DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Prepared for the
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

ATLAS OF MARS
VIKING LANDER MOSAIC SERIES
VIKING LANDER 2
MORNING SCENE—CAMERA 1
I-1515 (SHEET 1 OF 5)





DISTANCE AND SIZE OF ROCKS IN SCENE

Rock No. (Name)	Distance from Spacecraft	Width
1.	2.4 m	15 cm
2. (Notch)	3.0 m	20 cm
3.	3.8 m	21 cm
4. (Badger)	3.4 m	22 cm
5. (ICL)	2.4 m	18 cm
6. (Centaur)	3.0 m	40 cm
7.	8.0 m	20 cm
8.	6.8 m	100 cm
9.	9.0 m	100 cm
10. (Ventifact)	10.7 m	38 cm
11.	12.0 m	100 cm
12.	7.0 m	50 cm
13.	17.8 m	57 cm
14.	22.3 m	35 cm

Interior—Geological Survey, Reston, Va.—1984—G83061



A high-resolution lander mosaic (evening) was transformed to produce the donut-like presentation of the horizon. Lander tilt was removed from the projection. A second transformation was used to connect elevation angles relative to the local vertical to a radius function and azimuth relative to north to a circular function. The result is a polar stereographic projection with the property that camera distortions resulting from different sampling at different elevations are removed. IPL picture I.D. 78/01/09/205946.

DESCRIPTION OF SCENE

This panorama of the Viking 2 landing site on Utopia Planitia shows a flat, boulder-strewn landscape that is part of the vast plains that occupy much of the northern hemisphere of Mars. Large blocks are more numerous than at the Viking 1 site and are almost monotonous in their similarity to one another. Most blocks are subangular, equidimensional, and have numerous pits or holes a few millimeters to a few centimeters in diameter that impart a sponge-like appearance. The most obvious interpretation is that these pits are volcanic vesicles formed by solidification from a frothy gas-charged lava. In the interblock areas is a fine-grained material, that in some places is banked into small drifts between blocks (line 325, sample 7100), and in other places forms patches of pebbly fragments (line 1000, sample 5600). The surface has a windswept or scoured appearance, and some boulders appear to stand on pedestals of protected fine-grained material (line 330, sample 5250). In many of the interblock areas, the fine material forms a discontinuous crust which breaks into platy fragments (line 870, sample 6450). A series of interconnecting troughs, typically 1 m wide and 10-15 cm deep, are seen at the Lander 2 site and not at the Lander 1 site (line 300, sample 4660; line 550, sample 7450). Several small drifts of fine-grained material occupy the floor of the troughs (line 455, sample 5600) which are relatively free of blocks. The troughs form a polygonal network that probably developed from contraction either by cooling of lava or from thermal expansion and contracting of frozen ground. Desiccation of water-saturated soils could also cause similar cracking.

Several flat-topped bluffs or plateaus are barely visible in the far distance just above the horizon (line 125, sample 8500); these features may be parts of ejecta flow lobes from the large impact crater, Mie, that lies about 170 km east of the Lander site.

Parts of the spacecraft visible in the panorama from the left are: the cover of a Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generator (RTG) (line 200, sample 800); color test chart for calibration of the cameras (line 400, sample 900); seismology instrument (line 500, sample 1200); a second color test chart (line 550, sample 1400); tubing and mast of the high gain S-Band antenna (line 200, sample 1900); the second RTG cover (line 330, sample 2600); support structure (line 1130, sample 4200); stroke gage (line 900, sample 4300) and shock absorber of Leg 2 (line 1250, sample 4600); the boom on which the meteorological instruments are mounted (line 320, sample 5100); steel-brush assembly for cleaning magnets on the backhoe of the surface sampler (line 1300, sample 6100); the housing used to store the meteorological instruments during transit to Mars (line 1200, sample 7500); and the surface sampler (line 300, sample 7800). Only part of the surface sampler is shown in the mosaic of three pictures. Most picture-taking sequences for the Viking cameras were planned to avoid parts of the lander and to image as much of the surface as possible.

The bottom edge of the panorama is about 1.7 m from the camera. If the surface were perfectly smooth and level, the horizon would be 3 km away.



VERTICAL VIEW SHOWING VIKING LANDER 2 ORIENTATION
Grid is in spacecraft coordinates



OUTLINE OF CAMERA 1 VIEW SHOWING CAMERA EVENTS USED IN MOSAIC

THE VIKING MISSION

Two Viking spacecraft, each consisting of an orbiter and lander, were launched from Kennedy Space Center on August 20 and September 9, 1975. The Viking 1 spacecraft arrived at Mars on June 19, 1976, and was placed in a highly elliptic orbit around the planet at a periapsis altitude of nearly 1500 km. The orbiter cameras were used in conjunction with other instrumental methods to find a suitable landing site for the lander. After about 30 days in orbit, the lander was separated from the orbiter, and on July 20, 1976, Viking Lander 1 touched down on the surface of Mars at lat 22.483° N.* and long 47.968° W. (Morris and Jones, 1980) on the west edge of a large basin called Chryse Planitia. It landed in a stable position at a 3° tilt downward in the direction 284.9° clockwise from north.

