


# The 3D Elevation Program—Summary for Michigan

## Introduction

Elevation data are essential to a broad range of applications, including forest resources management, wildlife and habitat management, national security, recreation, and many others. For the State of Michigan, elevation data are critical for agriculture and precision farming, natural resources conservation, flood risk management, water supply and quality, infrastructure and construction management, coastal zone management, and other business uses. Today, high-density light detection and ranging (lidar) data are the primary sources for deriving elevation models and other datasets. Federal, State, Tribal, and local agencies work in partnership to (1) replace data that are older and of lower quality and (2) provide coverage where publicly accessible data do not exist. A joint goal of State and Federal partners is to acquire consistent, statewide coverage to support existing and emerging applications enabled by lidar data.

The National Enhanced Elevation Assessment (NEEA; Dewberry, 2011) evaluated multiple elevation data acquisition options to determine the optimal data quality and data replacement cycle relative to cost to meet the identified requirements of the user community. The evaluation demonstrated that lidar acquisition at quality level 2 (table 1) for the conterminous United States and quality level 5 interferometric synthetic aperture radar (ifsar) data (table 1) for Alaska with a 6- to 10-year acquisition cycle provided the highest benefit/cost ratios. The 3D Elevation Program (3DEP) initiative (Snyder, 2012a,b) selected an 8-year acquisition cycle for the respective quality levels. 3DEP, managed by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-16 lead agency for terrestrial elevation data, responds to the growing need for high-quality topographic data and a wide range of other 3D representations of the



**Figure 1.** Map of Michigan showing publicly available lidar data. Information source is the United States Interagency Elevation Inventory, March 2015 (<http://coast.noaa.gov/inventory/?redirect=301ocm#>). The inventory is updated annually. Quality level 2 or better data meet 3DEP requirements. See table 1 for quality level information.

Nation’s natural and constructed features. The Michigan Statewide Authoritative Imagery and Lidar (MiSAIL) program provides statewide lidar coordination with local, State, and national groups in support of 3DEP for Michigan.

## 3D Elevation Program Benefits for Michigan

The top 10 Michigan business uses for 3D elevation data, which are based on the estimated annual conservative benefits of the 3DEP initiative, are shown in table 2. The NEEA survey respondents in the State of Michigan estimated that the national 3DEP initiative would result in at least \$10.2 million in new benefits annually to the State. The cost for such a program in Michigan is approximately \$19 million, resulting in a payback period of 1.9 years and a benefit/cost ratio of 4.2 to 1 over an 8-year period. Because monetary estimates were not provided for all reported benefits, the total benefits of the 3DEP to Michigan are likely much higher. On the basis of the NEEA survey results, all levels of government and many organizations in Michigan could benefit from access to statewide high-resolution elevation data.

For Michigan, approximately 72 percent of the identified business use requirements

## 3D Elevation Program

3DEP is a national program managed by the USGS to acquire high-resolution elevation data. The initiative is backed by a comprehensive assessment of requirements (Dewberry, 2011) and is in the early stages of implementation. 3DEP will improve data accuracy and provide more current data than is available in the National Elevation Dataset (NED). The goal of this high-priority cooperative program is to have complete coverage of the United States by the end of 2022, depending on funding and partnerships. 3DEP can conservatively provide new benefits of \$1.2 billion/year and has the potential to generate \$13 billion/year in new benefits through improved government services, reductions in crop and homeowner losses resulting from floods, more efficient routing of vehicles, and a host of other government, corporate, and citizen activities (Dewberry, 2011). A shared, common elevation dataset would foster cooperation and improve decision-making among all levels of government and other stakeholders.

## Benefits of a Funded National Program

- Economy of scale—Acquisition of data covering larger areas reduces costs by 25 percent.
- A systematic plan—Acquisition of data at a higher quality level reduces the cost of “buying up” to the highest levels needed by State and local governments.
- Higher quality data and national coverage—Ensure consistency for applications that span State and watershed boundaries and meet more needs, which results in increased benefits to citizens.
- Increase in Federal agency contributions—Reduces State and local partner contributions.
- Acquisition assistance—Provided through readily available contracts and published acquisition specifications.

### 3DEP in Michigan by the Numbers

Expected annual benefits	\$10.19 million
Estimated total cost	\$19.39 million
Payback	1.9 years
Quality level 1 buy-up estimate	\$12.34 million


will be met in agriculture and precision farming, natural resources conservation, and flood risk management uses, as shown in table 2. The status of publicly available lidar data in Michigan is shown in figure 1. By enhancing coordination between 3DEP and various government and private organizations in Michigan, it may be possible to realize more than the cited conservative benefits and attain the higher potential benefits for many business uses.

