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Abstract

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has compiled Part B of the Energy Map of Southwestern Wyoming for the Wyoming Landscape Conservation Initiative (WLCI). Part B consists of oil and gas, oil shale, uranium, and solar energy resource information in support of the WLCI. The WLCI represents the USGS partnership with other Department of the Interior Bureaus, State and local agencies, industry, academia, and private landowners, all of whom collaborate to maintain healthy landscapes, sustain wildlife, and preserve recreational and grazing uses while developing energy resources in southwestern Wyoming. This product is the second and final part of the Energy Map of Southwestern Wyoming series (also see USGS Data Series 683, http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/683/), and encompasses all of Carbon, Lincoln, Sublette, Sweetwater, and Uinta Counties, as well as areas in Fremont County that are in the Great Divide and Green River Basins.

Introduction

To further advance the objectives of the Wyoming Landscape Conservation Initiative (WLCI), the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has compiled Part B of the Energy Map of Southwestern Wyoming, to include oil and gas, oil shale, uranium, and solar resource potential. Part A (USGS Data Series 683, http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/683/) focused primarily on the electrical power sources of coal and wind (Biewick and Jones, 2012).

Although much of the material presented in this report is based on published data, the information was never assembled in one place or presented in a way that relates various energy resource commodities, infrastructure, and restrictions or impediments to resources development. Parts A and B of the Energy Map of Southwestern Wyoming provide energy resources data assembled in a Geographic Information System (GIS) data package. Part B includes: (1) USGS oil and gas assessment units (AUs) that define areas of undiscovered technically recoverable oil and natural gas (table 1); (2) uranium deposits and related features (Wilson, in press); (3) infrastructure associated with oil and gas exploration, production and development (De Bruin, 2007); (4) proposed corridors for pipelines and electricity transmission and distribution facilities (U.S. Department of Energy and others, 2010); (5) USGS in-place oil shale resource assessment data (Brownfield and others, 2008; Dyni and others, 2008; Johnson, Mercier, and Brownfield, 2011; Johnson, Mercier, Ryder and others, 2011; Mercier and others, 2011; U.S. Geological Survey Oil Shale Assessment Team, 2011; and Birdwell and others, 2013); (6) the extent and nature of restrictions or impediments to oil and gas resources development (U.S. Departments of the Interior, Agriculture, and Energy, 2006); and (7) an oil and gas wells geodatabase.

In addition, the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) oil and gas sale parcels, and leasing and unit agreements (BLM, 2010); solar resources (U.S. Department of Energy, National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), 2010); ArcGIS Online services (Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. (Esri), 2010) and other base layers are embedded in the energy map (pl. 1). Energy maps, data, documentation and spatial data processing capabilities are available in a geodatabase (Esri, 2012), published map file (a PMF) (Esri, 2008a); ArcMap document (an MXD), (Esri, 2000); Adobe Acrobat PDF map (Adobe Systems Incorporated, 2010) and other digital products that can be downloaded at the USGS website (http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ds843). Users can compare the locations of the largest gas fields (from De Bruin, 2007; Wyoming Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (WOGCC, 2012) with associated processing and energy transport corridors (from De Bruin, 2007); and view relationships with the USGS estimates of undiscovered technically recoverable oil and natural gas resources (from USGS Southwestern Wyoming Province Assessment Team, 2002; USGS Wyoming Thrust Belt Assessment Team, 2004; Dyman and others, 2005). Users can also view the nature and extent of development limitations on these resources (from U.S. Departments of the Interior, Agriculture, and Energy, 2006).

Accompanying the energy map, Part B (pl. 1) and the geospatial data are three additional plates describing the geology and energy resources. These plates include production information for the largest oil and gas fields (pl. 2), geologic information regarding the oil and gas assessment units (pl. 3), and uranium resources material (pl. 4). Hyperlinks embedded in plates 2 through 4 associate the information to source publications and data on the Internet. The plates can be printed, or accessed digitally as Adobe PDF files, or viewed in Excel (Microsoft, 2007), where frozen panes retain headings in large
Oil and Gas

The Laramide sedimentary basins in Wyoming contain some of the largest fossil fuel accumulations in the United States (U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), 2010; fig. 1).

