable for ore had been discovered by exploration under that stage.

Mr. Williams stated that the drilling required to complete the 6 holes authorized under Stage I of the contract was 217 feet more than the estimate of 1800 feet.

In a letter of December 1, 1954, from Francis X. Corbett to W. H. King, the United States Uranium Company requested the transfer of 217 feet of drilling from Stage II to Stage I in order to complete the 6 holes. Approval of their request is recommended.

E. N. Hauchman
for A. H. Hauchmann
Supervising Geologist
Colorado-Wyoming

RECEIVED

18 Ferguson Building
711 Seventeenth St.
Denver 2, Colorado

December 1
1 9 5 4

Mr. W. H. Ming, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region IV
U. S. Bureau of Mines
New Customhouse
Denver - 2
Colorado

Dear Mr. Ming:

Re: Docket [illegible] - [illegible] Region
Contract [illegible] - [illegible]

In response to your letter of November [illegible], I am writing to clarify the request made in our letter of November [illegible].

The exact footage in excess of that allowed in Stage I which it may be necessary to have allowed from Stage II to complete the [illegible] feet.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN 7 1955

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

January 4, 1955

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 3405 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E717, United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan Claims), San Juan County, Utah

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report, Form MF-104 and 104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data pertaining to work under the subject contract for the period October-November 1954.

W. H. King

Encls.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN - 7 1955

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: [illegible]
Payee's
Reference: [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

Upon examination of the above Payee's reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ [illegible]

Difference $ _____

Amount Approved $ [illegible]

The reason for the difference was due to:

[illegible]

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N.E.Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 27 1954

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 22, 1954

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 3405 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E717, United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims), San Juan County, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period October-November 1954.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been completed as authorized by the contract. No documentation is required for work performed under contracts prepared on Form MF-200A, therefore we recommend that payment be made for 75 per cent of the amount reported by the Operator on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of arithmetical accuracy within the report.

An amount of $200.00 is to be withheld pending release of the Report of Review by the Contract Administration and Audit Division. This office will waive the requirement of a final report from the Operator. Work is to be terminated at the end of Stage I. Further exploration is not warranted.

Remarks:

Date of Report	December 3, 1954
Date Received in Field Office	December 13, 1954
Date Received in Region Office	December 21, 1954

W H King
W. H. King

Enclosure

RDB:dm
cc:Sec. to Oper. Comm. (2)
Subject
WMTraver
JWTownsend
Chron

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Mines Administration
JAN - 7 1955

Region IV

Building 20, Room 137
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
December 20, 1954

Memorandum

To: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Mineral Resources Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA 3405, Contract Idm-K717 (Uranium), United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims), San Juan County, Utah--Progress report for October-November 1954

Enclosed are the original and four copies of the above progress report, the initial and only one--forms MF-104 and MF-104A, with narrative, sketch map, geological hole logs, one copy driller's strata record, and the original and six copies of a memorandum by M. H. Salsbury covering his inspection of the surface core drilling and checking the reporting forms. The contract is unit cost on short form MF-200A and documentation is not required.

Salsbury made a field inspection of the project on November 3, 1954, during its operation and conferred with W. D. Carter of the Geological Survey subsequent to its completion. As a result he confirms the 2,017 feet of drilling claimed by the operator on his reporting forms and stated in his narrative. Work was performed in accordance with the terms of the contract and entries and extensions on the reporting forms are in order with corrections noted. The inspecting engineers adequately describes the reporting period operations and explains the method of determining payment due. According to him, the work was not too well supervised.

Payment is recommended and certified on the MF-104 forms of $4,973.32, or 75 percent of the total $6,631.09 approved.

The project is completed and the report for the period covered is acceptable also as a final report by the operator. No mineralization worthy of analysis was encountered in any of the six holes drilled so the engineering report to be prepared will not recommend Certification of Discovery or Development.

It is probably in order to withhold a small amount from this voucher until a Report of Review of the contract is made by the Regional Contract Administration and Audit Division.

W. M. Traver

W. M. Traver

Enclosures

cc: 15
K717
DMEA Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

Region IV

Building 20, Room 137
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
December 16, 1954

Memorandum

To: Chief, Mineral Resources Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

From: M. H. Salsbury

Subject: DMEA 3405, Contract Idm-E717 (Uranium), United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims), San Juan County, Utah—Progress report for October-November 1954

Progress under the subject contract was inspected in the field on November 3, 1954. Work on the project had been started on October 17, 1954, and terminated before the December inspection period. Inspection in December, therefore, was limited to a conference with W. D. Carter, Geological Survey project chief for the La Sal area, who has followed operations on the project closely.

The operators claim payment for 2,017 feet of plug- and core drilling in 6 holes at a unit-cost price of $3.35 for 1,800 feet and $2.77 for 217 feet, or a total of $6,631.00.

The work was contracted to Sprague and Henwood who were already in the area, having just completed a project for the Geological Survey.

At the time of the November 3 inspection, two drill rigs were working on holes Nos. 1 and 2. Hole No. 1 was down 314 feet, which was near the required depth, and hole No. 2 was 140 feet deep. Francis X. Corbett, superintendent for the operators had authorized start of the work by telephone, with local supervision to be done by their La Sal creek representative, William Hall. Hall, however, was not technically competent to determine, from examination of the core, whether the hole objectives had been reached. The depth to the potential ore horizon was only an estimate, and it was essential that depth of drill holes should be controlled in accordance with data obtained by examination of the core. Hall was advised by the DMEA inspector to stop hole No. 1 until such a determination was made. Fortunately, W. D. Carter was available and logged the core next morning, probing it with the Survey Barnaby rig as well. It was determined that hole No. 1 had reached the objective.

The operator made no provision during the project for supervision which would insure adequate control of drilling depths, other than obtaining the services of Geological Survey personnel. Decisions to bottom holes were made solely on W. D. Carter's evaluation, so far as this writer is aware.

The results from drilling were completely negative according to the drill logs. This was verified by Carter's logging and by Barnaby probing results. Traces of mineralization found did not justify chemical analysis of any portion of the core. Following is a tabulation of drilling based on hole logs and locations shown on the map with the narrative report:

Hole No.	Plug drilling feet	Core drilling feet	Core recovery Percent	Complete depth of hole feet
1	—	314	84.3	314
2	—	390	82.1	390
3	190	144	93.4	334
4	170	132	95.1	302
5	250	72	92.2	322
6	[illegible]	79	91.1	[illegible]
Total	895	1,1[illegible]2	86.9	2,[illegible]17

The procedure in drilling was to set AX casing at the completion of plug drilling and core AX also thereafter. Ninety feet of casing was lost in hole No. 2.

Drilling performance and core recovery appear to have been good; this was verified by Carter. Contract specifications provided for coring holes Nos. 1 and 2 from the collar and the balance from a point 10 feet above the upper potential ore-bearing sand to the bottom of the hole, an estimated distance of approximately 100 feet. Holes were drilled in accordance with these specifications. The cored distances in holes Nos. 5 and 6 were less than 100 feet because the depth to the ore horizon had been accurately determined.

It was anticipated that the 1,800 feet of drilling allowed in Stage I might not be sufficient for completion, and provision was made in the contract for transfer of footage to complete Stage I, if necessary. This proved to be the case and 217 feet was transferred from Stage II to Stage I.

Results of the project were completely negative, and the operators propose to terminate the contract with completion of Stage I. Formal requests from the operator requesting termination, and specifically stating the footage to be transferred from Stage II to Stage I, were submitted and are now pending. Approval of these requests is being recommended by the Bureau of Mines inspector.

A narrative report covering October and November 1954, combined with a final report has been submitted in quintuplicate, including forms MF-104 and MF-104A, a narrative, a map showing hole locations and depths, and drill logs. One copy each of the original drillers' logs were furnished in addition. All are satisfactory. Monthly and accumulative totals on the MF-104 and MF-104A forms are incorrectly entered because of an error in computing 217 feet of drilling at $2.77 per foot. Corrected total is $601.09 instead of $601.00. Total cost of completed project is $6,631.09 instead of $6,631.00.

