DMA Form 1

DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
Control Slip

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

DMA Docket No. 4557

DO NOT DETACH THIS SLIP

Action on this document is recorded and controlled in Reports and Records Branch. Any movement of this document between divisions or offices of DMA or movement outside DMA must be reported to the Reports and Records Branch. Actions taken that affect status of the case must also be reported.

Cy for USGS (Reed)

This document has been recorded as

Exploration

Any action taken to change the type of request for assistance must be promptly reported to the Reports and Records Branch. Use DMA Form 2 for submitting these reports.

Routing Slip

To:	700	Date	11/30/56
To:	Mr. Crawford	Date	12-17-56
To:	Code 130	Date	1-8-57
To:	Code 110	Date	1-9-57
To:	" 225	Date	1-9-57
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	
To:		Date	

DO NOT DETACH

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

DMEA Form 7
(12-56)

700

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

Date	Surname	Code
1-8-57	Murphy	700
1-8	Ellis	700
1/9	Selfridge	130
1/9	Johnson	110
		~~700~~
		225

The Wilshire Capital Company
Post Office Box 1451
Grand Junction, Colorado

Re: Docket No. DMEA-4557 (Uranium)
Little Squaw, et al., Groups
Grand County, Utah

Bishop Kiilsgaard

Gentlemen:

Your application for aid in an exploration project and other information available to us in Washington concerning the above-named property have been reviewed.

Projects approved by the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration must, in its judgment, show definite promise of yielding materials of acceptable grade in quantities that will significantly improve the mineral supply position for the National Defense Program.

Careful study of all our information indicates to us that the probability of disclosing significant ore reserves is not sufficiently promising to justify Government participation. We regret to advise you that, under these circumstances, your application for exploration assistance is denied. Such denial, however, is made without prejudice to the property. In the event further work on your or adjacent properties discloses new and more favorable evidence of ore deposition, we shall be pleased to consider a new application.

We wish to thank you for your interest in the Defense Minerals Exploration Program and for bringing your property to our attention.

Sincerely yours,

Frank E. Johnson (FEJ)

ACTING Administrator

FMMurphy/izm 1-8-57
cc to: Code 700
Mr. Murphy
DMEA Field Team, Reg. III (2)
Operating Com.
Admr.'s Reading File
Messrs. JECrawford, Rm. 3643
THKiilsgaard, Rm. 5224
JOHosted, Rm. 3210, GSA

RGH.

8623

Docket

700

Murphy

January 8, 1957

Summary of Proposed Project

Object: Denial of application for exploration aid.

Docket No.: DMEA-4557

Commodity: Uranium

Amount of Application: $41,860.00

Applicant: The Wilshire Capital Company
P. O. Box 1451
Grand Junction, Colorado

Property Rights: Lessee of Lucky No. 1 through 20, Little Squaw No. 0 through 13, Lucky Sue No. 0 through 11 claims (excluding No. 7), and Strawberry Nos. 1 and 2 fractions, situated in secs. 1, 6, 12, 17, and 31, T. 22-23 S., R. 24-25 E., Grand County, Utah.
(Thompson Mining District)

Date of Application: Nov. 23, 1956

Grand Junction Memorandum: Dated Dec. 7, 1956, recommended field examination because of a small production from adjacent property.

Field Team's Memorandum: Dated Dec. 13, 1956, states there is ample justification for denial without a field examination.

Work Proposed: 30,000 feet of non-core drilling. The holes to be spaced on approximately 500-foot centers, with an average depth of 225 feet per hole. Estimate 3 months for drilling.

Estimated Costs:

30,000 ft. non-core drilling @ $1.25/ft.	$37,500.00
80 hrs. bulldozer work @ $12/hr.	960.00
Supervision & technical services	2,000.00
Transportation, housing, food	600.00
Probing equipment, rental 3 months	300.00
Assaying, taxes, insurance	200.00
Contingencies	300.00
Total	$41,860.00

Excerpts from Grand Junction joint memorandum:

Data accompanying the application show that 40 holes were drilled with essentially negative results. Of 6 holes drilled on Lucky Sue No. 8 and 9 claims, 4 were reported mineralized, but no substantiating data were submitted with the exception that some mineralized material assayed 0.10% U_3O_8.

The Applicant also states that the uranium mineral is not megascopically discernable, but is intimately associated with carbon and limonite. This is not the habit of ore minerals in or near minable deposits of uranium and vanadium. This would indicate that there is very little ore grade material present on the property. The Applicant reports the Salt Wash sandstone as being 250 to 300 feet thick; however, R. T. Chew III in a TEIR on the "Geological relations of Uranium Deposits in the Thompson District," states that "The eastern part of the Thompson district appears unfavorable for the occurrence of economic uranium ore deposits because the sandstones (Salt Wash member) are too thin and discontinuous and also because most of the sandstone lenses which do occur are massive and lack mudstone and carbonaceous matter." The Applicant is apparently of the same opinion as he states that the carbon is present in widely varying amounts, as is the unconformable red and green shale.

The Numico Corporation adjoining the Applicant's claims on the east produced 60 tons of ore during 1955 assaying 0.17% U_3O_8 and 1.12% V_2O_5; however, no production was made in 1956, and it is reported that the corporation has removed all mining equipment from the property.

There appears to be little chance of approval of the Applicant's request for Government aid from the foregoing data; however, because of the small production adjacent to the Lucky Sue group of claims, it is deemed advisable to make a field examination.

Commodity Member's Comments: (excerpts):

USBM, J. E. Crawford, Jan. 2, 1957:

Mr. Crawford concurs in the Field Team's recommendation for denial.

USGS, N. E. Nelson, Jan. 3, 1957:

Mr. Nelson notes that the most promising showings have been explored by drilling with essential negative results. Hence the drilling proposed, with only a general target, becomes prospecting.

Mr. Nelson agrees with the Field Team that data available is sufficient to justify denying the application without a field examination.

Rare & Miscellaneous Metals Division, F. M. Murphy, Jan. 8, 1957:

The data relating to the Salt Wash sandstone submitted by the Applicant and that noted in the joint memorandum do not check. It appears strange that the Applicant would lease the new claims if the general area is so unfavorable. Although, the memorandum states that the Applicant agrees with Chew III, on the unfavorablness of the Salt Wash in the claim area, I certainly did not get this impression when reviewing the application.

