

National and Global Petroleum Assessment

Assessment of Continuous Oil and Gas Resources in the Neuquén Basin Province, Argentina, 2016

Using a geology-based assessment methodology, the U.S. Geological Survey assessed undiscovered, technically recoverable mean continuous resources of 14.4 billion barrels of oil and 38 trillion cubic feet of gas in the Neuquén Basin Province, Argentina.

Introduction

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) assessed the potential for undiscovered, technically recoverable continuous (unconventional) oil and gas resources within the Neuquén Basin Province, Argentina (figs. 1-3). As defined by the USGS, the province encompasses the basin (or "embayment"), the platform in the eastern part of the province, and the fold belt in the western part of the province. This study estimated potential volumes of undiscovered continuous oil and gas in three petroleum source rocks that occur in the basin and in the fold belt: the Jurassic Los Molles Formation, the Jurassic-Early Cretaceous Vaca Muerta Formation, and the Early Cretaceous Agrio Formation. The USGS defined a Los Molles Total Petroleum System (TPS), a Vaca Muerta TPS, and an Agrio TPS, following similar published definitions of these systems (Urien and Zambrano, 1994; Legarreta and Villar, 2015). Twelve continuous resource assessment units (AUs) were defined within these three TPSs (table 1).

Geologic Model for Assessment

Three main assumptions underlie the geologic model that forms the foundation of this assessment. First, some portion of the oil and gas generated in each of the three source rocks was retained within each source rock interval and constitutes potentially recoverable oil or gas. Second, multiple phases of structural deformation in the fold belt negatively affected the volumes of oil or gas retained in source rocks compared to those retained in the basin or embayment area. Third, the assessment was based mainly (but not entirely) on vertical wells, which affects the input data for the USGS assessment methodology (for example, well drainage areas, estimated ultimate recoveries, and success ratios). Given the geologic model, the definition of AUs was based on (1) whether the source rock resides within the fold belt or embayment, (2) the level of thermal maturation, (3) the percent of total organic





carbon, and (4) the thickness of viable source rock. Source rock data for the Los Molles TPS, Vaca Muerta TPS, and Agrio TPS from published sources are summarized in table 2 (Legarreta and others, 2005; Tyson and others, 2005; Martinez and others, 2008; Schmidt and others, 2013;



Figure 2. Location of the five assessment units (AUs) in the Vaca Muerta Total Petroleum System of the Neuquén Basin Province, Argentina.

Barredo and Stinco, 2014; Legarreta and Villar, 2015; Licitra and others, 2015; Rimedio and others, 2015). The lower limits of thickness of effective source rock (15 meters), total organic carbon (2 weight percent), and hydrogen index (200 milligrams hydrocarbon per gram of total organic carbon) are the thresholds used by the USGS to define a viable continuous accumulation (table 2).

Key assessment input data for 12 AUs are summarized in table 1. Data for estimated ultimate recovery, well drainage area, and success ratios were based on U.S. analogs for continuous oil and gas reservoirs.

Undiscovered Resources Summary

The USGS assessed potential undiscovered, technically recoverable continuous resources in the Neuquén Basin Province, Argentina (table 3). The USGS estimated mean totals of 14,370 million barrels of continuous oil (MMBO), or 14.4 billion barrels of oil, with an F95–F5 range from 4,139 to 27,913 MMBO; 37,966 billion cubic feet of continuous gas (BCFG), or 38 trillion cubic feet of continuous gas, with an F95–F5 range from 10,382 to 76,102 BCFG; and 482 million barrels of natural gas liquids (MMBNGL) with an F95–F5 range from 113 to 1,055 MMBNGL (table 3).