All work was done in accordance with the terms of the contract, and is within contract maximums, as modified by the pending request for transfer of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I.

Payment of $4,973.32, or 75 percent of the corrected amount claimed for October and November 1954, $6,631.09, is recommended, subject to acceptance of the final report and formal approval of transfer of drilling footage from Stage II to Stage I and termination of the contract by the DMRA Region IV Field Team.

M. N. Salsbury
M. N. Salsbury
Mining Engineer

MNS/iei

cc: 8
DMRA 3405
DMRA Chron.

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.5

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of Oct., Nov., & Final 1954 Docket No. DMEA 3405 Contract No. Idm B-717
Operator's Name United States Uranium Company (Nevada) Minerals Uranium
Address 711 - 17th Street, Denver 2, Colorado
Contract Amount, $ 17,574.00 Government Participation: 75 % Amount, $ 13,180.50

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE			FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY		
	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent / Short Form Contracts:	6631.09	0	6631.09			
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting						
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor						
Supervision						
Technical Services						
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:						
Timber						
Explosives						
Pipe						
Track						
(4) Operating Equipment:						
Rental						
Purchase						
Depreciation						
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes						
Liability Insurance						
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
TOTALS	6631.09		6631.09	6631.09		6631.09

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 12/3/54 *Payee United States Uranium Company

Per George Clance Title President

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$ 4773.32

Signature Rudolph Cooper
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 12-30-54 Vou. No. DMA-5-54

(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. IDm-E717 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. W. Salsbury #4,973,32 Title Mining Engineer Date December 15, 1954

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: Berk.

Signature C. H. Berg Title Executive Officer Date DEC 22 1954

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151.1
Approval expires 6-30-53.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN - 7 1955

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of Oct., Nov., & Final 1954 Docket No. DMEA 3005 Contract No. 14-E727
Operator's Name United States Uranium Company (Nevada) Minerals
Address 711 - 17th Street, Denver 2, Colorado

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Units	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting								
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core Stage I	Ft.	6030.00	1800	6030.00	1800	3.35	1800	3.35
~~Chum~~ Stage II	Ft.	601.09	217	~~217.00~~ 601.09	217	2.77	2000	2.77
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		6631.09		6631.09				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased								
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		~~6631.09~~ 6631.09		~~6631.09~~ 6631.09				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 12/3/54 Operator United States Uranium Co.

Per George C Nance Title President

REMARKS: 217' of drilling allocated from Stage II to Stage I to permit completion, as per contract

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF-104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF-104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF-104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF-104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF-104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

Department of the Interior
Geological Survey Administration
RECEIVED

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

October, November & Final Narrative Report
of
Exploration Drilling

Frying Pan Group
San Juan County, Utah

The work under the DMEA Exploration Contract E-717 was started on October 17, 1954, with the preparation of the site by bulldozing access roads and hole locations.

Sprague & Henwood, Inc. were retained under contract to do the drilling and moved a rig to the site of Hole #1 on October 21st.

Diamond drilling started on October 22nd. On holes #1 and #2, which were core drilled for their entire length, NX bits were used for 80' and 58' respectively, followed by AX tools. In other holes an NX Hawthorne bit was used to drill the holes to the depth at which core drilling was started. From one to three "half track" mounted drills were used at different stages of the work, and the drilling was completed without undue delay on November 23rd, when #6 hole of Stage I was bottomed.

Mineralization of a very low order was encountered in three of the holes: No. 3 at 169'; No. 4 at 172.7' and in No. 6 at 250', but it was not considered significant enough to warrant continued drilling.

With the agreement and consent of the U.S.G.S. representative, the work was terminated with the end of Stage I.

Operator's final report accepted by FT

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

Frying Pan Group
(DMEA - E-717)

HOLE LOGS

Hole #1 Started 10/22/54 Finished 11/4/54 El. 6855

Interval	Formation	Core Recovered 265	% 84.4
0- 85	(NX) Coarse S.S. & Red & Green Sh.	52'	65
85- 96	Green and Brown Mudstones		
95-105	Fine Grained s.s. Sandy Shale	34.6'	86.2
105-120	Red and Brown Shale		
120-125	Red and Green Shale		
125-135	Red Sh., fine grained s.s.	22.9'	91.3
135-145	" " " " "		
145-155	Red mudstone, sandy shale		
155-164	Coarse S.S.	18.8'	64.9
164-174	Coarse S.S.		
174-184	Coarse S.S.	19.5'	97.5
184-194	Coarse S.S., Red mudstone		
194-204	Red, Green Shale	24.75'	98.8
204-219	Red mudstone		
219-234	Red shale, White s.s.		
234-244	Red mudstone	43.0'	95.5
244-254	Red mudstone		
254-264	Red mudstone, white s.s.		
264-274	White s.s., Red mudstone		
274-284	Red, Pink shale		

(Continued)

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

HOLE LOGS
(Continued)

Hole #1 (Continued)

Interval	Formation	Core Recovered	%
284-294	Brown s.s. (Lower Jms.)	49.4	98.8
294-304	Brown s.s. Red mudstone		
304-314	Red mudstone, shale		

-- Bottom --

Hole #2 Started 10/29/54 Finished 11/12/54 El. 6960

Interval	Formation	Core Recovered 320.2'	% 82
0- 58	Sandstone, shale	29	50
58- 68	Green shale some Red mudstones	9	90
68-180	" " " " "	112	93
180-260	Green & Red shale, some sandstone	73	91
260-290	Coarse Cong. sandstone	22.3	74
290-325	Red shale	29.5	85
325-382	Coarse br. to lgh. s.s.	37.4	65
382-390	White, Gray s.s. Red shale	8	100

-- Bottom --

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

HOLE LOGS
(Continued)

Hole #3 Started 11/5/54 Finished 11/12/54 E. 6895

Interval	Formation	Core Recovered 134.5'	% 93.4
0-190	Red, Green shale, sandstone rib	NX Hawthorne Bit	--
	194.3-195.1 weakly radioactive		
190-200	Fine grained s.s. mud seams	9.5	95
200-230	Coarse Brown s.s. seams, Red Shale	28	93
230-274	Green and Red Shale	40	90
274-304	Red sh., Coarse to Fine s.s.	30	100
304-334	Red mudstone	27	90

-- Bottom --

Hole #4 Started 11/9/54 Finished 11/16/54 El. 6885

Interval	Formation	Core Recovered 125.5'	% 95.1
0-170	Red & Green Shale, sandstone ribs	NX Hawthorne Bit	--
170-215	Red and Green shale	42.5	94.4
215-242	Coarse to Fine grained sandstone	23	85.2
242-262	Red & Green Sh., Fine grained s.s.	20	100
262-292	Brown to white sandstone	30	100
	(172'-172.8' carbonaceous material)		
292-302	Red Shale	10	100

-- Bottom --

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

HOLE LOGS
(Continued)

Hole #5 Started 11/15/54 Finished 11/22/54 El. 6882

Interval	Formation	Core Recovered 66.4'	% 92.2
0-250	Red & Green Shale, sandstone ribs	HX Hawthorne Bit	---
250-253	Gray sandstone	1.5	50
253-263	Red shale, Gray s.s.	10.0	100
263-273	" " " "	10.0	100
273-283	Red shaley s.s. and Brown s.s.	9.9	99
283-291	Red and Green sandy shale	5.5	70
291-292	Red shale	1.0	100
292-302	Red shale 9', Brown and white sandstone	10.0	100
302-312	Gray sandstone	10.0	100
312-322	Gray sandstone & Red mudstone	8.5	85

-- Bottom --

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

HOLE LOGS
(Continued)

Hole #6 Started 11/16/54 Finished 11/23/54 El. 6940

Interval	Formation	Core Recovered 63.8'	% 91.1
0-285	Red & Green shale, sandstone ribs	NX Hawthorne Bit	---
285-295	Red & Green shale 1' sandstone	8	80
295-305	Red and Green shale	9.8	98
305-315	Red shale 2' Brown sandstone	10	100
315-325	Brown sandstone	9	90
325-335	Brown sandstone	10	100
335-345	Brown sandstone	8	80
345-355	Brown sandstone mud seams	9	90

-- Bottom --

Minor radioactivity at approximately 250'



UNITED STATES URANIUM CO.
DENVER, COLO.