Previous applications DMEA-3164 and 3353, by Midland Uranium, Inc., on property to the south and west of the Applicant's claim groups, have been denied for basically the same reasons as expressed in the joint memorandum. Therefore, with the poor results of the Applicant's drilling and two denials for exploration in the area, it does not appear that a field examination would result in a contract being recommended.

Conclusion and Recommendation:

The Applicant's proposal to drill the claims on a 500-foot grid is considered prospecting. Although, the Thompson district has a number of mines that have produced over a thousand tons of uranium ore most of deposits appear to be small, therefore, with such wide spaced drilling there seems little chance that such small deposits would be found.

This Division concurs in the Field Team's recommendation to deny the subject application without a field examination. However, as the claims are in an area where uranium deposits have been exploited, we recommend that the denial be made without prejudice to the property, as it appears that the value of any ore found would not be commensurate with the cost of the proposed project.

Ernest Wm. Ellis

Ernest Wm. Ellis, Chief
Rare and Miscellaneous
Metals Division

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
D.M.E.A.
IN REPLY REFER TO:
RECEIVED JAN 4 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
1-4-57	M	700

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

January 3, 1957
Re: DMEA 4557
The Wilshire Capital Company
Little Squaw, etc. claims
Grand County, Utah
$41,860.00 - Uranium

Memorandum

To: E. W. Ellis, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: N. E. Nelson, U. S. Geological Survey

Subject: Review of application and Field Team memoranda concerning an examination.

The applicant requests assistance in exploring by drilling 4 groups of mineral claims on 2 of which drilling has already been done with unfavorable results. The locations of numerous outcrops of radioactive material are shown on the claim map. Drilling, (46 holes), 'behind' at least 6 of the rim outcrops or anomalous areas failed to reveal ore grade material.

The Grand Junction reviewers of the application recommend that an examination be made. The recommendation is justified by the production record of a very small mine (Numco) about 1,800 feet east of one of the outcrops showing radioactivity.

The Field Team, to all intents, recommends that the application be denied without an examination, a recommendation based on the unfavorable results of some 6,000-7,000 feet of drilling, and the generally unfavorable character of the Salt Wash beds as revealed by the drilling and extensive rim exposures.

It appears that the most promising showings, which were targets of sorts, have been prospected and found wanting. Hence the drilling proposed, with only a general target, becomes prospecting.

With the Field Team, I am more than willing that the application be denied without an examination and so recommend.

N. E. Nelson

N. E. Nelson

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED JAN 3 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
		700

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
Washington 25, D. C.

Date January 2, 1957

DMEA Docket No. 4557
DMEA Contract No.
Commodity Uranium
Name of applicant The Wilshire Capital Company
Name of property Little Squaw, Lucky Sue, et al claims
Location of property Grand County, Utah
Estimated cost of project $41,860.00

Memorandum

To: Ernest William Ellis, DMEA Member
Uranium Commodity Committee
From: John E. Crawford, Bureau of Mines Member
Uranium Commodity Committee
Subject: Review of Application
(Application, Field Team Report, etc.)

1. Date of subject document: 11/23/56. 2. Date received by reviewer: 12/18/56.
3. Prior reports on this property known to reviewer: Yes [ ] No [x]
4. Prior reports on nearby properties known to reviewer: Yes [ ] No [x]
5. Information available on past production: Yes [ ] No [x]
6. Other pertinent factors known to reviewer: Yes [ ] No [x]
7. Explain items marked yes above:

8. Factors needing special consideration:
a. Location [ ]
b. Ownership [ ]
c. Evidence of mineralization [ ]
d. Geology [ ]
e. Proposed exploration method [ ]
f. Alternate exploration method [ ]
g. Costs [ ]
h. _____ [ ]

9. Action advised:
a. Obtain information from applicant [ ]
b. Refer to Field Team [ ]
c. Denial [x]
d. Agree with Field Team [x]
e. Disagree with Field Team [ ]
f. Suggest alternate plan [ ]
g. Request another examination [ ]
h. Approval [ ]
i. Consult with Mr. _____ at _____ AEC office.

10. Remarks: (Use back of page if necessary) Mr. J. O. Hosted, Washington representative of the Atomic Energy Commission, assisted in the review.

12/17/56 gh

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 13, 1956

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMEA 4557 (Uranium) The Wilshire Capital Company (Little Squaw, Lucky Sue, Strawberry, et al Claims) Grand County, Utah.

Enclosed are the original and three copies of a joint memorandum of review of the subject application by members of the Grand Junction Office, DMEA.

They recommend a field examination because of the small production adjacent to the Lucky Sue group.

The Field Team rather reluctantly agrees with the recommendation made in this case, mainly on the thesis that a denial of an application without a field examination should be made only on rare occasions. However, there appears here to be ample justification, according to the reviewers' analysis, for denial without a field examination, and the Field Team would be more willing to approve such a recommendation.

DMEA Field Team, Region III

W. M. Traver

By W. M. Traver
Executive Officer

Enclosures

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

P. O. Box 360
Grand Junction, Colorado

DMEA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEC 12 1956
REGION III
DENVER, COLORADO

December 7, 1956

Memorandum

To: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Through: E. N. Harshman
~~J. F. Shaw~~

RECEIVED DEC 17 1956 D.M.E.A.

From: J. William Hasler
M. H. Salsbury

Subject: Application for DMEA assistance (Uranium), DMEA docket 4557, The Wilshire Capital Company, (Little Squaw, Lucky Sue, Strawberry et al claims) Grand County, Utah

The Wilshire Capital Company applied to the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration for assistance to explore the Lucky Sue, Little Squaw and Strawberry groups of claims southeast of the Yellow Cat area, Thompson mining district, Grand County, Utah.

Data accompanying the application show that forty holes were drilled with essentially negative results. Six holes were drilled on the Lucky Sue 8 and 9 claims. Of the six holes drilled, four were reported to have been mineralized, but no substantiating data accompanied the report with the exception that some mineralized material assayed 0.10 percent U_3O_8.