Records indicate that oil seeps were discovered in western Wyoming at the time of the great westward migration in the mid-1800s. Oil production began in the 1880s, and fields were developed in major basins of Wyoming (WSGS, 2011). The Wyoming gas industry developed more slowly (De Bruin, 2001), and it was not until 1955 that yearly natural gas production in Wyoming topped 100 billion cubic feet of natural gas (BCFG). The 500 BCFG production milestone was not reached until 1983, whereas the 1 trillion cubic feet (TCFG) benchmark was reached rather quickly in 1992, due in large part to major discoveries in the western Wyoming thrust belt. Southwestern Wyoming has 15 of the Nation’s 100 largest oil and gas fields, including Pinedale (third) and Jonah (seventh) natural gas fields (EIA, 2009a). In 2010, Wyoming ranked second (only to Texas) in marketed natural gas production of 2.3 TCFG (EIA, 2011a). Wyoming ranked second for total domestic energy production of 10,337 trillion Btu in 2009 (EIA, 2011a). The Btu (British thermal unit) is the amount of heat needed to raise the temperature of 1 pound of water by 1 degree Fahrenheit. The Btu is a convenient measure by which to compare the energy content of various fuels.

In southwestern Wyoming, oil and natural gas are produced from reservoirs ranging in age from Precambrian (Lost Soldier) to Eocene (Big Piney), and only excludes the Silurian period (De Bruin, 2007) (fig. 2). Some of the largest gas producing fields in the WLCI area, based on cumulative gas production values as of Oct. 3, 2012 (WOGCC, 2012) include:

1. Jonah field (3.74 TCFG)
2. Pinedale field (3.66 TCFG)
3. Wamsutter area basin-centered gas fields (eastern Sweetwater County and western Carbon County)
4. La Barge platform and Moxa arch gas fields (western Sweetwater County, eastern Lincoln and Uinta Counties, and southwestern Sublette County)

By comparison, cumulative production from the largest gas basin in Wyoming, the Powder River Basin (PRB), is 5 TCF of coalbed gas (WOGCC, 2012).

Although the southwest Wyoming area is primarily a natural gas province, significant oil reserves are also found (De Bruin, 2007). Based on cumulative oil production as of Oct. 3, 2012 (WOGCC, 2012), the largest oil fields, include those shown in fig. 3.
Figure 1. Laramide sedimentary and structural basins and intervening uplifts in the Rocky Mountain region extending from southern Montana to northern New Mexico. Modified from Dickinson and others (1988).
Figure 2. Map of southwestern Wyoming showing the location of large cumulative production gas fields in the WLCI, as of Oct. 3, 2012 (WOGCC, 2012).
Figure 3. Map of southwestern Wyoming showing the location of large cumulative-production oil fields in the WLCI, as of Oct. 3, 2012 (WOGCC, 2012).
Figure 4. Map of southwestern Wyoming and surrounding areas showing the location of the WLCI study area in relation to three recently assessed USGS oil and gas provinces and their assigned province numbers (in parenthesis).
The primary source rocks in the study area range in age from the Permian Phosphoria Formation (271 Ma) to the Eocene Green River Formation (52.5 to 47.5 Ma). They include: (1) black marine shale of the Lower Permian Phosphoria Formation; (2) coaly and lacustrine facies of the Bear River and Frontier Formations and Dakota Sandstone; (3) marine shale units of the Cretaceous Mowry and Thermopolis Shales; (4) thick shale beds of the Hilliard and Baxter Shales; (5) clay-rich marine shale and calcareous-rich zones in the Niobrara Formation; (6) coal within the Mesaverde Group; (7) marine mudrock in the Lewis Shale; (8) coal and carbonaceous shale in the Upper Cretaceous Lance and Paleocene Fort Union Formations; and (9) shale and coal in the (mostly) Eocene Wasatch and Green River Formations (fig. 5). Productive reservoirs span a variety of geologic depositional environments including: fluvial, tidal, deltaic, and shoreface sandstone reservoirs; fractured, calcareous shale, limestone, and marl; and turbidites (USGS Southwestern Wyoming Province Assessment Team, 2005a; pl. 3).

