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**SI UNITS AND U.S. CUSTOMARY EQUIVALENTS**

[SI, International System of Units, a modernized metric system of measurement. All values have been rounded to four significant digits except 0.01 bar, which is the exact equivalent of 1 kPa. Use of hectare (ha) as an alternative name for square hectometer (hm²) is restricted to measurement of land or water areas. Use of liter (L) as a special name for cubic decimeter (dm³) is restricted to the measurement of liquids and gases; no prefix other than milli should be used with liter. Metric ton (t) as a name for megagram (Mg) should be restricted to commercial usage, and no prefixes should be used with it. Note that the style of meter² rather than square meter has been used for convenience in finding units in this table. Where the units are spelled out in text, Survey style is to use square meter.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI unit</th>
<th>U.S. customary equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>millimeter (mm)</td>
<td>= 0.039 37 inch (in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>= 3.281 feet (ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilometer (km)</td>
<td>= 1.094 yards (yd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.621 mile (mi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.540 0 nautical mile (nmi)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>centimeter² (cm²)</td>
<td>= 0.155 0 inch² (in²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meter² (m²)</td>
<td>= 10.76 feet² (ft²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hectometer² (hm²)</td>
<td>= 1.196 yards² (yd²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilometer² (km²)</td>
<td>= 0.000 247 1 acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.000 861 section (640 acres or 1 mi²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.386 1 mile² (mi²)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>centimeter³ (cm³)</td>
<td>= 0.061 02 inch³ (in³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decimeter³ (dm³)</td>
<td>= 61.02 inches³ (in³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 2.118 pints (pt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 1.057 quarts (qt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.264 2 gallons (gal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.035 31 foot³ (ft³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meter³ (m³)</td>
<td>= 35.31 feet³ (ft³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 1.308 yards³ (yd³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 264.2 gallons (gal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 6.290 barrels (bbl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.000 810 7 acre-foot (acre•ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hectometer³ (hm³)</td>
<td>= 810.7 acre•feet (acre•ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilometer³ (km³)</td>
<td>= 0.239 9 mile³ (mi³)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume per time (includes flow)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>decimeter³ per second (dm³/s)</td>
<td>= 0.035 31 foot³ per second (ft³/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 2.119 feet³ per minute (ft³/min)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI unit</th>
<th>U.S. customary equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>decimeter³ per second (dm³/s)</td>
<td>= 15.85 gallons per minute (gal/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 543.4 barrels per day (bbl/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(petroleum, 1 bbl = 42 gal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meter³ per second (m³/s)</td>
<td>= 33.81 gallons per minute (gal/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 15 850 gallons per minute (gal/min)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gram (g)</td>
<td>= 0.035 27 ounce avoirdupois (oz avdp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilogram (kg)</td>
<td>= 2.205 pounds avoirdupois (lb avdp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>megagram (Mg)</td>
<td>= 1.102 tons, short (2 000 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.984 2 ton, long (2 240 lb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass per unit volume (includes density)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kilogram per liter (kg/l)</td>
<td>= 0.002 43 pound per foot³ (lb/ft³)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kilopascal (kPa)</td>
<td>= 0.145 0 pound-force per inch² (lbf/in²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.009 669 atmosphere, standard (atm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.001 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0.209 1 inch of mercury at 60°F (in Hg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>temp kelvin (K)</td>
<td>= temp deg Fahrenheit (°F) – 459.67/1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temp deg Celsius (°C)</td>
<td>= temp deg Fahrenheit (°F) – 32/1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The policy of the “Journal of Research of the U.S. Geological Survey” is to use SI metric units of measurement except for the following circumstance:

When a paper describes either field equipment or laboratory apparatus dimensioned or calibrated in U.S. customary units and provides information on the physical features of the components and operational characteristics of the equipment or apparatus, then dual units may be used. For example, if a pressure gage is calibrated and available only in U.S. customary units of measure, then the gage may be described using SI units in the dominant position with the equivalent U.S. customary unit immediately following in parentheses. This also applies to the description of tubing, piping, vessels, and other items of field and laboratory equipment that normally are described in catalogs in U.S. customary dimensions.

S. M. Lang, Metrics Coordinator,
U.S. Geological Survey

Any use of trade names and trademarks in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.
GIANT GLACIAL GROOVES AT THE NORTH END OF THE MISSION RANGE, NORTHWEST MONTANA

By IRVING J. WITKIND, Denver, Colo.

Abstract.—Giant glacial grooves both cut across and wrap around the north end of the Mission Range. Some of these grooves are straight, others crescentic, but all appear to be independent of stratigraphic units and of the gross structure of the range. They were seemingly localized by preexisting stream valleys whose original trends were determined by joints. The grooves are cut in bedrock, U-shaped in cross section, thickly veneered with till, and roughly parallel. Most range in length from 0.5 km to 3.5 km. Each groove maintains a uniform width, but widths range from about 50 in to 275 in. Depths of individual grooves vary widely, ranging from about 10 m to about 60 m. The grooves are probably contemporaneous in age, but their time of formation is uncertain; it seems to have been prior to late Pinedale time but after the pre-Bull Lake glaciations. Of the various interpretations offered to explain their origin, the one that appears to fit most of the facts suggests that those grooves at the northern tip of the mountains were carved by a lobe of the south-flowing Cordilleran ice sheet, whereas those farther south were likely formed by the westward deflection of a north-flowing Swan valley glacier.

A series of giant grooves both cuts across and wraps around the north end of the Mission Range in northwest Montana (fig. 1). These grooves are large furrows whose lengths are measured in kilometers and whose widths extend to hundreds of meters. Because of the thick forest they are difficult to perceive from the ground but are easily recognized from the air and on aerial photographs (fig. 2). Although some are straight and resemble the giant linear grooves of glacial origin described by Smith (1948) from the Mackenzie Valley in northwest Canada, others are curved and are crescentic in plan view. They have been known for many years, but little has been written about them. This paper describes the grooves, presents evidence that stamps them clearly as being of glacial origin, and offers several alternative interpretations regarding the influence of the extent of glaciers and the direction of ice flow on the origin of the grooves.

PHYSIOGRAPHIC SETTING

The Mission Range is an imposing north-trending topographic barrier flanked by the irregular-shaped Mission valley on the west and the linear Swan and Clearwater valleys on the east. The Mission valley is shaped like a bulbous bottle. Near its southern end it is about 25 km wide, but at its northern end it narrows to about 10 km. Flathead Lake occupies the northern neck of the bottle. The Swan and Clearwater valleys, by contrast, are long, narrow, northwest-trending valleys which range in width from 8 to 13 km. Their east edges are sharply defined by the steep, straight face of the Swan Range. Major rivers, flowing in opposite directions, occupy the valleys. The Swan River, in the Swan valley, flows northwest into the southern end of the Kalispell valley, where it abruptly changes direction to flow south and finally west through a narrow gap cut into the north end of the Mission Range to empty into Flathead Lake at Big Fork. The Clearwater River, in the Clearwater valley, flows south and is tributary to the west-flowing Blackfoot River. Both the Swan and Clearwater Rivers originate near the Clearwater divide, a low rise about midway in the length of the trench (fig. 1).

The Mission Range gradually decreases in altitude toward the north, and near Big Fork the mountains finally disappear beneath outwash. Here, the Mission valley and the Swan and Clearwater valleys merge to form the broad, flat Kalispell valley, about 25 km wide.

STRATIGRAPHY AND STRUCTURE OF THE MISSION RANGE

The rocks that form the Mission Range consist mainly of slightly metamorphosed fine-grained rocks such as argillite, siltite, dolomite, and quartzite in vivid hues of purple, red, green, tan, and gray. They are part of the Precambrian Y Belt Supergroup. Locally these rocks are intruded by thin dikes and sills of a dark-gray speckled diorite.

Structurally the Mission Range is an eastward-tilted fault block bounded on the west by the great high-angle Mission normal fault. The metasedimentary beds that form the mountains trend north parallel to
Figure 1—Index map of part of northwest Montana showing major physiographic features. Topography modified from the Butte, Glacier Park, Kootenai, and Spokane sectional aeronautical charts compiled and printed by the U.S. Department of Commerce.
the range and dip eastward toward the Swan and Clearwater valleys. These beds are broken here and there by both normal and right-lateral strike-slip faults, but these do not greatly disrupt the northward trend of the beds.

Three joint sets break the rocks. One set trends N. 12°–30° W., a second set trends N. 75° W. to west, and a third set trends about N. 80° E. All are either nearly vertical or dip very steeply at angles between 65° and 85°. Although the joints are mostly concealed beneath till in the area discussed here, they are well exposed farther south in the central part of the Mission Range, where they were studied by personnel of the U.S. Geological Survey during a geologic examination of the Mission Mountains Primitive Area (Harrison and others, 1969; M. W. Reynolds, written commun., 1977). Most of the streams and lakes appear to be controlled by one or another of these joint sets. Additional details about both the stratigraphy and structure of the Mission Range are given by Harrison and others (1969) and by Johns (1970).

**GLACIAL DEPOSITS**

The general area centered at Kalispell has been extensively glaciated, chiefly by a lobe of the Cordilleran ice sheet that time and again has moved southward from Canada into this part of northwestern Montana and then withdrawn (Richmond and others, 1965). Glacial drift is widespread. Much of the Kalispell valley is floored by outwash, and thick till mantles the lower slopes of the west flank of the northern Mission Range. This till can be traced until it ends as a wide terminal moraine south of Polson. The Swan and Clearwater valleys are floored by extensive deposits of unmodified tills that are marked by striking knob-and-kettle topography; kettle lakes, swamps, and undrained depressions are common. Drumlinoid features extend for almost the entire lengths of the two valleys. Glacial erratics, some as much as 5 m on a side, are profuse; and they show virtually no evidence of weathering. Locally the tills

---

1 The Flathead glacier of Alden (1953, p. 115).
in the Swan and Clearwater valleys are mantled by outwash that contains large lakes and undrained depressions, the result of the melt of former buried ice blocks. The general impression is that the drift, at least in the valleys, is almost unchanged, appearing much as it was when deposited.

Just how many times ice advanced into and withdrew from the area is uncertain. Most of the glacial deposits that now fill the valleys and mantle the mountain flanks are probably of Pinedale age. The youngest deposits, likely of late Pinedale age, are widespread in the Swan valley, in the northern half of the Mission valley, and in the Kalispell valley. They are best displayed in the upper reaches of the Swan valley where the till is dark brown, is very coarse, and consists of a heterogeneous mixture of unconsolidated gravel, cobbles, and boulders in a matrix of medium to coarse sand. Lithologically both the till and the accompanying outwash are dominated by clasts of green, gray, and purple argillite; tan quartzite and sandstone clasts are minor components. As this till is traced northward in the Swan valley, its matrix becomes silty and somewhat clayey, and it takes on a semi-consolidated appearance. The till ends in a low inconspicuous terminal moraine at the north end of Swan Lake, where it appears as a light-brown, silty to clayey till containing virtually similar lithologic types but in far less abundance. This silty till rests on a grayish-brown till that mantles the north end of the Mission Range.

Glacial deposits in the Clearwater valley were probably formed during early or middle Pinedale time. These deposits differ markedly from the younger glacial deposits. The till of these older deposits is light brown to brown, is semiconsolidated, and consists of an unsorted mixture of gravel, cobbles, and boulders in a silty to clayey matrix. The most striking difference is in lithologic content; this older till is strongly dominated by tan quartzite and sandstone clasts; the green, gray, and purple argillite clasts are minor components.

Another till, possibly comparable in age to the one in the Clearwater valley, mantles the very northern tip of the Mission Range. This till is grayish brown and ranges from semiconsolidated to unconsolidated; it consists of a heterogeneous mixture of gravel, cobbles, and boulders in a sandy to clayey matrix. Lithologically it appears to contain about as much tan quartzite and sandstone as various-colored argillite. In addition to these deposits, which can be related either to large valley glaciers or to one or more of the recurrent advances and withdrawals of the Cordilleran ice sheet, there are also many signs of alpine glaciation. Most of the higher mountains are dominated by a landscape marked by sharp jagged peaks and ridges separated by cirques containing small glacial lakes. U-shaped valleys are common, and many have small moraines blocking their mouths.

The older till that mantles the north end of the Mission Range partly fills the grooves; consequently its age is of keen interest. Some hint about its relative age is given by tenuous stratigraphic relations. It can be traced to an altitude of 1615 m along the crest of the range; above that altitude the only evidence of glaciation consists of sparse glacial erratics scattered across a thick regolith. These relations suggest two ages of glaciation—one during which the thick till was deposited and an older episode during which another till was deposited, one that has since been eroded leaving only the glacial erratics as relicts. This older till was probably deposited in either Bull Lake or pre-Bull Lake time. If so, the thick till (below the 1615 m altitude) must have been formed during either the middle or early stages of the Pinedale, or during the Bull Lake Glaciation. The abundance of kettle lakes and undrained depressions favors an early or middle Pinedale, rather than a Bull Lake, age; but firm evidence to support this age assignment is lacking.

**GLACIAL GROOVES**

Most of the grooves are confined to the northern-most 15 km of the Mission Range; they have not been found elsewhere in the Missions. But a few comparable grooves, seemingly related to those in the Missions, are just across the Swan valley along the west face of the Swan Range. The description of the grooves given here stems from a study of those carved in the Mission Range, but the descriptions apply equally well to those in the Swan Range.

The grooves in the Mission Range can be traced as an uninterrupted band from the north tip of the mountains to Crane Creek (fig. 3). Most come to an abrupt end at Crane Creek, although a few faint grooves continue along the west flank of the mountains. Those along the east flank of the range persist for another 6 km to the south. They are paralleled by similar grooves along the west flank of the Swan Range. The implication is strong that the sets of grooves flanking the Swan valley were formed contemporaneously.

Although individual grooves are much alike, two types can be recognized. Those at the extreme northern end of the Mission Range appear as short linear features that bend sharply to the south to merge with similar grooves along the west flank of the mountains. By contrast, those that are farther south appear as
semicircular furrows that form a crescentic pattern about the north end of the mountains. All grooves, thus, both parallel and transect the north-trending beds that form the mountains. This is very well shown on the aerial photographs; the north trend of the upturned beds can be seen as gray lines cut by the grooves (fig. 2). The linear grooves at the northern tip of the mountains (near Big Fork) trend either south, southwest, or west; and the eastern ends of several of the west-trending grooves curve to the north, giving them a sigmoid appearance. The abrupt southward bend of both the linear and semicircular
grooves parallel to the metasedimentary beds is well shown along the west flank of the mountains. A similar southward bend of the semicircular grooves occurs along the east flank of the mountains, but here the thick forest masks the grooves and they are not as apparent.

Although the grooves appear to be independent of the stratigraphic units and the gross structure of the Range, it seems very likely that most of the grooves reflect some form of joint control. Many of the grooves along the west flank of the Range trend about N. 15° W. (essentially parallel to the N. 12°–30° W. joint set), those along the crest trend about N. 80° E. (parallel to the N. 80° E. set), and a few grooves along the east flank of the Range trend about N. 75°–80° W. (nearly parallel to the N. 75° W. to west set). Possibly the joints determined the original drainage pattern in the area; when glaciers subsequently advanced into the area, the ice could have moved into and followed the pre-existing valleys, widening and deepening them.

In general, the grooves are parallel, but the parallelism is not exact. Locally some of the smaller grooves cut across and intersect the larger ones. Expectably the streams follow the grooves and, in a few places, have deepened them somewhat. A crescentic drainage pattern has developed on that part of the mountains marked by the grooves, and this pattern contrasts strongly with the modified dendritic pattern developed south of the grooved area (south of Crane Creek).

The grooves are cut in bedrock, which in many places is concealed beneath till and colluvium. Small kettle lakes, undrained depressions, swamps, and marshes are scattered irregularly across the till that mantles the floors of the grooves (fig. 2). Till is plastered onto the walls of the grooves, and here and there bedrock pokes through this till veneer as rounded and polished hummocks or smooth cliffs. Glacial striae and small grooves are widespread along the crest and flanks of the bedrock hills that separate the grooves; but the striae, at least, are short lived. They show clearly only near those places where the overlying till has recently been removed. The striae are collinear with the grooves, and in many places striae of several subparallel trends overlap. Possibly the different trends represent minor shifts in the direction of flow of the basal ice as a result of slight irregularities in the ice-buried surface or they may represent two ice advances widely separated in time—a concept that I consider unlikely in view of the delicate nature of the striae.

Virtually all the grooves are U-shaped in cross section. Most can be traced from a valley floor upward across the crest of the mountains and down the opposite side, where they seem to end at another valley floor. Viewed from the flank, the crest of the north end of the mountains has a distinctive notched appearance as a result of the grooves.

The majority of the grooves range in length from about 0.5 km to about 3.5 km, but these merge with other grooves, which are about as long but differ slightly in trend, to create the impression that some grooves can be traced for very long distances. For the most part each maintains a uniform width, but the widths differ widely, ranging from about 50 m to about 275 m. This uniformity is not true, however, of their depths; individual grooves range from about 10 m to about 60 m, as measured from the floor of a groove to the crest of the adjacent hill. Some parts of an individual groove have been cut more deeply than other parts: the grooves seem to be deeper along the mountain flanks than along the crest.

Although bedrock is generally exposed along the hill crests, it is concealed beneath a layer of till of variable thickness in virtually all the grooves. It is impossible, thus, to determine just how deeply any one groove has been cut into bedrock. In one groove, near Big Fork, a drill passed through some 120 m of bouldery clay before it penetrated bedrock (Les Averill, land developer, oral commun., 1976). This thickness may be unusual; I estimate the till layer to average 15 m thick.

Although some of the grooves begin and end at valley level, others seem to be perched high above the valley floor. This is especially true of those grooves that front on the narrow canyon where the Swan River cuts through the north end of the mountains (fig. 2). Here the grooves appear in both canyon walls some 60 to 90 m above the valley floor. One possible explanation for the elevated position of the grooves is that the canyon was cut after the grooves were formed. This cutting may have occurred when the northernmost tip of the mountains was still buried beneath wasting Cordilleran ice, forcing the ancestral northflowing Swan River to turn south and then west to seek an escape route across the mountains.

Origin

It is hard to visualize what could have formed these grooves other than large glaciers, for the evidence of a glacial origin is overwhelming. The grooves are in a glaciated terrain, and virtually all are U-shaped in cross section and mantled with till. Only here and there does bedrock crop out through the till cover; and where exposed it is rounded, polished, and locally
covered with small grooves and striae. These minor scours invariably are collinear or nearly so with the giant grooves. The grooves are carved in bedrock, and they cut across the north end of the mountains at all angles, seemingly in complete disregard of specific stratigraphic units or the gross structure of the range. (There does appear, however, to be some relation between their trends and the trends of the joint sets.)

Localization

Most of the grooves reflect both the spacing and trends of the joints. Presumably the joints were influential in determining the original drainage pattern that developed across the north end of the mountains. Subsequently, when glaciers advanced into the area, the stream valleys must have acted as channels for small lobes of the encroaching ice. As these lobes flowed into and followed the valleys, they must have modified them somewhat, possibly straightening them, and surely deepening and widening them. Although the trends of many of the grooves may have been determined by such valleys, it seems plausible to assume that some grooves—possibly the shallower ones—do not reflect preexisting topography but rather aligned concentrations of abrasive tools in basal ice.

Once the grooves were formed, subsequent readvances of the same lobe (or an advance of a younger lobe) very likely reoccupied them and continued to widen and deepen them. Thus, it may be that the grooves were not formed during a single ice advance, but represent rather two or more ice advances. The grooves may have been outlined during Bull Lake time and subsequently deepened and widened during early or middle Pinedale time.

Formation of the Grooves

At least two alternative interpretations are available to explain how the grooves were formed. The first, which I favor, is that they are the result of abrasion by rock fragments tightly held in basal ice. The second is that the grooves are the result of subglacial fluids, under high hydrostatic pressure and heavily charged with various sized rock and ice fragments. Glacial features formed by such processes are called "plastically moulded surfaces" (Embleton and King, 1975, p. 191).