FRYING PAN GROUP
LA SAL CREEK
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH
SCALE 1"=300' NOV. 1954

F.X.G.

11/5/54 gla

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Department of the Interior
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
NOV 4 1954

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

November 1, 1954

Memorandum

To: Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E717, U. S. Uranium Company, Frying Plan Claims, San Juan County, Utah

Reference is made to your letter of October 28, 1954 with attached comments from the Acting Assistant Solicitor pertaining to a review of the subject contract which was prepared in this office.

In conjunction therewith we are enclosing for your records three copies of the Option for Purchase of Mining Claims, on the bottom of which is the assignment of the optionee's interest to United States Uranium Company.

We believe the enclosures will satisfactorily answer the Assistant Solicitor's question in the matter.

W. H. King

Enclosures

OPTION FOR PURCHASE OF MINING CLAIMS

THIS OPTION, made this [illegible]th day of May, 1954, by and between WILLIAM G. BAEL and RAY V. MARIS, of Paradox, Colorado, hereinafter called First Parties, and GEORGE C. VANCE, of Denver, Colorado, hereinafter called Second Party, WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, First Parties are the owner of certain mining claims situated in the LaSal Mining District in Section 5, Township 29 South, Range 26 East, in the County of San Juan, State of Utah, more particularly described as follows:

> Frying Pan No. 1, as more particularly described in Book 31, Page 85, of the records in the office of the County Clerk and Recorder of San Juan County, Utah.
>
> Frying Pan No. 2, as more particularly described in Book 31, Page 85, of the records in the office of the County Clerk and Recorder of San Juan County, Utah.
>
> Frying Pan No. 3, as more particularly described in Book 31, Page 86, of the records in the office of the County Clerk and Recorder of San Juan County, Utah.

WHEREAS, First Parties are desirous of granting unto Second Party an option to purchase said claims, and Second Party is desirous of obtaining an option thereon and on the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth;

THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the sum of One Dollar and other good and valuable considerations paid to First Parties by Second Party, the receipt and [illegible] acknowledged by First Parties, First Parties hereby grant unto Second Party, his heirs, personal representatives and assigns the right and option to purchase from First Parties all the right, title and interest of First Parties in and to the above described mining claims on the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth:

1. Second Party is hereby granted an option for a period of sixty days to acquire the above described claims on the terms and conditions herein set forth. During said sixty day period, Second Party, his heirs, personal representatives and assigns shall have the right to enter upon said claims and to do and per

such geological explorations as he may desire, including the right to perform exploratory drilling or such other exploratory work as second Party may deem necessary or advisable, and to make such title examinations concerning said claims as he deems necessary or advisable. Second Party may extend said option for an additional period of six months by giving First Parties ten day written notice of his intention so to do - said written notice to be given within said sixty day period, and by agreeing in writing to have 2000 feet of exploratory drilling performed on said claims within said six months' period. The location of said drill holes to be within the sole discretion of Second Party. First Parties, or their representatives, shall have the right at all times to have access to all drilling and exploratory information obtained by Second Party. The purchase price of said claims shall be $12,000.00, which shall be payable as follows: $300.00 at the time the option is exercised by Second Party, and the balance by applying on said purchase price 20% of the net mill returns in each month in which production occurs on said claims until the full $12,000.00 has been paid. Said purchase price contemplates a 100% ownership of the claims by First Parties, free and clear of all liens or encumbrances or outstanding interest. If it should be determined that First Parties own a lease interest less than 100% in all of the above claims, or if it should be determined that there are outstanding liens or encumbrances, then the purchase price shall be reduced proportionately. In the event Second Party fails to exercise his option to purchase said claims, then and in that event all drilling information, drilling locations and other data obtained by Second Party shall be turned over to First Parties, and this instrument shall thereupon be terminated, and each of the parties hereto shall be released and discharged of any obligations hereunder.

In the event Second Party should decide to develop by lease any portion of the above described claims, then the First Parties shall have the first right of refusal of said lease; it being understood that Second Party reserves the right to have more than one lessee on said premises.

In the event Second Party exercises the option to purchase said mining claims, First Parties agree to execute a Quit Claim Deed or such other instrument as Second Party may require (provided First Parties are not required to warrant title.) conveying all right, title or interest which they might have in and to said mining claims to Second Party.

It is further agreed that such deed shall thereupon be deposited with the ~~Central Bank and Trust Company~~ Colorado National Bank of Denver, Colorado, as escrow holder, to be delivered by said bank to Second Party upon the full payment of the $12,000.00 provided for herein.

The parties agree to execute such escrow instruments as the bank may require to carry out the terms and conditions of this agreement.

Second Party agrees to commence mining operations on one or more of said claims within six months after the option to purchase said claims is exercised, and to continue such mining operations as long thereafter as ore shall be found in commercial quantities on said claims.

In the event Second Party should, for a period of one year, fail to conduct mining operations on said claims, or on one or more of said claims, Second Party agrees to execute a release of all right, title or interest which Second Party might have in and to such claims, and the above designated escrow holder shall be instructed to return the said deed to First Parties. Upon the execution of such release, Second Party shall be released of any further obligation under the terms of this agreement. All personal property, including mining machinery and supplies may be removed by said Second Party within a reasonable time after the execution of such release. Second Party is given the right to assign this option to any person or persons, corporation or partnership and that upon such assignment all liability of Second Party hereunder shall cease, providing that the assignee assumes all such obligations.

The provisions hereof shall be deemed covenants running with the land and binding upon the heirs, successors and assigns of the parties hereto.

William E. Hall

FIRST PARTIES

George C. Vance

SECOND PARTY

STATE OF UTAH)
SAN JUAN (SS.
COUNTY OF [redacted])

On this 17 day of June, 1954, before me personally appeared WILLIAM G. HALL and RAY V MARIS, two of the signers of the above instrument, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the within instrument and who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same as their free act and deed.

Given under my hand and seal this 17 day of June, 1954.

My commission expires: April 7, 1958

Notary Public

STATE OF COLORADO)
(SS.
CITY & COUNTY OF DENVER)

On this 27th day of May, 1954, before me personally appeared George C. Vance, the signer of the above instrument, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and who duly acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free act and deed.

Given under my hand and seal this 27th day of May, 1954.

My Commission Expires: [illegible]

Notary Public

ENTRY NO. 21625 183 to
RECORDED 7-21-54 AT 9:50 IN BOOK 133 PAGE 186
FEE PAID ARVILLA E. WARREN, SAN JUAN COUNTY RECORDER
$ 4.00 BY DEPUTY

ASSIGNMENT AND ACCEPTANCE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, George C. Vance, assignor, hereby assigns all his right, title and interest in and to the within Option for Purchase of Mining Claims unto United States Uranium Company, a corporation, organized and existing under the laws of Nevada, assignee, its successors and assigns. In consideration of this assignment, the said assignee hereby assumes and agrees to make all the payments and perform all the covenants and agreements in said Option For Purchase and Mining Claims contained by the said George C. Vance therein agreed to be made and performed.

WITNESS our hands and seals this 23rd day of June, 1954.