The pace of domestic shale-gas research, exploration, and development has increased across the country in recent years. The Wyoming State Geological Survey is carrying out shale-gas investigations on the Upper Cretaceous Mowry Shale, a major source rock in the northern Rocky Mountain region. Extensively distributed throughout Wyoming’s Laramide basins, the Mowry Shale and its equivalents consists of organic-rich, fine-grained siliciclastic rocks and has considerable potential to produce gas (Surdam and others, 2010). Seventy-five miles south of Rock Springs, in the Vermillion Basin of the Greater Green River Basin, Questar Corp. announced a 9-MMCF-per-day well producing from another shale play that overlies the Mowry Shale: the Baxter Shale and the Frontier Formation (Brown, 2007). The middle member of the Baxter Shale appears to be the major contributor to production; however, other intervals also contribute (Hill and others, 2008).

Energy Corridor

Wyoming, with its vast resources and production, is a transportation crossroads for Canadian crude oil imports and local Rocky Mountain production flowing to U.S. Midwest and surrounding markets (EIA, 2010). A major pipeline corridor extending across the WLCI area and roughly parallel to U.S. Interstate 80 transports the majority of Wyoming’s gas supply from large fields to local and regional markets, as well as to California. Mapped pipelines, oil refineries, and natural gas processing plants shown in this report originated from the oil and gas map of Wyoming (De Bruin, 2007). Oil production from this area is processed both locally, at the refinery in Sinclair, and in out-of-state facilities (De Bruin, 2007). As a result of increasing production, there are proposals to expand the energy corridor. One such proposal is the west-wide energy corridor.

The proposed location of the southwestern Wyoming portion of the west-wide energy corridor is included in this report and derived from the U.S. Department of Energy’s online information center (http://corridoreis.anl.gov/eis/fmap/index.cfm).


This act requires a scientific inventory of onshore Federal lands’ oil and gas resources and the extent and nature of restrictions or impediments to their development. Phase II cumulative inventory consists of: northern Alaska, Montana thrust belt, Powder River Basin, Wyoming thrust belt, Greater Green River Basin, Denver Basin, Uinta-Piceance Basin, Paradox/San Juan Basins, Appalachian Basin, Black Warrior Basin, and the Florida peninsula (BLM, 2006, http://www.blm.gov/epca/). To complete the designation of Section 368 energy corridors, the Federal agencies prepared a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) to analyze the effects of designated energy corridors on Federal lands in 11 western states and to incorporate the designations into relevant land use and resource management plans. There was public interaction and comments on the draft PEIS, and the final PEIS includes many changes and revisions based on comments received (U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and others, 2010). The geographic information system (GIS) data used to carry out analysis, provide visualization products, generate statistics, support corridor siting, and produce the Map Atlas are from the U.S. Department of Energy and others (2010). Each of these components is included in this report. The data were critical in developing an integrated assessment of energy resources and ecosystems at a landscape level in southwestern Wyoming.

Oil Shale

The Piceance, Uinta, and Greater Green River Basins in Colorado, Wyoming, and Utah, contain the largest known oil shale deposits in the world, in the Eocene Green River Formation. Wyoming’s oil shale deposits are concentrated in the southwestern part of the state in the Green River and Washakie Basins, and are generally lower-grade and less-favorable deposits for commercial extraction than those in Utah and Colorado (fig. 6).