Glacial scour

The polish, striae, and small grooves that mark the bedrock are all evidence that ice has passed over the area and, in so doing, has abraded and scoured the exposed rocks. These markings were formed by rock debris tightly held in basal ice. Once the ice flowed into and was localized by the preexisting stream valleys, the armed underside of the glacier acted as a huge rasp slowly grinding away the protuberances along the valley floor and sides. The action would have been especially effective if the abrading tools were concentrated in a narrow longitudinal zone.

Smith (1948), discussing the giant grooves in the Mackenzie Valley of northwest Canada, and Carney (1909), describing the far smaller grooves on Kelleys Island, Ohio, suggested that the grooves they described resulted from such a concentration. These tools armed the sole of the ice and concentrated the erosive processes. As quickly as the grinding and scouring formed a small groove, the ice spread and filled it and then continued to widen and deepen it. As Carney noted (1909, p. 645): "The weight of the ice mass keeps it moulded to the growing groove, which is enlarged so long as cutting tools, even grains of sand, are present."

Plastically molded surfaces

The crescentic nature of the grooves lends support to the concept that they may have been formed by fluid movement. Although plastically molded forms (p-forms) can be shaped by basal ice containing rock debris, most such forms are, in general, believed to have been eroded by subglacial fluids under high hydrostatic pressure. Typical media are water-soaked ground moraine, subglacial meltwater, and ice-water compounds (Embleton and King, 1975, p. 191-194). Such fluids, guided by subglacial tunnels or englacial tubes, are more likely to give rise to grooves that are curved and sinuous rather than straight. Presumably, grooves formed in this fashion might resemble somewhat meandering stream channels. These fluids, moving at very high velocities and consisting mainly of a solution of water and rock particles, attack the valley walls, scallop them, and carve such features as solution cups, potholes, and giant kettles. They also sandblast the rocks.

Although some of the grooves may have been formed by subglacial fluids, it seems likely that most, if not all, are the result of basal ice scour. Even though a few grooves are somewhat sinuous, most are straight or gently curved. Minor features such as potholes and scallops were not seen, though it is possible that they are buried beneath till. Where exposed, the striated bedrock lacks a sandblasted effect; instead, rather than a pitted surface, most of the striated bedrock has a high polish and locally almost a glazed surface. This type surface, it would seem, can result only from
continuous polish by basal ice containing a high percentage of very fine grained rock flour.

Most of the evidence favors the concept that the grooves were formed by basal ice scour rather than by the attack of subglacial fluids.

**DIRECTION OF ICE FLOW**

Although the origin of the grooves is clearly related to differential glacial erosion, the direction of glacier movement that formed the grooves is open to question. Smith (1948) noted that the giant glacial grooves in the Mackenzie Valley clearly record the direction of ice flows—west and then northwest. Although many of the grooves at the very northern tip of the Mission Range do indicate the direction of ice movement—essentially south-flowing—other grooves, especially those flanking the Swan valley, are ambiguous in that one is unable to state conclusively whether they were formed by north- or south-flowing ice. In this area, then, only some of the grooves are reliable indicators of direction of ice flow.

In general, the trends of the grooves suggest three possible interpretations: the first assumes that the grooves were formed by a glacier that flowed north in the Swan valley and that was deflected westward across the northern end of the Mission Range by the Cordilleran ice sheet (Johns, 1970, fig. 3); the second alternative assumes that the Cordilleran ice sheet, flowing south, overrode the north end of the Mission Range, bifurcated, and in so doing formed both the linear and crescentic grooves; and the third alternative, which I favor, is that the grooves were formed by some combination of both movements.

**Westward diversion of the north-flowing Swan valley glacier.**—This interpretation is based on studies of glacial striae and other evidence of glacial movement by Willis Johns of the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology. Johns, in his publication on the geology and mineral deposits of Lincoln and Flathead Counties, used a series of arrows to indicate his interpretation of the direction of ice flow (1970, fig. 3). The arrows imply that the north-flowing Swan valley glacier was deflected westward across the mountains. This interpretation is seemingly supported by the pattern of some grooves. Near the southern end of Swan Lake, the grooves trend about N. 30° W.; as they are traced northward they curve gradually (N. 40° W., N. 55° W., N. 75° W.), and finally trend west and then southwest (S. 65° W.) (fig. 3). Presumably this westward deflection occurred near the mouth of the Swan valley when the glacier encountered a southward-moving lobe of the Cordilleran ice. Then, either the glacier rode up onto the Cordilleran ice and was deflected westward across the mountains by the bulk of the lobe, or it piled up against the lobe until it became thick enough to flow across the north end of the mountains and empty into the Mission valley. In this interpretation the Swan valley glacier is literally forced to make a complete 180° reversal of direction— flowing north in the Swan valley and eventually south in the Mission valley. Although the concept fits much of the available data, my current mapping suggests that the last valley glacier to occupy the Swan valley never extended beyond the mouth of Swan Lake. (See section “Glacial Deposits.”) Deposits interpreted as a late (?) Pine-dale terminal moraine in that general area seemingly mark the farthest northern extent of the ice. But it is possible that an earlier glacier, also flowing north in the Swan valley, could have been diverted westward to form the grooves. Although glacial striae are of little assistance in determining direction of glacier flow (Flint, 1971, p. 95), other evidence, chiefly stoss-and-lee topography, does suggest that ice did flow westward at least over the southern part of the grooved area.

But the grooves that trend south and southwest across the northern tip of the mountains (see section “Glacial Grooves” and figs. 2 and 3) could not have been formed by the deflected Swan valley glacier. These grooves must have been carved by ice from the north that flowed south and southwestward.

**Bifurcation of the south-flowing Cordilleran ice sheet.**—This alternative assumes that the Cordilleran ice sheet, as it flowed southward, gradually overwhelmed the low northern tip of the Mission Range and eventually split into two lobes. One very broad lobe, west of the mountains, filled the north end of the Mission valley and extended south of Polson; the other lobe, a narrow, elongate mass, moved into the Swan valley, but how far south it reached is unknown. The striking south and southwesterly trends of those grooves at the northern end of the mountains imply strongly that all or part of this eastern lobe was deflected southwestward across the mountains into the Mission valley. But what deflected the ice? One possibility is that the feasible access route to the south—the Swan valley—was filled by a glacier. The lobe of ice piled up against this obstruction until it found an escape route into the Mission valley. Another possibility is that this eastern lobe did indeed flow southward in the Swan valley (possibly overriding the Swan valley glacier) until its thickness at the northern tip of the mountains was great enough to flow across the mountains.

**Some combination of the two previous explana-**
The third interpretation assumes that the grooves were formed by some combination of the two alternatives. Probably grooves at the north end of the mountains were indeed formed by the deflection of the eastern lobe of the Cordilleran ice to the southwest. Those grooves farther south, however (on the basis of stoss-and-lee topography), appear to have formed as a result of the westward deflection of the north-flowing Swan valley glacier. If this interpretation is valid, all the grooves between the north tip of the mountains and Hunger Creek were probably formed by the southwestward deflection of the eastern lobe, and all grooves between Hunger and Crane Creeks were probably formed by the deflected Swan valley glacier.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The grooves are clearly the result of differential glacial erosion. They are independent of stratigraphic units and of the gross structure of the mountains. Most apparently were localized by the preexisting stream valleys, whose original trends probably followed established joint sets.

2. The grooves are probably contemporaneous.

3. The grooves were likely formed prior to late Pinedale but after pre-Bull Lake time.

4. Some of the grooves are useful in recording the true direction of ice flow, but many are not.

5. It is uncertain which ice masses carved which grooves, but of the various interpretations, the one that fits most of the facts suggests that those grooves at the north end of the mountains were cut by the southwestward deflection of a lobe of the Cordilleran ice sheet, whereas other grooves farther south, which are crescentic about the mountains, were formed by the westward deflection of a north-flowing Swan valley glacier.
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Abstract.—Potassium-argon ages from basement granitic rocks that intrude serpentinized peridotite on St. George Island, Alaska, range from 50 to 57 million years, with an initial argon isochron age, interpreted as a minimum figure, of 52 ± 2 m.y. The age of the granitic rocks and their association with serpentinized peridotite near the continental margin, which apparently marks the site of a Mesozoic subduction zone, suggest that the position of the subduction zone shifted southward to the Aleutian Trench in early Tertiary time.

St. George Island is one of the Pribilof Islands, which lie between lat 56°35' and 57°11' N. in the Bering Sea, 350 km (kilometers) north of the Aleutian chain. The islands are situated near the margin of the continental platform that underlies most of the north half of the Bering Sea (fig. 1). They are made up mostly of olivine basalt and basanite flows, pillow breccias, pyroclastic deposits, sills and dikes, most of which are nepheline normative (Barth, 1956). The volcanic rocks on St. George are Pliocene and early Pleistocene in age (Cox and others, 1966; D. M. Hopkins and M. L. Silberman, unpub. data, 1975) and are interbedded with marine sand and gravel, glacially derived sediments, frost breccia, and wind-blown sand and silt.

Acknowledgments.—We benefited greatly from the helpful suggestions of W. W. Patton and M. A. Lamp here. J. L. Morton aided in the preparation of the figures.

BASEMENT

Serpentinized peridotite crops out for a distance of 5 km along the southeast shore of the island (fig. 2). In some places, the smoothly planed but warped upper surface is covered by marine sediments overlain by pillow breccia of Pliocene age (fig. 3); between Garden Cove and Sea Lion Point, the serpentinized peridotite underlies a glaciated marine terrace of middle Pleistocene age (Hopkins and Einarsson, 1966).

North of Garden Cove, the peridotite is intruded by a 400-m (meter)-wide composite granitic body referred to as “aplite” by Barth (1956). Its margin, about 3 m wide, is fine grained and contains alkali feldspar phenocrysts, some biotite, largely altered to sericite, and small amounts of garnet. The central part of the body, more mafic in composition, is a medium- to fine-grained granular rock consisting...

Figure 1.—Index map showing the location of the Pribilof Islands in the Bering Sea region.

Figure 2.—Location of serpentinized peridotite and granite outcrops at base of cliffs on southeastern St. George Island.
largely of plagioclase with interstitial quartz and potassium feldspar. Amphibole is present, largely altered to chlorite. In both rocks, the feldspars are heavily sericitized. Barth (1956) described these rocks in greater detail. The granite is younger than the serpentined peridotite that it intrudes and older than the alkaline olivine basalts that unconformably overlie it. The peridotite and the granite are the only outcrops of pre-late Tertiary basement found in the Pribilof Islands.

POTASSIUM-ARGON AGES

Because of its alteration and lack of potassium-bearing minerals, the peridotite is not suitable for potassium-argon age determination. We collected one sample from the center of the granitic body and one from the margin. Both samples are altered; the fine-grained margin is sericitized, and mafic minerals in the coarser grained central part are altered to chlorite. Feldspars in both samples are at least partially sericitized.

Purified mineral concentrates were prepared by J. A. Peterson, using standard heavy-liquid and magnetic techniques. For sample G199, from the margin of the granitic body, she prepared an alkali feldspar and a sericite concentrate, and for sample G200, from the coarser grained center, a potassium feldspar concentrate and a concentrate consisting of chlorite and minor interleaved sericite that had replaced amphibole. Potassium-argon ages and analytical data are given in table 1.

The potassium-argon ages of the four minerals from the two samples range from 50 to 57 m.y. (million years). The determinations on the two minerals from each sample are not in agreement within the analytical precision. Two of the dated minerals, G199 sericite and G200 chlorite, are alteration minerals, and the feldspars are also partially sericitized, suggesting that the potassium-argon ages may represent minimum ages.

Table 1.—Potassium-argon ages of mineral separates from the granitic body on St. George Island, Alaska

Table: | Sample | Mineral | K,01 | %40Ar* (moles/g)2 | %40Ar*/%40Ar | Apparent age (m.y.) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G199</td>
<td>Alkali feldspar</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2.53x10^-10</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>55.0±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G199</td>
<td>Sericite concentrate</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>2.41x10^-10</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>49.5±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G200</td>
<td>K-feldspar</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>5.54x10^-10</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>52.7±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G200</td>
<td>Chlorite</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.60x10^-10</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>57.2±2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Potassium was analyzed by flame photometry using a lithium metaborate fusion technique (Ingamells, 1970), the lithium serving as an internal standard. Mineral standards were used for calibration. Analysts: M. Cremer, J. H. Christie.


The data suggest an inverse relation between potassium-argon ages and potassium content of the mineral concentrates (table 1). A similar inverse relation has been noted in areas where small to moderate amounts of extraneous argon (argon-40 other than that generated by radioactive decay of potassium in place after crystallization) are present in a suite of rocks (MacDougall and others, 1969; Hayatsu and Carmichael, 1970; Shafiqullah and Damon, 1974). Extraneous argon-40 can produce anomalously old ages, the effect being greater in minerals of low potassium content because they produce less radiogenic argon over a given time period. The mineral containing the least potassium, chlorite sample G200 (table 1), yielded the oldest age among the St. George samples, as would be expected if extraneous argon-40 were present.
We note, however, that the sample having the youngest potassium-age (sample G199 sericite, 49.5 ± 2.0 m.y.) is not the sample having the highest potassium content.

The potassium and argon data from the granitic body on St. George Island are plotted on an initial argon diagram in figure 4. If the extraneous argon model applies, the intercept in this diagram would correspond to the amount of excess argon-40 present, and the slope would be proportional to the age at which the system became closed to gain or loss of argon. The age suggested by the slope of the initial argon plot (51.7 ± 1.9 m.y.) is not greatly different from the potassium-argon ages calculated conventionally on table 1, and the intercept suggests that the amount of excess argon-40 is small (3.3 x 10⁻¹² mole/gram) and that any redistribution of argon-40 took place soon after emplacement of the granite and was not caused by the volcanic activity that emplaced the Pliocene and early Tertiary age for the granitic body on St. George Island.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Marine geologic and geophysical studies indicate that the Pribilof Islands lie near the southern margin of a belt of volcanic and plutonic rocks and minor interbedded continental sediments of Late Cretaceous and Paleocene age (the Okhotsk-Chukotsk volcanic belt of Russian geologists) and near the northern margin of a belt of deep-water flysch deposits of Late Cretaceous age (the Koryak-Anadyr flysch belt of Russian geologists) (Marlow and others, 1976; Patton and others, 1976) (fig. 5). The Alaskan continuation of the Okhotsk-Chukotsk volcanic belt probably extends inland from the north shore of Bristol Bay, and the Alaskan continuation of the Koryak-Anadyr flysch belt lies on the continental shelf of the Gulf of Alaska from the Shumagin Islands through Kodiak Island (Burk, 1965; Marlow and others, 1976). The two belts are separated in northeast Siberia and southwestern Alaska by a belt, 100 to 500 km wide, consisting of shallow-water and nonmarine sedimentary rocks of Cretaceous and Paleocene age (Patton and others 1976).

On St. Matthew Island, 500 km north of St. George Island, the Okhotsk-Chukotsk volcanic belt is represented by calc-alkaline volcanic rocks of basaltic to rhyolitic composition that are intruded and locally
metamorphosed by a small granodiorite pluton. The volcanic rocks have yielded potassium-argon ages of 65 to 77 m.y. (Late Cretaceous) and hornblende from the granodiorite has yielded a potassium-argon age of 61 m.y. (earliest Tertiary) (Patton and others, 1976). Flysch deposits from the Pribilof Canyon that evidently represent the eastward extension of the Koryak-Anadyr flysch belt have yielded a microfauna originally diagnosed as Maestrichtian (Hopkins and others, 1969), but recent reexamination suggests that it is more likely of Campanian age (W. V. Sliter, written commun. to W. W. Patton, April 3, 1975). The Cretaceous flysch deposits in the Shumagin-Kodiak Island area are intruded by granodiorite and quartz diorite plutons having potassium-argon ages of 56 to 64 m.y. (Moore 1972).

Scholl, Buffington, and Marlow (1975) confirmed earlier inferences that during much of Mesozoic time the edge of the continental shelf in the Bering Sea formed the boundary between the North American-northeast Siberian continental plate and the Kula oceanic plate of Grow and Atwater (1970). After reviewing the alternatives, Scholl and his colleagues concluded that subduction probably shifted southward from the continental margin to the Aleutian trench-arc system during latest Cretaceous or earliest Tertiary time. These workers suggested that the serpentinitized peridotite on St. George Island marks a subduction zone formed when the North American-Eurasian continental plate overrode the Kula volcanic plate during Mesozoic time.

The potassium-argon estimates, association with serpentinite, proximity to Late Cretaceous flysch deposits, and location near the continental margin all tend to ally the St. George granitic body with the small plutons that intrude the Alaskan extension of the Koryak-Anadyr flysch belt rather than those which invade the St. Matthew segment of the Okhotsk-Chukotok volcanic belt. The age estimates of 50 to 57 m.y. for the St. George granitic body suggest that the shift in the position of the subduction zone from the southern margin of the Bering Shelf to the Aleutian trench-arc system took place in early Tertiary rather than in Late Cretaceous time.
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RADIOMETRIC AGES OF SOME CRETACEOUS AND TERTIARY VOLCANIC AND INTRUSIVE ROCKS IN SOUTH-CENTRAL ARIZONA

By NORMAN G. BANKS, ROGER D. DOCKTER, MILES L. SILBERMAN; and CHARLES W. NAESER, Menlo Park, Calif.; Denver, Colo.

Abstract.—Reconnaissance geologic mapping and radiometric ages provide the framework for correlation of Cretaceous and middle Tertiary volcanic and clastic rocks in the Vaca Hills and Eloy quadrangles, Arizona. The radiometric ages also record a middle Tertiary thermal event of much greater extent than suggested by the volume of middle Tertiary igneous rocks present in outcrop.

Four new K-Ar and five new fission-track ages are reported from five samples from the Vaca Hills and Eloy quadrangles, Arizona, about 70 km west of Tucson (fig. 1). The fission-track ages are from late Cretaceous or early Tertiary Laramide rocks which yielded K-Ar ages that are anomalous with respect to geologic relations. The K-Ar ages are from Cretaceous and Oligocene volcanic rocks. Modal, rapid-rock, and trace-element analyses are presented for four of the five samples dated (table 1).

ANALYTICAL METHODS

The K-Ar age determinations were made in the laboratories of the U.S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park, Calif. Argon content was determined by standard isotope-dilution methods (Dalrymple and Lanphere, 1969). Mass analyses were done with a Nier-type mass spectrometer operated in the static mode. Potassium was determined by a lithium metaborate fusion (Ingamells, 1962) flame photometer procedure with lithium as the internal standard. The fission-track ages for apatite, sphene, and zircon were determined by using the procedures outlined in Naeser and Dodge (1969), Naeser and Faul (1969), and Naeser (1976). J. L. Galey supplied sample VHNE-168N.

CRETACEOUS AND TERTIARY VOLCANIC ROCKS

Plagioclase from one specimen from a sequence of rhyodacite flows in the south-central part of the Eloy quadrangle (fig. 1) yielded a K-Ar age of 25.2±1.0 m.y. (million years) (sample E-2N, table 2). The flows now considered to be Oligocene on the basis of this age are isolated from other Tertiary rocks by Quaternary sedimentary deposits. Previously, the flows were considered to be of Pliocene or Pleistocene age. Plagioclase and whole-rock K-Ar ages of 22.2±1.1 and

Figure 1.—Index showing location of study area and samples.
RADIOMETRIC AGES, SOME CRETACEOUS AND TERTIARY ROCKS, ARIZONA

TABLE 1.—Chemical analyses and norms of igneous rocks, Vaca Hills and Eloy quadrangles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>VHSV-3N</th>
<th>VHSE-7N</th>
<th>E-2N</th>
<th>VHSV-168N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab. no.</td>
<td>M126587W</td>
<td>M126586W</td>
<td>M126588W</td>
<td>M126589W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chemical analyses

SIO2  54.88  67.0  66.6  70.1
Al2O3  16.98  16.0  16.0  15.7
FeO    2.75   2.4   2.4   2.3
Na2O  6.33   2.0   3.2   2.8
MgO   3.97   3.6   4.1   4.0
K2O   3.77   3.7   4.1   3.6
H2O+  1.4    1.5   0.6   1.2
TiO2  1.20   0.4   0.4   0.4
Fe2O3 0.68   0.2   0.3   0.3
MgO   1.40   0.8   0.6   0.4
CO2   1.5    0.2   0.5   0.3
Cl    0.021  0.006 0.016 0.003
F     0.068  0.076 0.036 0.026
S     <0.001 <0.001 0.041 <0.001

Total   99.45  99.9  100.0  101.3
Powder density  2.72  2.54  2.53  2.60

Quantitative spectrophotographic determinations,

1Results are reported to two significant figures and have an overall accuracy of ±15 percent except that they are less accurate near the limits of detection where only one digit is included.