George C. Vance

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

By George C. Vance
President

Attest:

Secretary

Bureau of Land Management
Utah State Office
[illegible]
[illegible]

OPTION FOR PURCHASE OF MINING CLAIMS

THIS OPTION, made this 27th day of May, 1954, by and between WILLIAM G. HALL and RAY V. MARIS, of Paradox, Colorado, hereinafter called First Parties, and GEORGE C. VANCE, of Denver, Colorado, hereinafter called Second Party, WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, First Parties are the owner of certain mining claims situated in the LaSal Mining District in Section 5, Township 29 South, Range 26 East, in the County of San Juan, State of Utah, more particularly described as follows:

> Frying Pan No. 1, as more particularly described in Book 31, Page 85, of the records in the office of the County Clerk and Recorder of San Juan County, Utah.
>
> Frying Pan No. 2, as more particularly described in Book 31, Page 85, of the records in the office of the County Clerk and Recorder of San Juan County, Utah.
>
> Frying Pan No. 3, as more particularly described in Book 31, Page 86, of the records in the office of the County Clerk and Recorder of San Juan County, Utah.

WHEREAS, First Parties are desirous of granting unto Second Party an option to purchase said claims, and Second Party is desirous of obtaining an option thereon and on the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth;

THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the sum of One Dollar and other good and valuable considerations paid to First Parties by Second Party, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged by First Parties, First Parties hereby grant unto Second Party, his heirs, personal representatives and assigns the right and option to purchase from First Parties all the right, title and interest of First Parties in and to the above described mining claims on the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth:

1. Second Party is hereby granted an option for a period of sixty days to acquire the above described claims on the terms and conditions herein set forth. During said sixty day period, Second Party, his heirs, personal representatives and assigns shall have the right to enter upon said claims and to do and perform

such geological explorations as he may desire, including the right to perform exploratory drilling or such other exploratory work as second Party may deem necessary or advisable, and to make such title examinations concerning said claims as he deems necessary or advisable. Second Party may extend said option for an additional period of six months by giving First Parties ten day written notice of his intention so to do - said written notice to be given within said sixty day period, and by agreeing in writing to have 2000 feet of exploratory drilling performed on said claims within said six months' period. The location of said drill holes to be within the sole discretion of Second Party. First Parties, or their representatives, shall have the right at all times to have access to all drilling and exploratory information obtained by Second Party. The purchase price of said claims shall be $12,000.00, which shall be payable as follows: $300.00 at the time the option is exercised by Second Party, and the balance by applying on said purchase price 20% of the net mill returns in each month in which production occurs on said claims until the full $12,000.00 has been paid. Said purchase price contemplates a 100% ownership of the claims by First Parties, free and clear of all liens or encumbrances or outstanding interest. If it should be determined that First Parties own a lease interest less than 100% in all of the above claims, or if it should be determined that there are outstanding liens or encumbrances, then the purchase price shall be reduced proportionately. In the event Second Party fails to exercise his option to purchase said claims, then and in that event all drilling information, drilling locations and other data obtained by Second Party shall be turned over to First Parties, and this instrument shall thereupon be terminated, and each of the parties hereto shall be released and discharged of any obligations hereunder.

In the event Second Party should decide to develop by lease any portion of the above described claims, then the First Parties shall have the first right of refusal of said lease; it being understood that Second Party reserves the right to have more than one lessee on said premises.

In the event Second Party exercises the option to purchase said mining claims, First Parties agree to execute a Quit Claim Deed or such other instrume as Second Party may require (provided First Parties are not required to warrant title.) conveying all right, title or interest which they might have in and to said mining claims to Second Party.

It is further agreed that such deed shall thereupon be deposited with the ~~Central Bank and Trust Company~~ Colorado National Bank of Denver, Colorado, as escrow holder, to be delivered by said bank to Second Party upon the full payment of the $12,000.00 provided for herein.

The parties agree to execute such escrow instruments as the bank may require to carry out the terms and conditions of this agreement.

Second Party agrees to commence mining operations on one or more of said claims within six months after the option to purchase said claims is exercised, and to continue such mining operations as long thereafter as ore shall be found in commercial quantities on said claims.

In the event Second Party should, for a period of one year, fail to conduct mining operations on said claims, or on one or more of said claims, Second Party agrees to execute a release of all right, title or interest which Second Party might have in and to such claims, and the above designated escrow holder shall be instructed to return the said deed to First Parties. Upon the execution of such release, Second Party shall be released of any further obligation under the terms of this agreement. All personal property, including mining machinery and supplies may be removed by said Second Party within a reasonable time after the execution of such release. Second Party is given the right to assign this option to any person or persons, corporation or partnership and that upon such assignment all liability of Second Party hereunder shall cease, providing that the assignee assumes all such obligations.

The provisions hereof shall be deemed covenants running with the land and binding upon the heirs, successors and assigns of the parties hereto.

William E. Hall

FIRST PARTIES

George C. Vance

SECOND PARTY

STATE OF UTAH)
COUNTY OF SAN JUAN (SS.

On this 17 day of June, 1954, before me personally appeared WILLIAM G. HALL and RAY V MARIS, two of the signers of the above instrument, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the within instrument and who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same as their free act and deed.

Given under my hand and seal this 17 day of June, 1954.

My commission expires: April 7, 1958

Notary Public

STATE OF COLORADO)
(SS.
CITY & COUNTY OF DENVER)

On this 22 th day of May, 1954, before me personally appeared George C. Vance, the signer of the above instrument, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and who duly acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free act and deed.

Given under my hand and seal this 22 th day of May, 1954.

My Commission Expires: [illegible]

Notary Public

ENTRY NO. 216255 183 to
RECORDED 7-21-54 AT 9:50 IN BOOK 133 PAGE 186
FEE PAID ARVILLA E. WARREN, SAN JUAN COUNTY RECORDER
$ 4.60 BY DEPUTY

ASSIGNMENT AND ACCEPTANCE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, George C. Vance, assignor, hereby assigns all his right, title and interest in and to the within Option for Purchase of Mining Claims unto United States Uranium Company, a corporation, organized and existing under the laws of Nevada, assignee, its successors and assigns. In consideration of this assignment, the said assignee hereby assumes and agrees to make all the payments and perform all the covenants and agreements in said Option For Purchase and Mining Claims contained by the said George C. Vance therein agreed to be made and performed.

WITNESS our hands and seals this 23rd day of June, 1954.

George C. Vance

Attest:

Secretary

UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY

By President

186

OCT 2 8 1954

Mr. L. R. King
Executive Officer
USAA Field Team, Region IV
224 New Customhouse Building
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket No. USA-3105 (Uranium)
Contract No. Ion-6717
United States Uranium Company
Frying Pan claims
San Juan County, Utah

Dear Mr. King:

We are enclosing two copies of a memorandum dated October 22, 1954, from the Acting Assistant Solicitor pertaining to a review of the captioned contract. Please let us have your comments especially regarding the option agreement and assignment.

Sincerely yours,

George C. Selfridge

Chairman, Operating Committee

Enclosures 2

EWKlis/gla
10-27-54
cc to: Admr. Reading File
Operating Committee
Docket
Messrs. J. E. Crawford, Rm. 3641
T. H. Kiilsgaard, Rm. 5224
J. O. Horted, Rm. 3210, USA
Code 700

APPROVED:

J. H. Hedges

Member, Bureau of Mines

Thor H. Kiilsgaard

Member, Geological Survey

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

COPY

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

October 5, 1954

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E747, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah.

Enclosed are four copies of the report of examination and two copies of Form B on the subject Docket.

A contract has been prepared and is in force.

/s/ W. H. KING
W. H. King

Enclosures

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
10-13-54
(date)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

September 15, 1954

Memorandum

To: Administrator, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Report of Examination, Docket DMEA 3405 (Uranium), United States Uranium Company, Frying Pan Claims, San Juan County, Utah.

The application for Government assistance in exploration work estimated to cost $17,574.00, has been approved by us and a contract is being prepared.

Government participation will be 75 percent, or $13,180.50.