The side of the lander on which the two cameras are mounted faces southeast. When the cameras are pointed in a direction normal to the front of the lander, the viewing direction is 141.6° clockwise from north along the horizon. The first picture from the surface of Mars, of an area near the lander's footpad 3, was taken immediately after landing by camera 2. During the ensuing 43 days, the cameras responded to all commands and successfully carried out their assigned mission. On September 2, the activities of Lander 1 were reduced to accommodate the planned receipt of data from Viking Lander 2.

On September 3, 1976, Viking Lander 2 successfully landed on Utopia Planitia of Mars (47.9667° N., 225.736° W.), more than 6500 km northeast of Lander 1 (Mayo and others, 1977; Davies and others, 1978). Lander 2 faces approximately north and tilts 8.2° downward in the direction of 277.4° clockwise from north. The viewing direction of its cameras when pointed in a direction normal to the front of the lander is 29.0° clockwise from north along the horizon. The cameras on Viking Lander 2 operated successfully for 61 days until the primary mission of both landers was completed on November 15, 1976, at solar conjunction.

During the primary mission, 454 pictures of the martian surface were processed from Viking Lander 1 data and 582 pictures from Viking Lander 2 data. The extended mission of Viking began December 15, after solar conjunction, and ended in June 1978. During this period, an additional 1636 pictures were obtained from Lander 1 data and 1311 pictures from Lander 2 data. A comprehensive description of the Viking primary mission and the results of eight scientific experiments on board the landers were published in the Journal of Geophysical Research (v. 82, no. 28, Sept. 30, 1977; see References).

*Latitudes are areographic (see de Vaucouleurs and others, 1973).

Viking Orbiter pictures 9813, 9814, 9815, 9816 showing the location of Viking Lander 2.

VIKING LANDER MOSAICS

The Viking Lander cameras acquired many high-resolution pictures of the Chryse Planitia and Utopia Planitia landing sites. Each picture is the product of computer processing on Earth of digital-image data transmitted from Mars as a result of "camera events" carried out by one of the lander camera systems. Further computer processing of data from a selected number of these events yielded a total of 10 mosaics. Two pairs of mosaics from Lander 1 data (one mosaic from each camera) consisted of one pair made from data taken in the morning (0700-0800 hours) and one pair made with data acquired in midafternoon (1400-1530 hours). Similarly, three pairs of mosaics for the Lander 2 site consisted of one pair between 0700 and 0800 hours, one pair at noon, and one pair between 1700 and 1800 hours.

Procedures used for processing the Viking Lander camera data were described by Levinthal and others (1977). The individual camera events used in each mosaic are identified in the outline of the accompanying camera view. Detailed descriptions and reproductions of these camera events were given by Tucker (1978). Copies of the Viking Lander pictures can be obtained from the National Space Science Data Center, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD., 20771.

The Lander camera system (Huck and others, 1975a) has selectable focus settings for a depth of field from 1.2 m to infinity in the high-resolution (0.04° instantaneous field of view) mode. The survey (low-resolution) mode has an instantaneous field of view of 0.12°; this mode was used in the mosaics only where no high-resolution data were acquired.

Each complete mosaic extends 342.5° in azimuth, from approximately 5° above the horizon to 60° below. A complete mosaic incorporates approximately 15 million picture elements (pixels). In order to manage the processing of such large data bases, each mosaic was compiled from four individual azimuthal sectors.

Most of the data used in the mosaics were selected from the primary mission. In some cases, extended-mission data were included where primary-mission coverage was absent or where the surface was obscured by the sampler arm. Further selection was made on the basis of optimum focus.

The image data were photometrically corrected (Huck and others, 1975b; Patterson and others, 1977; Wolfe and others, 1977) for differences caused by variations in exposure and for solar-lighting differences caused by minor time-of-day variations in the pictures of the set. The geometry was then transformed to a local Mars horizon and corrected for geometric camera errors (Patterson and others, 1977; Wolfe, 1981). The corrected pixels composing a sector were then combined by the computer into a single image, and an optimum contrast correction was applied.

The mosaics are composites of the best pixels of all the Lander pictures used for each sector. In the computer mosaicking process, the image data derived from the camera events for each sector were assigned priorities on the basis of quality or detail. These data were examined by the computer in sequence according to the priorities, and the best pixels of each data set were used for the mosaic.

The computer formatting of the Viking Lander mosaics was done at the Image Processing Laboratories of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory of the California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, Calif., under the general supervision of Elliott C. Levinthal of the Department of Genetics, Stanford University, who represented the Viking Lander Imaging Team. A detailed description of the multiple steps involved in the construction of the Viking Lander mosaics and an acknowledgment of the many people who assisted in the project were given by Levinthal (1980).

