OME Field Team, Reg. III
(2)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
OFFICE OF MINERALS EXPLORATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

SEP 1 1959

Mr. John M. Calderwood
825 So. 10th East St.
Salt Lake City 2, Utah

Reg III

Re: Docket No. OME-6063 (Uranium)
John M. Calderwood
Valley View, et al., Claims
Grand and Emery Counties, Utah

Dear Mr. Calderwood:

Your application for aid in an exploration project and other information available to us in Washington concerning the above-named mining claims have been reviewed.

Your prior applications for aid under Docket Nos. DMEA-2703 and DMEA-4916 to explore the same groups of claims in the Green River, Thompson, and Yellow Cat areas were denied by the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration on January 29, 1953, and April 23, 1958, respectively. The latter was based on a field examination of the claims as well as information from other sources relating to the favorability of the areas for uranium deposition.

The subject application does not contain any additional information on the claims that might alter our opinion concerning their uranium potential. We regret to advise you that, under these circumstances, your application for exploration assistance is denied.

As noted in Mr. Townsend's letter of August 20, 1959, to you, the subject application implies that part of the proposed work is for the purpose of satisfying assessment requirements. For your information this Agency does not participate in projects designed for such a purpose. Also, in the event the Government approves an exploration contract, it does not advance its share of the cost of the work performed. The operator pays for the work and then submits the cost vouchers to the Government for review and approval. Hence, the operator should have sufficient funds to cover all costs for the first two months as it usually takes the Government two or three weeks after the vouchers are received to approve the costs and reimburse the operator. Thereafter, normally, reimbursement is received by the operator at monthly intervals.

OME
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
SEP 4 1959
REGION III
DENVER, COLORADO

As requested, the letter and map by Paul R. Moody are returned. Also, we have copies of the map showing the three claim groups in our files; therefore, we are returning the one submitted with the subject application.

Sincerely yours,

George C. Selfridge

Acting Director

Enclosures

FMMurphy/GMcC
August 28, 1959

cc: Docket
Director's Reading File
Operating Committee
OME Field Team, Region III (2), with 2 copies each of USBM memo dated August 25, 1959, and USGS memo dated August 26, 1959.
J. Paone, USBM
Harold Kirkemo, USGS
F. M. Murphy
Chron.

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

August 26, 1959
Re: OME 6063 (Uranium)
Calderwood Properties
John M. Calderwood
Grand & Emery Cos., Utah

Memorandum

To: W. R. Griswold, Office of Minerals Exploration

From: Harold Kirkemo, U. S. Geological Survey

Subject: Review of application for exploration assistance

The Applicant proposes a program of drifting, drilling, and bulldozing to discover uranium ore on three widely separated groups of claims in the Green River and Thompson areas, Utah. The Government's share of expenses for this project is estimated to be $3,000.00.

The compelling reason for the work is to satisfy requirements for assessment work by September 1, 1959. The Field Team has advised the Applicant to make other arrangements for financing his assessment work.

Two applications (DMEA 2703 and 4916) for DMEA assistance on these claims were denied for geologic reasons after field examinations and review of all available information. No additional geologic information is presented in the OME application. The Applicant describes some work he has done on these claims but it is not clear whether this was done since the DMEA examinations were made. A foot or two of additional depth in trenches, drill holes without cuttings, core, or logs available for reference, and no map showing this work contributes nothing to the information which supported recommendations for denial of previous applications.

I recommend that the application be denied.

Harold Kirkemo

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
O. M. E.
RECEIVED AUG 26 1959

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
8/26		

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
Washington 25, D. C.

Date August 25, 1959
DMEA Docket No. 6063
DMEA Contract No.
Commodity Uranium
Name of Applicant John M. Calderwood
Location of property Grand & Emery County, Utah
Estimated cost of project $3000.00

Memorandum

To: W. R. Griswold, Chief, OME
Division of Minerals
From: James Paone, Bureau of Mines Member
Uranium Commodity Committee
Subject: Review of Application
(Applications, Field Team Report, etc.)

1. Date of subject document: _____. 2. Date received by reviewer: _____.

3. Prior reports on this property known to reviewer: Yes ☐ No ☐

4. Prior reports on nearby properties known to reviewer: Yes ☐ No ☐

5. Information available on past production: Yes ☐ No ☐

6. Other pertinent factors known to reviewer: Yes ☐ No ☐

7. Explain items marked 'yes' above:

8. Factors needing special consideration:

a. Location ☐
b. Ownership ☐
c. Evidence of mineralization ☐
d. Geology ☐
e. Proposed exploration method ☐
f. Alternate exploration method ☐
g. Costs
h. _____ ☐

9. Action advised:

a. Obtain information from applicant ☐
b. Refer to Field Team ☐
c. Denial ☐
d. Agree with Field Team ☐
e. Disagree with Field Team ☐
f. Suggest alternate plan ☐
g. Request another examination ☐
h. Approval ☐
i. Consult with Mr. _____ at _____ AEC Office.

10. Remarks: (Use back of page if necessary). OME 6063 is the third application on the same properties. DMEA 2703 and DMEA-4916 ended in denial of application because of poor chances for discovery, lack of targets, and insufficient geologic justification. The field examiners have visited the properties and recommended denial. It does not appear that new evidence has been submitted in this application that would alter previous recommendations of the field examiners. Denial recommended.