2Ti values in percent.

Norms

Quartz    2.6  29.4  19.5  26.0
Orthoclase 18.8 22.1 24.1  21.0
Albite    35.3 30.7 34.4  33.5
Anorthite 19.3  3.0 13.2  12.3
Enstatite  9.9  2.5  3.0  2.3
Magnetite  0.0  0.7  1.2  1.0
Biotite   1.9  3.7  2.2
Ilmenite   9.1  8.1  2.5  1.7
Corundum   1.6    5.0  0.0  0.6
Apatite    0.0    1.5  1.7  1.4
Other      7.5    1.6  0.5  1.0

Total    99.5  98.2  99.5  99.3

Sample descriptions:

VHSV-3N. Trachyandesite (32°16.7' N., 111°42.1' W.; NESESEisNWis unsurveyed sec. 9, T. 12 S., R. 7 E.; Pima County, Ariz.). Dark-gray, fine-grained vesicular rock composed of 7-8 percent euhedral to subhedral plagioclase (An35-40), 0.5-1 percent magnetite phenocrysts in a felsitic to pilitaxitic groundmass composed of 50-55 percent plagioclase laths, 20 percent olivine and pyroxene, 5-10 percent glass. Accessory minerals include apatite and zircon. Vesicles are 10-20 percent of the rock.

VHSV-7N. Quartz latite (32°17.7' N., 111°36.3' W.; SESESEisNWis sec. 14, T. 13 S., R. 6 E.; Pima County, Ariz.). Light-gray to yellowish-gray plagioclase-dominated rock with 30 percent plagioclase (An33-35), 2 percent euhedral biotite, 0.5 percent plagioclase phenocrysts in a felsitic to pilitaxitic groundmass composed of 50 percent plagioclase (An35-40), 5 percent biotite, 50-55 percent glass, rare pyroxene, 1-2 percent hematite-magnetite. Accessory minerals are apatite and zircon. Vesicles are about 4 percent of the sample and are filled with unidentified isotropic and low-birefringent minerals.

VHSV-168N. Porphyritic basalt (32°16.7' N., 111°42.1' W.; NESESEisNWis unsurveyed sec. 9, T. 12 S., R. 7 E.; Pima County, Ariz.). Light-gray, fine-grained plagioclase-dominated rock with 30 percent plagioclase (An33-35), 2 percent euhedral biotite, 0.5 percent plagioclase phenocrysts in a felsitic to pilitaxitic groundmass composed of 50 percent plagioclase (An35-40), 5 percent biotite, 50-55 percent glass, rare pyroxene, 1-2 percent hematite-magnetite. Accessory minerals are apatite and zircon. Vesicles are 10-20 percent of the rock.

VHSV-169N. Quartz latite (32°17.7' N., 111°36.3' W.; SESESEisNWis sec. 14, T. 13 S., R. 6 E.; Pima County, Ariz.). Light-gray, fine-grained plagioclase-dominated rock with 30 percent plagioclase (An33-35), 2 percent euhedral biotite, 0.5 percent plagioclase phenocrysts in a felsitic to pilitaxitic groundmass composed of 50 percent plagioclase (An35-40), 5 percent biotite, 50-55 percent glass, rare pyroxene, 1-2 percent hematite-magnetite. Accessory minerals are apatite and zircon. Vesicles are 10-20 percent of the rock.

19.5±0.8 m.y., respectively (sample VHSV-3N, table 2), suggest that olivine-pigeonite trachyandesite flows in the Tertiary sequence exposed in the Vaca Hills in the southwest corner of the Vaca Hills quadrangle (figs. 1, 2) are late Oligocene or early Miocene in age. Shaifullah, Lynch, and Dannon (1976) obtained similar ages for trachyandesite flows in the Picacho Peak area, 50 km north-northeast of the Vaca Hills. At both of these localities the flows are underlain by red
TABLE 2.—Potassium argon dates, sample locations and analytical data, Vaca Hills and Eloy quadrangles

[^40K decay constants: $\lambda_e = 0.585 \times 10^{-10}$ year$^{-1}$, $\lambda_0 = 4.72 \times 10^{-10}$ year$^{-1}$. Abundance ratio: $^{40K}/K = 1.19 \times 10^{-4}$ atom percent. K analyses by L. Schlocker, argon analyses by M. L. Silberman]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Mineral dated and size fraction</th>
<th>Purity¹ (percent)</th>
<th>Impurities (percent)</th>
<th>K$_2$O analyses (percent)</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar (10$^{-10}$ rad moles per gram)</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar rad/40 Ar total</th>
<th>Apparent age (m.y.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trachyandesite</td>
<td>VHSW-3N 32°16.7' N. 111°42.1' W.</td>
<td>Whole rock 60-100</td>
<td>3.17 0.923 0.51 19.5±0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trachyandesite</td>
<td>VHSW-3N 32°16.7' N. 111°42.1' W.</td>
<td>Plagioclase 60-100</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.7 glass 0.602 0.199 22.2±1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz latite</td>
<td>VHSE-7N 32°17.7' N. 111°36.3' W.</td>
<td>Biotite 60-100</td>
<td>8.86 9.36 0.73 70.1±2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz latite</td>
<td>E-2N 32°33.0' N. 111°40.3' W.</td>
<td>Plagioclase 60-100</td>
<td>8.87 0.788 0.295 0.44 25.2±1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Purity was determined by counting 100–500 mineral grains and estimating the percentage of impurities in binary grains.
Formations lie on folded Mesozoic sedimentary rocks (fig. 3). The quartz latite and rhyolite flows and tuffs represented by the sample dated here directly overlie folded Mesozoic sedimentary rocks (fig. 3). If the correlations outlined are correct, as much as 2 km of the Cretaceous section is missing in the southern part of the quadrangle compared with the Cretaceous sections 10 km to the southeast and 14 km to the north (fig. 3). The absence of these rocks is attributed to nondeposition rather than to uplift and erosion during deposition of the Cretaceous rocks because (1) the Roadside Formation and Roskrug Volcanics to the south and the Claffin Ranch and Silver Bell Formations and Mount Lord Volcanics to the north are apparently paraconformable; (2) lithologically similar tuffs, ash flows, flows, and clastic beds occur in the Claffin Ranch and Silver Bell Formations of Richard and Courtright (1960) and in the lower and upper units of the Mount Lord Volcanics (Banks and Dockter, 1976; Dockter, 1977) which suggests that the depositional environment and the volcanic and clastic source areas were similar during the deposition of all three formations; and (3) only local channel fills rather than thick clastic deposits are found between the lower and upper units of Mount Lord Volcanics (Banks and Dockter, 1976).

LARIMIDE IGNEOUS ROCKS

Mauger, Damon, and Giletti (1965) presented seven K-Ar ages for Laramide age rocks in and near the Vaca Hills quadrangle—six from intrusive rocks near the Silver Bell mine and one from the lower unit of the Mount Lord Volcanics. All their samples were collected within 2 km of commercial ore bodies of the Silver Bell porphyry copper deposit near the eastern edge of the Vaca Hills quadrangle (fig. 1). The ages they reported are anomalous with respect to geologic relations (table 3), and each mineral separate had less potassium (in some, substantially less) than is possible for the minerals dated. Mauger, Damon, and Giletti (1965) attribute the low potassium contents and discrepancies between geologic relations and the radiometric ages to a combination of weathering, heating by younger Laramide intrusions, and heating and alteration associated with mineralization.

Five fission-track ages were determined in an attempt to clarify the problems raised by the ages given by Mauger, Damon, and Giletti (1965). Fission-track ages were determined for sphene, zircon, and apatite from the same sample site located in the porphyritic granodiorite-quartz monzonite by Mauger, Damon, and Giletti (1965) and for zircon and apatite from a sample of the leucocratic quartz monzonite from a site with fresher appearing rock than the site located by Mauger, Damon, and Giletti (1965). The results were surprising in that, within analytical uncertainty, the apatite ages from both units are identical and record a Miocene age (21.8 ± 4.3 and 19.2 ± 2.8 m.y., table 4). Likewise, the ages for zircon from the two samples are analytically indistinguishable (50.6 ± 3.2 and 54.0 ± 5.1 m.y., table 4), although older than the apatite ages, as expected by fission-track annealing experiments and field observations.
Silver Bell Formation of Richard and Courtright (1960) — Dark-gray to purple andesite, dacite, and rhyodacite flows and flow breccias, minor ash-fall tuff, nonwelded and welded ash-flow tuff, conglomerate, and limestone megabreccias

Clafin Ranch Formation of Richard and Courtright (1960) — Brown, tan and cream, locally purple tuff, arkosic sandstone, and conglomerate

Banks, Dockter, Silberman, and Naeser

FIGURE 3.—Distribution and generalized stratigraphic sections of Cretaceous volcanic and sedimentary rocks, Vaca Hills and Cocoraque Butte quadrangles.
apatite in the second sample. It seems equally unlikely lytically indistinguishable from those of zircon and small independent and relatively distant heat sources regimes resulted from a more regional event, perhaps two fission-track sample sites, which are more than reset the zircon and apatite in one sample to ages ana-
less than 3 m thick), and it seems unlikely that such thermal regimes could be produced by overlying Tertiary volcanic rocks or hidden stock-size Tertiary intrusive bodies at the two fission-track sample sites, which are more than 2 km apart. It seems more likely that such thermal regimes resulted from a more regional event, perhaps directly or indirectly associated with the middle Tertiary batholithic masses exposed to the north and east of the area (Creasey and others, 1977; Banks, 1977). Whatever the source of the heat, the possibility that it was associated with a regional middle Tertiary thermal event suggests that all radiometric ages for the pre-Tertiary rocks near the Silver Bell mine might be partially reset ages and offers another explanation in addition to those of Mauger, Damon, and Giletti (1965) for the discrepancies between geologic relations and the K-Ar ages.
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(Fleischer and others, 1965; Naeser and Faul, 1969; Calk and Naeser, 1973; Naeser, 1976). The sphere age (59.8 ± 6.5 m.y., table 4) is older than the coexisting zircon age and younger than biotite age (PED-21-59, Mauger and others, 1965), from the same sample site (sample VHNE-168N, fig. 1), but the assigned analytical errors overlap for all three minerals. The samples yielding fission-track ages were collected a minimum of 350 m (sample VHNE-169N, fig. 1) and 500 m (sample VHNE-168N, fig. 1), respectively, from the nearest known Tertiary intrusive rocks (each a dike less than 3 m thick), and it seems unlikely that such small independent and relatively distant heat sources would have thermally affected the minerals, let alone reset the zircon and apatite in one sample to ages analytically indistinguishable from those of zircon and apatite in the second sample. It seems equally unlikely that approximately identical thermal regimes could be produced by overlying Tertiary volcanic rocks or hidden stock-size Tertiary intrusive bodies at the two fission-track sample sites, which are more than 2 km apart. It seems more likely that such thermal regimes resulted from a more regional event, perhaps

Table 3.—Simplified Laramide igneous sequence, Vaca Hills quadrangle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lab No.</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>$F_0$</th>
<th>$F_1$</th>
<th>$F_2$</th>
<th>$F_3$</th>
<th>$F_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-168N</td>
<td>DF-890</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>0.0787</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>21.8±6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-168N</td>
<td>DF-891</td>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>50.4±3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-168N</td>
<td>DF-692</td>
<td>Sphene</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>59.8±6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-169N</td>
<td>DF-1052</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>19.1±2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-169N</td>
<td>DF-1051</td>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>34.0±5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(See table 1 for sample descriptions.)

Table 4.—Fission-track analytical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lab No.</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>$F_0$</th>
<th>$F_1$</th>
<th>$F_2$</th>
<th>$F_3$</th>
<th>$F_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-168N</td>
<td>DF-890</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>0.0787</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>21.8±6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-168N</td>
<td>DF-891</td>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>50.4±3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-168N</td>
<td>DF-692</td>
<td>Sphene</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>59.8±6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-169N</td>
<td>DF-1052</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>19.1±2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHNE-169N</td>
<td>DF-1051</td>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>34.0±5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(See table 1 for sample descriptions.)


GRANITE OF ROSALIE PEAK, A PHASE OF THE 1700-MILLION-YEAR-OLD MOUNT EVANS PLUTON, FRONT RANGE, COLORADO

By BRUCE BRYANT and CARL E. HEDGE, Denver, Colo.

Abstract.—The Rosalie Granite was a name applied by S. H. Ball in 1906 to a granite which forms a ridge between Mount Evans and Mount Rosalie (renamed Rosalie Peak). The type locality originally designated for the Rosalie Granite was in a pluton (later called the Rosalie lobe) 10 kilometers southeast of Rosalie Peak on Deer and Elk Creeks. The name “Rosalie Granite” was abandoned by T. S. Levering in 1929 because the granite of the Rosalie lobe is actually the distinctly younger Pikes Peak Granite, only 1,030 m.y. (million years) old, whereas the “Rosalie Granite” between Mount Evans and Rosalie Peak is a felsic phase of the 1,700-m.y.-old Mount Evans pluton. In addition, the Rosalie Granite has more MgO and Sr and less Na₂O, F, and Rb than the Pikes Peak Granite, and the two granites differ petrographically. In order to avoid confusion in correlation, the name Rosalie should not be applied to rocks in this area. Therefore, we propose that the Rosalie lobe be renamed Lone Rock pluton and that the “Rosalie Granite” be informally referred to as the granite of Rosalie Peak until it can be attached to a formal geologic rock unit.

Ball’s (1906, 1908) work in the Georgetown 15-minute quadrangle about 50 km west of Denver included the first detailed study of granites in the Colorado Front Range (figs. 1 and 2). In that study, Ball (1906) named the Rosalie Granite for exposures between Mount Rosalie (renamed Rosalie Peak) and Mount Evans, although the type locality he designated for the Rosalie Granite was between Deer and Elk Creeks in the southeastern part of the Georgetown quadrangle, about 10 km from Rosalie Peak, in a pluton separate from the one on Rosalie Peak (fig. 2). Levering (1929) recognized that the granite at the type locality of the Rosalie correlates with the Pikes Peak Granite and is part of a satellitic pluton of the Pikes Peak batholith. This satellitic pluton has become known as the Rosalie lobe of the Pikes Peak batholith. Consequently, the granite of the two small plutons which Ball (1908) mapped as Rosalie Granite within the Mount Evans batholith was also considered to be Pikes Peak Granite. At that time Levering (1929) officially abandoned the name “Rosalie Granite,” although some workers have used it more recently (Puffer, 1972). In this report the informal name, granite of Rosalie Peak, will be used for the “Rosalie Granite” within the Mount Evans pluton.

Ball (1908) found several small bodies of granite that he correlated with the Silver Plume Granite cutting bodies of the granite of Rosalie Peak in the Mount Evans area, and he tentatively concluded that the Silver Plume was younger than the granite of Rosalie Peak. Because of the erroneous correlation of the granite of Rosalie Peak with the Pikes Peak Granite, it was thought for many years that the Silver Plume Granite was younger than the Pikes Peak
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YANKEE CREEK PLU T U NATION

39°30' YXu

EXPLANATION

Pikes Peak Granite
(Precambrian Y)

Quartz monzonite
(Precambrian Y)

Undifferentiated metamorphic and granitic rocks (Precambrian Y and X)

Granite and quartz monzonite
(Precambrian X)

Gneissose granodiorite
(Precambrian X)

Figure 2.—Plutons in the Mount Evans area, outline of the Georgetown 15-minute quadrangle, and location of analyzed sample.

Boos and Aberdeen, 1940; Lovering and Goddard, 1950; Chronic and Chronic, 1972). Adding to the confusion, Lovering (1929) observed gradations between granite in the Mount Evans area and a pluton he mapped as Pikes Peak Granite in Bruno Gulch to the southwest (Lovering, 1936). This observation led him to infer a close relationship in time of emplacement and origin. More recent work (Robinson and others, 1974) has shown that the pluton in Bruno Gulch is indeed related to the rocks on Mount Evans but is not Pikes Peak Granite. The idea that the older gneissose granitic rocks and the younger Pikes Peak Granite were genetically related led to the designation of many bodies of foliated granitic rocks in the Precambrian of central Colorado as Pikes Peak Granite (Stark and others, 1949; Stark and Barnes, 1985).

Confusion as to the relative age of the granitic rocks of the Front Range has been largely dispelled by isotopic dating during the past two decades. Three principal Precambrian intrusive suites with distinct ages are now recognized: foliated granodiorite and quartz monzonite about 1700 m.y. old (Boulder Creek Granodiorite), generally nonfoliated quartz monzonite and granite about 1430 m.y. old (Silver Plume Quartz Monzonite), and a subalkalic granite 1030 m.y. old (Pikes Peak Granite). In the Georgetown quadrangle, Boulder Creek Granodiorite composes the Mount Evans batholith, Silver Plume Quartz Monzonite composes the Yankee Creek pluton, and the Pikes Peak Granite composes the pluton (Rosalie lobe) southeast of the Mount Evans batholith (figs. 1 and 2; Peterman and Hedge, 1968; Hedge, 1969; Stern and others, 1971; Barker and others, 1976). Yet the idea that the Silver Plume is younger than the Pikes Peak persists in some publications (Chronic and Chronic, 1972). To dispel further confusion caused by the use of the name “Rosalie,” we suggest that the term Rosalie lobe be abandoned and that the new name Lone Rock pluton be adopted for the satellite pluton because it is composed of Pikes Peak Granite which is unrelated to the granite of Rosalie Peak. Lone Rock is a prominent outcrop near the south margin of the pluton in NE 1/4 sec. 10, T. 7 S., R. 72 W., just north of U.S. Highway 285 in the Bailey 7.5-minute quadrangle.

During reconnaissance mapping of the Denver 1° x 2° quadrangle, Bryant reexamined the plutons in the Georgetown 15-minute quadrangle and noted textural differences between the granite of Rosalie Peak and the Pikes Peak Granite. The granite of Rosalie Peak resembles rocks of Boulder Creek age elsewhere in the Front Range. To test this observation, Bryant collected a sample of granite from one of the plutons within the Mount Evans batholith, from the headwall of a cirque northwest of Rosalie Peak, and Hedge determined the Sr-isotope and Rb contents.

AGNE OF THE GRANITE OF ROSALIE PEAK

The granite of Rosalie Peak has a Rb/Sr ratio of 0.513 and a Sr/Sr ratio of 0.7144. Assuming an initial Sr/Sr ratio of 0.7024 as given by the other samples from the Mount Evans batholith, the granite of Rosalie Peak has an age of 1660 ± 60 m.y. Figure 3 shows the isochron for the Mount Evans batholith; the solid dot is the sample of the granite of Rosalie Peak. While the age of 1660 ± 60 m.y. is not precise, it does demonstrate that the granite of Rosalie Peak is in the same age group as other samples from the approximately 1700-m.y.-old Mount Evans batholith (fig. 3).

FIELD AND PETROGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Petrographically, as well as in age, the granite of Rosalie Peak differs from the Pikes Peak Granite. A
grained equigranular to porphyritic granite and quartz monzonite. The rock is gray to light pinkish gray. Microcline in this granite is pale pink to pinkish gray and imparts that color to the rock where it is especially abundant. In contrast the potassic feldspar in the Pikes Peak Granite is moderate pink in many places. Carlsbad-twinned microcline is commonly a centimeter long and is as much as 4 cm long in some phenocrysts.

Granite of Rosalie Peak, which forms a pluton northeast of Rosalie Peak, intrudes the Mount Evans batholith and contains rotated inclusions of coarse-grained granodiorite gneiss from that batholith. However, the granite also locally has a weak foliation suggesting that it was emplaced before the end of the deformation that produced the foliation in the Mount Evans batholith. The weak foliation of the granite of Rosalie Peak is formed by aligned aggregates of biotite, whereas biotite in the Pikes Peak Granite commonly occurs as single grains rather than aggregates and is not aligned.

