The Lees Ferry reach of the Colorado River—a 16-mile segment from Glen Canyon Dam to the confluence with the Paria River—supports an important recreational rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) fishery. In Grand Canyon, nonnative rainbow trout prey on and compete for habitat and food with native fish, such as the endangered humpback chub (Gila cypha). Experimental flow fluctuations from the dam during winter and spring 2003–5 dewatered and killed a high proportion of rainbow trout eggs in gravel spawning bars, but this mortality had no measurable effect on the abundance of juvenile fish. Flow fluctuations during summer months reduced growth of juvenile trout relative to steadier flows. A high-flow experiment in March 2008 increased both trout survival rates for early life stages and fish abundance. These findings demonstrate that Glen Canyon Dam operations directly affect the trout population in the Lees Ferry reach and could be used to regulate nonnative fish abundance to limit potential negative effects of trout on native fish in Grand Canyon.

Background

The Lees Ferry reach of the Colorado River, a 16-mile segment from Glen Canyon Dam downstream to the confluence with the Paria River, supports an important recreational rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) fishery. Federal and State agencies introduced rainbow trout to the Lees Ferry reach following construction of the dam. There is increasing evidence that some of the trout produced in this reach move downstream through Marble Canyon to the confluence with the Little Colorado River, an area used by the largest population of the native endangered humpback chub (Gila cypha) in the Colorado River Basin (Coggins, 2008; Makinster and others, 2010). Adult rainbow trout are known predators of young chub (Yard and others, in press) and also compete with native fish for food and habitat.

In the Colorado River downstream from Glen Canyon Dam, mature rainbow trout spawn during winter through spring. Fertilized eggs are deposited in nests, known as “redds,” created by spawning fish in the gravel on the river bottom. Eggs hatch after 1 month, and young trout live as “alevins” in the gravel for another month, nourished by an egg yolk sac that remains attached to their bodies. As the yolk is depleted, the young trout emerge from the gravel as “fry” and begin to feed on drifting aquatic insects. Survival rates for these early life stages can influence the abundance of the adult rainbow trout population. However, reduced abundance at an early life stage can actually lead to increased survival at one or more latter stages because of lower density, which reduces competition for limited food and habitat. Such compensatory survival responses in early life stages are seen in many fish species and may limit the extent to which their abundance changes in response to a decrease in survival at one life stage.

Because of potential negative effects of rainbow trout on humpback chub, fluctuations in flow from Glen Canyon...
During this period, other redd movements were dewatered for part of the day because of experimental fluctuating flows, or are highest elevations. Only the light blue redds located at the lowest elevations were permanently submerged. The elevation of each redd (light blue are lowest elevations; green, orange, and white are middle elevations; red are highest elevations). Only the light blue redds located at the lowest elevations were permanently submerged during this period. Other redds were dewatered for part of the day because of experimental fluctuating flows, or fish-suppression flows, released from Glen Canyon Dam in January through March of 2003–5. These dewatered redds did not produce viable young because elevated temperatures during the day killed eggs and recently hatched fish.

Map of the Colorado River downstream of Glen Canyon Dam showing the study area.

Findings

Increased experimental flow fluctuations during January–March killed 25 and 50 percent of the eggs deposited in the Lees Ferry reach in 2003 and 2004, respectively, because the redds were dewatered and exposed to lethal air temperatures for part of the day (Korman and others, in press). However, the number of fry produced in those years was similar to years under normal operations (2006–7, 2010), because fry from the eggs that were not dewatered had higher survival rates owing to a compensatory survival response.

