Monitoring the Recovery of the Sparta Aquifer in Southern Arkansas and Northern Louisiana

The Sparta aquifer supplies the majority of water for industrial, municipal, and agricultural uses in Union County, Arkansas, and the surrounding area. In Union County, the Sparta aquifer has been used increasingly since development began in the early 1920’s, resulting in water-level declines of more than 360 feet (ft) in some areas. In addition, water quality of the Sparta aquifer has degraded in some areas as usage has increased. In response to the declining water levels and degraded water quality, the Arkansas Natural Resources Commission designated the Sparta aquifer as a “Critical Ground-Water Area” in five counties of southern Arkansas in 1996. Groundwater flow models developed by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) indicate that withdrawals from the Sparta aquifer in Union County must be reduced to 28 percent of 1997 withdrawals (about 6 million gallons per day (Mgal/d)) to maintain water levels at or above the top of the Sparta Sand (Hays, 2000).

Background

In 1999, Union County stakeholders petitioned the Governor to appoint a water conservation board, which was given taxing authority and began educating the public about declining ground-water levels. To prevent further water-level declines in the Sparta aquifer, stakeholders initiated conservation and reuse of ground water and tapped a surface-water supply as an alternative source for certain industrial uses. A pumping station and pipeline were built in 2004 to supply 10 Mgal/d from the Ouachita River to industrial users. By reducing ground-water withdrawals, water levels are expected to recover and water quality is expected to improve or stabilize as water use shifts away from the Sparta aquifer.

With support from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Union County Water Conservation Board (UCWCB) implemented a study in 2003 with the USGS, Union County Conservation District (UCCD), and Burns & McDonnell to monitor the impact of the conservation and alternative water efforts on the water-level and water-quality characteristics of the Sparta aquifer system (Yeatts, 2004).

Study Objectives

The objectives of the study are to:

- Provide real-time and continuous recorder water-level data on the internet from a network of wells (figs. 1-2) to document water-level changes within the Sparta aquifer.
- Conduct semi-annual water-quality sampling from a network of wells withdrawing water from the Sparta aquifer to detect changes in specific conductance and chloride concentration.

The purpose of this report is to briefly summarize the ground-water data collection network of the USGS and the UCWCB, and present the USGS real-time water-level and water-quality information collected from July 2003-March 2006 in the study area of southern Arkansas and northern Louisiana.
Ground-Water Level Monitoring

Real-time water-level monitoring is conducted by the USGS in eight wells (fig. 2). Continuous recorder water-level monitoring is conducted by the UCCD in nine wells (not shown on fig. 2). Ground-water level monitor wells were selected from existing wells or drilled in and around Union County to form a ground-water level monitoring network.

One of the criteria to be designated as a Critical Ground-Water Area in Arkansas is that water levels are below the top of the formation for an aquifer in a confined system. Water levels for seven of the eight real-time sites still show that the Sparta aquifer in the Union County, Arkansas, area continues to meet Critical Ground-Water Area criteria. As of March 2006, water levels in the Sparta aquifer range from 62.5 ft above the top of the Sparta Sand to 96 ft below the top of the Sparta Sand (fig. 2).

Water levels have risen 4 to 28 ft (as of March 2006) in seven of the eight real-time wells since monitoring began in the summer of 2003 (fig. 3). Water levels at the eighth well (Spencer) have neither increased nor decreased. In the winter of 2004, Ouachita River water was supplied to two major industrial users of ground water. From this implementation of surface water, ground-water usage decreased, and water levels increased as much as 28 ft in the Monsanto well and as much as 15 ft in the Airport well.

The internet-based real-time water-level data and other data allow citizens and officials to quickly assess the changing water levels. The data can be accessed at:

Real-time water-level monitoring-
http://ar.water.usgs.gov/gw_choice.html

Continuous recorder water-level monitoring-
http://www.ucweb.org

Figure 2. Location of real-time water-level and water-quality monitoring sites, and the difference between the altitude of the Sparta Sand top and the water level for the U.S. Geological Survey real-time water-level monitoring sites.
Water-Quality Monitoring

Water-quality samples are collected from 12 existing public or industrial supply wells that are spatially distributed over the study area (fig. 2). The wells are sampled semi-annually (January and July) for chloride concentration, water temperature, and specific conductance. Changes in the concentrations of chloride in ground water are useful in determining areas affected by saltwater (high salinity water) encroachment. If enough freshwater is withdrawn from a ground-water system, water with higher salinity can flow into the system to replace the lost freshwater. The higher salinity water may flow upward from deeper systems as well as laterally from areas containing saltwater.

Specific conductance and chloride concentration increases toward the southeast in the Union County area. Median specific conductance ranges from 212 to 1,245 microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius (µS/cm), and median chloride concentration ranges from 3 to 214 milligrams per liter (mg/L) based on 6 samples from each of the 12 wells (fig. 4). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) National Secondary Drinking Water Regulation for chloride in public water supply is 250 mg/L. Wells sampled in the northwestern part of the study area (Shuemaker, Marysville, Magnolia, and Emerson) have median specific conductance values less than 400 µS/cm and median chloride concentration less than 10 mg/L. Farmerville, Louisiana, and Huttig, Arkansas, in the southeastern part of the study area have the highest values with median specific conductance greater than 1,100 µS/cm and median chloride concentration greater than 200 mg/L. The results coincide with more regional specific conductance and chloride concentration results (Schrader, 2004).
Figure 4. Specific conductance and chloride results for the U.S. Geological Survey water-quality monitoring sites.
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