In thin section the granite of Rosalie Peak consists of anhedral to subhedral, weakly perthitic microcline; anhedral to subhedral calcic oligoclase to sodic andesine with some normal zoning; anhedral quartz in large grains and aggregates interstitial to the feldspars; anhedral biotite in aggregates; and accessory myrmekite, zircon, sphene, allanite, apatite, and opaque mineral.

Some of the petrographic differences between the Pikes Peak Granite and the granite of Rosalie Peak are related to distinctive mineralogic features of the Pikes Peak in contrast to the older granitic rocks. Puffer (1972) studied the mineralogy of the Pikes Peak Granite at the north margin of the Lone Rock pluton, adjacent parts of the Mount Evans pluton (Boulder Creek Granodiorite), and the Yankee Creek pluton (Silver Plume Quartz Monzonite). Chemical analyses (Puffer, 1972) of biotite from granitic rocks of the three plutons show that the biotite of the Pikes Peak Granite is very iron rich, compared to that of the older granitic rocks, and is similar to biotite from the main part of the Pikes Peak batholith (Barker and others, 1973). The high iron content accounts for the strong absorption of biotite observed in thin sections of Pikes Peak Granite. Puffer also found that potassic feldspar of the Pikes Peak Granite has a composition of $O_{60}$, compared to $O_{90}$ for that of the older granitic rocks. The low $O_r$ content accounts for the highly perthitic nature of the potassic feldspar grains of the Pikes Peak Granite.

1 Although he used the term "Rosalie Granite," his data are from the Pikes Peak Granite in the Lone Rock pluton.
CHEMICAL DIFFERENCES

Chemical analysis of a sample of the granite of Rosalie Peak shows that the rock is similar to the average fayalite-free granite of the Pikes Peak batholith in many respects (table 2). It also falls within the rather broad compositional range of the quartz monzonite of the Boulder Creek batholith and nearby dikes. The similarity to Pikes Peak Granite is probably fortuitous, for field observations indicate that the rocks composing the two plutons of granite of Rosalie Peak in the Mount Evans batholith vary considerably in proportions of plagioclase and potassic feldspar and, consequently, should have different contents of CaO and alkalis than the analyzed sample and the Pikes Peak Granite. The sample of granite of Rosalie Peak contained many components in quantities close to the average for fayalite-free Pikes Peak Granite, and the amounts of nearly all components fell within the ranges of values used to compute that average. However, the Pikes Peak Granite contains significantly more Na₂O and F and significantly less MgO than does the granite of Rosalie Peak. These differences are reflected mineralogically by the abundance of accessory fluorite, the iron-rich composition of the biotite, and the perthitic nature of the potassic feldspar in the Pikes Peak Granite.

The granite of Rosalie Peak has a lower Rb and a higher Sr content than the Pikes Peak Granite, and its content of those elements is similar to that in the enclosing rocks of the Mount Evans batholith and in rocks of similar age of the Boulder Creek batholith (fig. 4).

TABLE 2.—Chemical analyses of granite of Rosalie Peak, average fayalite-free granite of the Pikes Peak batholith, and quartz monzonite of the Boulder Creek batholith and vicinity

(Data are in percent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Quartz monzonite from Boulder Creek batholith</th>
<th>Granite of Rosalie Peak</th>
<th>Fayalite-free granite of Pikes Peak batholith</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>62.0-75.1</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>72.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>12.3-16.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>.34-4.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.69-0.38-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>.88-2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.88-1.7-2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>.76-1.6</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.14-0.03-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>.0- .80</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>.35-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>.02-.17</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.07-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>.18-.96</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.28-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>.04-.34</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.05-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>.04-.12</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.07-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>.02-.09</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.06-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>.01-.11</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.13-.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Range of analyses from 12 samples collected in and near Boulder Creek batholith (D. J. Gable, written commun., 1977).
2 Field no. 344; lab. no. W-191-343. Rapid rock analysis by single solution method by Hezekiah Smith. Total 101.52 percent. Sample from outcrop 1,200 m N. 44° W. of summit of Rosalie Peak and 350 m south of Clear Creek-Park County line. Harris Park quadrangle, 39°34'02" N., 105°36'52" W. Rock is composed of anhedral, somewhat strained quartz as much as 6 mm in diameter; anhedral to subhedral, locally bent plagioclase (An₃₀) as much as 4 mm in diameter; anhedral weakly perthitic microcline as much as 7 mm in diameter; anhedral brown biotite as much as 2 mm long in aggregates; accessory myrmekite, zircon, allanite, sphene, apatite, and opaque minerals; and secondary chlorite from biotite.
3 Data from Barker and others (1975, table 4). Ranges of values are reported only for components whose concentrations in the granite of Rosalie Peak differed significantly from the averages for Pikes Peak Granite.

CONCLUSION

Field, petrographic, isotopic, and chemical data all show that the granite of Rosalie Peak (Rosalie

FIGURE 4.—Plot of Rb contents versus Sr contents of Pikes Peak Granite, rocks of the Mount Evans and Boulder Creek plutons, and the granite of Rosalie Peak. Data from Hedge (1969).
Granite of former usage), which forms plutons within the Mount Evans batholith, is a felsic phase of the 1700-m.y.-old Mount Evans batholith and does not correlate with the Pikes Peak Granite. In order to eliminate the nomenclatural confusion that has evolved over the years, the name “Rosalie” should be used neither for rocks of these plutons nor for the satellitic Lone Rock pluton of the 1030-m.y.-old Pikes Peak batholith southeast of the Mount Evans batholith.

REFERENCES CITED


Abstract.—The Mitu Group consists of generally coarse clastic strata and volcanic rock of Permian and (or) Triassic age filling elongate basins that paralleled the general structural trend of the Peruvian Andes. Volcanic rocks of the Mitu Group include peralkaline and nonperalkaline rhyolite and subalkaline basalt. To our knowledge, the peralkaline rhyolites are the first of this rock type reported from South America. The presence of appreciable volumes of peralkaline rhyolite and basalt supports the interpretation that the Mitu Group was deposited in major graben structures that resulted from lithospheric extension produced by rifting or possible back-arc extension.

The central Andes have a long and involved tectonic history. Mesozoic tectonism is relatively well known, and the basic features of Cenozoic deformation and uplift presently are being worked out. Western South America also underwent major deformation prior to the Mesozoic, a fact long known but not generally appreciated.

Precambrian rocks are exposed in various places in Peru and northern Argentina (Dalmayrac and others, 1971). A major pulse of deformation affected virtually the entire central Andes at the close of the Devonian (Mégard and others, 1971; Helwig, 1972, 1973), and it is not unreasonable to suspect the presence of one or more early Paleozoic episodes. Minor vertical movement appears to have taken place in Peru during the Mississippian (Mégard and others, 1971).

Southern Peru appears to have undergone compressive deformation during the early Permian (Mégard and others, 1971; Audebaud and Laubacher, 1969). Elsewhere, late Paleozoic tectonism appears to have been largely extensional, with the apparent formation of major graben structures oriented parallel to the general structural grain of the region (Mégard, 1973; Newell and others, 1958).
Lower Permian marine rocks and is unconformably overlain by rocks of the upper part of the Mitu Group and by Ladinian marine strata. Both radiometric ages suggest that an appreciable part of the Mitu Group is of Permian age.

Although the volcanic rocks of the Mitu Group are voluminous, areally extensive, and, in many places, remarkably well exposed and preserved, they are virtually unstudied. This paper reports on preliminary field, petrographic, and chemical studies which demonstrate that certain volcanic rocks of the Mitu Group are of the type commonly erupted in regions undergoing active extension.
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VOLCANIC ROCKS OF THE MITU GROUP

Volcanic rocks of the Mitu Group are well exposed in the mountain range northeast of Ayacucho, in central Peru (fig. 1), where they have been mapped by Mégard and Paredes (Mégard, 1973) and studied in the field by Noble. The volcanic rocks, which have a total preserved thickness of 1500 to 2000 m, consist of roughly equal amounts of silicic lava and tuff and olivine-bearing basalt. The volcanic rocks overlie clastic strata of the Mitu Group, which in turn unconformably overlie rocks of the Copacabana Group. The Mitu is subhorizontal in the central part of the range and dips moderately to the southwest along the western flank of the range (Mégard, 1973).

The volcanic rocks are well preserved. For this reason, a potassium-argon age determination was made on a dense, holocrystalline specimen of comendite lava to rule out the possibility that the rocks are of Tertiary age. The age of 131 m.y. (table 1) indicates that the rocks are definitely pre-Cenozoic. The age, however, represents a minimum age for the lava because the Mitu Group was affected by low-grade metamorphism during the Mesozoic (Mégard, 1968, 1973; Stewart and others, 1974; and petrologic evidence presented below).

Many outcrops of silicic lava show the coarse granophyric texture typical of crystallized peralkaline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>AYA-PAN</th>
<th>Tower</th>
<th>AYA-10A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of analysis</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe as FeO</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TlO₂</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Average of three determinations by X-ray fluorescence methods (Hebert and Street, 1974).
B. By neutron activation methods similar to those described by Perlman and Asaro (1969).
C. By nondestructive X-ray fluorescence methods; W. Kemp, analyst.
D. By atomic absorption methods, D. Bowden, analyst. S = <0.005 weight percent.

Sample locations:
AYA-PAN, 13°00.4' S., 74°06.0' W.
Tower, 12°58.7' S., 74°06.2' W.
AYA-10A, 12°57.2' S., 74°01.4' W.
rhyolite. Thin sections of peralkaline rhyolites from of the rocks. Aggregates of very fine grained iron oxides are texturally akin to those that replace original poikilitic grains and granular aggregates of groundmass sodic amphibole in comendites and pantellerites that have cooled under oxidizing conditions.

Chemical analyses have been made on two specimens of comendite (samples AYA-PAN and Tower, tables 2 and 3). An original peralkaline chemistry is shown by a number of major- and minor-element characteristics. The most distinctive chemical feature of peralkaline silicic rocks is their unusually high iron content (Noble, 1968; Macdonald, 1975). The comendites from the Mitu Group are very highly differentiated, as shown by the very low contents of Ca, Mg, Sr, Ba, Eu, Co, Cr, and Ni. Nonperalkaline rhyolites with comparably high SiO₂ and depleted alkaline earth contents have total iron contents of less than 1.5 weight percent and typically less than 1 weight percent, whereas the rhyolites from the Mitu Group have total iron as FeO contents of 2.6 and 3.8 weight percent. Peralkaline rhyolites also have high to very high concentrations of zirconium, hafnium, yttrium, and the heavy rare earths (Ewart and others, 1968; Noble and others, 1969; Macdonald and Bailey, 1973), elements that are markedly depleted in very highly differentiated nonperalkaline rhyolites. The high contents of iron, zirconium, hafnium, yttrium, and the heavy rare earths result from the presence of excess alkali in the magma, which stabilizes iron and large highly charged cations within the melt (Dietrich, 1968; Noble, 1968; Noble and Haffty, 1969; Melchior-Larsen and Steenfelt, 1974). Peralkaline silicic melts have high Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ ratios and are characterized by low oxygen fugacities (Noble, 1968; Nicholls and Carmichael, 1969). Very extensive feldspar separation and low oxygen fugacity during intratelluric crystallization are demonstrated by the extreme europium depletion of specimen AYA-PAN (table 3); the rock possesses only 2 percent of the europium that would be present in an unfractrated specimen having identical contents of the other rare earth elements. Similar depletion of europium has been found for a number of peralkaline rhyolites from the Western United States (D. C. Noble, unpub. data, 1976). Appreciable, although not as extreme, depletion of europium also has been reported in peralkaline rhyolites from New Zealand (Ewart and others, 1968) and Pantelleria (Villari, 1975). Also highly suggestive of original peralkaline chemistry are the somewhat low Al₂O₃ and high TiO₂ contents of the rocks (see Noble, 1968; Macdonald and Bailey, 1973).

Despite the strong indications of peralkaline chemistry, the rocks do not presently have an atomic excess of alkali over aluminum, the chemical definition of peralkalinity. Loss of sodium during primary crystallization is a ubiquitous feature of peralkaline silicic igneous rocks (Noble, 1968; 1970; Macdonald and Bailey, 1973). Appreciable amounts of sodium thus almost certainly were lost during primary granophyric

### Table 3.—Minor-element analyses, in parts per million, of peralkaline rhyolite AYA-PAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Analysis A</th>
<th>Analysis B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>(148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20(48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
crystallization. Potassium and perhaps additional sodium appear to have been lost later, probably during metamorphism (compare Anderson, 1968); deuteritic alteration producing fine-grained white micas does not occur during natural primary crystallization of peralkaline silicic magmas. Experience with Cenozoic volcanic rocks suggests that small amounts of magnesium, calcium, and strontium may have been added by groundwater subsequent to eruption.

Peralkaline rhyolite is exposed over an area of at least 50 km² between Ayacucho and San Miguel, and reconnaissance observations strongly suggest that similar rocks are present over a much larger area. A belt of rocks of the Mitu Group extends for almost 200 km from north of Ayacucho southeastward towards Cuzco (fig. 1), and much of this belt may consist of originally peralkaline rhyolite.

Silicic tuffs of the Mitu Group exposed east of Lake Junin, near Tarma, and east of Huancayo (fig. 1) have been examined by Noble. These rocks contain appreciable amounts of phenocrystic biotite, a mineral that is rare in peralkaline rhyolite. It thus appears that part of the volcanic rocks of the Mitu Group consists of nonperalkaline rhyolite.

A chemical analysis of a specimen of olivine-bearing basalt (sample AYA-10A, table 2) is very similar to those of many moderately potassic, somewhat differentiated, subalkaline basalts commonly erupted in continental areas undergoing crustal extension, such as the Western United States (for example, Wright and others, 1973; Gunn and Watkins, 1970; Noble and others, 1973).

DISCUSSION

Peralkaline silicic volcanic rocks typically are erupted in regions undergoing crustal extension. These include spreading centers in oceanic regions and areas of continental rifting and back-arc extension (for example, Barth, 1945; LeBas, 1971; Baker and others, 1972; Noble and Parker, 1975). In such settings, peralkaline rhyolites are commonly associated with appreciable volumes of nonperalkaline silicic volcanic rock, and the presence of nonperalkaline rhyolite in the Mitu Group does not contradict the tectonic implications of the peralkaline types.

Available geologic and geochemical evidence strongly suggests that peralkaline silicic rocks are produced by the protracted fractionation of originally hypersthene-normative basaltic magma. The parental basaltic magma may have a considerable range in the contents of large-ion lithophile elements (for example, Korringa and Noble, 1972; Noble and Christiansen, 1974). The presence of peralkaline rhyolite thus implies the generation and presence at relatively shallow levels of large volumes of mafic magma, a conclusion supported by the voluminous basalts associated with the peralkaline rhyolites.

The tectonic regime responsible for crustal extension and basalt-related volcanism in Peru during latest Paleozoic and (or) earliest Mesozoic time is unclear. Scattered data (for example, Burgl, 1967; Radelli, 1962; Pushcharovskiy and Arkhipov, 1972) suggest that extension and silicic alkaline volcanism occurred elsewhere along the west coast of South America at about the same time. Thus, extension and related volcanism could be ascribed to rifting associated with the onset of major crustal fragmentation at the end of the Paleozoic. Both tectonic (Mégard and others, 1971; Miller, 1971) and paleogeographic (Isaacsom, 1975) arguments favor the existence of a western extracrustal continental land mass during the Devonian. This inferred land mass may perhaps be explained by alternative reconstructions (Le Pichon and Fox, 1971; Walper and Rowett, 1972; Vine, 1973; Van der Voo and others, 1976) that place northwestern South America in contact with southeastern North America in the Permian. Such a land mass may have separated during the latest Paleozoic along a rift line approximately parallel to the present Peru-Chile trench.

The presence of a magmatic arc parallel to the axis of the Peru-Chile trench (Mégard, 1973; James, 1971) shows unquestionably that by late Triassic time subduction was occurring along the western coast of central South America. The onset of subduction probably followed an episode of rifting, as discussed above. However, if, as suggested by Helwig (1972, 1973), subduction occurred along the western coast of central South America during Mitu time, then the faulting and peralkaline volcanism could perhaps be interpreted as an intracontinental analog of back-arc extension.
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FUSION OF GRANODIORITE BY BASALT, CENTRAL SIERRA NEVADA


Abstract.—A trachybasalt plug, 100 m in diameter, has partially fused inclusions and wallrock of porphyritic granodiorite near Tuolumne Meadows, Yosemite National Park, Calif. Granodiorite surrounding the plug was altered within distances of about 3.5 m. Within this distance, (1) trace amounts of glass occur along fractures and grain boundaries, (2) biotite has been altered from dark olive to dark reddish brown and contains bands of fine reddish iron oxide grains, and (3) optic axial angles of potassium feldspar decrease toward the contact.

As much as 20 volume percent of glass occurs in the granodiorite in a reentrant and in inclusions within the plug. Detailed study of two partially fused samples shows that, relative to unfused granodiorite, SiO₂ is clearly depleted in both samples, whereas K₂O is depleted in one but not the other. Total iron, Al₂O₃, MgO, Na₂O, and H₂O show apparent increases in both samples; other constituents show no significant changes. Chemical analyses suggest that much original material was lost from the partially fused rocks, probably by mass migration of melt rather than by chemical diffusion. The composition of glasses now present in the partially fused rocks was dominated by melting of quartz and feldspars, whereas the composition of the early-formed "lost" melt was strongly influenced by subsolidus reaction and subsequent melting of biotite.

The effects of thermal metamorphism caused by intrusion of mafic magmas into surrounding quartzofeldspathic rocks have been documented by numerous investigations, summarized by Wyllie (1961). Two localities have been described where mafic magmas have intruded and partially fused granitic rocks of the Sierra Nevada batholith, one near Bishop, Calif. (Knopf, 1938; Bateman, 1965), the other north of Mono Lake, Calif. (Al-Rawi and Carmichael, 1967). We have studied a third locality in the batholith, near the northwestern edge of Tuolumne Meadows on the south side of the Tuolumne River (lat 37°54.0' N., long 119°24.5' W.) in Yosemite National Park, Calif. Prior to our study, Calk and Naeser (1973) determined maximum reheating temperatures in the granitic rocks surrounding the mafic intrusion at this locality by analyses of fission-track retention in accessory minerals.

GEOLOGIC SETTING AND REHEATING TEMPERATURES

The trachybasalt plug at the Tuolumne River locality is irregularly shaped and averages about 100 m across (fig. 1). The contact between the plug and enclosing granodiorite is poorly exposed except along the northeastern edge of the plug, but it can generally be located within 1 m. The angularity of the contact suggests that the configuration of the plug was controlled by joints in the granodiorite. The age of the trachybasalt intrusion, as determined from the average of fission-track ages of apatite and sphene from closely associated granodiorite samples, is 9.4 ± 0.2 million years (Calk and Naeser, 1973). A trachyandesite flow at Rancheria Mountain 18 km northwest of the plug has been dated at 8.9 ± 0.2 m.y. by the potassium-argon technique (Dalrymple, 1963). The near concordance of these ages suggests that the plug may have been a feeder for the flow and that the present...
exposed level of the plug was not far below the surface at the time the plug was intruded.

The coarsely porphyritic granodiorite surrounding the plug, the Cathedral Peak Granite, originally described by Calkins (1930), crops out extensively in this part of the Sierra Nevada. This 80-m.y.-old intrusion (Evernden and Kistler, 1970; Calk and Naeser, 1973) cuts the older Half Dome Quartz Monzonite approximately 650 m northwest of the basalt plug. Granodiorite at the contact and 60 m from the contact was reheated to more than 645°C and 165°C, respectively, assuming 1 year of heating time, or to more than 590°C and 125°C, respectively, assuming 100 years of heating time (fig. 2). The presence of
glass in the trachybasalt indicates that cooling was rapid, at least at higher temperatures, and 1 year rather than 100 years is the more probable duration of heating. Calk and Naeser (1973) found no reheating effect farther than about 60 m from the contact. Between 4 and 60 m, the only evidence of reheating is loss of fission tracks from apatite, and, at 60 m, the apatite fission-track age of the granodiorite has been reduced by only 10 percent.