The following examples highlight how 3DEP data can support business uses in Michigan: (1) Approximately 28 percent of the land area of Michigan is devoted to agricultural uses. Enhanced elevation data can provide a more accurate depiction of terrain and improve precision farming activities, which helps improve crop yields, prevent soil degradation, and reduce agricultural chemical runoff—factors that help farmers realize a larger return on their investments. (2) Enhanced elevation data could enable State, regional, and local governments to reduce field work for conservation projects such as grade stabilization, ponds, grassed waterways, terracing, and wetland delineation and restoration, which would yield a cost savings

to the public. (3) Subtle features not evident on conventional USGS topographic maps (or aerial photography) often are readily apparent on lidar images (fig. 2). The availability of lidar data has fundamentally changed how geological mapping and geological resource evaluations are conducted.

## References Cited

- Dewberry, 2011, Final report of the National Enhanced Elevation Assessment (revised 2012): Fairfax, Va., Dewberry, 84 p. plus appendixes, <http://www.dewberry.com/Consultants/GeospatialMapping/FinalReport-NationalEnhancedElevationAssessment>.
- Snyder, G.I., 2012a, National Enhanced Elevation Assessment at a glance: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2012–3088, 2 p., <http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2012/3088/>.
- Snyder, G.I., 2012b, The 3D Elevation Program—Summary of program direction: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2012–3089, 2 p., <http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2012/3089/>.



**Figure 2.** Enhanced elevation lidar data provide a more detailed view of the landscape and reveal subtle features that are not evident on a traditional USGS 7.5-minute topographic map (from 1980) of Northwest Albion, Michigan (A). Features such as the esker glacial deposits trending southwest to northeast on the lidar shaded relief map of the Northwest Albion area of Calhoun County (B) are often the source of economically important gravel deposits. Courtesy of Michigan Geological Survey.

**Table 2.** Conservative benefits estimates for the top 10 business uses of the proposed 3DEP data identified in the National Enhanced Elevation Assessment for Michigan (Dewberry, 2011).

Rank	Business use	Annual benefits (millions)
1	Agriculture and precision farming	\$3.16
2	Natural resources conservation	2.93
3	Flood risk management	1.27
4	Water supply and quality	0.75
5	Infrastructure and construction management	0.72
6	Coastal zone management	0.69
7	Forest resources management	0.36
8	Aviation navigation and safety	0.13
9	Geologic resource assessment and hazard mitigation	0.11
10	Renewable energy resources	0.03
	Other	0.04
	Total	10.19

## 3D Elevation Program—Continued

The USGS and its partners will acquire quality level 2 or better (table 1) 3D lidar data over the conterminous United States, Hawaii, and the U.S. territories. Interferometric synthetic aperture radar (ifsar) data are being collected at quality level 5 (table 1) in Alaska. The data will be acquired over an 8-year period and will be made available to the public. By using this acquisition scenario, a number of high-quality elevation-data products can be created to serve a wide range of business uses in government and the private sector.

**Table 1.** Data quality levels and related accuracies for the 3D Elevation Program (3DEP) initiative as provided on page 6 in USGS Circular 1399 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/cir1399>). These data quality parameters for the 3DEP initiative approximate those used in the National Enhanced Elevation Assessment (Dewberry, 2011).

[RMSE<sub>(z)</sub>, root mean square error in the z (elevation) dimension; n/a, not applicable]

Quality level	Nominal pulse spacing (meters)	Vertical error as RMSE <sub>(z)</sub> (centimeters)
1	0.35	10
2	0.7	10
3	1.4	20
4	n/a	139
5	n/a	185

## Next Steps for Implementing 3DEP

Accomplishing the 3DEP initiative's goal of national coverage in 8 years depends on the following factors:

- Increased partnerships among Federal, State, Tribal, and local governments.
- Partnerships that acquire elevation data to the program's specifications across larger project areas.
- Increased communication about and awareness of the program's benefits and goals.
- Support for the program from government and other stakeholders.

## For Further Information:

Michael A. Tischler, Director  
USGS National Geospatial Program  
12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, MS 511  
Reston, VA 20192  
Email: [3DEP@usgs.gov](mailto:3DEP@usgs.gov)

Charles E. Hickman  
The National Map Liaison  
6480 Doubletree Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43229  
Email: [chickman@usgs.gov](mailto:chickman@usgs.gov)

<http://nationalmap.gov/3DEP/>

By William J. Carswell, Jr.

ISSN 2327-6932 (online)  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/fs20143107>