Four copies of the Report of Examination and Form 3b will be forwarded when the contract is processed.

John F. Shaw
For W. H. King

A. H. Koschmann
A. H. Koschmann

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE

10-13-54
(date)

Mining Division
Region IV

September 15, 1954

Memorandum

To: Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Report of Examination, DMEA Docket 3405, (Uranium)
United States Uranium Company, Frying Pan Claims,
San Juan County, Utah.

Enclosed are eleven copies of the engineering report of examination on the subject docket.

The applicant requested $20,050 to explore the three claims by plug and core drilling. The examining engineer concludes that exploration is warranted and he recommends that the drilling be done in three stages at an estimated total cost of $17,574.00, Government participation $13,180.50.

We concur in this recommendation.

John F. Shaw
For W. H. King

Enclosures

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
10-13-54
(Date)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

Region IV

Building 20, Room 137
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado
September 10, 1954

Memorandum

To: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Mineral Resources Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA 3405 (Uranium) United States Uranium Company (Frying Pan claims, Nos. 1, 2, and 3) San Juan County, Utah

Enclosed are the original and ten copies of a Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations by H. R. Salsbury, Bureau of Mines, and W. D. Carter, Geological Survey, and of Salsbury's engineering report on the subject deposit.

Salsbury concludes there is an excellent possibility of the discovery of uranium ore on the applicants' claims in significant amounts, although probably in small individual lenses. He goes so far as to predict the possibility of the Government recouping their participation back in royalties, estimating that this would require a production of 5,300 tons of ore with a value of $50.00 a ton. He recommends a surface, core-drilling project, with the contract prepared on unit-cost, short-form including 20 DX drill holes totaling 6,000 feet, broken down into 3 phases, at a total overall cost of $17,574.00, Government participation $13,180.50.

I concur with his conclusions and recommendations.

Lee Carbrent of the Atomic Energy Commission was contacted by W. W. Williams of the Survey and apparently approves the exploration.

The Bureau provided with your memorandum of June 24, 1954, instructing us to make a field examination and submit a report, and the copy of Carter's geologic report leaves us are enclosed also.

W. M. Frazer
W. M. Frazer

Enclosures

WMF/lel

cc: Vio
DMEA 3405
DMEA Chron.

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
10-13-54
(Date)

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Denver Federal Center
Denver 2, Colorado

August 16, 1954

Memorandum

To: DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: A. M. Keuchmann

Subject: DMEA Docket 3405, United States Uranium Company, Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2, and 3, San Juan County, Utah

Enclosed are 11 copies of a geologic report by W. D. Carter of the U. S. Geological Survey covering the above docket.

Mr. Carter concludes that the Frying Pan claims may be located in a mineralized belt and recommends that a loan be approved allowing 120 core drill holes with a total maximum footage of 6,000 feet. The total estimated cost of the project is about $18,000.

Also enclosed are 9 extra copies of the maps accompanying Mr. Carter's report.

E. M. Heuchmann
for A. M. Keuchmann
Supervising Geologist
Colorado-Wyoming

Enclosures (11)

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
10-13-54
(date)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DOUGLAS McKAY, SECRETARY

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

REPORT OF EXAMINATION BY FIELD TEAM
REGION IV

DMEA 3404, Frying Pan Claims, Nos. 1, 2, and 3

United States Uranium Company

San Juan County, Utah

Uranium

M. H. Salisbury, Mining Engineer
Bureau of Mines

W. D. Carter, Geologist
Geological Survey

September 2, 1954

10-13-54

DMEA 3405

FRYING PAN CLAIMS, NOS. 1, 2, AND 3
UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH

Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations

By M. K. Salsbury
Mining Engineer
Bureau of Mines

W. D. Carter
Geologist
Geological Survey

DMEA 3405

FRYING PAN CLAIMS, NOS. 1, 2, AND 3
UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By M. H. Salsbury and W. D. Carter

A field examination of the Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2, and 3, San Juan County, Utah, was made on August 3, 1954, by a Region IV DMEA team. The owner of the claims is the United States Uranium Company, which has applied for Government assistance in exploration for uranium by core-drilling from surface at an estimated cost of $20,050.00.

The mine manager for the applicants, Francis X. Corbett accompanied the examining team, and at a conference in the field, agreed to a slightly modified drilling program.

The Frying Pan claims are located on Gray Mesa on the south side of La Sal Creek canyon, San Juan County, Utah, and they are easily accessible.

The rocks exposed on the claims are those of the Brushy Basin member of the Jurassic Morrison formation. They are composed of variegated red and green mudstones and shales with thin lenses of sandstone and conglomerate. The beds, lying on the north limb of a flat anticlinal fold, strike approximately N. 80° W. and dip 2 to 4 degrees northeast.

The potential ore-bearing horizons of the region are the upper sandstone "rims" of the Salt Wash member of the Morrison formation, which should be found on the property at a depth of from 350 to 450 feet. The claims are well located on an east-west trend

of mineralization which has been established by operations at the nearby Yellow Bird mine, an excellent producer, and by the Geological Survey drilling.

There appears to be an excellent possibility of finding significant uranium ore by drilling the Frying Pan claims.

The property rights of the applicants appear to be valid and management adequate. A core-drilling program in three phases, consisting of a maximum of 20 holes and 4,000 feet of drilling is recommended for approval at an estimated cost of $17,574.00— Government participation 75 percent, or $13,180.50.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
P. O. Box 360
GRAND JUNCTION, COLO.

August 13, 1954

Memorandum

To: A. H. Koschmann, Field Team, Region IV

From: W. P. Williams

Subject: DMEA 3405, United States Uranium Company, Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2, and 3, San Juan County, Utah (Uranium)

Transmitted herewith are eleven copies of a geologic report by W. D. Carter, covering the above-referenced DMEA application.

In Carter's opinion, the Frying Pan claims may be located in a mineralized belt. Carter recommends that a DMEA contract be prepared, allowing for 20 core-drill holes for a total footage of 6,000 feet of drilling at a total project cost of about $18,000.

We are also sending herewith nine extra copies of the maps accompanying Carter's report.

W P Williams

W. P. Williams
Geologist

WPW/mlr
Enclosures

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DOUGLAS McKAY, SECRETARY

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
REPORT OF EXAMINATION BY FIELD TEAM
REGION IV

DMEA 3405, United States Uranium Company, Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2, and 3, San Juan County, Utah

Uranium

Geologic Report

by

W. D. Carter
U. S. Geological Survey

August 13, 1954

10-13-54

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The Frying Pan claims, Nos. 1, 2, 3, were visited on August 3,1954, by a DMEA Field Team composed of M. H. Salsbury and C. D. Mann of the U. S. Bureau of Mines, and W. D. Carter of the U. S. Geological Survey, in the company of F. X. Corbett, U. S. Uranium Company, and W. G. Hall, claim owner from Paradox, Colorado.

Access is gained to the Frying Pan Nos. 1, 2, 3 claims by approximately 10 miles of improved access roads and Utah Highway 46 east of LaSal, Utah. The claims are located in the Paradox Mining District in section 5, T. 29 S., R. 26 E., Salt Lake Principal Meridian, San Juan County, Utah (fig. 1). The claims are accessible at all times, but probably with some difficulty during wet weather or spring thaws.

Francis X. Corbett, of the U. S. Uranium Company (the applicant), has a long history in the uranium business, having been formerly with the Vanadium Corporation of America and the Union Mines Development Company. He appears to be competent and able to carry out the exploration program as recommended.

The Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2, 3 are unpatented claims originally staked by William G. Hall, Parodox, Colorado, on July 18, 1953. These claims are recorded in the office of the County Recorder, Monticello, San Juan County, Utah, in Book 31, pages 85 and 86. They are held by the applicant under option to purchase.