**PETROGRAPHY AND CHEMISTRY**

The porphyritic trachybasalt consists of unaltered millimeter-sized phenocrysts of olivine, clinopyroxene, plagioclase, and opaque grains set in a brown glassy groundmass crowded with crystallites or in a completely cryptocrystalline groundmass. Feldspar ranges from sodic to calcic labradorite. The content of ferromagnesian minerals varies greatly; in some samples, olivine is dominant, whereas in others, augite is the sole ferromagnesian mineral. Textures range from interstitial to hyaloophitic.

A sample of an augite-rich trachybasalt (sample 8, table 1) is distinctly alkalic, as are many other analyzed volcanic rocks of Tertiary age in the central Sierra Nevada (see Hamilton and Neuerburg, 1956; Huber, 1968; Bateman and Lockwood, 1970; Kistler,
TABLE 1.—Chemical analyses, CIPW norms, and poweder densities of trachybasalt and glass-bearing and glass-free Cathedral Peak Granite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical analyses (weight percent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>45.49</td>
<td>62.86</td>
<td>64.12</td>
<td>67.05</td>
<td>66.60</td>
<td>65.89</td>
<td>64.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>13.78</td>
<td>17.70</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td>16.44</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>16.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>29.78</td>
<td>29.65</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>29.43</td>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>29.67</td>
<td>29.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OnCl, F</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29.83</td>
<td>29.68</td>
<td>29.74</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>29.58</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td>29.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIPW norms (weight percent)

| Q | 2.03 | 2.04 | 2.05 | 2.06 | 2.07 | 2.08 | 2.09 |
| C | 2.10 | 2.11 | 2.12 | 2.13 | 2.14 | 2.15 | 2.16 |
| or | 16.10 | 15.10 | 14.10 | 13.10 | 12.10 | 11.10 | 10.10 |
| ab | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 | 6.76 |
| an | 14.95 | 15.15 | 15.35 | 15.55 | 15.75 | 15.95 | 16.15 |
| h1 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| wo | 12.49 | 12.49 | 12.49 | 12.49 | 12.49 | 12.49 | 12.49 |
| en | 8.73 | 8.73 | 8.73 | 8.73 | 8.73 | 8.73 | 8.73 |
| fs | 2.77 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 2.77 |
| fo | 13.01 | 13.01 | 13.01 | 13.01 | 13.01 | 13.01 | 13.01 |
| fe | 4.46 | 4.46 | 4.46 | 4.46 | 4.46 | 4.46 | 4.46 |
| mt | 3.51 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 3.51 | 3.51 |
| hm | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| fr | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 |
| cc | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

Powder density (g/cm³)

| 2.96 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.70 | 2.69 | 2.71 | 2.72 |

1974). The silica content is the lowest yet reported for volcanic rocks in the Sierra Nevada.

The granodiorite surrounding the plug contains conspicuous microcline phenocrysts that are commonly 50 mm long, tabular, and euhedral. The phenocrysts are set in a medium-grained, hypidiomorphic-granular groundmass consisting of anhedral quartz; subhedral to euhedral polysynthetically twinned, generally zoned plagioclase (An₀₉⁻An₁₈); interstitial anhedral microcline; subhedral to euhedral green biotite and hornblende; and accessory sphene and magnetite.

Trace amounts (less than 1 volume percent) of palaeyellow glass occur as thin films along fractures and grain boundaries in granodiorite samples taken 1 to 3.5 m from the basalt plug. There is no visible evidence of melting in these samples, but optic axial angles of potassium feldspar decrease toward the contact. Biotite tends to change progressively in its strongest absorption color from dark olive to dark reddish brown and becomes increasingly dusted with bands of fine reddish iron oxide grains at the expense of interlaminated chlorite. Other minerals appear to be unaffected by nearness to the contact.

Partially fused granodiorite samples collected at the contact in the reentrant on the southern side of the plug (sample 7) and from an inclusion in the basalt (sample 15) contain approximately 16.5 and 20.0 volume percent glass, respectively. The brown glass occurs in veinlike globular masses along grain boundaries and fractures (fig. 3). Locally microlites of orthopyroxene (?) and opaque minerals crowd the glass. Biotite and hornblende are both absent from the partially melted rocks. Potassium feldspar crystals are commonly ridged with fine glass inclusions, giving the

![Figure 3. Photomicrograph of partially fused granodiorite (sample 7). Brown glass separates grains of felsic minerals. Width of area shown is 3 mm.](Image)
crystals a spongy appearance. Quartz and plagioclase grains are commonly rounded and appear to be partially melted immediately adjacent to glass, but zoning is retained in the plagioclase crystals. Sphene is surrounded with opaque material. Apatite and zircon are unaffected in the partially fused rocks.

The greatest differences in composition between partially fused and unfused granodiorite samples (table 1; fig. 2) are in contents of SiO₂, which is lower, and Al₂O₃ and H₂O, which are higher in the partially fused rocks. Other major-element oxides show less pronounced differences. Relative to the unfused granodiorite sample (no. 1) collected nearest the partially fused samples, the partially fused samples contain greater amounts of total iron, MgO, CaO, and Na₂O. Compared to the nearest unfused sample, K₂O is nearly the same in one partially fused sample but considerably less in the other. Powder densities are much lower in partially fused granodiorite, reflecting the low density of the included glass.

Systematic variations in major-element oxides are apparent within the group of unfused samples. SiO₂ and K₂O tend to decrease farther away from the basalt contact, whereas Al₂O₃ total iron, MgO, CaO, and Na₂O tend to increase, as does the powder density. The low, but relatively consistent, totals of the electron microprobe analyses in table 2 reflect the hydrated nature of the glasses, as do the high water contents of the partially fused rock samples. Averages of the individual analyses of glasses from the two partially fused samples, as well as the individual analyses themselves, are depicted in figure 2. Relative to their host rocks, both glasses are higher in SiO₂ and lower in Al₂O₃, total iron, and CaO. Na₂O, K₂O,
and MgO show no consistent pattern.

In all analyzed granitic rocks and glasses, the sum of normative albite, anorthite, orthoclase, and quartz exceeds 90 percent; therefore the bulk of the normative variation is shown by these four constituents in figure 4. Differentiation indices (sum of normative quartz, orthoclase, and albite) are considerably higher in both analyzed glasses than in their hosts and tend to decrease in unfused granodiorite away from the basalt contact.

**DISCUSSION**

The trends of variations in chemical constituents in glass-free granodiorite are undoubtedly related to compositional patterns, described by Robinson (1977), that were produced during intrusive emplacement of the Cathedral Peak Granite magma. There is no evidence of chemical change in rocks reheated to 550°C or less, the reheating temperature obtained assuming a 1-year reheating time of the glass-free sample (no. 1) taken nearest the plug.

The source of the glass-bearing inclusion in the plug may have been considerably below the presently exposed level; thus, chemical differences between the inclusion and the wallrock may in part be related to different original compositions. The original composition of the glass-bearing sample from the wallrock (no. 7) likely was similar to the composition of the

---

**Figure 4.**—Normative proportions of Q, Or, Ab, and An in glasses and glass-free and glass-bearing Cathedral Peak Granite.

**EXPLANATION**

- Average of all glass analyses from single sample
- Individual glass analysis
- Glass-free Cathedral Peak Granite
- Glass-bearing Cathedral Peak Granite
- Calculated lost melt from sample no. 7
nearest glass-free sample (no. 1). Compositional differences between these two rocks indicate a loss of material from the partially fused rock. This loss probably reflects mass migration of early-formed melt into surrounding wallrock, accounting for the thin films of glass in granodiorite within 3.5 m of the plug. In view of the chemical differences between the partially fused material and the trachybasalt, particularly with respect to Al₂O₃ and the alkali oxides, chemical diffusion was unlikely between the basalt magma and the granodiorite.

Of all the major-element oxides, MgO shows the greatest apparent enrichment in the glass-bearing rock relative to the nearby glass-free rock. Assuming that MgO did not enter the early melt and that prior to fusion the glass-bearing and glass-free rocks had the same composition, the calculated proportion of material missing from the partially fused sample is nearly 50 percent of the original rock; if MgO was incorporated in the melt, then an even greater portion was lost. Normative proportions of quartz, orthoclase, albite, and anorthite in the calculated melt are depicted in figure 4. In each of the ternary diagrams involving orthoclase, the early melt lies nearer the Or apex than do any of the other analyzed materials. The relative enrichment of normative orthoclase indicates that the composition of the early melt was strongly influenced by subsolidus reaction and subsequent melting of biotite, as well as fusion of quartz and feldspars. Both calculated stability curves for biotite (Wones and Eugster, 1965) and experimentally determined curves derived from melting of granitic rocks (Piwinskii and Wyllie, 1968; Piwinskii, 1968) show that the stability temperatures of biotite are substantially reduced in a low water-pressure environment. Water pressure at the time of fusion of the granodiorite cannot be quantitatively estimated but, because of the shallow depth of the exposed plug, could have been only hundreds of bars at the most.

After migration of the early melt phase, continued fusion, dominated by melting of feldspars and quartz, produced melt that was quenched to form the glass now present in the partially fused granodiorite. Judging from their positions within the quartz-orthoclase-albite-anorthite diagram relative to one another and to their respective hosts (fig. 4), glass in the granodiorite collected at the basalt contact represents less partial melting than does glass in the inclusion from within the plug.
CHABAZITE IN SILICEOUS TUFFS OF A PLIOCENE LACUSTRINE DEPOSIT NEAR DURKEE, BAKER COUNTY, OREGON

By ARTHUR J. GUDE 3d and RICHARD A. SHEPPARD, Denver, Colo.

Abstract.—A relatively pure chabazite deposit of at least 75 X 10^6 metric tons occurs near the Durkee type locality of erionite. This erionite is in a welded tuff interbedded with lacustrine rocks of a closed Pliocene basin. The zeolites, chabazite, erionite, clinoptilolite, and other authigenic silicate minerals are confined to a 27-square-kilometer area of altered rocks enclosed in relatively freshwater lacustrine beds. About 135 meters of the lower part of the 350-m stratigraphic section at the southeastern part of the lake basin contain zeolitic tuff. The chabazite is an early product of the reaction between glass of rhyolitic or dacitic composition and alkaline (pH > 9) saline lake waters. Erionite, clinoptilolite, analcime, and potassium feldspar follow chabazite in the paragenetic sequence. At the erionite type locality, chabazite and clinoptilolite form megascopic crystal clusters within fractures and cavities in unaltered welded tuff. Elsewhere in the basin, most zeolite crystals in the altered airfall or reworked tuffs are less than 30 micrometers long. Chemical analyses, unit-cell determinations, and mean indices of refraction show that fine-grained chabazite varies in composition and physical parameters.

Chabazite in thick, nearly monomineralic beds occurs in a Pliocene lacustrine deposit near Durkee, Baker County, in eastern Oregon. An irregularly shaped, northwesterly elongate basin, with Durkee near its center, is covered by 118 square kilometers of Pliocene lacustrine rocks and younger fluviatile deposits. About 27 km² in a crudely crescent-shaped area in the southeasternmost part of the basin contains the authigenic silicate minerals derived from siliceous tuffs. The best exposures of the chabazite are 4-5 kilometers east of Durkee in an amphitheater cut by erosion nearly 100 meters into the lower part of the lacustrine sequence (fig. 1).

HISTORY

Durkee has been known in zeolite literature since 1898 when Eakle (1898) described a new mineral, erionite, from an opal quarry in a “rhyolite” (welded ash-flow tuff) 6 km southeast of the townsite. In 1953, Staples (1957) rediscovered the locality, and later, Staples and Gard (1959) reexamined the type material. Sheppard and Gude (1975) first reported the existence of other authigenic zeolites at Durkee in the lacustrine rocks that surround and include the type locality for erionite. This Durkee chabazite study is part of a larger U.S. Geological Survey program on zeolites in sedimentary deposits that the authors started in 1963.

GEOLOGIC SETTING

A closed saline, alkaline lake occupied the Pliocene Durkee basin during the early part of its geologic history. Rhyolitic and dacitic tuffs—in part directly deposited, but mostly reworked airfall ash—are referred to in this report as “tuffs.” These, as well as a thick welded ash-flow tuff that was deposited in the lake, reacted with the water to form zeolites and other authigenic minerals. This process was limited to tuffs
in the southeastern part of the basin and in the stratigraphically lower part of the lacustrine sequence. Mudstone, siltstone, and sandstone are intercalated with the tuffs. The surrounding and overlying younger lacustrine rocks were deposited in fresh water and thus contain unaltered tuffs, thick diatomite beds, and other sediments that were mostly unaffected by reaction with marginal and dilute lake waters. At least two series of fluvialite gravels cap the lacustrine rocks. Remnants of Mazama ash are in channels of the Holocene alluvium in the basin. Thin detached irregular basalt flows are scattered throughout the area but are older than any of the lake deposits.

Many closely spaced normal faults trending nearly east-west displace the beds as much as 10 m. Associated parallel faults having smaller displacements are common. These features make coherent mapping of individual beds nearly impossible. Correlation of individual tuffs across the basin is difficult unless the welded ash-flow tuff is present in an undisturbed sequence. Except where faults and slump have disturbed the rock, the bedding has slight dips due to differential deposition or compaction.

**STRATIGRAPHY**

Approximately 350 m of stratigraphic section (fig. 2) can be measured in the deepest part of the lake. Diagenesis during the closed-basin period is recorded by zeolites and other authigenic minerals in the middle and bottom 135 m of lacustrine sediments.

The welded ash-flow tuff, which is the only reliable marker bed, is at least 15 m thick. It can be found throughout the basin at or near the lowest part of the section. Mudstone containing tuffaceous particles fills the next 15 m of the section, up to the base of the lower of two prominent airfall tuffs. These are each 10–12 m thick and are separated by 3 m of mudstone.

Nearly two dozen thin, less than 10-cm-thick, airfall tuffs are interspersed in the mudstones, siltstones, sandstones, and diatomites in the overlying rocks. All the tuffs (eight or nine) in the lower closed-basin rocks have altered to zeolites. Those in the top part are fresh and difficult to find in the diatomites, which occupy more than 100 m in the upper freshwater facies.

**OCCURRENCE AND PROPERTIES OF CHABAZITE**

Chabazite, other zeolites, potassium feldspar, clay minerals, and silica minerals derived from the rhyolitic and dacitic tuffs are in the lowest lacustrine rocks near the center and southeastern part of the lacustrine deposit. Airfall tuffs in this part of the lake beds are
completely altered to zeolites. The lowest 1–2 m of the welded tuff is partly altered to chabazite. Fractures that extend several centimeters into the top of this tuff have chabazite bands a few millimeters wide developed along the cracks.

Chabazite formed midway during diagenesis of volcanic glass in the Pliocene saline, alkaline lake. Careful studies of the altered rocks using thin sections, scanning electron micrographs, and evidence from field relationships are the bases for the generalized sequence: glass → montmorillonite → phillipsite → chabazite → erionite → clinoptilolite and then any of the preceding zeolites → analcime → K-feldspar. Details of the observed zeolite paragenesis at Durkee, from early to late, are as follows:

Glass, then:

- phillipsite → clinoptilolite and erionite
- phillipsite → erionite
- montmorillonite → clinoptilolite
- montmorillonite → chabazite → clinoptilolite
- montmorillonite → phillipsite → clinoptilolite
- montmorillonite → erionite → clinoptilolite
- montmorillonite → chabazite → erionite
- phillipsite → clinoptilolite
- phillipsite → erionite and chabazite
- any of the above zeolites → analcime
- → K-feldspar

The morphology of the hexagonal (R3m) chabazite crystals is seen best in SEM (scanning electron microscope) images (fig. 3). Euhedral, unmodified rhombohedra are most abundant and range in size from a few tenths of a micrometer to as much as 15–20 μm. Interpenetrating twins on (0001) of otherwise unmodified rhombohedra are common. Negative rhomb faces which truncate the corners of the common form are visible in a few specimens.

Elongate prisms and fiber bundles of erionite are present with chabazite in nearly all samples. Even where X-ray diffractometer records do not show a pattern of erionite, it is commonly seen in SEM images sparsely scattered on chabazite rhombs or in openings between clusters of the earlier formed chabazite.

**SPECIAL OCCURRENCE**

A special chabazite occurrence, different from the fine-grained material found in the altered tuffs, was noted in rubble of the quarry at the erionite type locality. Clear crystal rhombs, as much as 1 mm in size (fig. 4), coat cavities and fractures in the welded ash-flow tuff that contains the fibrous erionite on fractures, as described by Eakle (1898). No twinned chabazite crystals have been seen in any of the specimens examined by the SEM. An energy-dispersive X-ray-spectrograph focused-beam analysis shows that these crystals are rich in calcium. The relationship here of chabazite to the erionite is not known because no rocks can now be found in place. The two minerals were not observed together here in any of the material studied by the authors.

**COMPOSITION OF CHABAZITE**

Two conventional rock analyses of Durkee chabazites are plotted in figure 5 (an updated version of...
one presented earlier by Sheppard and Gude, 1970), which shows the variable compositions of chabazites from many localities and geologic environments. The two Durkee chabazites (D-1, D-10) plot in the middle of the field of the seven analyzed samples from the sedimentary environments. Table 1 gives the complete analytical data for these two samples.

The Durkee chabazite is more calcic than all other reported samples except for the material from the John Day, Oreg., locality (Sheppard and Gude, 1970). Although the atomic ratios of sodium and potassium are reversed between samples D-1 and D-10, it is clear (fig. 5) that all chabazites, regardless of origin, may have any cation ratio. However, the Si : Al + Fe+3 ratios plot a distinct field for silica-rich chabazites from sedimentary rocks.

**PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF CHABAZITE**

**Cell parameters.—** Table 2 gives the hexagonal unit-cell parameters, the mean indices of refraction, and the associated mineralogy of 26 chabazite-rich samples. The Durkee chabazites span the range of values that have been published (Passaglia, 1970; Sheppard, 1971) for chabazites from other sedimentary environments.

**Table 1.—Chemical analyses of chabazite from Durkee**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>a hex (A)</th>
<th>c hex (A)</th>
<th>v (A³)</th>
<th>Mean R.I. (0.001)</th>
<th>Ch</th>
<th>Er</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>Cy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13. 70366</td>
<td>13. 870104</td>
<td>263841</td>
<td>1.466</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13. 72614</td>
<td>13. 932599</td>
<td>264726</td>
<td>1.469</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13. 71370</td>
<td>13. 883109</td>
<td>264722</td>
<td>1.464</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13. 74485</td>
<td>13. 90101</td>
<td>264726</td>
<td>1.468</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13. 75226</td>
<td>13. 932506</td>
<td>264641</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13. 754604</td>
<td>13. 93101</td>
<td>264512</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13. 738006</td>
<td>13. 893108</td>
<td>263323</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13. 738006</td>
<td>13. 857506</td>
<td>262981</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13. 737005</td>
<td>13. 876309</td>
<td>262942</td>
<td>1.667</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13. 721103</td>
<td>13. 863607</td>
<td>262043</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13. 730103</td>
<td>13. 963309</td>
<td>263212</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13. 737206</td>
<td>13. 9717108</td>
<td>263224</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13. 738203</td>
<td>13. 875506</td>
<td>263111</td>
<td>1.667</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>13. 738202</td>
<td>13. 867804</td>
<td>263281</td>
<td>1.669</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>13. 734302</td>
<td>13. 932509</td>
<td>264726</td>
<td>1.469</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>13. 717106</td>
<td>13. 865808</td>
<td>262252</td>
<td>1.467</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>13. 738004</td>
<td>13. 866103</td>
<td>263041</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>13. 729905</td>
<td>13. 893809</td>
<td>263113</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>13. 726205</td>
<td>13. 980504</td>
<td>262626</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>13. 716607</td>
<td>13. 87501</td>
<td>262533</td>
<td>1.669</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>13. 735005</td>
<td>13. 895101</td>
<td>262226</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>13. 707101</td>
<td>13. 983101</td>
<td>262912</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>13. 755101</td>
<td>13. 872103</td>
<td>262041</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>13. 731004</td>
<td>13. 866104</td>
<td>261912</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>13. 726206</td>
<td>13. 85101</td>
<td>262223</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>13. 724906</td>
<td>13. 842501</td>
<td>262012</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Computer-derived by least-squares program W224L. Powder data from CaF2, internal-standard pattern, Cu Kα radiation, diffracted-beam monochromator.
2Indices of refraction measured using white light in calibrated immersion oils and corrected for temperature.