In the Los Molles TPS, the estimated mean totals for continuous oil and gas resources are 847 MMBO with an F95–F5 range from 220 to 1,775 MMBO, 18,137 BCFG with an F95–F5 range from 4,993 to 36,000 BCFG, and 184 MMBNGL with an F95–F5 range from 44 to 400 MMBNGL. The Vaca Muerta TPS has estimated mean totals for continuous oil and gas resources of 12,848 MMBO with an F95–F5 range from 3,750 to 24,696 MMBO, 19,155 BCFG with an F95–F5 range from 5,232 to 38,597 BCFG, and 287 MMBNGL with an F95–F5 range from 67 to 630 MMBNGL. In the Agrio TPS, the estimated mean totals for continuous oil and gas resources are 675 MMBO with an F95–F5 range from 169 to 1,442 MMBO, 674 BCFG with an F95–F5 range from 157 to 1,505 BCFG, and 11 MMBNGL with an F95–F5 range from 2 to 25 MMBNGL.

Most of the continuous oil resources (12,848 MMBO or 89 percent) are estimated to be in three assessment units of the Vaca Muerta TPS. Half of the mean continuous gas resources (19,155 BCFG or 50 percent) is in the Vaca Muerta TPS, and 48 percent (18,137 BCFG) is in the Los Molles TPS.



Figure 3. Location of the two assessment units (AUs) in the Agrio Total Petroleum System of the Neuquén Basin Province, Argentina.

Table 1. Key assessment input data for 12 continuous assessment units in the Neuquén Basin Province, Argentina.

[AU, assessment unit; %, percent; EUR, estimated ultimate recovery per well; MMBO, million barrels of oil; BCFG, billion cubic feet of gas. EUR, well drainage area, and well success ratios are from U.S. shale-gas, tight-gas, and shale-oil analogs. The EUR input includes the minimum, median, maximum, and calculated mean. Shading indicates not applicable]