The applicant also states that most of the mineralized material is not megascopically discernible, but is intimately associated with carbon and limonite. This is not the habit of ore minerals in or near minable deposits of uranium and vanadium. This would indicate that there is very little ore-grade material present on the property. The Salt Wash sandstone is reported to be from 250 to 300 feet thick in the applicant's report; however, in a rough draft of a TEIR report, by R. T. Chew, III, on the "Geological Relations of Uranium Deposits in the Thompson District, Grand County, Utah" it is stated that "The eastern part of the Thompson district appears unfavorable for the occurrence of economic uranium ore deposits because the sandstones (Salt Wash member) are too thin and discontinuous and also because most of the sandstone lenses which do occur are massive and lack mudstone and carbonaceous matter." The applicant is apparently of the same opinion as he states that carbon is present in widely varying amounts, as is unconformable red and green shale.

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

P. O. Box 360
Grand Junction, Colorado

DMEA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEC 12 1956
REGION III
DENVER, COLORADO

December 7, 1956

Memorandum

To: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Through: E. N. Harshman
~~J. F. Shaw~~

From: J. William Hasler
M. H. Salsbury

Subject: Application for DMEA assistance (Uranium), DMEA docket 4557, The Wilshire Capital Company, (Little Squaw, Lucky Sue, Strawberry et al claims) Grand County, Utah

The Wilshire Capital Company applied to the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration for assistance to explore the Lucky Sue, Little Squaw and Strawberry groups of claims southeast of the Yellow Cat area, Thompson mining district, Grand County, Utah.

Data accompanying the application show that forty holes were drilled with essentially negative results. Six holes were drilled on the Lucky Sue 8 and 9 claims. Of the six holes drilled, four were reported to have been mineralized, but no substantiating data accompanied the report with the exception that some mineralized material assayed 0.10 percent U_3O_8.

The applicant also states that most of the mineralized material is not megascopically discernible, but is intimately associated with carbon and limonite. This is not the habit of ore minerals in or near minable deposits of uranium and vanadium. This would indicate that there is very little ore-grade material present on the property. The Salt Wash sandstone is reported to be from 250 to 300 feet thick in the applicant's report; however, in a rough draft of a TEIR report, by R. T. Chew, III, on the "Geological Relations of Uranium Deposits in the Thompson District, Grand County, Utah" it is stated that "The eastern part of the Thompson district appears unfavorable for the occurrence of economic uranium ore deposits because the sandstones (Salt Wash member) are too thin and discontinuous and also because most of the sandstone lenses which do occur are massive and lack mudstone and carbonaceous matter." The applicant is apparently of the same opinion as he states that carbon is present in widely varying amounts, as is unconformable red and green shale.

The Numico Corporation property adjoining the applicant's claim on the east produced 60 tons of ore assaying 0.17 percent U_3O_8 and 1.12 percent V_2O_5 during 1955; however, there has been no production in 1956, and it is reported that the Numico Corporation has recently removed all mining equipment from the property.

There appears to be little chance of approval of the applicant's request for Government assistance from the foregoing data; however, because of the small production adjacent to the Lucky Sue group of claims, it is deemed advisable to make a field examination.

M. H. Salsbury
M. H. Salsbury,
Bureau of Mines

F. M. Byers, Jr.
for J. William Hasler,
Geological Survey

JWH/mlr

Copy

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

November 30, 1956

The Wilshire Capital Company
P. O. Box 1451
Grand Junction, Colorado

Subject: DMEA-4557

Gentlemen:

Your application for exploration assistance, dated November 23, 1956 submitted to our office at Denver, Colorado has been assigned Docket Number DMEA-4557 and referred to the Rare & Miscellaneous Division in the Washington office. Kindly identify all future correspondence relating to your application by this Docket Number.

Sincerely yours,

(Sgd) Allen S. Dakan

Allen S. Dakan, Director
Operations Control and
Statistics Division

Copy to:
Region III, Denver
Code 700

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

November 28, 1956

RECEIVED NOV 30 1956 D.M.E.A.

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Application for DMEA Assistance (Uranium) The Wilshire Capital Company, A Colorado Corporation, (Little Squaw, Lucky Sue, Strawberry, et al claims) Grand County, Utah

Enclosed are two copies of the subject application for Government assistance in an exploration project, in the amount of $41,860.00.

One copy of the application is being forwarded to the DMEA Grand Junction office for their review consultation with the AEC and resulting recommendations with respect to the advisability of making a field examination. One copy of the application is being retained in the Region III files.

Your attention is called to the fact that officers of the applicant corporation are the same as those who were awarded Contract Idm-E-996, Docket DMEA 4235, Lee E. Cox and T. R. Gillenwaters, partners (Okan Mine) Mesa County, Colorado. This contract was terminated before work started, at the Applicants' request, because of unfavorable lease terms. Also the Applicants in this case [redacted] failed to furnish three drill bids.

DMEA Field Team, Region III

W.M. Traver

By W. M. Traver
Executive Officer

Enclosures

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

November 28, 1956

RECEIVED NOV 30 1956 DMEA

Memorandum

To: J. W. Hasler

From: DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Application for DMEA Assistance (Uranium) The Wilshire Capital Company, A Colorado Corporation, (Little Squaw, Lucky Sue, Strawberry, et al claims) Grand County, Utah

Enclosed is a copy of the subject application in the amount of $41,860.00.

Please confer with the Bureau of Mines representative at the Grand Junction Office and AEC personnel concerning the merits of the application, and submit to this office nine copies of your recommendation, regarding the advisability of making a field examination.

DMEA Field Team, Region III

Original signed by
W. M. TRAVER

By W. M. Traver
Executive Officer

Enclosure

WMT:ytl
cc: Sec. Op. Comm. (2)
Harshman
Shaw (2)
Docket
Chron.

MF-103
(Revised April 1952)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Form Approved.
Budget Bureau No. 42-R1035.2.

DMEA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NOV 27 1956
REGION III
DENVER, COLORADO

APPLICATION FOR AID IN AN EXPLORATION PROJECT, PURSUANT TO DMEA ORDER 1, UNDER THE DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT OF 1950, AS AMENDED

Not to be filled in by applicant

Docket No. 4557
Metal or Mineral Uranium
Date Received 11-30-56
Estimated Cost $41,860.00
Participation (Government %)

RECEIVED
NOV 30 1956
D.M.E.A.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. *Name of applicant.*—(*a*) State here your full legal name, in the form in which you will wish to contract, and your mailing address: c The Wilshire Capital Co.
P.O. Box 1451 - Grand Junction, Colorado
a Colorado Corporation

(*b*) If other than an individual, add to your name above whether a corporation, partnership, etc., and the name of the State in which incorporated or otherwise organized.