The USGS completed an assessment of the in-place oil shale resources in the Eocene Green River Formation of the Greater Green River Basin in southwestern Wyoming, northwestern Colorado, and northeastern Utah (Johnson, Mercier, and Brownfield, 2011; Johnson, Mercier, Ryder, and others, 2011). Total in-place resources are estimated at about 1.45 trillion barrels of oil (TBO) divided among three assessed units. Using GIS technology, several derivative products utilizing the 1.45 trillion barrel resource calculations were generated. One product merged the oil shale resource data with BLM surface and subsurface ownership data (BLM, 2011). An estimated 906 billion
<table>
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</tr>
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<td>Paleocene</td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSISSIPPIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVONIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SILURIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORDOVICIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMBRIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Generalized stratigraphic column for southwestern Wyoming. Modified from USGS Southwestern Wyoming Province Assessment Team (2005a).
Figure 6. Map of the Green River Formation oil-shale bearing rocks in the Piceance, Uinta, and Greater Green River Basins (from Johnson, Mercier, Ryder, and others, 2011).
barrels (62 percent) of in-place oil shale resources are Federally owned (Mercier and others, 2011). No attempt was made to estimate the amount of oil that is technically or economically recoverable because it requires temperatures of 280 to 400 °C or more to extract the oil from an oil shale. Currently, there is no economic method to extract oil from the Green River Formation (Johnson and others, 2009). Theoretical estimates project that a ton of oil shale on average may produce about one-third the energy of a ton of coal, and there are environmental problems because oil shale operations require large amounts of water and may release arsenic, selenium, boron, copper, molybdenum, and barium compounds contained in the Green River Formation oil shale (Johnson and others, 2009).

In addition to the oil shale assessment, the USGS maintains a collection of oil shale information, including geophysical and lithologic logs, water data, chemical and X-ray diffraction analyses on the Green River oil shale deposits in Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming. Because of the increased interest in oil shale, a CD–ROM containing Fischer assay data and oil-yield histograms for the Green River oil shale deposits in southwestern Wyoming was released to the public (Brownfield and others, 2008, available at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2008/1152/). The supporting data are extensive (75.2 megabytes), and include information on 971 core holes and rotary-drilled boreholes and numerous surface sections. The GIS data published in this report include oil shale drill cores and rotary cuttings, structure and overburden isopach maps, and resource assessment results in geospatial data formats created by the USGS Oil Shale Assessment Team (U.S. Geological Survey Oil Shale Assessment Team, 2011).

### Uranium

Wyoming has been the Nation’s leading producer of uranium ore since 1995 and has the largest uranium reserves of any state (Wyoming State Geological Survey, 2012b). Uranium mining in Wyoming began in the early 1950s, and peaked in 1979–1980. Since 1991, all of the state’s production has been from in-situ recovery (ISR) (Wyoming State Geological Survey, 2012b). Estimates of total statewide cumulative uranium production are on the order of 91,000 tonnes of uranium oxide (UO₂), a milled uranium ore often called “yellow cake,” and remaining resources are estimated at about 165,000 tonnes of UO₂ (Boberg, 2010); or about twice what has already been produced. Total pre-mining endowment statewide would, therefore, be approximately 256,000 tonnes of UO₂. These values do not include “undiscovered” resources. A quantitative resource assessment of the undiscovered uranium in the WLCI area is beyond the scope of this study.

In 1979, there were 17 operating uranium mines in Wyoming and about 25 planned for development (WSGS, 2012b). Prices and demand for uranium dropped sharply in response to the Three Mile Island incident in Pennsylvania in 1979 and again in 1986, presumably in response to the Chernobyl disaster in the Ukraine (in the former Soviet Union). Since 1991, all the uranium production in the state has been from the Smith Ranch–Highland ISR in the Powder River Basin (WSGS, 2012b), more than 50 km (30 mi) northeast of the WLCI study area. As of 2012, there were no producing uranium mines in the WLCI area.