In contrast, survival rates of early life stages increased more than fourfold following the March 2008 HFE compared to survival rates before the experiment. Fry abundance in 2009 was more than twofold higher than expected, given the estimated number of viable eggs deposited that year, but fry abundance in 2010 was similar to levels between 2003 and 2007. This pattern could indicate that the effect of an HFE on early life stages of trout declines through time, with increased survival rates lasting for as long as 2 years. In 2008, survival rates were much higher for trout hatched about a month after the HFE than for those hatched earlier.
in the year. Fish that hatched a month after the HFE (about mid-April) were not exposed to high flows and emerged into higher quality habitat with greater food availability (Rosi-Marshall and others, 2010). Increased abundance of fry in 2008 eventually led to increased abundance of 1-year-old trout in 2009 in the Lees Ferry reach, and some of these fish likely moved downstream to the area near the confluence with the Little Colorado River (Makinster and others, 2010) used by humpback chub.

Research findings indicate that typical flow fluctuations associated with the modified low fluctuating flow operations—the operating regime in place since 1996—also affect trout in the Lees Ferry reach. Hourly flow fluctuations in summer force rainbow trout fry to stay farther from shore, where it is harder to feed and where water temperatures are cooler, which in turn reduces their growth. Otoliths, minute bony structures found in the inner ear of fish that record patterns of growth, similar to tree rings, were used to evaluate the effects of flow fluctuations on rainbow trout growth. Otoliths examined in 2003 showed a weekly striping pattern, indicating increased trout growth on Sundays, when flows during the day were low and steady compared to other days of the week when flows fluctuated (Korman and Campana, 2009). In 2004, when flows on Sunday were similar to other days of the week, the weekly high-growth striping pattern was not observed.

Management Implications

These research findings indicate that the operation of Glen Canyon Dam is directly linked to survival rates and production of rainbow trout downstream of the dam, which in turn may affect survival rates of native fish. Reducing rainbow trout abundance by dewatering redds during winter and spring through increased daily flow fluctuations is unlikely to be effective in reducing trout populations because of compensatory survival responses in later life stages. However, increased flow fluctuations during summer may be effective at reducing trout numbers, because these fluctuations negatively affect fry growth and habitat use. Experimental flow fluctuations during summer would likely have to be carried out over successive years to reduce the abundance of the adult trout population. The efficacy of such

The relation between the number of viable rainbow trout eggs deposited in the Lees Ferry reach and the resulting population size of fry in mid-July for the years 2003–10 (no data for 2005). The thick black curve shows the best-fit relation between viable eggs and fry using data from all years except those affected by the March 2008 high-flow experiment (2008 and 2009), when survival was unusually high. The flat relation indicates that the survival rate from egg to fry stage increases with reduced numbers of eggs, a compensatory effect that minimizes the effect of egg losses on fry abundance. The green vertical lines show the 95-percent confidence limits of fry abundance estimates. (From Korman and others, in press).

Diagrams showing the effect of fluctuating flows from Glen Canyon Dam on the use of nearshore habitat by rainbow trout fry in the Colorado River (dots denote the locations of individual fish). The shaded area represents the riverbank in cross section. In 2003, when flows fluctuated (high during the day, low at night) from Mondays through Saturdays, most fry remained in the permanently submerged zone and did not migrate up to the immediate nearshore zone during the day. This occurred even though the habitat higher along the riverbank was more suitable for small fish because of warmer water temperatures and lower water velocities and depths. On Sundays, flows were low and steady and most fish were located in the immediate nearshore zone where conditions were better, resulting in improved growth.
experimental operations would have to be carefully monitored in terms of their effects on trout abundance in both the Lees Ferry reach and downstream in the area of the confluence with the Little Colorado River.

Rainbow trout abundance increased following the March 2008 HFE, in part, because of improvements in habitat and increased food availability for fry. The increase in fry ultimately led to an increase in abundance of older trout in the Lees Ferry reach and likely also downstream in the area of the confluence with the Little Colorado River. If this effect is sustained under repeated HFEs, then expanded control efforts for nonnative fish may be warranted to preserve and restore native fish. On the basis of currently available research, it is uncertain whether future HFEs, with the same or different timing, will produce rainbow trout responses similar to those seen in 2008–9. However, this uncertainty could be resolved if fish responses to future HFEs are closely monitored.
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