(*c*) If a corporation, add to above statement, titles, names and addresses of officers. T. R. Gillenwaters, Pres.
L. E. Cox, Vice-Pres.
Edgar M. Gillenwaters, Sec-Treas

(*d*) If a partnership, add to the above statement the names and addresses of all partners.

2. *General.*—Read DMEA Order 1, "Government Aid in Defense Exploration Projects," before completing this application. Submit this application and all accompanying papers in quadruplicate (four copies), with your name and address on each sheet of the application and on all accompanying papers. Where sufficient space is not provided on the form for all required information, state it on an accompanying paper, with a reference in each case to the instruction to which it refers by number. Comply with all applicable instructions; or, if not applicable, so state. File the application with Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C., or with the nearest field executive officer thereof.

3. *Applicant's property rights.*—(*a*) State the legal description of the land upon which you wish to explore, including all land which you possess or control that may be benefited by the exploration, and excluding any land or interest in land which is not to be included in the exploration project contract Legal description is given in the attached copies of Lease Agreements.

(*b*) State any mine name by which the property is known. None

(*c*) State your interest in the land, whether owner, lessee, purchaser under contract, or otherwise Lessee

(*d*) If you are not the owner, submit with this application a copy of the lease, contract, or other document under which you control the property.

(*e*) If you own the land, describe any liens or encumbrances on it 10 percent gross override.

(*f*) If the land consists of unpatented claims, add to the description above, the book and page numbers for each recorded location notice.
Given in copies of Lease Agreements.

4. *Physical description.*—(*a*) Describe in detail any mining or exploration operations which have been or now are being conducted upon the land, including existing mine workings and production facilities. State your interest, if any, in such operations. Also describe accessibility of mine workings for examination purposes.

(*b*) State past and current production, and ore reserves, if any, giving quantities and grades.

(*c*) Describe the geologic features of the property, including mineralization, type of deposit (vein, bedded, etc.), and your reasons for wishing to explore. Illustrate with maps or sketches. Send with your application (but not necessarily as a part of it) any geologic or engineering report, assay maps, or other technologic information you may have, indicating on each whether you require its return to you.

(*d*) State the facts with respect to the accessibility of the project: Access roads, distances to shipping, supply and residence points.

(*e*) State the availability of manpower, materials, supplies, equipment, water, and power.

5. *The exploration project.*—(a) State the mineral or minerals for which you [illegible] to explore Uranium

(*b*) Describe fully the proposed work, including a map or sketch of the property showing a plan (and cross sections if needed) of any present mine workings, and the location of the proposed exploration work as related to such features as contacts, veins, ore-bearing beds, etc. attached map and geologic report.

(*c*) The work will start within 30 days and be completed within 3 months from the date of an exploration project contract.

(*d*) State the operating experience and background of the applicant with relation to the ability to carry out such exploration project, and also that of the person or persons who will supervise the operations.

6. *Estimate of costs.*—Furnish a detailed estimate of the costs of the proposed work (you will have to use a separate sheet), under the following headings. Add the totals under all headings to give the estimated total cost of the project:

(*a*) *Independent contracts.*—(Note.—If the applicant does not intend to let any of the work to contractors, write "none" after this item. To the extent that the work is to be contracted, do not repeat the cost of the contract-work in subsequent items.) State the cost of any proposed independent contracts for the performance of all or any part of the work, expressed in terms of units of work (such as per foot of drilling, per foot of drifting, per hour of bulldozer operations, per cubic yard of material moved, etc.).

(*b*) *Labor, supervision, consultants.*—Include an itemized schedule of numbers, classes and rates of wages, salaries or fees for necessary labor, supervision and engineering and geological consultants.

(*c*) *Operating materials and supplies.*—Furnish an itemized list, including items of equipment costing less than $50 each, and power, water and fuel.

(*d*) *Operating equipment.*—Furnish an itemized list of any operating equipment to be rented, purchased, or which is owned and will be furnished by the Operator, with the estimated rental, purchase price, or suggested use-allowance based on present value, as the case may be.

(*e*) *Rehabilitation and repairs.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary initial rehabilitation or repairs of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and movable operating equipment, now owned by the Operator and which will be devoted to the exploration project.

(*f*) *New buildings, improvements, installations.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary buildings, fixed improvements, or installations to be purchased, installed or constructed for the benefit of the exploration project.

(*g*) *Miscellaneous.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of repairs to and maintenance of operating equipment (not including initial rehabilitation or repairs of the Operator's equipment), analytical work, accounting, workmen's compensation and employers' liability insurance, and payroll taxes.

(*h*) *Contingencies.*—Give an estimate of any necessary allowances for contingencies not included in the costs stated above.

NOTE.—No items of general overhead, corporate management, interest, taxes (other than payroll and sales taxes), or any other indirect costs, or work performed or costs incurred before the date of the contract, should be included in the estimate of costs.

7. (*a*) Are you prepared to furnish your share of the cost of the proposed project in accordance with the regulations on Government participation (Sec. 7, DMEA No. 1)?

(*b*) How do you propose to furnish your share of the costs?

[X] Money [ ] Use of equipment owned by you [ ] Other

Explain in detail on acompanying paper.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, whether as an individual, corporate officer, partner, or otherwise, both in his own behalf and acting for the applicant, certifies that the information set forth in this form and accompanying papers is correct and complete, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Dated 23 November 1956, 195__

The Wilshire Capital Co.
(Applicant)

By J. R. Gillenwaters, Pres.

Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), Section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—66551-1

Supplementary Sheet to Form MF 103

4.

(a) An exploration project consisting of 3,000 feet of wagon-drilling was conducted by the Wilshire Capital Company in June and July of 1956.

This initial shallow drilling behind mineralized outcrops failed to extend the known mineralization, but it is hoped that a general exploration project of the type recommended in the accompanying geologic report will yield fruitful results.

(b) No ore has been produced from the property.

(c) Geologic report covers this item.

(d) The property is 45 miles from Grand Junction and 20 miles from Cisco, Utah. The Climax Uranium Company's mill is located in Grand Junction, Colorado.