A plot (fig. 6) of unit-cell parameters indicates that chabazite from cavities in igneous rocks (Passaglia, 1970) differs from the silica-rich variety from sediments (Gude and Sheppard, 1966; this report). Four specimens described by Passaglia (1970) that apparently contain strontium and potassium in the crystal structure occupy a distinct and separate field. The single John Day specimen is rich in calcium and is the most siliceous. All the data presented in
this diagram clearly show that chabazite from siliceous rocks differs from chabazite from mafic rocks. Herschellite, the so-called sodic variety of chabazite, does not have a unique unit-cell position.

Indices of refraction.—The measured mean indices of refraction for these lacustrine chabazites are consistently lower than those reported for chabazites from basalts. This result is consistent with the higher silica content for analyzed zeolite specimens collected from silicic tuffs subjected to diagenesis in closed saline, alkaline basins.

**ECONOMIC POTENTIAL**

Economic factors may encourage the addition of this deposit to the growing list of producing zeolite localities; these factors include its estimated quantity (75 million metric tons of chabazite) and also the occurrence of erionite in large amounts; its near-surface, flat-lying zeolitic beds; and its short road distance (6 km) to the railroad at Durkee.

Chabazite, erionite, and these minerals in certain mixed proportions are used in various processes in which their molecular-sieve properties are needed. The means for adsorption of specific gases, such as methane, by these zeolites is currently being developed. Although the quantity and quality of the deposit are imperfectly known, this deposit appears to be as good as or better than other described sites. Physical exploration and commercial tests are needed to define the worth of the Durkee chabazite.
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**Figure 6.** Chabazite unit-cell variations related to differences in origin and composition. Open circles, chabazite from igneous rocks (numbers refer to Passaglia, 1970); TM, Table Mountain, Colo., and H, herschellite (from Gude and Sheppard, 1966). Filled circles, chabazite from tuffs in sedimentary rocks (numbers are from table 2); B, Barstow, Calif. (Gude and Sheppard, 1966); J, John Day, Oreg. (Sheppard and Gude, 1970); W, Wikieup, Ariz. (Sheppard and Gude, 1973). Dashed slanting lines (contours) represent volume in Å³.


DIFFERENTIATION OF THE SULFIDES IN THE BASAL ZONE OF THE STILLWATER COMPLEX, MONTANA

By NORMAN J. PAGE and F. O. SIMON,
Menlo Park, Calif.

Abstract—Chemical leaching and analysis of the sulfide portion of rocks from a section of the Basal zone of the Stillwater Complex demonstrate that mole fractions of nickel, copper, cobalt, and sulfur in the sulfides show trends and repeating patterns when plotted against stratigraphic position. These patterns are attributed to differentiation of immiscible sulfide liquids probably in response to differentiation of basaltic magma. Changes in composition of the immiscible sulfide liquids could most readily take place during the collection of the sulfide from the basaltic magma or during the crystallization of the sulfide liquid to the present assemblages of minerals.

Concentrations of monoclinic and hexagonal pyrrhotite, pentlandite, and chalcopyrite occur in the Basal zone of the Stillwater Complex, a stratiform mafic and ultramafic complex in southwestern Montana. The sulfide mineralization is comagmatic with the deposition of the silicates, and the sulfides were derived by settling, coalescence, and concentration of immiscible sulfide liquids from the basaltic parent magmas of the complex (Page, 1977a, b; unpub. data, 1971). The presence of immiscible sulfide liquids within a differentiating basaltic magma suggests that the sulfide liquids should also differentiate in response to the changing chemical and physical parameters of the basaltic magma if equilibrium is approached between the two liquids. Moreover, MacLean (1974) has shown that metallic elements enter the sulfide liquid in the following order: nickel, copper, cobalt, lead, and zinc. This report explores possible differentiation of sulfide liquids in the Basal zone through the study of a complete drill core section from the Mountain View area along the Stillwater River.

A meaningful study of differentiation in the sulfide liquid requires (1) a method for isolating and determining the bulk chemical composition of the sulfide portion and (2) an adequate sample of the sulfide portion of silicate rock that represents the composition of the immiscible sulfide liquids. Descriptions of mineralization and mapping by Howland (1936), Howland, Peoples, and Sampson (1936), Roby (1949), Peoples, Howland, Jones, and Flint (1954), Dayton (1971), Page, Simons, and Dohrenwend (1973a, b), Page and Dohrenwend (1973), Page and Nokleberg (1974), and Page (1977a, b) show that the sulfide concentrations occur as (1) disseminated blebs in silicate rock with no electrical continuity between blebs, (2) a dispersed sulfide network with electrical continuity that forms a matrix for silicate minerals, and (3) massive sulfide rocks with more than 65 percent sulfide. Although all three categories may represent different sulfide liquid compositions, network and massive sulfide rocks are difficult to sample because of their variable lateral and vertical extent and because the local bulk composition within any single continuous sulfide mass may have been changed by diffusion or differentiation by removal of crystalline solids. Disseminated sulfide blebs may more nearly represent initial sulfide liquid compositions, and samples of them are easy to obtain from the Basal zone. Because of the stratigraphic control given by the stratiform complex, such samples may be placed in an inferred relative time sequence and related to the overall geologic framework. This framework, including rock succession, petrology, metamorphism, structure, and geologic history of the complex and adjacent rocks, is based on Jackson (1961, 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970, 1971), Jones, Peoples, and Howland (1960), Hess (1960), and Page (1977a, b).

DESCRIPTION OF A MINERALIZED SECTION OF THE BASAL ZONE

Geologic context and silicate petrology

The Basal zone, which lies conformably below the Peridotite member of the Ultramafic zone, consists of two members, the Basal bronzite cumulate member and the Basal norite member (Page and Nokleberg, 1974). A columnar section based on core logging from drill.
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hol M14 in the Mountain View area\(^1\) shows this subdivision (fig. 1). The Basal zone rocks intruded metasedimentary rocks that are now cordierite-orthopyroxene-plagioclase biotite±quartz hornfels and were in turn intruded by a sequence of quartz monzonites (Page and Nokleberg, 1972).

\(^1\)The drill hole is vertical and located at coordinates 499 010 N., 1917 015 E. (in feet) of the Montana (south) Rectangular Grid, which appears on the Mount Wood 15-minute quadrangle and on the maps of Page and Nokleberg (1974).

There are two main textural types of igneous rocks in the Basal zone, and the compositional and physical properties of the two types form repeating patterns when correlated with stratigraphic position. One type consists of ophitic, subophitic, and ragged-textured (refers to the shape of interlocking crystals) rocks. These are considered to be of noncumulate origin—probably the result of rapid in-place crystallization of basaltic magma. The other textural type consists of
cumulate rocks (see Jackson, 1967, for terminology) that are subdivided on the basis of the cumulus minerals orthopyroxene, plagioclase, olivine, inverted pigeonite, and clinopyroxene.

Orthopyroxene is by far the most abundant widely distributed cumulus mineral in the Basal zone; X-ray determinations of orthopyroxene compositions (Himmeberg and Jackson, 1967) may be compared with the cumulus stratigraphy (fig. 1). From this comparison and on the basis of repeating patterns of orthopyroxene compositions and the cumulate units, further subdivision of the Basal zone is possible. (See fig. 1.) Similar techniques (Page and others, 1972) were used to subdivide units in an olivine cumulate in the Peridotite member of the Ultramafic zone in which abrupt changes in olivine grain size correlated with variations in olivine composition, chromite grain size, proportions of cumulus and postcumulus minerals, and concentrations of trace elements.

### Sulfide mineralogy

The proportion of visible sulfide minerals ranges from almost 100 percent in some rocks to zero in others. Pyrrhotite (both monoclinic and hexagonal), pentlandite, and chalcopyrite are the major opaque minerals. Magnetite, ilmenite, and trace amounts of gersdorffite are also present. Hexagonal pyrrhotite is the dominant sulfide, but it everywhere contains 10–20 percent by volume of fine lamellae of monoclinic pyrrhotite (see Page, 1977a,b). Modes in 15 polished sections of the specimens analyzed in this study are 5.8 to 88.6 percent pyrrhotite, 0.3 to 11.4 percent pentlandite, trace to 5.2 percent chalcopyrite, traces of gersdorffite, trace to 1.2 percent magnetite and ilmenite, and 26.8 to 93.1 percent silicate. Such values do not represent the true range or the average compositional variation of the Basal zone because other samples have much greater variation in composition, but the modes do give an indication of the variation present.

Modes normalized to pyrrhotite, pentlandite, and chalcopyrite show a fairly narrow range of ratios (fig. 2A). Calculated molecular modes based on chemical analyses of the sulfides in the core are also plotted for comparison and show a similar distribution of ratios (fig. 2B). The agreement between the two patterns shows that either a modal or an analytical technique may be used to find the proportions of the sulfide minerals present. Pyrrhotite appears in dominant proportions, and chalcopyrite and pentlandite are present in roughly equal proportions (fig. 2).

Figure 2.—Proportions of pyrrhotite (Po), pentlandite (Pn), and chalcopyrite (Ccp). A, Modes, volume percent. B, Mole percent calculated from chemical analyses.

Chemical data given by Roby (1949) and Dayton (1971) suggest similar proportions of chalcopyrite to pentlandite.

### CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE SULFIDE PORTION

#### Techniques

Two suites of samples were selected for study, one that covered the range of sulfide and silicate com-
positions in the Basal zone and could be used to develop a method to recover and analyze the sulfide portion, and the other from one cross section of the Basal zone in the Mountain View area (labeled M14) for studying the differentiation of the sulfide liquids. The samples in both suites consisted of 5 to 130 millimeters of a split half of a 50-mm-diameter core. Logging of the core and study of thin and polished sections were done before the crushing and chemical analysis.

Modal data are based on counting more than 1000 points in polished sections. Compositions of orthopyroxene were determined by using the X-ray method of Himmelberg and Jackson (1967) as developed specifically for Stillwater orthopyroxenes; the precision ranges from ±0.05 to ±3.0 mole percent and averages ±0.51 mole percent enstatite (Mg x 100/2 octahedral cations).

A chemical leach technique was chosen to extract the sulfide portion of the silicate rock because of the existence of fine-grained inclusions (Page, 1971) within silicate and oxide minerals and because fine projections of sulfide in silicate precluded mechanical separation of the silicate and sulfide fractions. Methods employing bromine and hydrochloric acid to leach the sulfide portion of silicate rocks have been used by Czamanske and Ingamells (1970) and Davis (1972).

As a test of the application of these techniques to the sulfide portion of Basal zone rocks, a suite of 10 rocks covering a wide variation in silicate and sulfide proportions and compositions was selected and leached under the following conditions: a 1-gram sample was digested for 16 hours with 50 milliliters of 0.12 N hydrochloric acid and 1 ML liquid bromine at room temperature, and the excess bromine was extracted with carbon tetrachloride (method 1, table 1). The residues were removed by filtration before analyzing the solutions for iron, copper, nickel, cobalt, and in some samples manganese, lead, and zinc by atomic absorption spectroscopy. Sulfur content was determined by precipitation and weighing as barium sulfate (method 16, table 1).

Calculations using mineral compositions and these data yielded unsatisfactory results; therefore, various approaches were used to identify problems in the leaching technique. Sulfur was determined by X-ray fluorescence on the whole-rock sample by H. N. Elsheimer and L. F. Espes (method 5, table 1). Comparison of the barium-sulfate and X-ray techniques showed that either set of the sulfur values is acceptable. Conditions of the leaching experiments were varied in order to examine possible errors in leaching of iron, particularly leaching iron only from the sulfide fraction. Analyses for nickel and copper were made on some samples at the same time. The results are listed in table 1.

Analytical data for iron, nickel, copper, and sulfur (table 1) allow the leaching techniques to be evaluated. Where duplicate analyses by methods 1 and 4 are available, nickel and copper are within 14 percent of each other, suggesting that most of the nickel and copper sulfides were leached. Methods 2 and 3 indicate that a small part of the nickel is acid soluble. Methods 5 and 6, when combined with results from 1 and 4, demonstrate that the nickel component of the sulfide rock is leached by methods 1 and 4.

As shown in table 1, the determination of iron in the sulfide portion is more difficult, and a variety of values is obtained dependent upon the specific sample and the leaching technique. However, combining the copper, nickel, and sulfur to form chalcopyrite and pentlandite and using the excess sulfur to make pyrrhotite with 47.6 atomic percent iron (composition based on X-ray determinations of pyrrhotite) allow the calculation of iron in the sulfide fraction (method 14). A comparison of calculated iron and leached iron determined by method 1 is shown in figure 3. Data also are included from the second suite of samples. Measured iron content in the sulfide portion may be either high or low when compared to calculated iron content. Data from methods 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 demonstrate that in some of the samples part of the iron in the silicate rock is acid soluble, which may account for high iron readings by using method 1. The two analyses showing less iron by method 1 may result from the coprecipitation of iron with the barium sulfate thus leading to a high value for sulfur. This precipitation would be a greater problem for those samples with large amounts of iron. Small amounts of both native sulfur and sulfate sulfur were detected qualitatively although probably not in amounts sufficient to explain the differences. These forms of sulfur are probably products of sample preparation or possibly weathering. Inhomogeneous samples would also explain the differences, but there were no other indications throughout this study that inhomogeneity might be a problem.

Analytical data based on method 1 provide a good representation of the composition of the sulfide portion of the rocks from the Basal zone, except for the iron values. Because no satisfactory analytical way to determine sulfide iron was found, in the following discussions and calculations for the miscellaneous sample suite and for the suite labeled M14, analytical data from method 1 are used along with iron calculated by distribution of the other elements.
TABLE 1.—Comparison of analytical methods for iron, nickel, copper and sulfur for a variety of samples from the Basal zone


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Fe determinations in weight percent</th>
<th>Ni determinations in weight percent</th>
<th>Cu determinations in weight percent</th>
<th>S determinations in weight percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.02 0.24 1.60 2.63 2.22 1.17 11.6 26.8 34.4 34.8</td>
<td>1.06 0.19 0.135 0.370 0.270 0.109 0.717 0.232 0.346 0.17</td>
<td>1.06 0.82 0.78 2.06 -- 0.69 -- 19.58 30.1 31.18</td>
<td>0.97 0.10 0.71 1.84 1.43 0.62 7.48 19.28 31.50 31.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.30 -- 0.74 0.39 0.40 0.36 0.67 -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.28 -- -- -- -- -- 0.71 -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- 26.6 43.2 41.2</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- 6.16 4.50 3.35</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- 43.52 52.02 52.72</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- 5.47 -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- 2.11 -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- 2.39 -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- 2.21 -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- 3.07 -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- 1.60 .15 1.01 2.76 2.33 .94 11.04 32.7 53.8 53.8</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- .03 .05 .05 .07 .05 .05 .03 1.5 1.5</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- .10 .15 .15 .10 .15 .10 1.0 .50 1.0 1.5</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
<td>-- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Method 1: 1 g sample, digested 16 h with 50 mL of 0.12 N HCl + 1 mL Br₂ (liq) at room temperature; excess Br₂ extracted with CCl₄.

Method 2: 1 g sample, digested 16 h with 50 mL of 0.12 N HCl at room temperature.

Method 3: 1 g sample, digested 16 h with 50 mL of 0.12 N HCl at room temperature in purged Ar solution and in Ar atmosphere.

Method 4: 1 g sample, digested 16 h with 50 mL of 0.12 N HCl + 1 mL Br₂ (liq) on a water bath, excess Br₂ removed by heating.

Method 5: Undissolved residue from method 4 digested 16 h with concentrated HNO₃ on a water bath.


Method 7: Semiquantitative six-step spectrographic analysis of whole-rock sample.

Method 8: Sample fused with Na₂O₂, leached with H₂O, and total Fe determined volumetrically with K₂Cr₂O₇.

Method 9: 1 g sample, digested 16 h with 50 mL of 0.24 N HCl + 1 mL Br₂ (liq) at room temperature.

Method 10: 1 g sample, digested 16 h in 50 mL of H₂O + 1 mL Br₂ (liq) at room temperature. No HCl added.

Method 11: 1 g sample treated as in method 10, except 1 mL of concentrated HCl added just before filtering residue.

Method 12: 1 g sample, digested 16 h with 50 mL of 0.012 N HCl + 1 mL Br₂ (liq).

Method 13: 1 g sample, digested 16 h with 50 mL of 0.12 N HCl.

Method 14: Calculated Fe to satisfy balance with S, Ni, Co, and Cu.

Method 15: Sulfur determined by X-ray fluorescence on whole sample.

Method 16: Sulfur determined by precipitation and weighing as BaSO₄.
DIFFERENTIATION OF SULFIDES, BASAL ZONE, STILLWATER COMPLEX, MONTANA

Analytical results

Chemical data for the sulfide portion of the two suites of samples are given in table 2. The selected samples range in sulfur content from 0.10 to 31.5 percent. Samples of the sulfide portion vary in metal-to-sulfur ratios as well as in nickel-to-iron and nickel-to-copper ratios, as would be expected from the modal data. Lead, zinc, and manganese occur in minor amounts in the sulfide portion; cobalt is the only other metal present in significant amounts besides copper, nickel, and iron.

Variation in proportions of copper, nickel, and iron in the sulfide fraction is illustrated in terms of mole percent chalcopyrite, pentlandite, and pyrrhotite (fig. 2B). Except for a suggested 1:1 ratio of chalcopyrite to pentlandite, no trends are apparent. Cobalt contents of the sulfide fraction are related to the copper-nickel ratio; samples with more nickel contain more cobalt (fig. 4). Modes counted on polished sections show that gersdorffite is present (less than 1 percent) and could account for most of the cobalt in the richer samples. Where gersdorffite is not present, cobalt is inferred to occur in the pentlandite because of the correlation with nickel (fig. 4). The lead content of the sulfide fraction (table 2) is below the limit of determination, except in the samples with the most sulfides, for which values ranged from 22 to 76 ppm (parts per million). Zinc was found in most samples and ranged from less than 10 to 73 ppm. Both lead and zinc content tend to increase with sulfur content of the samples, whereas manganese has a more irregular distribution pattern.

INTERPRETATION OF SULFIDE GENESIS IN THE BASAL ZONE

Immiscibility, coalescence, and collection

Sulfide-oxide immiscible liquids were present in the Stillwater magma very early in the intrusive history of the Basal zone and throughout most of the deposition of the lower part of the complex. Geologic evidence given by Page (1977a) for the early presence of sulfide-oxide liquids includes (1) massive sulfide rocks with irregular and tabular shapes intruded by and into ophitic-textured noncumulate rocks, (2) intrusive breccias consisting of hornfels fragments in a massive sulfide rock matrix, and (3) fine polyminer-alic inclusions of sulfide minerals in the centers of some of the cumulus silicate and oxide phases from the lower part of the Basal zone.

Calculations of sulfide capacity (Haughton and others, 1974), which is (1) an empirical property of silicate melt, (2) a function of the fugacity of oxygen and sulfur, and (3) the weight percent sulfur dissolved in the melt, suggest that under the inferred geologic conditions the Stillwater magma could not dissolve the amounts of sulfur present and, therefore, some sulfur was present as an immiscible liquid. Such calculations assume that the bulk compositions of noncumulate igneous-textured rocks (Page, 1977a) represent the magma composition and that the equations given by Haughton, Roeder, and Skinner (1974) apply to natural magmas. The geologic (Page, 1977a) and experimental (Haughton and others, 1974) evidence suggests that the most likely mechanisms for the development of immiscible sulfide melts involve decreases in the FeO activity of the basaltic magma.

