



- EXPLANATION**
- Outcrop area of Western Interior Plains confining system
 - Outcrop area of unnamed geohydrologic units that are stratigraphically equivalent to the Western Interior Plains confining system
 - Outcrop area of rocks comprising geohydrologic units older than Western Interior Plains confining system
 - Area where Western Interior Plains confining system and stratigraphically equivalent units are missing in subsurface
- Contact—Dashed where approximately located
- Approximate boundary of Ozark Plateaus aquifer system
- 1000 — Potentiometric contour—Shows altitude at which water levels would have stood in tightly cased wells open to the near-surface weathered layer of the Western Interior Plains confining system. Contours are consistent with surface topography. Contour interval, in feet, is variable. National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929
- 6000 — Line of equal dissolved-solids concentration—Data from which lines of equal dissolved-solids concentration are derived are not shown. Interval, 1000 milligrams per liter
- Control data point—Part of Central Midwest Regional Aquifer-System Analysis data base. Number is altitude, in feet, of water level. National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929
- Auxiliary control data point

GROUND-WATER MOVEMENT IN THE NEAR-SURFACE WEATHERED LAYER AND WELL YIELDS

Because the Western Interior Plains confining system is a thick, complex sequence of interbedded permeable sandstone and limestone layers and large, relatively impermeable shale layers, the distribution of hydraulic heads within the confining system varies both vertically and laterally. Movement of ground-water through the confining system is slow and complex. Water-level measurements from wells that penetrate most or all of the Western Interior Plains confining system represent a weighted-average water level of the more permeable geologic groups in the confining system and are not representative of the unit as a single body. However, weathering processes at or near land surface increase the permeability of the limestone and shale units. Variations in permeability of the near surface rocks are increased by the weathering process, and the weathered surface layer can transmit water like an aquifer. The predevelopment potentiometric map shown here represents the altitude of water table in the near-surface weathered zone. The measurements of water levels are generally from wells that penetrated no more than the upper 200 to 300 feet of the confining system. The hydraulic-head data also reflects measurements made at various times throughout this century. However, ground-water withdrawal from the weathered layer generally has been limited to small-capacity domestic wells because well yields and water quality are inadequate for public-supply wells. Consequently, drawdown of the potentiometric surface is considered negligible and even more recent hydraulic head measurements probably depict altitudes that vary little from predevelopment conditions. Hydraulic-head contours in the near-surface weathered layer are greatly affected by topographic features. Generally, ground water infiltrates in upland areas then moves toward the stream valleys where it discharges. Ground-water levels in the upland areas tend to be as much as 100 feet lower than the average land-surface altitude. Contour lines in the map area are drawn so that where a potentiometric contour crosses a stream trace, the altitude of the ground-water potentiometric surface is approximately equal to the altitude of the stream, unless hydraulic-head measurements indicated this assumption is invalid in a specific locality.

In the northwestern part of the Ozarks, ground water in the weathered surface layer of the confining system primarily moves toward the Missouri and Marais des Cygnes River valleys. A ground-water divide extending northwest from Barton County, Missouri, separates this ground-water area from the larger region to the south where ground water moves into the Verigatis and Neosho Rivers in southeastern Kansas and northeastern Oklahoma, and into the Arkansas River in Oklahoma and Arkansas. The latter two rivers parallel most of the southwestern and southern borders of the Ozark Plateaus. No water-level data are available in the vicinity of Madison and Newton Counties, Arkansas.

Well-recovery tests on 14 wells located near the border of the Ozark Plateaus in southwestern Missouri show a range of yields from 1 to 40 gallons per minute (Kleeschulte and others, 1985). Generally, well yields tended to decrease from east to west in this area. Similar tests conducted on 16 wells in southwestern Washington County, Arkansas, show that well yields in this area also are small, ranging from 2.5 to 19 gallons per minute (Muse, 1982). Production in these wells probably is concentrated in the Hale Formation and perhaps the Pikes Limestone. Other geologic formations that the wells penetrate likely contain a much greater shale fraction and presumably yield little water.

The dissolved-solids concentration of water in the Western Interior Plains confining system increases to the west, generally exceeding 100,000 milligrams per liter at the west edge of the map area. The approximate westward limit of freshwater is shown by the 1,000 milligram-per-liter dissolved-solids concentration line. Because of the great thickness and lithologic complexity of the confining system, the dissolved-solids concentration can vary considerably both laterally and vertically.

REFERENCES

- Caplan, W. M., 1954, Subsurface geology and related oil and gas possibilities of northeastern Arkansas: Arkansas Resources and Development Commission Bulletin 20, 124 p.
- Fenneman, N. M., 1938, Physiography of eastern United States: New York, McGraw-Hill, 715 p.
- Huffman, G. G., 1956, Geology of the flanks of the Ozark uplift: Oklahoma Geological Survey Bulletin 77, 281 p.
- Jorgensen, D. J., Helgeson, J. O., and Innes, J. L. (in press), Regional aquifers in Kansas, Nebraska, and parts of Arkansas, Colorado, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wyoming—Geologic framework: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1414-B.
- Jorgensen, D. G., and Sapor, D. C., 1981, Plan of study for the Central Midwest Regional Aquifer-System Analysis in parts of Arkansas, Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Open-File Report 81-296, 28 p.
- Kleeschulte, M. J., Mesko, T. O., and Vandike, J. E., 1985, Appraisal of groundwater resources of Barton, Vernon, and Bates Counties, Missouri: Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geology and Land Survey Water-Resources Report 36, 74 p.
- Muse, P. S., 1982, Groundwater resource evaluation of southwest Washington County, Arkansas: Fayetteville, University of Arkansas, unpublished M.S. thesis.