(e) Ample manpower, materials, supplies and equipment are available in Grand Junction, Colorado. Drilling water is available from the Dolores River, however, drinking water would have to be hauled in from Cisco, Utah. Power will have to be furnished by gasoline or deisel.

5.

(d) The applicant company has been active in mining and exploring for uranium for the last 2 1/2 years. The company has operated several uranium properties successfully and has in its employ Mr. Robert Rock, mining engineer, with over 5 years experience in mining and exploring for uranium. Mr. Rock has examined this property carefully and has supervised our preliminary operations there and will be available to capably progress any exploration program agreed upon.

Supplementary Sheet to Form MF 103 Continued.

Estimated Costs:

6.

(a)	Contracts :	
	30,000 feet of drilling @ $1.25/ft.	$37500.00
	80 hours of D-7 bull dozer work @ $12/hr.	960.00
(b)	Supervisions:	
	Geological 3 mos. at $500/month	1500.00
	Surveyor 5 days at $100/day	500.00
(c)	Transportation, food, housing for supervisory personnel 3 mos. @ $200/month	600.00
(d)	Radiation deep-hole logging equipment 3 mos. @ $100/month	300.00
(e)	No rehabilitation	-----
(f)	No new buildings	-----
(g)	Accounting, taxes, workman's compensation, liability insurance, assaying	200.00
(h)	Contingencies	300.00
	Total	$41860.00

30,000 / 225

GEOLOGIC REPORT

Lucky, Little Squaw, Lucky Sue, Strawberry

Claims

SCARF MESA, UTAH

RECEIVED NOV 30 1956 U.M.E.A.

The claims with which this report is concerned consist of three groups of claims totalling 46 claims. The Lucky Group 1 - 20, is composed of twenty claims; The Little Squaw Group 0 - 13, is composed of fourteen claims; The Lucky Sue Group 0 - 11, exclusive of #7, is composed of eleven claims; and The Strawberry 1 and 2 claims are both fractional.

The claims are located in Twp. 22 and 23 S, Range 24 and 25E, Sections 1, 6, 12, 17 and 31, approximately 45 miles southwest of Grand Junction, Colorado.

The property is accessible by travelling over the Colorado National Monument and Glade Park roads for 13 miles to the Glade Park store; thence traveling west approximately 20 miles to the Colorado-Utah border via a county-maintained farm access road; and thence travelling 20 miles in a southwesterly direction over good to fair mine access road.

The claims are located on the south central part of Scarf Mesa, next to the Dolores river.

REGIONAL AND LOCAL GEOLOGY:

The potentially productive formation on the claims is the Salt Wash Member of the Morrison Formation, which is estimated to be 250 to 300 feet thick in this locality.

Overlying the Salt Wash is the Brushy Basin Shale Member of the Morrison Formation, which is 300 feet or more thick and consists almost entirely of red and green variegated shales. Some included conglomeritic material is present in the top and bottom zones of this member, where it grades into the overlying Dakota sandstone and the underlying Salt Wash sandstone.

Three distinct sandstone ledges are apparent where the full Salt Wash section is exposed. These ledges range in thickness from 60 to 80 feet and are considered to be lithologically favorable as host beds for uranium deposition.

Lithologically, the Salt Wash is fine to coarse grained and displays primary sedimentary features, which are indicative of extensive fluvial channeling and scouring. Carbon is present in widely varying amounts, as are uncomformable, interbedded red and green shales.

Structurally, the area is transected in a northwesterly direction by the Sagers Wash Syncline, which influences the bedding to dip approximately three degrees to the southwest.

MINERALIZATION:

Several carbonaceous, mineralized outcrops exist on the property. Most of the uranium mineralization is not megascopic, but is intimately associated with carbon and limonite. Carbonized logs and carbonaceous debris appear to have played their usual important role in localizing mineralization.

The thickness of the known mineralization varies from less than one foot to more than ten feet and radiometrically assays about .10 percent U308. Mineralized seams have continuity for distances up to 150 feet and sedimentary structures suggest a possible northwesterly trend.

High surface anomalies occur on the Lucky 1, 2, 8 and 16 claims and also on the Lucky Sue 4, 8, 9 and 11 claims.

HISTORY OF OPERATIONS:

At my recommendation, The Wilshire Capital Company leased the Lucky Claim Group and completed approximately 3,000 feet of shallow wagon-drilling behind a few of the mineralized outcrops. Approximately 40 holes averaging 150 feet in depth were drilled without significant results other than the discovery of thick favorable sands. Several drill roads were built, but drilling was suspended because of depletion of the allocated funds for exploring the area.

Recently, the Little Squaw, Lucky Sue, and Strawberry claims were leased because of their geologic merit, and it was decided to submit a DMEA drilling program application for the combined claim groups.

Six holes have been drilled behind a mineralized outcrop on the Lucky Sue 8 and 9 claims, four of which are mineralized. Lithologic logs are not available on these holes, but four are open for gamma-logging.

No ore has been produced from the property. The Numco Mining Company is reportedly producing carnotite ore from the adjoining property to the east, however, rate and grade of production is not known.

ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS:

The property is eligible for the government's $35,000 initial production bonus for the first 10,000 pounds of U308 which is produced. The property is within the government's 100 mile maximum distance for the six cents per ton

mile haulage allowance.

A 10 percent gross override is outstanding on the property, payable to the original claimants. The discovery of an average size and grade ore body has a good chance of resulting in a profitable mining venture.

Access roads and some drill sites have been constructed by the lessees and claimants, minimizing this expenditure in a future explorative venture.

The location of the property is such that mining operations can be conducted all year.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

In consideration of the geologic and economic merits of the property, I recommend that a pattern of holes on approximate 500 foot centers be drilled as shown on the accompanying map. The average depth of the holes, all designed to cut the 2nd Salt Wash rim, is estimated at 225 feet.

Dry rotary non-core drilling with a ducone dust collector is recommended as the most efficient method of completing the proposed project.

A need for core drilling is not anticipated, because cuttings can be accurately logged and used in conjunction with gamma logs to delineate favorable areas and horizons for more concentrated exploration.

Forty-two thousand dollars should be adequate to complete the 30,000 ft. exploration project, herein recommended, including road building, supervision and miscellaneous costs.

Robert L. Rock
Mining Engineer

NOV 30 1956
D.M.E.A.