Immiscible sulfide liquid, once formed, must have accumulated in the magma chamber by gravitational settling. Moreover, the geologic evidence suggests that this was an extremely rapid process and that initially small immiscible droplets collected into droplets large
Table 2—Chemical analysis of the sulfide portions, pyrrhotite compositions, calculated mole percentages of sulfide minerals, and modes in volume percent of samples from the Basal zone of the Stillwater Complex

[Analyst: F. O. Simon; hpo = hexagonal pyrrhotite, atomic percent determined by X-ray diffraction (Arnold and Reichen, 1962); Tr. = trace; -- = not determined. Weight percent data determined by method 1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.957</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.0005</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.088</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>.370</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.270</td>
<td>.135</td>
<td>.232</td>
<td>.0019</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td>.717</td>
<td>.366</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>.0082</td>
<td>.0029</td>
<td>.0064</td>
<td>.0069</td>
<td>.0061</td>
<td>&lt;.0010</td>
<td>.0090</td>
<td>.0518</td>
<td>.0710</td>
<td>.0139</td>
<td>.0139</td>
<td>.0103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>.0011</td>
<td>&lt;.0010</td>
<td>.0013</td>
<td>.0073</td>
<td>&lt;.0010</td>
<td>.0016</td>
<td>.0031</td>
<td>.0066</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>&lt;.0020</td>
<td>&lt;.0020</td>
<td>&lt;.0020</td>
<td>&lt;.0020</td>
<td>.0076</td>
<td>&lt;.0002</td>
<td>.0022</td>
<td>.0002</td>
<td>.0002</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>.0064</td>
<td>.0048</td>
<td>.0029</td>
<td>.0072</td>
<td>.0096</td>
<td>.00626</td>
<td>.0055</td>
<td>.0079</td>
<td>.987</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>51.50</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-rock Fe</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>43.52</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>52.02</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals hpo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>.061</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.041</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>.0100</td>
<td>.0312</td>
<td>.0485</td>
<td>.0028</td>
<td>.0235</td>
<td>.0530</td>
<td>.0410</td>
<td>.0340</td>
<td>.0355</td>
<td>.0080</td>
<td>.0650</td>
<td>.810</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>14.71</td>
<td>31.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-rock Fe</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>52.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals hpo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-rock Fe</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals hpo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

enough to settle rapidly. Under the prevailing viscosity and temperature conditions, the sulfide droplets would have collected before silicates settled and accumulated or before some of the noncumulate igneous rocks crystallized. One attractive model involving growth, collision, and coalescence in a manner similar to that of raindrops can account adequately for the concentration of sulfide-oxide immiscible liquids (Page,
Disseminated sulfide would represent immiscible liquid droplets that were trapped in place. The disseminated sulfides should therefore approximate the compositions of sulfide liquids in equilibrium with the basaltic melt at the time they were trapped.

**Differentiation of sulfide liquids**

Examination of the chemical analyses (table 2) and data given by Roby (1949) and Page (1971) suggests that the variations in metal and sulfur content may correlate with stratigraphic position. In addition, Roby's data suggest repetitive or cyclic patterns of copper and nickel contents correlative with stratigraphic position. One way to normalize each analysis of the sulfide portion of the rock is to calculate mole fractions. Variation in the mole fractions of nickel, copper, cobalt, and sulfur in the sulfide portion of the data shows that the mole fraction of sulfur decreases from the bottom to the top of the Basal zone section whereas the mole fractions of copper, nickel, and cobalt tend to increase upward in the section; closer examination of the trends shows a more complex pattern (fig. 1). This pattern, best illustrated by cobalt, consists of increases and decreases superimposed on a general trend. Trends of changes in orthopyroxene compositions (fig. 1) in the Basal zone rocks show repetitive patterns when compared with stratigraphic position. In general, orthopyroxenes are richer in iron at the base and richer in magnesium toward the top of the Basal zone. Superimposed on this general trend are six repetitive smaller scale units in which the orthopyroxene compositions also change in the same sense (fig. 1). Comparing the patterns of cobalt, copper, nickel, and sulfur mole fractions with orthopyroxene compositional cycles suggests a correlation.

The distribution patterns of metals in the Basal zone could be due either to partitioning of metals at liquidus temperatures between basaltic magma and immiscible sulfide liquid or to subsolidus partitioning between sulfide phases and silicate phases as suggested by Clark and Naldrett (1972), or to a combination of both processes. To evaluate the partitioning problem completely, it is necessary to know the basaltic magma composition associated with each sulfide mass and to know the partitioning factors of the magma-silicate minerals. Individual magma compositions are not and may not be known with sufficient accuracy to evaluate this problem, but limited information is available on the silicate compositions. Unpublished pyroxene compositions (E. D. Jackson, oral commun., 1969) show that NiO, CuO, and CoO occur in proportions of between 0.001 and 0.01 percent. Of the other minerals in the Basal zone samples examined, only the iron-titanium-chromium oxides are present in amounts large enough effectively to control the metal distribution of the sulfides. However, the close correlation of metal and sulfur mole fractions with other properties that are primarily controlled by magmatic events suggests that the repeating patterns of the sulfide portion may have the same controls. Therefore, the analytical data given for the sulfide portion of the Basal zone probably represent the effects of basaltic magma differentiation on the differentiation of sulfide liquid.

**Application of phase equilibria to the lower temperature equilibration of the sulfide minerals**

The immiscible sulfide-oxide liquids began to crystallize after they collected in the Basal zone rocks and temperatures fell below about 1150°C. Experimental studies by Kullerud, Clark, Craig, Sherman, and Naldrett on the iron-nickel-sulfur system, summarized and reexamined by Misra and Fleet (1973), and by Naldrett (1969) on part of the iron-sulfur-oxide system form the basis for modeling the crystallization history. The liquidus surface in the pyrrhotite portion of the iron-sulfur-oxide system (Naldrett, 1969) suggests that the immiscible sulfide-oxide liquids began to crystallize at about 1150°C, given the amount of magnetite associated with the sulfide concentrations. The first solid to separate would contain little or no oxides, but as crystallization proceeded, the residual liquid would become enriched in oxides. As pointed out by Naldrett's (1969) analysis, this model depends upon the sulfide-oxide liquid reaching and maintaining equilibrium with respect to oxygen during crystallization with the basaltic magma. The sparsity of magnetite and variable magnetite content of the sulfide concentrations suggest, however, that equilibrium between the two liquids with respect to oxygen may not have been reached and maintained throughout crystallization.

The first solid to crystallize from the immiscible sulfide melt would have been a nickel-copper-cobalt pyrrhotite solid solution. From about 1150°C to as low as 1050°C, a nickel-copper-cobalt pyrrhotite solid solution and liquid would be present. The range of sulfide analyses was plotted on an iron-nickel-sulfur graph at 1100°C with the phase boundaries determined by Kullerud, Yund, and Moh (1969) and Naldrett and Gasparrini (1971) (fig. 5A). Solidification of the sulfide-oxide liquid would continue, and if the sulfide mass were isolated with respect to oxygen diffusion...
from the basaltic magma and pyrrhotite, the solid solution would contain magnetite. Alternately, if not isolated from diffused oxygen, the solidification product would contain no magnetite. After solidification, exsolution of pentlandite and chalcopyrite from the nickel-copper-cobalt pyrrhotite solid solution would take place below 600°C depending primarily on the nickel, copper, and sulfur content (Craig and Kullerud, 1969). The sulfide analyses were plotted on an iron-nickel-sulfur graph with the pentlandite solvi determined by Naldrett, Craig, and Kullerud (1967) superimposed (fig. 5B). From this plot, one would suspect that pentlandite exsolution began at about 300°C or lower. We believe from the textural evidence and from comparison with other similar deposits that the blocky pentlandite necklaces and flame textures in particular are most likely the product of exsolution.

According to Misra and Fleet’s (1973) study, the compositions of the phases would continue to adjust as temperature decreased. The low nickel content of the pyrrhotite (Page, 1972) suggests that equilibration continued below 230°C (see Misra and Fleet, 1973, p. 531). Both hexagonal and monoclinic pyrrhotite are considered stable phases below about 230°C (Misra and Fleet, 1973), and it appears that the present mineral assemblages and compositions reflect low-temperature subsolidus approaches to equilibrium. The sulfide bulk compositions, however, may reflect the compositions of the immiscible sulfide liquids.
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RECOGNITION OF OXIDIZED SULFIDE MINERALS AS AN EXPLORATION GUIDE FOR URANIUM

By RICHARD L. REYNOLDS and MARTIN B. GOLDHABER
Denver, Colo.

Abstract.—The difference in color between tan to red oxidized sandstone and gray reduced sandstone on either side of the reduction-oxidation (redox) interface, which is the locus of uranium concentration in roll-type deposits, has been noted and used locally as an exploration guide within known uranium districts. Reduced sandstone is characterized in many deposits by the presence of iron sulfide minerals (particularly marcasite and pyrite) that occur as replacements of and overgrowths on iron-titanium oxide minerals and plant debris and as cement of detrital grains. Oxidation of the sulfides by oxygenated ground water forms yellow to red ferric oxide and ferric hydroxide phases (“limonite”) that replace the FeS₂ minerals. Processes other than the oxidation of sulfides, however, can cause reddening of clastic sediments without the creation of a redox boundary, and so color alone is not a sufficient condition by which to judge the potential for uranium ore in frontier areas. Ferric oxides that form by the oxidation of iron sulfide minerals can be distinguished from other forms of ferric oxide by reflection microscopic examination of polished grain mounts and polished thin sections. Diagnostic features of oxidized sulfides are limonite pseudomorphs of sulfides and limonite containing internal textures that mimic characteristic textures of previously sulfidized detrital phases. Recognition of oxidized sulfides by reflection microscopy, then, can distinguish oxidized sandstones, which might have a redox boundary downdip and which would therefore be favorable hosts for uranium concentration, from those without such potential.

Uranium associated with roll-type deposits is localized within the host beds near a reduction-oxidation (redox) interface or roll front (for example, Klohn and Pickens, 1970; Bailey, 1965; Melin, 1964; King and Austin, 1966; Shawe and Granger, 1965; Granger and Warren, 1969; Fischer, 1970; Rackley and others, 1968; Harshman, 1968a; Adler, 1970). Sandstone containing oxidized iron phases bound within the altered tongue behind the roll front is commonly drab, tan, or orange to red in contrast to the gray color of “reduced” sandstone ahead of the roll front. The difference in color between the sediments of the different geochemical settings has been observed and suggested as an exploration guide locally within some uranium districts (Sharp and others, 1964; Davis, 1969; Bailey, 1965, 1969; Melin, 1969; Mrak, 1968; Rackley and Johnson, 1971; Harshman, 1962, 1968b; Fischer, 1970). The gray zones of reduced sandstone commonly contain iron sulfide minerals, particularly pyrite and marcasite. These sulfides form as individual anhedral to euhedral crystals and crystal aggregates, as interstitial cement, and as replacements of and overgrowths on detrital iron-titanium (Fe-Ti) oxide minerals (titanomagnetite and titanohematite) and fossil vegetal matter. Oxidation of iron sulfides to ferric oxides and hydroxide phases (“limonite”) by oxygenated ground waters during the ore-forming episode imparts a drab yellow or orange to red coloration to the sediment. The concept that color differences reflect the disparate geochemical environments associated with uranium roll-type deposits and the chemistry of sulfide oxidation have been reviewed by Adler (1970, 1973), who further suggested that color differences might be used as an exploration guide for uranium in frontier areas.

Processes other than the oxidation of iron sulfides, however, can also cause reddening of clastic sediments. Walker (1967), for example, demonstrated that intrastratal alteration of detrital iron-bearing silicates and oxides is largely responsible for the red ferric oxide pigment in many red clastic sediments. Clay-sized ferric oxides deposited together with the larger grains is another potential source of red pigment in some sedimentary rocks (Van Houten, 1961, 1964). Obviously, color alone is not a sufficient criterion by which to judge the likelihood of finding uranium ore in sandstones.

It is important, then, as pointed out by Adler (1973), to develop criteria by which ferric oxides and hydroxides formed by the oxidation of iron sulfides can be distinguished from those having other origins. In this way, it should be possible in frontier areas to discriminate oxidized sediments with a redox boundary downdip, which, therefore, would be favorable for
uranium concentration, from those without such potential.

AREAS AND METHOD OF STUDY

We have examined mineralized formations of different ages from four uranium-producing districts. These are (1) the Morrison Formation (Upper Jurassic) in the Grants mineral belt, New Mexico, (2) the Wasatch Formation (Eocene) in the Powder River Basin, Wyo., (3) the Whitsett Formation (Eocene), Karnes County, Tex., and (4) the Catahoula Tuff (mid-Tertiary), Webb County, Tex. Each of these formations contains a roll-type ore body except the Morrison Formation, in which originally tabular ore has been redistributed into a roll by oxygenated ground waters. Samples were obtained adjacent to uranium deposits that are currently being mined or being considered for development. Although the completeness of the sample suite varied among the different deposits, each suite contains samples from oxidized rock behind the ore bodies and from reduced rock in front of the ore.

Ferric oxides formed by the oxidation of iron sulfides can best be recognized by viewing polished grain mounts and polished thin sections under reflected light. In this study, grains for polished sections were concentrated by heavy liquid, magnetic, or hand-picking techniques or by a combination of these. Polished thin sections of the whole rock are useful because they enable textural evaluation of limonite in relation to the framework grains but are disadvantageous because they do not represent a concentrated portion of limonitic phases. These methods are inexpensive and produce rapid analyses; however, they might be most advantageously used in combination with the exploration techniques already available.

IDENTIFICATION OF OXIDIZED IRON SULFIDE MINERALS

Limonite formed by the oxidation of sulfides can be distinguished from that formed by other processes by four especially diagnostic features. These are (1) limonite pseudomorphs after iron sulfide, (2) limonite formed from sulfidized Fe-Ti oxide minerals with the retention of characteristic textures of the original detrital grain, (3) characteristic internal textures in limonite indicative of oxidized sulfide cement, and (4) limonite formed by the oxidation of sulfidized plant debris. Not all of these distinguishing criteria are invariably present in any one deposit; nevertheless, in each of the deposits studied, oxidized iron sulfides have been recognized by at least two of these diagnostic features.

Unequivocal evidence of limonite formed by the oxidation of iron sulfide is seen in three of the four deposits examined: ferric oxide grains containing relicts of the precursor sulfide occur commonly in the host sandstone near the redox boundary. Although it is unlikely that these relationships are preserved in oxidized sandstone remote from the oxidation-reduction interface over a long period of time, observation of these grains near the redox boundary has been helpful in determining the genesis and textural significance of certain types of limonite.

The first criterion is best illustrated by the simple case in which a pyrite cube has been replaced by ferric oxide with preservation of the original shape. Pyrite in reduced rock of the Morrison Formation in the Grants mineral belt commonly occurs as cubes; limonite pseudomorphs of pyrite cubes are common in oxidized rock several kilometers behind the redistributed uranium ore (fig. 1A). Limonite "cubes" have been observed in the Morrison Formation not only in subsurface samples but also in the outcrop. It is not clear, however, whether the oxidized sulfide grains in the outcrop resulted from oxidative processes associated with the ore-forming episode or whether they are related to weathering. Pyrite cubes also occur in reduced rock of the Catahoula Tuff and the Wasatch Formation but are not the most abundant form of iron sulfide in these rocks.

In the reduced rocks of the Wasatch Formation, sulfide occurs commonly as rounded aggregates forming partial rims around Fe-Ti oxides and as individual framboids (fig. 1B). These textures are preserved by the ferric oxides that replace the sulfides during oxidation (fig. 1C). Framboids of pyrite in reduced sandstone and limonite of similar shape and size in oxidized sandstone also have been identified in the Whitsett Formation of south Texas.

In the deposits studied, iron sulfide minerals also occur as a replacement of Fe-Ti oxide minerals (see Reynolds, 1975). Titanomagnetite is especially affected and may be partly to completely replaced by sulfide. Most of the titanomagnetite grains have undergone high-temperature oxidation in the source rocks under initial conditions of cooling that has resulted in the development of ilmenite along the (111) crystallographic planes. Because magnetite is more readily replaced by sulfide than is ilmenite (Reynolds, 1975), sulfidized magnetites commonly contain remnants of ilmenite lamellae showing the characteristic (111) orientation. During subsequent low-temperature oxida-
tion, these textures are retained in the limonite that replaced the sulfidized titanomagnetite (fig. 2). The ilmenite may later be replaced by a TiO\textsubscript{2} phase leaving a network of TiO\textsubscript{2} lamellae along the original (111) planes.

Detrital grains of titanohematite, generally less susceptible to sulfidization than magnetite, are most commonly replaced by sulfide along grain margins and fractures. Ferric oxides formed by later oxidation of sulfidized titanohematite mimic the pattern of sulfidization. Oxidation of sulfidized titanomagnetite and titanohematite results in ferric oxides that by color and texture differ greatly from alteration products of these detrital phases formed by oxidation without an earlier episode of sulfidization (Reynolds, 1975).

Authigenic iron sulfide minerals occur in each of the deposits studied as an interstitial cement so that later oxidation results in the concentration of ferric oxides between detrital grains. Authigenic ferric oxides, however, can also be concentrated between framework grains by processes of oxidation in sandstones that have not undergone earlier sulfidization (Walker, 1967; Walker and others, 1967). It is important, then, to distinguish between the two types of ferric oxide occurring as interstitial matrix. Limonite formed by the replacement of iron sulfide cement is characterized texturally by convolute patterns. When viewed by reflected light under oil-immersion conditions, these convolute textures appear as complex intergrowths of different iron oxide and hydroxide

---

**Figure 1**—Ferric oxides formed from oxidized iron sulfides.  
A, Cube-shaped ferric oxide formed by the oxidation of pyrite cube. B, Pyrite (white) occurring as a partial overgrowth rim on detrital titanohematite (gray). C, Ferric oxide (colloform, mottled dark-gray phase) formed by the oxidation of an incomplete iron sulfide rim on detrital titanohematite (light-gray). Length of field 158 micrometers in A, B, and C.

**Figure 2**—Ferric oxide formed by the oxidation of a previously sulfidized titanomagnetite. Neither iron sulfide nor magnetite remain, but original grain is recognizable by relict lamellae of ilmenite (now TiO\textsubscript{2}) and ferric oxide along the characteristic (111) planes of the detrital titanomagnetite. Length of field 158 μm.
phases of slightly different reflectivity and color (fig. 3). In samples of incompletely oxidized sandstone at the redox interface, the texture is seen in partial stages of development, because limonite has only partly replaced the iron sulfide phases. This texture has been observed in three of the four formations studied, the exception being the Whitsett Formation, for which our sampling is sparse. The reasons for the convolute pattern and the origins of the different phases are presently unknown and are the objectives of our current experimental studies on the oxidation of iron sulfide minerals.

We have examined many polished thin sections from three unmineralized sandstones (of Triassic, Miocene, and Pliocene age), which have been reddened by intrastratal alterations under oxidizing conditions and have never been subjected to sulfidization, and we have not found similar convolute textures within the interstitial ferric oxides. Much of the red matrix in the unmineralized sandstones is associated with clays in interstitial voids and in voids left by dissolution of metastable iron-bearing silicates such as hornblende and biotite (Walker and others, 1967; Walker and Larson, 1976). We suggest, then, that the convolute pattern described might be useful for identifying ferric oxide phases that have formed by the oxidation of iron sulfides.