Association ut data	Los	Molles Embay	ment Continuo	us Oil AU	Los Molles Embayment Continuous Gas AU						
Assessment input uata	Minimum	Mode	Maximum	Calculated mean	Minimum	Mode	Maximum	Calculated mean			
Potential production area of AU (acres)	2,400	480,000	960,000	480,800	4,800	1,556,000	3,112,000	1,557,600			
Average drainage area of wells (acres)	20	60	120	67	80	120	160	120			
Success ratios (%)	50	50 70 90		70	50	70 90		70			
Average EUR (MMBO, oil; BCFG, gas)	0.08	0.1	0.3	0.111	0.2	0.4	1.0	0.431			
AU probability	1.0				1.0						
Accessment innut data	Le	os Molles Fold	Belt Continuou	s Oil AU	Los Molles Fold Belt Continuous Gas AU						
Assessment input data	Minimum	Mode	Maximum	Calculated mean	Minimum	Mode	Maximum	Calculated mean			
Potential production area of AU (acres)	600	717,000	2,391,000	1,036,200	3,000	2,407,000	8,670,000	3,693,333			
Average drainage area of wells (acres)	40	80	120	80	80	120	160	120			
Success ratios (%)	10	30	50	30	10	30	50	30			
Average EUR (MMBO, oil; BCFG, gas)	0.03	0.06	0.1	0.062	0.2	0.35	0.8	0.373			
AU probability	1.0				1.0						
	Los M	olles Embayme	ent Tight Sands	tone Gas AU	Vaca Muerta Embayment Continuous Oil AU						
Assessment input data	Minimum	Mode	Maximum	Calculated mean	Minimum	Mode	Maximum	Calculated mean			
Potential production area of AU (acres)	9,600	1,800,000	3,112,000	1,640,533	3,000	2,407,000	3,438,000	1,949,333			
Average drainage area of wells (acres)	40	80	120	80	20	60	120	67			
Success ratios (%)	65	75	85	75	75	85	95	85			
Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil)	0.2	0.6	1.4	0.637	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.412			
AU probability	1.0				1.0						
					Vaca Muerta Fold Belt Continuous Oil AU						
A	Vaca	a Muerta Embay	ment Continuo	ous Gas AU	Vac	a Muerta Fold	Belt Continuo	us Oil AU			
Assessment input data	Vaca Minimum	a Muerta Embay Mode	ment Continuo Maximum	ous Gas AU Calculated mean	Vac Minimum	a Muerta Fold Mode	Belt Continuo Maximum	us Oil AU Calculated mean			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres)	Vaca Minimum 6,000	Muerta Embay Mode 928,000	ment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000	Calculated mean 753,000	Vac Minimum 600	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000	Belt Continuo Maximum 3,350,000	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80	A Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120	ment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160	Calculated mean 753,000 120	Vac <u>Minimum</u> 600 40	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80	Belt Continuo Maximum 3,350,000 120	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75	A Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85	ment Continue Maximum 1,325,000 160 95	Calculated mean 753,000 120 85	Vac Minimum 600 40 10	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30	Belt Continuo Maximum 3,350,000 120 50	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2	A Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8	Ment Continue Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0	Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0	A Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8	ment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0	Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 ca Muerta Fold	ment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuo	Dus Gas AU Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 us Gas AU	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca M	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Juerta Picún Le	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utíu Basin Contin	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 nuous Oil AU			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 30 Muerta Fold Mode	vment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuo Maximum	Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 s Gas AU Calculated mean	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca M Minimum	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le Mode	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utú Basin Conti Maximum	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 nuous Oil AU Calculated mean			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 Ca Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000	Ament Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000	Gas AU Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 s Gas AU Calculated mean 1,934,067	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca N Minimum 3,000	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Auerta Picún Le Mode 690,000	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utú Basin Contin Maximum 986,000	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 nuous Oil AU Calculated mean 559,667			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 Ca Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000 120	Ment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160	Gas AU Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca N Minimum 3,000 20	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le Mode 690,000 60	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utí Basin Contin Maximum 986,000 120	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 nuous Oil AU Calculated mean 559,667 67			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80 10	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 ca Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000 120 30	ment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160 50	Second State Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 ss Gas AU Calculated mean 1,934,067 120 30	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca N Minimum 3,000 20 70	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le Mode 690,000 60 80	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 ufú Basin Contin Maximum 986,000 120 90	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 nuous Oil AU Calculated mean 559,667 67 80			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80 10 0.2	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 ca Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000 120 30 0.35	Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160 50 0.8	Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 s Gas AU Calculated mean 1,934,067 120 30 0.373	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca N Minimum 3,000 20 70 0.1	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le Mode 690,000 60 80 0.2	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utú Basin Contin Maximum 986,000 120 90 0.35	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 nuous Oil AU Calculated mean 559,667 67 80 0.206			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80 10 0.2 1.0	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 