MINING LEASE

THIS LEASE, made and entered into this 15th day of June, 1956, by and between NEIL A. JOHNSON, MELBA R. JOHNSON, DONALD CARNES and ALICE M. CARNER, his wife, and DELCOLO MINING COMPANY, a Colorado Corporation, Lessors, and THE WILSHIRE CAPITAL COMPANY, a Colorado Corporation, Lessee,

WITNESSETH:

In consideration of the payment of one dollar to Lessors by Lessee, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, the mutual covenants herein contained and other valuable considerations, Lessors do hereby lease to Lessee the following described unpatented mining claims situate in Grand County, Utah, the location notices of which are recorded in the Books and on the Pages set forth opposite the name of such claims on the records of the Recorder of said Grand County, Utah, to wit:

Name of Claim	Location Notices Recorded Book	Page
Lucky No. 1	44	62
Lucky No. 2	44	62
Lucky No. 3	44	63
Lucky No. 4	44	63
Lucky No. 5	44	64
Lucky No. 6	44	64
Lucky No. 7	44	65
Lucky No. 8	44	65
Lucky No. 9	44	66
Lucky No. 10	44	66
Lucky No. 11	44	67
Lucky No. 12	44	67
Lucky No. 13	44	68
Lucky No. 14	44	68
Lucky No. 15	44	69
Lucky No. 16	44	69
Lucky No. 17	44	70
Lucky No. 18	44	70

1. The term of this lease shall be for a period of five (5) years after date hereof, and as long thereafter as ore may be produced and mined from the leased claims in commercial quantities, or as long thereafter as drifting, development drilling or other work to disclose ore bodies is being diligently prosecuted. Provided, that such lease shall be subject to termination as herein provided. Lessee agrees to pay Lessors, as royalty, an amount equal to ten per cent (10%) of the gross value of all ore mined and produced from the leased claims, including amounts received by Lessee for A.E.C. or other production bonuses. Royalties shall not be computed or paid on amounts received by Lessee for development or transportation allowances. All royalties due and payable to Lessors for ores mined and produced during any calendar month shall be paid to Lessors on or before the 20th day of the next succeeding month following the month during whith ore was produced from the leased claims. A copy of this lease, properly executed by the parties hereto, shall be deemed sufficient authority for any ore buyer to pay directly to Lessors all royalties due said Lessors pursuant to the provisions hereof.

2. Lessee agrees to perform, on or before midnight of June 30, 1956, not less than $1800.00 worth of work on the leased claims. Such work shall be of a nature sufficient to constitute the annual assessment work required on said claims by the laws of the United States and the laws of the State of Utah. Further, Lessee agrees to record affidavits of such labor and to post notices on the claims, all as required by the provisions of the laws of the State of Utah relating thereto.

3. On or before ninety (90) days after date hereof Lessee agrees to complete and perform not less than 3000 feet of exploratory rotary or wagon drilling on the leased claims. All core hole sites, location and depth shall be determined by Lessee.

4. If the exploratory drilling referred to in the preceding paragraph

shall disclose ore bodies which can be profitably mined by Lessee, the Lessee agrees to diligently mine the leased claims in a manner necessary for economical mining and to mine the leased premises in a good and miner-like fashion with due regard to the development and preservation of the mine and the leased claims as a workable mining unit.

5. On the first days of January and June of each year, commencing January 1, 1957, Lessee shall render and submit to Lessors a detailed report of the work, development and Lessee's activities on the leased claims.

6. Lessee agrees to indemnify Lessors from any and all lawful claims for damages arising from any injuries or damage to any person or properties resulting from the Lessee's operation of the above described leased claims during the term of this lease.

7. Lessee will not suffer or permit any mechanic's liens, other liens or encumbrances, to be filed against the leased claims during the term of this lease, or any extensions or renewals thereof, for the non-payment of any debt or debts incurred by Lessee in connection with the operation of the leased claims. Lessee further agrees to post three notices in conspicuous places on the leased property, stating that such property is held under lease by Lessee.

8. On or before the first day of June of each year hereafter, commencing with the year 1957, Lessee agrees to perform the required annual assessment work on the leased claims and to post notices of such work on the claims and record affidavits of such assessment work, all as required by the Statutes of the State of Utah.

9. Lessors, or their duly authorized representative or agent, shall have the right to inspect the leased premises at reasonable times.

10. Lessors agree that they will, within thirty (30) days after date hereof, file amended location notices on the discovery posts of the leased

claims and record a duplicate copy of such notices in the offices of the Recorder of Grand County, Utah, which amended notices shall contain descriptions of the leased claims and other data satisfactory to Lessee.

11. Lessors warrant and represent that Alfred W. Boldt and Albert T. Fischer have no claim, right, title or interest in and to the leased claims. Lessors agree to save harmless and indemnify Lessee from any loss, liability or damage sustained by Lessee and arising from or out of any claims, demands, causes of action of any kind whatsoever of the said Alfred W. Boldt and Albert T. Fischer.

12. At any time after Lessee has performed the minimum drilling requirements as set forth in Paragraph 3 hereof, Lessee shall have the right to abandon and relinquish all of its right, title and interest in and to the leased claims, upon giving written notice of intention to abandon and relinquish to Neil A. Johnson and Donald Carnes. If Lessee serves such notice of intention to abandon and relinquish its interest in this lease and the leased claims, Lessee shall then be fully discharged and relieved from the further performance of any and all covenants, commitments and/or obligations contained herein to be performed on the part of Lessee. Upon mailing of such notice of intent to relinquish and abandon, this lease shall be fully and finally terminated and the Lessee relieved of all further obligation hereunder. Provided, that nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to relieve Lessee of the obligation to pay Lessors any royalty payments accrued and owing to Lessors at the time of abandonment by Lessee. Such notice shall be mailed to said Johnson and Carnes at the following addresses:

Neil A. Johnson, Route 1, Grand Junction, Colorado.

Donald R. Carnes, Route 1, Grand Junction, Colorado.

and such notices shall be mailed by United States Registered Mail, return receipt requested. All of the Lessors consent and agree that any notice given to said Johnson and Carnes, as provided in this paragraph, shall be con-

sidered notice to each and all of the Lessors.