The Frying Pan claims are located on the north bench of Wray Mesa. The surface of the bench slopes gently to the north toward La Sal Creek canyon. Except for occasional boulder piles and one deep draw along the eastern boundary of the claims, road building preparatory to exploration drilling should not be difficult. There are no surface facilities, underground workings, or mineral discoveries of any kind on the property at the present time.

Approval of DMEA application No. 3405 is recommended with the following revisions in the proposed exploration drilling program: (1) Three phases of exploration drilling are suggested instead of two as proposed by the applicant. Both the second and third phase will depend on the results found in the previous phases. This is discussed in detail under "Proposed Exploration". (2) It is also suggested that the project could easily be completed in three months instead of six months as proposed by the applicant. Other minor changes are included in the Engineering Report by M. H. Salsbury, U. S. Bureau of Mines.

GEOLOGY AND ORE RESERVES

The rocks exposed on the Frying Pan claims are those of the Brushy Basin member of the Jurassic Morrison formation. They are composed of variegated red and green mudstones and shales with thin lenses of sandstone and conglomerate. The beds strike approximately N. 80° W., and dip 2 to 4 degrees to the northeast.

No mineralized material has been discovered on the claims. The nearest uranium ore production comes from the Hesperus Mine (1 mile north) and the Vanadium Queen mine (1-1/2 miles northwest). To the northeast are the Emergency prospect (1 mile) and the Yellow Bird group of mines (2 miles). All of these deposits are in the uppermost sandstone units of the Salt Wash member of the Jurassic Morrison formation.

Exploratory drilling to date by the U. S. Geological Survey indicates that mineral deposits in the La Sal Creek area lie in narrow (1,000-2,000 feet wide) belts which trend slightly north of east. At the present it is not known how numerous or how far apart the belts are. Recent drilling results, however, indicate the possiblility that mineralized material will be found southwest of the Yellow Bird group of mines; and it is entirely possible that this belt could extend through the Frying Pan claims.

It is estimated that drilling depths penetrating the "ore-bearing sandstone" of the Salt Wash member will vary from 250 feet on the north end of the claims to 450 feet on the south end.

PROPOSED EXPLORATION

The proposed project, as stated by the applicant, "will comprise the exploration of these claims by a combination of non-core and core drilling. The objective is the finding of ore in the Salt Wash member of the Morrison formation. Drilling will be done from the surface and the average depth of holes will be 300 feet.

"It is planned to drill 20 holes within the area of the claims. This will aggregate approximately 6,000 lineal feet of drilling which will be done in two stages as follows: The first stage will be the drilling of 12 holes, four on each claim, spaced at 300-foot intervals along the center of the long axis of the claim. The second stage will be the detailed drilling at closer intervals of any mineralized areas found in the first stage drilling. The second stage to be dependent on the results of the drilling in the first stage and with the approval and agreement of the Government engineers."

A price of $2.55 per foot based on an average of estimates made by three drilling companies (B. H.Mott and Sons, Sprague and Henwood Drilling Co., and the Minerals Engineering Co.) is given by the applicant. The price appears to be in line with current prices of core and non-core drilling in the La Sal Creek area.

It was suggested and mutually agreed upon by the examining DMEA Field Team and the applicant that the drilling program be changed from two to three phases of drilling. The first phase is to be wide-spaced drilling for geologic information and to determine favorable ground. The second, on a moderate-spaced pattern, will test favorable ground and the third will partially offset any discoveries of the first or second phases.

Phase I shall consist of six holes on a minimum at 600-foot centers, averaging 300 feet in depth. Two holes will be located on each claim as shown on figure 2 accompanying this report. It is suggested that the holes be drilled in order as numbered on figure 2 and that holes Nos. 1 and 2 be cored the last 150 feet for lithologic information. All other holes are to be cored from a point approximately 10 feet above the uppermost potential ore-bearing sandstone to the bottom of the holes, a distance of approximately 100 feet.

Phase II shall consist of 8 holes on a minimum of 250-foot centers around two of the holes in phase I to explore further any mineralized material or favorable geological conditions encountered in phase I, if, in the opinion of the DMEA Field Team, such exploration is justified.

Phase III drilling shall consist of 6 holes on a minimum of 100-foot centers to explore further any significant mineralization encountered in phases I and II if, in the opinion of the DMEA Field Team, such exploration is justified.

Summary of exploration

Phase	No. of holes	Average depth	Total footage	Total cost
I	6	300	1,800	$ 4,590
II	8	300	2,400	6,120
III	6	300	1,800	4,590
Total	20	300	6,000	$15,300

It is estimated, however, that total costs will more nearly approach $18,000 when supervision ($400 per month), surveying ($450), bulldozer work, etc., are included.

It appears that the necessary manpower, materials, supplies and equipment are readily available in or near the area to complete the proposed program. Drilling water is available in La Sal Creek, approximately one mile to the west.

It is expected that the Frying Pan exploration project, if approved, would commence within 15 to 30 days after the date of the contract and be completed within three months.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Geological Survey exploration drilling and geologic studies show that there is a fair chance that the Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2, and 3 are located in a mineralized belt. Drilling depths to the top sands of the Salt Wash member of the Morrison formation at the claim sites would not be excessive. It is, therefore, recommended that the subject application receive favorable consideration and that a DMEA contract be prepared containing the provisions as outlined above.



Figure 1. INDEX MAP OF PART OF COLORADO PLATEAU SHOWING LOCATION OF FRYING PAN GROUP OF CLAIMS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
DOCKET 3405



Map by W. D. Carter

FIGURE 2

FRYING PAN CLAIMS

SEC. 5 T. 29 S., R. 26 E., S. L. M.
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH
OWNED BY U.S. URANIUM CO.
DENVER, COLORADO
MAP SHOWS LOCATION OF SUGGESTED
EXPLORATORY DIAMOND-DRILL HOLES
SCALE 1" = 500'

DMEA 3405

FRYING PAN CLAIMS, NOS. 1, 2, and 3
UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH

Engineering Report

By M. H. Salsbury
Mining Engineer
Bureau of Mines

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
10-13-54
(date)

DMRA 3405

FRYING PAN CLAIMS, NOS. 1, 2, AND 3
UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH

CONTENTS

Engineering Report — Page

Introduction..... 1
Acknowledgments..... 1
Location, topography, and physical features..... 2
  Labor and living conditions..... 3
History, production, and ownership..... 3
Description of the deposits..... 5
Minable ore reserves..... 6
Present status..... 6
  Exploration and development..... 6
  Mining and milling equipment and other facilities..... 6
Project proposals with costs..... 6
Summary cost of project..... 12
Proposed financing..... 12
Conclusions..... 12
Recommendations..... 13

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure — Follows page

1. Location map, Frying Pan Claims Nos. 1, 2, and 3, United States Uranium Company, San Juan County, Utah.. 2

DMP/ 3405

FRYING PAN CLAIMS, NOS. 1, 2, and 3
UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY
SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH

ENGINEERING REPORT

By

M. M. Salsbury

INTRODUCTION

United States Uranium Company applied for Defense Minerals Exploration Administration assistance in exploration for uranium by diamond drilling on their property in San Juan County, Utah. They estimated the cost as $20,050.00--Government participation, 75 percent or $15,037.50.

An examination by a Region IV DMEA team was made on August 3, 1954, in company with Francis X. Corbett, manager for the applicants, and William G. Hall, one of the locators and owners of the claims.

A slightly modified drilling program in three phases, acceptable to the applicants' manager, was outlined in the field at an estimated cost of $17,587.52--Government participation 75 percent, or $13,190.64.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Data on the property was obtained by personal observation and by consultation with Geological Survey field personnel active in the area. The Atomic Energy Commission was contacted by W. P. Williams of the Survey through Lou Burbrent of the Atomic Energy Commission, but no additional information was obtained.