ca Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000 120 30 0.35	ment Continue Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160 50 0.8	Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 s Gas AU Calculated mean 1,934,067 120 30 0.373	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca N Minimum 3,000 20 70 0.1 1.0	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le Mode 690,000 60 80 0.2	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utíu Basin Contii Maximum 986,000 120 90 0.35	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 nuous Oil AU Calculated mean 559,667 67 80 0.206			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80 10 0.2 1.0	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 ca Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000 120 30 0.35 Agrio Embayme	Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160 50 0.8	Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 us Gas AU Calculated mean 1,934,067 120 30 0.373 Oil AU	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca N Minimum 3,000 20 70 0.1 1.0	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le Mode 690,000 60 80 0.2 Agrio Fold Bel	Belt Continuor Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utú Basin Contin Maximum 986,000 120 90 0.35 t Continuous C	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 nuous Oil AU Calculated mean 559,667 67 80 0.206 il AU			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80 10 0.2 1.0 .2 1.0	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 36 37 30 0.35 Agrio Embayme Mode	Ment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160 50 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	Gas AU Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 s Gas AU Calculated mean 1,934,067 120 30 0.373 Oil AU Calculated mean	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca M Minimum 3,000 20 70 0.1 1.0 Minimum	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le 690,000 60 80 0.2 Agrio Fold Bel Mode	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utú Basin Contii Maximum 986,000 120 90 0.35 t Continuous O Maximum	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 nuous Oil AU Calculated mean 559,667 67 80 0.206 il AU Calculated mean			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Potential production area of AU (acres) Success ratios (%) Average FUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80 10 0.2 1.0 0.2 1.0 Minimum 2,400	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 3a Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000 120 30 0.35 Agrio Embayme Mode 268,000	Ment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160 50 0.8 maximum 535,000	Gas AU Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 s Gas AU Calculated mean 1,934,067 120 30 0.373 Oil AU Calculated mean 268,467	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca M Minimum 3,000 20 70 0.1 1.0 0.1 1.0 Minimum 600	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Auerta Picún Le 690,000 60 80 0.2 Agrio Fold Bel Mode 1,085,000	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utí Basin Contii Maximum 986,000 120 90 0.35 t Continuous O Maximum 3,615,000	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 Calculated mean 559,667 67 80 0.206 Calculated mean 1,566,867			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Potential production area of AU (acres) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80 10 0.2 1.0 0.2 1.0 Minimum 2,400 20	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 2a Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000 120 30 0.35 Agrio Embayme Mode 268,000 60	Ament Continue Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160 50 0.8 ent Continuous Maximum 535,000 120	Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 s Gas AU Calculated mean 1,934,067 120 30 0.373 Oil AU Calculated mean 268,467 67	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca N Minimum 3,000 20 70 0.1 1.0 0.1 1.0 Minimum 600 40	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le 690,000 60 80 0.2 Agrio Fold Bel Mode 1,085,000 80	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utú Basin Contii Maximum 986,000 120 90 0.35 it Continuous C Maximum 3,615,000 120	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 Calculated mean 559,667 67 80 0.206 Calculated mean 1,566,867 80			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Botential production area of AU (acres) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80 10 0.2 1.0 0.2 1.0 Minimum 2,400 20 50	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 Ca Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000 120 30 0.35 Agrio Embayme Mode 268,000 60 70	Ment Continuo Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160 50 0.8 ent Continuous Maximum 535,000 120 90	Gas AU Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 Image: Seas AU Calculated mean 1,934,067 120 30 0.373 Oil AU Calculated mean 268,467 67 70	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca N Minimum 3,000 20 70 0.1 1.0 Minimum 600 40 10	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le Mode 690,000 60 80 0.2 Agrio Fold Bel Mode 1,085,000 80 30 30 30 80 30 80 30 80 30 80 30 80 30 30 80 30 80 30 30 80 30 30 80 30 30 80 30 30 80 30 30 80 30 30 80 30 30 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utú Basin Contin Maximum 986,000 120 90 0.35 t Continuous C Maximum 3,615,000 120 50	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 Calculated mean 559,667 67 80 0.206 Calculated mean 1,566,867 80 30			
Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Assessment input data Potential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Botential production area of AU (acres) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Botential production area of AU (acres) Average EUR (BCFG, gas; MMBO, oil) AU probability Botential production area of AU (acres) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average drainage area of wells (acres) Success ratios (%) Average EUR (MMBO)	Vaca Minimum 6,000 80 75 0.2 1.0 Vac Minimum 1,200 80 10 0.2 1.0 0.2 1.0 Minimum 2,400 20 50 0.06	a Muerta Embay Mode 928,000 120 85 0.8 Ca Muerta Fold Mode 1,332,000 120 30 0.35 Agrio Embayme Mode 268,000 60 70 0.09	Maximum 1,325,000 160 95 2.0 Belt Continuou Maximum 4,439,000 160 50 0.8 ent Continuous Maximum 535,000 120 90 0.3	Calculated mean 753,000 120 85 0.856 Image: Second	Vac Minimum 600 40 10 0.03 1.0 Vaca N Minimum 3,000 20 70 0.1 1.0 0.1 1.0 Minimum 600 40 10 0.03	a Muerta Fold Mode 1,005,000 80 30 0.06 Muerta Picún Le Mode 690,000 60 80 0.2 Agrio Fold Bel Mode 1,085,000 80 30 0.2	Belt Continuou Maximum 3,350,000 120 50 0.10 utí Basin Contin Maximum 986,000 120 90 0.35 t Continuous C Maximum 3,615,000 120 50 0.1	us Oil AU Calculated mean 1,451,867 80 30 0.062 Calculated mean 559,667 67 67 80 0.206 Calculated mean 1,566,867 80 30 0.062			