13. If Lessee fails to perform any of its covenants herein contained, Lessors may terminate this lease by giving Lessee thirty (30) days' written notice addressed to Lessee at P.O. Box 1451, Grand Junction, Colorado, by United States Registered Mail, return receipt requested. Such notice shall specify the parts or provisions of this lease that Lessee is failing to perform. If Lessee fails to perform or remedy any covenants or matters then in default, within such 30-day period, this lease shall be fully terminated and Lessee shall have no further right, title or interest in and to the leased claims. In the event Lessee assigns any of its right, title or interest in and to the leased claims, or this lease agreement, the assignee under such assignment shall notify Lessors in writing of the address of such assignee to which notices provided for herein are to be mailed. In the event of such assignment, any notices required to be served upon Lessee, as provided herein, shall be served upon the named Lessee herein and any assignee or assignees of the named Lessee. Any such assignee shall, within fifteen (15) days following assignment, notify Lessors in writing of the address and name of the person to whom notices shall be mailed by Lessors.

14. Lessors expressly consent and agree that Lessee shall have the right to assign this lease, either in whole or in part, or sublet the leased premises, either in whole or in part, without consent, knowledge or agreement of Lessors.

15. The provisions hereof shall be binding on the heirs, personal representatives, successors and assigns of the parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have set their hands the day and year first above written.

ATTEST: DELCOLO MINING COMPANY

By S/Donald R. Carnes, Pres.

_____
Secretary

S/ Neil A. Johnson
Neil A. Johnson

S/ Melba R. Johnson
Melba R. Johnson

S/Donald Carnes
S/ Donald Carns
Donald Carnes

S/ Alice M. Carnes
Alice M. Carnes

THE WILSHIRE CAPITAL COMPANY

By S/ T. R. Gillenwaters
President

ATTEST:

S/ Ed Strnad
Asst. Secretary

MINING LEASE

THIS LEASE, made and entered into this 20th day of November, 1956, by and between RALPH G. LAWSON and JOSEPHINE M. LAWSON, his wife, Lessors, and THE WILSHIRE CAPITAL COMPANY, a Colorado corporation, Lessee.

WITNESSETH:

In consideration of the payment of one dollar to Lessors by Lessee, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, the mutual covenants herein contained and other valuable considerations, Lessors do hereby lease to Lessee the following described unpatented mining claims situated in Grand County, Utah, the location notices of which are recorded in the Books and on the Pages set forth opposite the name of such claims on the records of the Recorder of said Grand County, Utah, to wit:--

Name of Claim	Location Notices Recorded		Amended Location	
	Book	Page	Book	Page
Little Squaw #0	31	47		
Little Squaw #1	23	501	31	48
Little Squaw #2	23	502	31	49
Little Squaw #3	23	503	31	50
Little Squaw #4	23	504	31	51
Little Squaw #5	23	505	31	52
Little Squaw #6	23	506	31	53
Little Squaw #7	23	507	31	54
Little Squaw #8	23	508	31	55
Little Squaw #9	23	509	31	56
Little Squaw #10	23	510	31	57
Little Squaw #11	31	57 or 58		
Little Squaw #12	31	58 or 59		
Little Squaw #13	31	58 or 59		
(0-8 relocation Book 80 Pages 80-88)				
Lucky Sue #0	21	427	30	319
Lucky Sue #1	21	428	30	320
Lucky Sue #2	21	429	30	321
Lucky Sue #3	21	430	30	322
Lucky Sue #4	21	431	30	323
Lucky Sue #5	21	432	30	324
Lucky Sue #6	21	433	30	325
Lucky Sue #8	23	497	30	326
Lucky Sue #9	23	498	30	327
Lucky Sue #10	23	499	30	328
Lucky Sue #11	23	500	30	329

(Note: Distribution of page numbers may be incorrect, However, Lucky Sue #0 - #11 are recorded (amended Location) in Book 30 - Pages 319 - #332.)

Name of Claim	Location Notices Recorded Book	Page
Strawberry #1	30	318
Strawberry #2	31	46

1. The term of this lease shall be for a period of five (5) years after date hereof, and as long thereafter as ore may be produced and mined from the leased claims in commercial quantities, or as long thereafter as drifting, development drilling or other work to disclose ore bodies is being diligently prosecuted. Provided, that such lease shall be subject to termination as herein provided. Lessee agree to pay Lessors, as royalty, an amount equal to ten per cent (10%) of the gross value of all ore mined and produced from the leased claims, including amounts received by Lessee A.E.C. or other production bonuses. Royalties shall not be computed or paid on amounts received by Lessee for development or transportation allowances. All royalties due and payable to Lessors for ores mined and produced during any calendar month shall be paid to Lessors twenty days following the month during which payment for ore is made for ore produced from the leased claims. A copy of this lease, property executed by the parties hereto, shall be deemed sufficient authority for any ore buyer to pay directly to Lessors all royalties due said Lessors pursuant to the provisions hereof.

2. Lessee agrees to perform on or before midnight of May 1, 1957 $100.00 worth of assessment work on each claim or shall perform assessment work which, although not performed on a particular claim may be credited to a claim under the assessment work laws. Failure to perform the assessment work on any particular claim shall result in the termination of this lease as it relates to any such claim upon which assessment work has not been performed.

3. If lessee, as a result of exploratory work, shall disclose ore bodies which can be profitably mined by Lessee, the Lessee agrees to diligently mine the leased claims in a manner necessary for economical mining and to mine the leased premises in a good and miner-like fashion with due regard to the development and preservation of the mine and the leased claims as a workable mining unit.

4. On the first days of January and June of each year, commencing June 1, 1957, Lessee shall render and submit to Lessors a detailed report of the work, development and Lessee's activities on the leased claims.

5. Lessee agrees to indemnify Lessors from any and all lawful claims for damages arising from any injuries or damage to any person or properties resulting from the Lessee's operation of the above described leased claims during the term of this lease.

6. Lessee will not suffer or permit any mechanic's liens, other liens or encumbrances, to be filed against the leased claims during the term of this lease, or any extensions or renewals thereof, for the non-payment of any debt or debts incurred by Lessee in connection with the operation of the leased claims. Lessee further agrees to post three notices in conspicuous places on the leased property, stating that such property is held under lease by Lessee.