GEOMETRY OF THE MOSAICS

The cameras on the Viking Lander acquire data by sampling in equal increments of elevation and azimuth angle. In the accompanying mosaic, 2.9 mm subtends a 1° horizontal or vertical angle, regardless of the place of measurement within the panorama. If the martian surface were flat, one pixel (0.04°) on the surface would be 1 mm wide at -60° camera elevation and 2 m wide at the horizon 3 km away. Characteristically for this type of imaging system, most straight lines in the scene appear curved in the reconstruction. This representation of the picture data differs from that of a conventional camera having "point perspective" picture geometry, in which rays are projected from object space, through the perspective point in the camera lens, to an image plane in the camera.

The geometry of the lander pictures is complicated by additional factors. Because both landers are tilted with respect to the horizon, on the uncorrected pictures the horizon resembles a sine curve. Computer rectification of the pictures results in a straight horizon along which vertical angles can be measured with respect to the local gravity vector, and horizontal angles can be measured from martian north. These angles are not related in any simple way to the azimuth and elevation angles given in "camera coordinates" for the unrectified pictures.

There are other geometric distortions due to the camera: optic path distortion that affects a light ray after it passes the camera windows; and camera-system distortions, or "bolt-down" errors, that are caused by the way the cameras are mounted on the lander. The geometric transformation used in creating the mosaics took into account the optic path distortion but not the "bolt-down" errors. However, along the horizon, the error in azimuth angle is equal to the rotational "bolt-down" error for each camera to an accuracy of less than 1 pixel. The scale "azimuth angles from Mars north" has been adjusted to take into account this correction.

The residual azimuth angle errors are less than 1 pixel along the horizon and become larger with steeper elevation angles and large lander tilts. For the worst case, Lander 2, camera 1, this error is a maximum of 5.7 ± 1 pixels at -60° elevation. The somewhat sinusoidal azimuth-dependent residual elevation error is a maximum of 3 ± 1 pixels for Lander 2, camera 1, and approximately 1 pixel for the other cameras.

REFERENCES

Davies, M. E., Katayama, F. Y., and Roth, J. A., 1978, Control net of Mars: February 1978: Rand Corp. R2309-NASA, 91 p.

Huck, F. O., McCall, H. F., Patterson, W. R., and Taylor, G. R., 1975a, The Viking Mars Lander camera: Space Science Instruments, v. 1, no. 2, p. 189-241.

Huck, F. O., Burcher, E. E., Taylor, E. J., and Wall, S. D., 1975b, Radiometric performance of the Viking Mars Lander cameras: U. S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration Technical Memorandum TMX-72692.

Levinthal, E. C., and Jones, K. L., 1980, The mosaics of Mars as seen by the Viking Lander cameras, NASA Contractors Report 3326.

Levinthal, E. C., Green, William, Jones, K. L., and Tucker, Robert, 1977, Processing the Viking Lander camera data: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 82, no. 28, p. 4412-4420.

Mayo, A. P., Blackshear, W. T., Tolson, R. H., Michael, W. H., Jr., Kelly, G. M., Brenkle, J. P., and Komarek, T. A., 1977, Lander localization, Mars physical ephemeris, and solar system parameters: Determination from Viking Lander tracking data. Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 82, no. 28, p. 4297-4303.

Morris, E. C. and Jones, K. L., 1980, Viking 1 Lander on the surface of Mars: Revised location: Icarus, v. 44, no. 1, p. 217-222.

Patterson, W. R., III, Huck, F. O., Wall, S. D., and Wolfe, M. R., 1977, Calibration and performance of the Viking Lander cameras: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 82, no. 28, p. 3491-4400.

Tucker, R. B., 1978, Viking Lander imaging investigation—picture catalog of primary mission experiment data record: National Aeronautics and Space Administration Reference Publication 1007, 558 p.

de Vaucouleurs, G. D., Davies, M. E., and Sturms, F. M., Jr. 1973, The Mariner 9 areographic coordinate system: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 78, no. 20, p. 4395-4404.

Wolfe, M. R., 1981, Viking Lander camera geometry calibration report: California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory Publication 79-54, v. 1, 36 p.

Wolfe, M. R., Atwood, D. L., and Morrill, M. E., 1977, Viking Lander camera radiometry calibration report: California Institute of Technology, Jet Propulsion Laboratory Publication 77-62, v. 1, 90 p.

VIKING LANDER 2 PHOTOMOSAIC
MORNING SCENE-CAMERA 1
1984

For sale by Branch of Distribution, U.S. Geological Survey,
1200 South Eads Street, Arlington, VA 22202, and Branch of Distribution,
U.S. Geological Survey, Box 25286, Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225.