Renewed demand for uranium in the last few years has resulted in increased exploration and development in several areas in and immediately adjacent to the WLCI. Uranium mineralized areas (fig. 7) at Ketchum Buttes, Poison Basin, Shirley Basin, and Great Divide Basin are nearly all within the WLCI, and the southern end of the Crooks Gap–Green Mountain overlaps the northern margin of WLCI.

Most of Wyoming’s uranium deposits are in Paleocene and Eocene arkoses and sandstones in Tertiary-aged basins. The roll-front-type uranium deposits were formed when groundwater migrated through the porous and permeable sedimentary rocks (mostly sandstone, arkose, and conglomerate). The groundwater leached uranium from source rocks (such as Precambrian igneous or metamorphic basement rocks, or Paleozoic or Cenozoic volcanic ash-fall deposits) in an oxygenated environment and re-deposited it in a reducing environment (WSGS, 2012a).

In the WLCI study area, most of the uranium deposits are in sedimentary basins; all except Poison Basin and Ketchum Buttes are roll-front types in Eocene (55–34 Ma) sediments. The uranium in Poison Basin is a tabular deposit in younger, Miocene (23–5 Ma) sediments (Dahlkamp, 2010). Host rocks are the Tertiary North Park Formation in Ketchum Buttes; Oligocene and Miocene Browns Park Formation in Poison Basin; Eocene Wind River Formation in Shirley Basin; and the interfinger Eocene Wasatch and Battle Spring Formations in Crooks Gap–Green Mountain and Great Divide Basins (Dahlkamp, 2010). The Battle Spring Formation is temporally equivalent to the Wind River Formation (Boberg, 2010, p.658). The North Park Formation is considered to be equivalent to the upper part of the Browns Park Formation (Gregory and others, 2010).

The USGS maintains the Mineral Resources Database (MRDS, http://mrdata.usgs.gov/mrds/) of mineral districts, deposits, mines, prospects, and mineral occurrences (U.S. Geological Survey, 2012). Site visits to each of the uranium areas in the WLCI over several summers (2008–2010) confirms that most of the sites included in the MRDS database have been abandoned for many decades, and the vast majority of the sites were never developed (A.B. Wilson, USGS, unpublished field notes, 2008–2010). At Ketchum Buttes there was minimal evidence of activity, past or present, other than a small long-abandoned pit. Several small abandoned mine sites and tailings piles were evident in Poison Basin. Activity in Shirley Basin and Crooks Gap–Green Mountain areas is currently limited to reclamation, although there were large operating mines there in the past.

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (U.S.NRC) has issued permits for uranium recovery sites at Lost Creek (ISR) and Sweetwater (conventional uranium mine) (U.S.NRC, 2012). Additional information about the Lost Creek project can be found on the BLM website (BLM, 2012a).
Figure 7. Map of uranium mineralized areas within the WLCI study area (from Wilson, in press).
Reviews of the JAB and Antelope projects in the north-eastern part of Great Divide Basin submitted in 2008 are deferred (U.S.NRC, 2012a) as is the proposed project in Bison Basin (West Alkali Creek, U.S.NRC, 2012b). Lost Soldier, submitted to the U.S.NRC as a satellite to the Lost Creek project, seems to be inactive as well (U.S.NRC, 2012c).


In addition to these recent projects, exploration activity through time can be assessed by viewing claim data (Wilson, in press). These data are a subset of the compilation by Causey (2007, rev. 2011) and are included in the interactive map portion of this publication. In the eastern part of Great Divide Basin there were many claims in 1976, but very few by 1985 due to price collapses (as a result of the Three Mile Island and Chernobyl incidents). There have been slight increases in activity since 2005 due to advances in in-situ recovery technology.

Solar

In the United States there has been an impetus to increase power generation from renewable resources, and recent growth in solar energy has been strong. The EIA data show increasing power generation from solar facilities (of at least 1 megawatt capacity) over the last three years grew by 111 percent in the United States. Generation from distributed solar facilities smaller than 1 megawatt has also increased rapidly. However, coal, natural gas, and nuclear remain the three biggest power generation sources at about 43 percent, 24 percent, and 19 percent, respectively (EIA, 2011).