7. On or before the first day of May of each year hereafter, commencing with the year 1957, Lessee agrees to perform the required annual assessment work on the leased claims and to post notices of such work on the claims and record affidavits of such assessment work, all as required by the statutes of the State of Utah, or this lease shall terminate as provided in Paragraph #2 hereunder.

8. Lessors, or their duly authorized representative or agent, shall have the right to inspect the leased premises at reasonable times.

9. Lessors warrant and represent that Alfred W. Boldt and Albert T. Fischer have no claim, right, title or interest in and to the leased claims.

Lessors agree to save and indemnify Lessee from any loss, liability or damage sustained by Lessee and arising from or out of any claims, demands, causes of action of any kind whatsoever of the said Alfred W. Boldt and Albert T. Fisher.

10. At any time Lessee shall have the right to abandon and relinquish all of its right, title and interest in and to the leased claims, upon giving written notice of intention to abandon and relinquish to Ralph G. Lawson and Josephine M. Lawson. If Lessee serves such notice of intention to abandon and relinquish its interest in this lease and the leased claim, Lessee shall then be fully discharged and relieved from the further performance of any and all covenants, commitments and/or obligations contained herein to be performed on the part of Lessee. Upon mailing of such notice of intent to relinquish and abandon, this lease shall be fully and finally terminated and the Lessee relieved of all further obligations hereunder. Provided, that nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to relieve Lessee of the obligation to pay Lessors any royalty payments accrued and owing to Lessors at the time of abandonment by Lessee. Such notice shall be mailed to said Lawsons at the following address:

> Ralph G. and Josephine M. Lawson, 761 - 23 1/2 Road, Grand Junction, Colorado,

and such notice shall be mailed by United States Registered Mail, return receipt requested.

11. If Lessee fails to perform any of its covenants herein contained, Lessors may terminate this lease by giving Lessee thirty (30) days' written notice addressed to Lessee at P.O. Box 1451, Grand Junction, Colorado, by United States Registered Mail, return receipt requested. Such notice shall specify the parts or provisions of this lease that Lessee is failing to perform. If Lessee fails to perform or rememdy any covenants or matters then in default,

within such 30-day period, this lease shall be fully terminated and Lessee shall have no further right, title or interest in and to the leased claims. In the event Lessee assigns any of its right, title or interest in and to the leased claims, or this lease agreement, the assignee under such assignment shall notify Lessors in writing of the address of such assignee to which noticed provided for herein are to be mailed. In the event of such assignment, any notices required to be served upon Lessee, as provided herein, shall be served upon the named Lessee herein and any assignee or assignees of the named Lessee. Any such assignee shall, within fifteen (15) days following assignment, notify Lessors in writing of the address and name of the person to whom notices shall be mailed by Lessors.

12. Lessors expressly consent and agree that Lessee shall have the right to assign this lease, either in whole or in part, or sublet the leased premises, either in whole or in part, without consent, knowledge or agreement of Lessors.

13. The provisions hereof shall be binding on the heirs, personal representatives, successors and assigns of the parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have set their hands the day and year first above written.

S/ Ralph G. Lawson
Ralph G. Lawson

S/ Josephine M. Lawson
Josephine M. Lawson

ATTEST:

S/ Ed Strnad
AsstSecretary

THE WILSHIRE CAPITAL CO.

By S/ T. R. Gillenwaters
President

MODIFICATION OF LEASE AGREEMENT

THIS MODIFICATION OF LEASE AGREEMENT which was entered into at Grand Junction, Colorado on the 23rd day of November, 1956 by and between NEIL A. JOHNSON, MELBA R. JOHNSON, his wife, DONALD CARNES ALICE M. CARNES, his wife, and DELCOLO MINING COMPANY, a Colorado corporation, Lessors, and THE WILSHIRE CAPITAL COMPANY, a Colorado corporation, Lessee.

RECITALS

1. The parties to this agreement have previously entered into a lease agreement dated 15 day of June, 1956.

2. Lessee has performed certain obligations under that lease agreement.

3. The parties hereto wish to amend paragraphs #1, 2, and 8 of the lease agreement previously entered into.

AGREEMENT

The parties, in consideration of the mutual covenants herein set forth and the payment of $1.00 by lessee to lessor, the receipt in sufficiency is hereby acknowledged by each of the parties, the parties agree as follows:

1. Lessors acknowledge that lessee has performed the obligation set forth in Paragraphs #3 and #10 of the lease agreement previously entered into by the parties.

2. Paragraph #1 is amended by agreeing that royalty payments shall be due and payable on or before the 20th day of the next succeeding month following the month during which the purchaser of ores produced from the property pays for such ores, rather than on or before the 20th day of the month next succeeding the month in which ores are produced from the properties.

3. The parties agree that Paragraph #2 of the lease agreement previously entered into between the parties shall be amended as follows:

Paragraph #2 as it did exist in the lease agreement shall be

deleted in its entirety and in substitution therefore the following is inserted:

Lessee agrees to perform on or before midnight of May 1, 1957 $100.00 worth of assessment work on each claim or shall perform assessment work which, although not performed on a particular claim, may be credited to a claim under the assessment work laws. Failure to perform the assessment work on any particular claim shall result in the termination of this lease as it relates to any such claim upon which assessment work has not been performed.

4. Paragraph #8 is amended by substituting the date of May 1 in lieu of June 1, which did appear in the first sentence of that paragraph.

The parties hereto stipulate and agree that the date on which the original lease was entered into was June 15, 1956.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have set their hands the day and year first above written.

ATTEST:

_____
Secretary

DELCOLO MINING COMPANY

By_____

_____
Neil A. Johnson

_____
Melba R. Johnson

_____
Donald Carnes

_____
Alice M. Carnes

ATTEST:

_____
Secretary

THE WILSHIRE CAPITAL COMPANY

By_____



LEGEND

Scale 1" = 800'

Lucky, Lucky Sue, Little Squaw, and Strawberry Claims

Jane Claims - Conflicting CLAIMS

SCARF MESA Grand County, Utah

T 22 & 23S, R 24 & 25E, Secs 1, 6, 12, 7, 31 SLPM.

o Wilshire Capital Company Wagon Drilling and Other Prior Drilling

▬ Mineralized Outcrop or Anomaly

-·- Approx Outcrop 3rd Salt Wash

x Proposed DMEA Drilling

Wilshire Capital Co.
Box 1451
Grand Jct, Colo.