LOCATION, TOPOGRAPHY, AND PHYSICAL FEATURES

The applicants' Frying Pan claims Nos. 1, 2, and 3, are located in sec. 5, T. 29 S., R. 26 E., of the Salt Lake meridian, in San Juan County, Utah, just west of the Colorado - Utah line (Fig. 1). The claims are on Wray Mesa, 4.3 miles by Utah State Highway 46 and a dirt access road from the Geological Survey camp on La Sal Creek. Moab, Utah, the nearest supply center, is 48 miles northwest over Utah State Highway 46, a graveled road, and hard-surfaced Highway 160. The nearest source of major mining supplies is at Grand Junction, Colorado, a distance of 205 miles over hard-surfaced roads. Moab is served by scheduled motor-freight lines.

The topography of the area is typical tableland of the Colorado Plateau with steep walled canyons dissecting sparsely wooded mesas with flat or terraced tops. Access to the property is easy, except in wet weather, and drilling sites and roads can be prepared at a low cost.

The climate of the area, at an altitude of 6,500 feet, is arid, with warm to cool summers, and moderate winters with occasional heavy precipitation and extremes of cold at infrequent intervals. In some years, snow accumulates in timbered areas to a considerable depth. Surface drilling is usually suspended in the late winter months, but underground operations are feasible throughout the year.

The nearest market for uranium ores from the La Sal area is 55 miles away at Monticello, Utah, where there is a receiving station and ore treatment plant operated by the American Smelting and Refining Company, or at Moab 48 miles away, where an AEC ore-



FIGURE 1 - LOCATION MAP, FRYING PAN CLAIMS NOS. 1, 2, AND 3, UNITED STATES URANIUM COMPANY, SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH. DMEA 3405

buying station has been recently established.

Mining timber and lumber are available from local firms operating in the La Sal area. There is an ample supply of domestic fuel on the claims. All power needs must be met by the operator, usually from gasoline- or diesel-driven equipment. Water for drilling and domestic purposes must be hauled from La Sal Creek, a distance of 3 miles.

Labor and Living Conditions

The demand for mine labor in eastern Utah is heavy and experienced men are scarce. Wage rates for semi-skilled labor at remote locations are as much as $2.00 per hour. Housing for personnel in the La Sal area usually consists of housetrailers. For drilling exploration as proposed, it is planned to use a drilling contractor, who would provide his own labor and housing.

HISTORY, PRODUCTION, AND OWNERSHIP

The mining history of the area dates from exploration and development for vanadium 25 years ago or more. Recent activity started in 1949 and has been increasing steadily. Drilling by the Geological Survey on behalf of the Atomic Energy Commission has been underway in the immediate area since 1952.

There has been substantial production of uranium and vanadium from the area. The records of four properties on the same or a similar structure and within 3 miles of the applicant's claims are as follows:

Mine	Production through 1953 in tons (estimated and recorded)	U_3O_8 percent	V_2O_5 percent
Gray Dawn	2,516.71	0.44	1.93
Vanadium Queen	5,658.02	0.26	2.37
Emergency	15.17	0.24	1.95
Yellow Bird	11,600.00	0.22	1.70

The Frying Pan claims were located by William G. Hall and Ray V. Maris. The discovery notices were dated July 18, 1953, and the locations were recorded in Book 31, pages 85 and 86, Recorder's office, Monticello, San Juan County, Utah, on July 22, 1954. An option to purchase was given George C. Vance of Denver, Colorado, on May 27, 1954, at a total price of $12,000.00. The agreement provided for exercise of the option within 60 days with payment of $300.00 towards the purchase price and a written agreement to do 2,000 feet of exploratory drilling on the claims within 6 months. The balance of the purchase price is payable out of royalties on ore shipments at the rate of 20 percent of the net returns. There is no time limit on completion of the purchase, but lapse of operations for one year during the life of the purchase agreement constitutes forfeiture.

Corbett states that the option has been exercised and the initial payment of $300.00 made. Presumably, Vance has assigned the purchase agreement to the United States Uranium Company, but no evidence of this is given. It should be verified before a contract is prepared. Corbett stated that there was an overlapping later location on part of the ground made by John I. Schumacher of Grand Junction, Colorado, for Strategic Minerals Exploration Company, although there was no evidence of this in the form of location notices. It was also stated that Schumacher does not claim the area in conflict. Schumacher

verified this in a verbal statement to the examining engineer, that he does not claim the portion of his claims which is in conflict with the Frying Pan claims. No other facts affecting the validity of the property rights were found.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DEPOSITS

The Brushy Basin member of the Jurassic Morrison formation outcrops on the property. The formations on the north limb of an anticline, strike N. 80° W. and dip 2 to 4 degrees northeast. The ore-bearing formations in the nearby producing mines are the upper sandstone "rims" of the Salt Wash member of the Morrison formation. On the Frying Pan claims these horizons are an estimated 250 feet to 450 feet below the surface which rises from north to south.

Mineralized areas with definite east-west trends found to date are extensive. The two main trends in the immediate area are on either side of, and approximately parallel to, La Sal Creek Canyon which extends east and west. The Frying Pan claims are located in line with the trend of mineralization in Yellow Bird mine to the east. This has been substantiated by Geological Survey drilling.

Ore bodies of substantial size are known. The mineralized area of the Yellow Bird mine is 200 by 400 feet with individual lenses of about 20 by 40 feet. The average thickness of the mineable ore was 2 feet, but at some points was as much as ten feet thick. Using the average value of known production, the content of an individual deposit at the Yellow Bird was 115 tons of ore averaging 0.22 percent U_3O_8 and 1.70 percent V_2O_5 with an estimated value, including bonus and vanadium payments, of $50.00 per ton, or a total of $5,650.00 .

MINERABLE ORE RESERVES

There are no ore exposures on the property, and no known ore reserves.

No samples were taken during the examination.

PRESENT STATUS

Exploration and Development

No work has been done on the claims other than brushing some of the boundary lines and setting corner and discovery stakes.

The Geological Survey is drilling east of the property and, on the trend of mineralization, the drilling activity is approaching the Frying Pan claims. The Survey may want to drill one hole, or at the most two, on the claims, but this is not definite, and Carter, the Geological Survey participant in the examination, stated they have not asked for a drilling agreement with the owners.

Mining and Milling Equipment and Other Facilities

There are no buildings or equipment on the property.

PROJECT PROPOSALS WITH COSTS

The applicants proposed a diamond core-drilling program in two phases, totaling 4,000 feet of drilling in 20 holes at an estimated cost of $20,050.00--Government participation 75 percent, or $15,037.50. Their estimate for contract drilling was $2.55 per foot and the overall cost was $3.34 per foot. The contract drilling price is in line with quoted prices in the area. The estimated total cost per foot is somewhat high, and reflects supervision costs for a six-month period, instead of three months, which Corbett now says will be enough under the revised plan.

Corbett agreed to a modified drilling program set up in three phases. This was suggested to limit the total drilling necessary if the results of first phase drilling are not favorable.

The proposed drilling program is as follows:

Phase I

Six diamond core-drill holes on a minimum of 600-foot centers averaging 300 feet in depth, two holes on each claim, as shown on figure 2 with the geologic report, to explore the two upper ore horizons of the Salt wash formation.

Total Phase I drilling, 6 holes or 1,800 feet

Phase II

Four holes on a minimum of 250-foot centers around each of two of the holes in Phase I, or a total of eight holes, to explore further any mineralization or favorable geological conditions encountered in Phase I, if in the opinion of the Region IV DMEA Field Team, guided by criteria developed for the area by the Geological Survey, such exploration is justified; prior written authorization by the Field Team to be required.

Total Phase II drilling, 8 holes or 2,400 feet

Phase III

Six holes on a minimum of 100-foot centers to explore further any significant mineralization encountered in Phases I or II, if, in the opinion of the Region IV DMEA Field Team, such exploration is justified; prior written authorization by the Field Team to be required.