Solar resource map products generated by the SRRL provide visual presentations of the solar resource characteristics and can be used to identify areas optimal for solar resource potential within the WLCl study area (fig. 8). The solar data layer included in the interactive map provides monthly and annual averages of daily total solar resource over surface cells of 0.1 degrees in both latitude and longitude, or about 10 km in size (NREL, 2010).

The solar resource potential model uses hourly radiance images from geostationary weather satellites, daily snow cover data, and monthly averages of atmospheric water vapor, trace gases, and the amount of aerosols in the atmosphere to calculate the hourly total insolation (sun and sky) falling on a horizontal surface (NREL, 2010). More detailed information is included in the metadata document describing the solar data in this publication.

Geographic Information System (GIS) Layer Information

The emphasis of this report is the GIS data package. Part B of the Energy Map of Southwestern Wyoming (pl. 1) incorporates many energy resource-related features for analysis and display in the form of maps, reports and charts. Plate 1 was created directly from the ArcMap project (Esri, 2000), that consequently contains a number of additional layers not shown on the hard-copy map. Each of the layers included in the ArcMap project are described in table 1 with hyperlinks to source data information websites. Not described are base geographic data and cartography, including county lines, lakes and rivers, and map annotations (largely from Gregory and others, 2010). Further instructions for accessing the “interactive” map are included in the next section, “Downloadable Data and the Interactive Map.”

Downloadable Data and the Interactive Map

Part B of the Energy Map of Southwestern Wyoming is available as a GIS map project in both ArcMap (MXD) and ArcReader (PMF) formats, and can be downloaded at http://dx.doi.org.10.3133/ds843. The map project enables visualization and analysis of energy resources data in ways that reveal relationships, patterns, and trends more effectively than with the static PDF map. The GIS map publishing process uses the ArcMap document (MXD) to create a formatted file called a published map file (PMF). ArcGIS Publisher (Esri, 2008a) generates the PMF from the MXD, and packages the included data with the PMF file for easy distribution. PMFs can be used to view, navigate, or print GIS maps using any ArcGIS (Esri, 2000) desktop product, including ArcMap and the free ArcReader (Esri, 2008b) application. Access to the MXD requires ArcGIS 10 or later software (Esri, 2000).

For the previously published data, the accompanying metadata have been retained as published. The difference between the original published data and the version included here is that, other than the oil and gas assessment units (AU), the features have been clipped to the WLCl boundary. Although they appear clipped to the WLCl boundary on the map, each of the AU area extents in their entirety are included in the geodatabase.
Figure 8. Map showing direct-normal solar resource estimates for Wyoming (modified from U.S. Department of Energy, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2007). SUNY; State University of New York; NREL, National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

All data are stored in a file-based geodatabase (WLCI_EnergyMapB.gdb) using the World Geodetic System (WGS) 1984 projection, a standard projection for distributing geospatial data. For the ArcGIS.com web services (Esri, 2009), data descriptions, sources, and credits are stored as layer properties.

Summary

This report and GIS project assemble and organize oil and gas, oil shale, uranium, and solar data to assess what is known about southwestern Wyoming’s energy resources. It also archives and disseminates this information to collaborators and the public, and provides an online resource for ongoing and future WLCI research. An integrated assessment (IA) methodology was initiated to synthesize what has been learned about WLCI systems to date, and to develop associated decision tools, maps, and a comprehensive report (Bowen and others, 2010). To advance the objectives of the USGS and the WLCI, the Energy Map of Southwestern Wyoming, Part B, represents decades of research by the USGS, WSGS, and others, and can facilitate landscape-level science assessments, and informed resource management decisions. Energy maps, data, documentation and spatial data processing capabilities for this report are available at http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/843 and http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ds843.
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