References Cited

- Barredo, S.P., and Stinco, L.P., 2014, Unconventional reservoir geology of the Neuquén Basin, Argentina, *in* Society of Petroleum Engineers, SPE Annual Conference and Exhibition, Amsterdam, Netherlands, October 27–29, 2014, Proceedings: Society of Petroleum Engineers, Paper SPE–170905–MS, 11 p., accessed September 30, 2016, at https://www.onepetro.org/conference-paper/SPE-170905-MS.
- Legarreta, Leonardo, Cruz, C.E., Vergani, Gustavo, Laffitte, G.A., and Villar, H.J., 2005, Petroleum mass-balance of the Neuquén Basin, Argentina—A comparative assessment of the productive districts and non-productive trends: American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Search and Discovery Article No. 10080, 7 p., accessed September 30, 2016, at http://www.searchanddiscovery.com/pdfz/documents/2005/legarreta/images/legarreta.pdf.html.
- Legarreta, Leonardo, and Villar, J.J., 2015, The Vaca Muerta Formation (Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous), Neuquén Basin, Argentina—Sequences, facies, and source rock characteristics, *in* Unconventional Resources Technology Conference, San Antonio, Texas, July 20–22, 2015, Proceedings: Unconventional Resources Technology Conference, Paper URTeC:2170906, 15 p., accessed September 30, 2016, at http://www.geolabsur.com/Biblioteca/Legarreta_Villar_2015_VM_Urtec.pdf.
- Licitra, Diego; Lovrincevich, Eliana; Vittore, Franco; Quiroga, Juan; Oviedo, Pablo; Montoya, Veronica; Shannon, Coleen; and Monti, Luciano, 2015, Sweet spots in Vaca Muerta— Integration of subsurface and production data in Loma Campana shale development, Argentina, *in* Unconventional Resources Technology Conference, San Antonio, Texas, July 20–22, 2015, Proceedings: Unconventional Resources Technology Conference, Paper URTeC:2153944, 18 p., accessed September 30, 2016, at https://www.onepetro.org/ conference-paper/SPE-178563-MS.
- Martínez, M.A., Prámparo, M.B., Quattrocchio, M.E., and Zavala, C.A., 2008, Depositional environments and hydrocarbon potential of the Middle Jurassic Los Molles Formation, Neuquén Basin, Argentina—Palynofacies and organic geochemical data: Andean Geology (formerly known as Revista Geológica de Chile), v. 35, no. 2, p. 235–247.
- Rimedio, Milena; Shannon, Coleen; Monti, Luciano; Lerza, Alejandro; Roberts, Matthew; and Quiroga, Juan, 2015, Interference behavior analysis in Vaca Muerta shale oil development, Loma Campana Field, Argentina, *in* Unconventional Resources Technology Conference, San Antonio, Texas, July 20–22, 2015, Proceedings: Unconventional Resources Technology Conference, MS/URTeC:2154859, 14 p., accessed September 30, 2016, at https://www.onepetro.org/conference-paper/SPE-178620-MS.
- Schmidt, N.G., Alonso, J.C., and Giusiano, Adolfo, 2013, Assessment of Vaca Muerta Formation shale oil—Production decline–curve analysis, *in* Workshop on Unconventional Reservoirs, Ministry of Energy, Neuquén Province, Argentina, April 17, 2013: Workshop on Unconventional Reservoirs, 31 p., accessed September 30, 2016, at http://www.energianeuquen.gov.ar/cms/files/contenido/70/4Evaluacion%20Shale%20IAPG%20abril2013.pdf.
- Tyson, R.V., Esherwood, Philip, and Pattison, K.A., 2005, Organic facies in the Valanginian-mid-Hauterivian interval of the Agrio Formation (Chos Malal area, Neuquén, Argentina)—Local significance and global context, *in* Veiga, G.D., Spalletti, L.A., Howell, J.A., and Schwarz, E., eds., The Neuquén Basin, Argentina—A case study in sequence stratigraphy and basin dynamics: Geological Society of London, Special Publication No. 252, p. 251–266.
- Urien, C.M., and Zambrano, J.J., 1994, Petroleum systems in the Neuquén Basin, Argentina, *in* Magoon, L.B., and Dow, W.G., eds., The petroleum system—From source to trap: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir No. 60, p. 513–534.

Table 2.Summary of source rock data for three total petroleum systems in theNeuquén Basin Province, Argentina.