Total Phase III drilling, 6 holes or 1,800 feet

Total for project 20 holes or 6,000 feet

The first hole at the north end of the claims and the first hole at the south end of the claims are to be cored for the last 150 feet. All other holes are to be cored from a point ten feet above the upper potential ore-bearing sand to the bottom of the hole, a distance of approximately 100 feet. Minimum size of hole should be AX. None of the holes in Phase I are dependent on the results of other holes, and these holes can be drilled in any sequence at the convenience of the contractor.

The depth to the ore horizon is only an estimate, and it is possible that the 1,800 feet of drilling allowed in Phase I might not permit drilling all six holes to the desired depth. It would be advisable to have all six holes completed because this is the minimum number which will cover the ground adequately. Some provision should therefore be included in a contract providing for the transfer of footage up to 300 feet from the later phases, if necessary.

The Geological Survey will probably probe any holes drilled as is customary in any new area where they are operating, provided that the equipment is available at the right time.

Table of drilling footages (estimated) Phase I

Hole No.	Depth non-core in feet	Estimated cost per foot (avg.)	Depth cored in feet	Estimated cost per foot (avg.)	Total depth in feet
1	——	——	350	$2.75	350
2	——	——	250	2.57	250
3	175	$2.00	100	3.00	275
4	200	2.12	100	3.00	300
5	250	2.20	100	3.18	350
6	175	2.00	100	3.00	275
Totals	800	$2.08 (avg.)	1000	$2.98(avg.)	1800

The estimated time for completion of the project as modified is 13 weeks or 3 months, as the applicants intend to expedite the work, employing a contractor with two rigs, if the project is approved.

Following is an itemized cost estimate:

Phase I
(Estimated time for completion--4 weeks)

(1) Contracts

Independent drilling contract
1,800 feet diamond drilling

1,000 feet cored hole at $2.98 per foot	$2,980.00
800 feet non-cored hole at $2.06 per foot	1,644.00

Drilling site preparation and access roads
Contract 50 hours bulldozing
D-7 tractor or equivalent at $12.00 per hour $ 600.00
Total contracts $5,184.00

(2) Labor and supervision

Labor	none	
Supervision		
1 month at $400.00 per month	400.00	
Total supervision	400.00	
Technical services		
Consulting and surveying		
1 month at $150.00 per month	150.00	
Total technical services	150.00	
Total labor, supervision, and technical services		550.00

(3) Operating materials and supplies

24 core boxes for 1,000 feet of core at $3.50 each	84.00	
Gas, oil, and repair parts for one service truck at $50.00 per month	50.00	
Total materials and supplies		134.00

(4) Operating equipment

Rental	none	
Purchase	none	
Depreciations		
1 truck valued at $1,000.00, depreciated at $16.67 per month for 1 month	16.67	
Total operating equipment		16.67

(5) (6) No initial rehabilitation or repairs, or new buildings and improvements

(7) Miscellaneous

Accounting	50.00	
Sampling and analyses		
15 samples assayed for Sb_2O_3, VO_5, and $CaCO_3$ at $5.00 each	75.00	
Compensation insurance, payroll taxes, et cetera, on supervisor's salary 5% of $400.	20.00	
Total miscellaneous		145.00

Total cost Phase I $6,029.47

Overall cost per foot $3.35

Overall cost per foot less sampling costs $3.31

Phase II
(Estimated time for completion--5 weeks)

(1) Independent Contracts

Diamond core-drilling		
800 feet cored hole at $2.92 per foot	$2,336.00	
1,600 feet non-cored hole at $2.08 per foot	3,328.00	
Total contracts		$5,664.00

(2) Labor and supervision

Labor	none	
Supervision		
1-1/4 month at $400.00 per month	500.00	
Technical services	150.00	
Total labor, supervision, and technical services		650.00

(3) Operating materials and supplies

20 core boxes for 800 feet of core at $3.50 each	70.00	
Gas, oil, and repairs for service truck 1-1/4 months at $50.00 per month	62.50	
Total materials and supplies		132.50

(4) Operating equipment

Depreciation		
1 truck; 1-1/4 months at $16.67 per month	20.85	
Total operating equipment		20.85

(5) (6) No initial rehabilitation or repairs, or new buildings and improvements

(7) Miscellaneous

Accounting	62.50	
Sampling and analyses		
20 samples assayed for SiO_2, Fe_2O_3 and $CaCO_3$ at $5.00 each	100.00	
Compensation insurance, payroll taxes, et cetera, on supervisor's salary, 5% of $500.	25.00	
Total miscellaneous		187.50

Total cost Phase II $6,654.85

Overall cost per foot Phase II drilling $2.77
Overall cost per foot, less sampling costs $2.73

Phase III
(Estimated time for completion--3 weeks)

(1) Contracts

Independent drilling contract
1,800 feet diamond drilling

600 feet cored drilling at $2.92 per foot	$1,752.00	
1,200 feet non-cored drilling at $2.08 per foot	2,496.00	
Total contracts		$4,248.00

(2) Labor and supervision

Labor	none	
Supervision		
3/4 month at $400.00 per month	300.00	
Technical services		
Geologist and surveying		
1 month at $150.00 per month	150.00	
Total labor, supervision, and technical services		450.00

(3) Operating materials and supplies

15 core boxes for 600 feet of core at $3.50 each	52.50	
Gas, oil, and repair parts for truck 3/4 month at $50.00 per month	37.50	
Total materials and supplies		90.00

(4) Operating equipment

Depreciation		
1 truck; 3/4 month at $16.67 per month	12.50	
Total operating equipment		12.50

(5) (6) No initial rehabilitation or repairs, or new buildings and improvements

(7) Miscellaneous

Accounting	37.50	
Sampling and analyses		
10 samples assayed for U_3O_8, V_2O_5, and $Cu\ Co_3$ at $5.00 each	50.00	
Compensation insurance, payroll taxes, et cetera, on supervisor's salary 5% of $300.	15.00	
Total miscellaneous		102.50

Total cost Phase III 4,903.00

Overall cost per foot Phase III drilling $2.72
Overall cost per foot, less sampling costs 2.70

Total estimated cost of project $17,587.52

Estimated cost per foot of all drilling $2.93
Estimated cost of all drilling less sampling costs $2.89

SUMMARY COST OF PROJECT

Phase	Drilling footage	Estimated cost per foot	Total cost
I	1,800	$3.35	$6,030.00
II	2,400	2.77	6,648.00
III	1,800	2.72	4,896.00
Totals	6,000		$17,574.00

Government participation at 75 percent $13,180.50

PROPOSED FINANCING

The applicants are raising capital by the sale of stock and state they are prepared to furnish their share of the exploration cost in cash.

CONCLUSIONS

Geologically, there appears to be an excellent possibility of finding significant uranium ore in leases containing possibly 100 tons each with a value of $50.00 per ton, which could be mined at an estimated cost of $25.00 per ton. The cost of equipping and sinking a shaft to the required depth of 250 feet is estimated at $40,000.00. A production of 1,775 tons of ore would return the applicants their cost including their share of exploration drilling costs. To return the Government's expenditure for the proposed project would require production of about 5,300 tons of this grade ore. There is a fair

possibility of finding this amount of ore on the Frying Pan claims.

The applicant company is a stock promotion, and appears to be adequately managed. The mine manager, Francis X. Corbett, has had wide experience on the Colorado Plateau, having been employed during the war by the Union Mines Development Corporation, and later by the Vanadium Corporation of America, as a geologist and mine superintendent. He is well qualified to supervise the proposed exploration work.

The applicants plan to employ a reputable contract drilling firm for the proposed work.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that an exploration project totaling 6,000 feet of minimum size AX diamond core-drilling in 20 holes averaging 300 feet deep be approved at an estimated cost of $17,574.00— Government participation 75 percent of $13,180.50. A short-form contract, with the project in three phases as outlined under project proposals, is suggested.

The applicants should furnish evidence of the transfer of the purchase contract from George C. Vance, and probably an Owner's Consent to Lien, is required from the original owners.

/ias