[TPS, total petroleum system; m, meters; wt %, weight percent; % R_o , percent vitrinite reflectance; mg HC/g TOC, milligrams of hydrocarbon per gram of total organic carbon]

	Los Molles TPS	Vaca Muerta TPS	Agrio TPS
Maximum formation thickness (m)	2,100	1,200	1,500
Thickness of effective source rock (m)	15-800	15-450	15-400
Total organic carbon (wt %)	2-5	2-12	2–7
Maximum thermal maturation (% R _o)	3	2	3.6
Hydrogen index (mg HC/g TOC)	200-500	200-800	200-550
Kerogen type	III/II	I/II/IIS	II/III

Table 3. Assessment results for 12 assessment units in the Neuquén Basin Province, Argentina.

[MMBO, million barrels of oil; BCFG, billions of cubic feet of gas; NGL, natural gas liquids; MMBNGL, million barrels of natural gas liquids. Results shown are fully risked estimates. For gas accumulations, all liquids are included as natural gas liquids. F95 represents a 95-percent chance of at least the tabulated amount being present; other fractiles are defined similarly. Fractiles are additive under the assumption of perfect positive correlation. Shading indicates not applicable]

Total natural sum quatama	AU	Accu-	cu- Total undiscovered resources											
Iotal petroleum systems	, prob- mulation Oil (MMBO) Gas (BCFG)													
and assessment units (AUS)	ability	type	F95	F50	F5	Mean	F95	F50	F5	Mean	F95	F50	F5	Mean
Los Molles Total Petroleum System														
Los Molles Embayment Continuous Oil AU	1.0	Oil	163	544	1,236	601	151	528	1,298	601	2	8	21	9
Los Molles Embayment Continuous Gas AU	1.0	Gas					1,116	3,644	7,631	3,913	15	52	126	59
Los Molles Fold Belt Continuous Oil AU	1.0	Oil	57	215	539	246	54	210	562	246	1	3	9	4
Los Molles Fold Belt Continuous Gas AU	1.0	Gas					779	2,982	7,665	3,450	10	43	124	52
Los Molles Embayment Tight Sandstone Gas AU	1.0	Gas					2,893	9,374	18,844	9,927	16	55	120	60
Total resources			220	759	1,775	847	4,993	16,738	36,000	18,137	44	161	400	184
Vaca Muerta Total Petroleum System														
Vaca Muerta Embayment Continuous Oil AU	1.0	Oil	3,228	10,365	21,092	11,008	3,004	10,057	22,253	11,007	39	144	363	165
Vaca Muerta Embayment Continuous Gas AU	1.0	Gas					1,329	4,261	8,587	4,512	17	61	141	68
Vaca Muerta Fold Belt Continuous Oil AU	1.0	Oil	79	303	753	345	74	294	785	344	1	4	13	5
Vaca Muerta Fold Belt Continuous Gas AU	1.0	Gas					412	1,562	3,972	1,798	5	23	64	27
Vaca Muerta Picún Leufú Basin Continuous Oil AU	1.0	Oil	443	1,415	2,851	1,495	413	1,370	3,000	1,494	5	20	49	22
Total resources			3,750	12,083	24,696	12,848	5,232	17,544	38,597	19,155	67	252	630	287
Agrio Total Petroleum System														
Agrio Embayment Continuous Oil AU	1.0	Oil	82	272	629	303	76	264	661	303	1	4	11	5
Agrio Fold Belt Continuous Oil AU	1.0	Oil	87	325	813	372	81	317	844	371	1	5	14	6
Total resources			169	597	1,442	675	157	581	1,505	674	2	9	25	11
Total undiscovered continuous resources			4,139	13,439	27,913	14,370	10,382	34,863	76,102	37,966	113	422	1,055	482

Neuquén Basin Province Assessment Team

Christopher J. Schenk, Timothy R. Klett, Marilyn E. Tennyson, Tracey J. Mercier, Janet K. Pitman, Stephanie B. Gaswirth, Thomas M. Finn, Michael E. Brownfield, Phuong A. Le, Heidi M. Leathers-Miller, and Kristen R. Marra

For More Information

Assessment results are available at the USGS Energy Resources Program website at https://energy.usgs.gov.