In some of the uranium-bearing sandstones examined, organic matter plays an important role as a source of reductant by contributing to the development of bacteriogenic H₂S. In these sands, under reducing conditions, sulfides commonly form partial replacements of and overgrowths on plant debris. Later oxidation results in the development of ferric oxides with retention of the original sulfide texture. Relicts of sulfide minerals may also be present in the organic matter. These relationships are especially well developed in the deposit of the Powder River Basin (fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Many of the petrographic features described above indicate the preservation of sulfide textures as pseudomorphic iron oxides during sulfide oxidation, suggesting that iron was not extensively solubilized by the oxidation process. At first glance, this result seems surprising because pyrite oxidation produces strong acids and the solubility of iron increases markedly at low pH (Garrels and Christ, 1965, p. 220). Production of acids by pyrite oxidation can be illustrated by the reaction

\[ 4 \text{FeS}_2 + 15 \text{O}_2 + 14 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 16\text{H}^+ + 8\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 4\text{Fe(OH)}_3. \]  

(1)
In fact, pyrite oxidation in high-sulfur coals is responsible for acidic mine drainage waters and extensive iron mobilization (Singer and Strumm, 1970; and Barnes and Romberger, 1968). However, pyrite oxidation attending the formation of uranium roll-type deposits occurs under conditions in which a significant part of the acidity is neutralized. Many of the deposits occur in compositionally immature, relatively poorly sorted sandstones (arkoses). The hydrogen ion is known to react quickly with several of the phases common to such rocks, notably feldspars, clay minerals, and carbonates. Furthermore, the rate of pyrite oxidation in a ground-water system is limited by the slow input of oxidant caused by the relatively low solubility of oxygen in ground waters and by slow ground-water flow rates (C. G. Warren, oral commun., 1977). The absolute rate of pyrite oxidation, and thus of hydrogen-ion generation, may be slow compared to the rate of hydrogen-ion reaction with sedimentary components.

Clearly, however, some redistribution of iron has occurred in at least one of the deposits considered herein (that in the Catahoula Tuff) as attested by accumulations of iron as iron sulfide in reduced rock near the redox boundary. Enrichment of iron at the roll front and a short distance ahead of it in other deposits, which is apparently related to processes of ore formation, has also been noted by Harshman (1974) and King and Austin (1966). It may be possible to reconcile the presence of limonite pseudomorphs with evidence of iron mobility during ore genesis if the processes forming the pseudomorphs are not conservative with respect to iron. Or perhaps iron may be selectively mobilized from extremely fine-grained materials and not from the coarser fraction that we have studied. More work on a variety of deposits is required to answer these questions.

CONCLUSION

Oxidized sulfides have been suggested as exploration guides within known uranium-producing districts. In the past, however, these minerals have been recognized either by the color of sandstone or by low-magnification binocular microscopy—methods that cannot reliably identify the various modes of genesis of limonite. Reflection microscopy under high magnification is a method that is capable of distinguishing limonite formed by the oxidation of iron sulfides from that having other origins. Recognition of oxidized sulfides can distinguish oxidized sandstones that might have a redox boundary downdip, and which would be favorable hosts for uranium ore, from sandstones without such potential. This method, therefore, should be useful in guiding exploration for uranium in frontier areas.
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URANIUM IN WATERS AND AQUIFER ROCKS AT THE
NEVADA TEST SITE, NYE COUNTY, NEVADA

By ROBERT A. ZIELINSKI and JOHN N. ROSHOLT, Denver, Colo.

Abstract.—Previous chemical, geological, and hydrological information describing the physical and chemical environment of the Nevada Test Site (a Federal reserve for the testing of nuclear explosive devices) has been combined with new radiochemical and isotope data for water and rock samples in order to explain the behavior of uranium during alteration of thick sequences of rhyolitic volcanic rocks and associated volcaniclastic sediments. A model is proposed in which uranium mobility is controlled by two competing processes. Uranium is liberated from the volcanic rocks through dissolution of the glassy constituents and is carried in solution as a uranyl carbonate complex. Uranium is subsequently removed from solution by adsorption on secondary oxides of iron, titanium, and manganese, as observed in fission-track maps of aquifer rocks. The model explains the poor correlation of dissolved uranium with depth within tuffaceous sequences in which percolation of ground water is predominantly downward. Good positive correlation of dissolved uranium with dissolved Na, total dissolved solids, and total carbonate supports the glass dissolution model, while inverse correlation of dissolved uranium with $\frac{U^{134}}{U^{138}}$ ratios of waters implies uranium is being absorbed by a relatively insoluble, surficial phase. Alpha radioactivity of Test Site water is primarily caused by high $U^{238}$ contents, and beta activity is highly correlated with dissolved K ($K^{+}$). Small amounts of dissolved radium, Pb$^{210}$, and Pb$^{226}$ are present but no evidence was found for alpha activity sources related to nuclear testing ($Pu^{239}$). A filtered but unacidified carbonate solution of uranium was found to be stable (±10 percent of original U concentration) for years when stored in acid-washed polyethylene bottles.

This study presents data on the uranium and radium abundances, alpha- and beta-particle emission rates and $U$ isotopic compositions of surface and ground water sampled at the Nevada Test Site, Nye County, Nev. (a Federal reserve for the testing of nuclear explosive devices). Uranium abundances and distributions in some rhyolitic aquifer rocks are also presented. These data are integrated with geologic, chemical, and hydrologic data in an attempt to model the mobility of uranium in thick sequences of rhyolitic host rocks. Specifically, the study (1) evaluates changes in the uranium content and (or) isotopic composition of water as a function of residence time in rhyolites, (2) identifies changes of the uranium abundance and distribution in rhyolitic aquifer rocks as a function of degree of alteration, and (3) identifies sources of anomalously high alpha radioactivity in Test Site waters.

An extensive treatment of the geology and hydrology of the Nevada Test Site area is available in previously published reports (Eckel, 1968; Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Schoff and Moore, 1964). A generalized stratigraphic section of the Nevada Test Site area shows the area to be underlain by thick sequences (<11,000 m) of Precambrian and Paleozoic miogeosynclinal sediments. Mesozoic rocks are limited to a few small granitic stocks. A thick sequence (<4000 m) of Cenozoic volcanic and associated sedimentary rocks was deposited on a previously folded and faulted paleotopographic surface of moderate relief. Cenozoic volcanic rocks originated from at least two developing calderas, and they are predominantly calc-alkalic to peralkaline rhyolitic to quartz latitic ash and lava flows with subordinate air-fall tuff and tuffaceous sandstones. During Miocene to Quaternary time, the previously deformed miogeosynclinal rocks and overlying Cenozoic volcanics were subjected to normal block faulting and subsequent erosion to yield the present basin-and-range topography.

Because the structural and erosional history of the area was complex, the thickness and areal extent of individual units vary greatly, and this variation results in a complex hydrologic regime. Previous investigations of the local hydrology indicated that the major aquifer controlling regional movement of ground water occurs in Paleozoic carbonate rocks. Water enters the carbonate aquifer through recharge from precipitation on highland areas or from downward percolation within intermontane basins. Lateral movement of ground water within the carbonate aquifer allows integration of drainage from a number of nearby basins prior to ultimate discharge along a fault-controlled spring line at Ash Meadows in the Amargosa Desert (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975). Shallower aquifers occur in valley-fill sequences and
in bedded, fractured, and devitrified tuffs in the Cenozoic volcanics. Intrabasin movement of ground water is predominantly downward from these aquifers into the underlying carbonate aquifer although rates of communication between aquifers are controlled by intervening poorly fractured, zeolitized or argillitized rocks which act as aquitards of varying efficiency.

The chemical composition of ground water circulating in rhyolitic volcanic rocks is dominated by sodium, potassium, and bicarbonate ions. In contrast, waters of the carbonate aquifers or carbonate-rich valley-fill sequences are composed primarily of calcium, magnesium, and bicarbonate ions (Schoff and Moore, 1964). The contrasting chemistries of the two waters allow crude estimates of the degree of mixing for waters of mixed chemical types.

Release of sodium during alteration of rhyolitic glass and felsite is well documented (Lipman, 1965; Truesdell, 1966; Hoover, 1968; Noble, 1970). Both the sodium and sulfate content of ground water increase as a function of depth in a test well in the Cenozoic volcanic sequence (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975). This study was initiated in order to test for a similar behavior for uranium. Uranium dissolved in oxidizing, carbonate-bicarbonate waters of neutral to mildly alkaline pH should be stabilized by the formation of uranyl carbonate complexes (Garrels and Christ, 1965).

Several springs and water-supply wells tapping various aquifers within the Nevada Test Site were monitored during the period of 1957–72 for radioactivity (total alpha and beta activity) and uranium and radium content (Clebsch and Barker, 1960; Claassen, 1973). Most water analyses presented in this paper are taken from the earlier reports. Tritium and carbon-14 age dates were determined for waters from some of the sampling sites (Clebsch, 1961; Grove and others, 1969) and are utilized at appropriate points in the discussion.

Acknowledgments.—Water samples and much original sample data were graciously provided by H. C. Claassen. Help in obtaining background information about the Nevada Test Site was provided by G. L. Dixon, S. G. Steele, K. A. Sargent, and F. M. Byers. Special thanks goes to S. G. Steele for help in collecting core samples. Statistical analyses were aided by D. A. Lindsey and J. G. Boerngen.

**SAMPLE DESCRIPTION**

Water samples were collected during the period of 1957–72 by various members of the U.S. Geological Survey. Field treatment of samples varied (Claassen, 1973), but most samples collected after 1965 were filtered at the time of collection through filters having 0.45-μm openings and stored in acid-washed polyethylene bottles. Splits of samples submitted for cation and radiometric measurements were acidified to pH <1 with HCl or HNO₃. Most sample locations discussed in this paper (Fig. 1) have been discussed in greater detail elsewhere (Claassen, 1973).

Rock samples were obtained as 100-g chips from 7.6-cm-diameter cores previously obtained at three exploratory sites on Rainier Mesa, Nevada Test Site.
(fig. 1). The holes penetrate some 600 m of Cenozoic rhyolite ash and lava flows, air-fall tuffs, and tuffaceous sandstones to bottom in Paleozoic carbonate rocks (Walter Danilchik, written commun., 1968). Samples reported in this study were taken from 30- to 60-m sections through the Grouse Canyon Member of the Belted Range Tuff—a peralkaline rhyolite of late Miocene age reported to be a major aquifer in the Cenozoic rhyolite sequence (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975). Samples exhibit a variety of degrees of devitrification, welding, and zeolitization. Thin sections were made of representative samples, and splits of all samples were ground to ~100 mesh (< 150 μm) by ceramic plates prior to submission for U and Th analysis.

**ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES**

Uranium and thorium concentrations in rock powders were determined by a delayed neutron technique (Millard, 1976). Eight-gram splits of samples and a standard of U-doped dunite were packaged in polyethylene vials, irradiated at the U.S. Geological Survey TRIGA reactor for 1 min in a neutron flux of $6 \times 10^{11} n \cdot cm^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$, and transferred by pneumatic tube to an array of BF$_3$ neutron counters. After a decay period of 20 s, the samples and standard were counted for 1 min. The procedure was repeated for thorium except thorium standards were used and the irradiations were performed in a Cd-lined terminus. Sample transfers, data collections, and reduction were performed by computer. Reported coefficients of variation were less than 10 percent for uranium concentrations >2 ppm (parts per million) and thorium concentrations ≈10 ppm.

The uranium concentrations in waters reported here were determined by a fluorimetric technique (Barker and others, 1965). Solid residues produced by evaporation of a known aliquot of solution were fused with a flux of NaF-Na$_2$CO$_3$-K$_2$CO$_3$ mixture, and the resultant pellet was measured for fluorescence at the 5546-angstrom wavelength. After the samples were checked for the presence of elements which quench U fluorescence, their values were compared to those obtained from standards. Reported accuracy and precision is ±15 percent (1σ) for U concentrations >1 μg/L (Barker and others, 1965).

Uranium distribution in rock and water samples was determined by a fission-track technique similar in concept to alpha-particle autoradiography except that the presence of uranium was detected by reactor-induced production of fission-fragment traces in an appropriate detector material. Thin sections were covered by a strip of low-U muscovite and irradiated in a neutron flux of $2.5 \times 10^{12} n \cdot cm^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$ for 4 hours. During irradiation, U$^{235}$ absorbed thermal neutrons and fissioned. Some recoiling fission fragments entered the muscovite detector and caused disruptions of the structure along their paths. These paths were made visible under high magnification by subsequent etching of the muscovite in 48 percent HF for 10 min. Uranium sites in the thin section could then be identified by reproducing the orientation of the muscovite “fission-track map” on the original slide using a series of previously produced reference marks. Uranium distribution in solutions was measured using a detector platelet of low-uranium silica glass immersed in solution. Irradiation conditions were the same and the recovered glass was etched in 48 percent HF for 2 min to develop distinctive fission-track pits.

Radium concentrations in water were determined by two techniques. In both techniques, radium is separated from other elements through coprecipitation with barium sulfate. The precipitate is then either (1) allowed to reach equilibrium with short-lived daughters, mounted on a planchet, and counted on an alpha scintillation detector along with equilibrated standards of Ra$^{226}$; or (2) sealed in a container for about 2 weeks to allow for equilibrium with radon daughters, which are then flushed to a new container and counted for alpha activity with appropriate standards (Barker and Johnson, 1964). Reported precision is ±10 percent (1σ) for samples with more than 0.5 pCi/L (picocurie per liter) of radium.

Total alpha and beta (α+β) activities were determined by evaporating an aliquot of solution, transferring the residue to a planchet, and counting the residue in a thin-window gas-flow proportional counter. Separate count data for α and β were compared with calibration curves obtained from appropriate standard solutions of uranyl acetate (for α), Sr$^{89}$, or Cs$^{137}$. The precision of the results is a function of the dissolved solids content and U content (sample aliquots were chosen to give constant dissolved solid contents), as well as reproducibility of counting geometry and detector efficiency. Estimated precisions are as poor as ±30 percent (1σ). (O. J. Feist, Jr., oral commun., 1975).

Polonium analyses were performed according to the method of Flynn (1968) in which Po is collected from solution onto silver disks which are counted for Po$^{210}$ alpha activity.

Uranium and plutonium concentrations and uranium isotopic compositions were determined on a number of selected water samples using a radioisotope dilution-
alpha spectrometric technique modified from Rosholt, Doe, and Tatsumoto (1966). Pure concentrates of uranium and plutonium were obtained using solvent extraction, ion exchange, and electrodeposition and were counted for 2-3 weeks in an alpha spectrometer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Water Analysis

Water analyses (U, Ra, α, β) generated in this investigation using stored samples, and other analyses taken from the literature (Claassen, 1973), have been grouped according to host rock and arranged in order of increasing sample depth (table 1).

Estimated depths of sampling represent the median depth of the potential “producing zone” defined as the depth from the water-table surface to the well bottom. Such estimates may be in gross error if wells penetrate large thicknesses of rock of low and variable permeability in which most actual production may be from a few narrow horizons of high permeability. The above situation is true for most wells in tuffaceous rocks. Some wells penetrating tuffs (UE15d, UE19e, UE19g–s) have hypothetical “producing zones” in excess of 1000 m. One well (UE19g–s) produces from tuffaceous and carbonate rocks but is assigned to the carbonate aquifer on the basis of the generally higher transmissivity of carbonate rocks.

Age data (Clebsch, 1961; Grove and others, 1969) were also included to document aging of waters at depth. Of particular interest are data taken from closely-spaced localities in areas of documented downward percolation of ground water such as Yucca and Frenchman Flats (fig. 1). The following discussion attempts to explain these data using knowledge of the regional hydrology and geology as well as the chemistry of uranium, radium, and related daughter products.

Uranium concentrations

Two springs at the Nevada Test Site (table 1) and 14 springs sampled just to the south (Robinson and Beetem, 1975) contain uranium in concentrations of 0.6-6.8 μg/L with an average value of 2.5 μg/L. Uranium concentrations in perched water encountered during drilling and tunneling of Rainier Mesa (fig. 1) range in concentration from 0.2-5.7 μg/L with an average of 2.2 μg/L (Clebsch and Barker, 1960), which is close to the value of 1.9 μg/L shown in table 1 for uranium in the U 12 T main tunnel. In comparison, surface waters in the United States contain uranium in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.—Radiochemical data for Nevada Test Site waters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Ages are uncorrected C-14 ages from Grove and others (1969), except as indicated. Other data are from Claassen (1971), except as indicated. Numbers in parentheses indicate how many values were used in determining the data range. Leaders (—) indicate no data available.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample locality (see fig. 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cave Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitsock Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U12T main tunnel,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainier Mesa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 5C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 5A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 5E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 1-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 1-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 1-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 1-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 1-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 1-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 1-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well UE15d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Time since water entered the ground.
2 Tritium age from Clebsch (1961).
3 Data determined in this study.
amounts ranging from 0.1–0.5 μg/L in nonuraniferous regions and 1–10 μg/L in uranium regions (Fix, 1956). The data on the uranium content in the Nevada Test Site is limited and has not been collected in a systematic manner. Uranium content of spring waters is likely related to lithology of host rocks and local precipitation patterns.

Water from the shallow valley-fill aquifer is much older (one determination) and generally contains more uranium than the spring waters (table 1). The consistent ranges of U, Ra, and α and β activity values also suggest relatively uniform attack of U sources by ground water within the aquifer. Efficient mixing of ground waters is not supported by chemical analyses (Claassen, 1973).

In contrast to the waters from the valley-fill aquifer, uranium concentrations in waters of the tuffaceous aquifers sampled from numerous wells (table 1) show much greater interwell and intrawell variation.

The variability of uranium concentrations in waters from tuffaceous aquifers undoubtedly indicates inhomogeneous flow patterns imposed by intervention of structural and (or) lithologic barriers in the Cenozoic volcanic sequence which cause differing flow patterns. In particular, a major enrichment of uranium in waters tapped at the level of well 5A is indicated.

Such local enrichments may be due to ponding of ground waters causing excessive leaching of host rocks, or to the presence of a uranium host. Uranium concentrations in tuffaceous aquifer waters are generally lower than concentrations in waters of the valley-fill aquifer, indicating that uranium is being removed from solution by an absorbent and (or) that water from the valley-fill aquifer is not a major source of recharge to the tuff. Evidence for the former hypothesis will be presented below.

The uranium content of waters drawn from the carbonate aquifer is controlled by variable rates of input through overlying aquitards as well as by mixture with water recharged to and transported within the carbonate aquifer from areas in and around the Test Site. The transported water is considered to be the most likely uranium source because carbonate aquifer wells with the highest uranium content (C, C-1) are not in areas where the carbonate is overlain by water-saturated tuffaceous rocks (Claassen, 1973).

The existing chemical analyses (Claassen, 1973) of the well waters of this study were examined statistically to provide more rigorous estimates of possible correlations with U. The data set consisted of 107 partial or complete analyses for 17 chemical and radiometric parameters and 3 physical parameters (depth, specific conductance, and temperature). Some of the data were put into a logarithmic format to produce more nearly Gaussian sample distributions. Linear correlation coefficients were calculated for the entire data set and for subsets from each aquifer. The results (table 2) indicate a strong positive correlation of U with Na, carbonate (HCO₃ + CO₃), and dissolved solid content in waters draining tuffs. The results suggest a model in which U is mobilized during volcanic glass dissolution as an alkali complex with uranyl-carbonate anions. Similar correlations are obtained in the carbonate aquifer and may reflect some input of sodium species from the tuffaceous rocks. Small positive or negative correlation of U and most other chemical parameters with depth or with temperature (which is depth-related) is true of all aquifers. Predominant downward percolation of ground waters does not consistently produce a downward increase in concentrations of dissolved constituents, because water compositions are affected differently by competing processes such as (1) supersaturation and precipitation, (2) absorption, and (3) dilution with juvenile recharged waters (valley-fill aquifer) or drainage from neighboring basins (carbonate aquifer).

### Table 2.—Correlation coefficients between uranium and various parameters: Nevada Test Site aquifer water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Total carbonate</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>SO₄</th>
<th>NO₃</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
<th>solids</th>
<th>Specific conductance</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All aquifers</td>
<td>0.14*</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.40*</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.17*</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.39*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley fill aquifer</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.37*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.33*</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.62*</td>
<td>-0.30*</td>
<td>-0.45*</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuff aquifer</td>
<td>0.38*</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.25*</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.02*</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate aquifer</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.82*</td>
<td>0.68*</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.75*</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.96*</td>
<td>0.80*</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.78*</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.95*</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.34*</td>
<td>0.82*</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Log transformation did not improve the sample distribution: raw data for the element labeling the column was used.
Radium concentrations

Radium concentrations in waters of the Nevada Test Site range from <0.1–1.9 pCi/L. In comparison, surface and ground waters of the United States generally contain <1 pCi/L radium although some limestone aquifers in the north-central United States commonly contain >3 pCi/L (Barker and Johnson, 1964). Association of uranium and radium in ground water (table 2) is expected because radium is produced through decay of uranium (and thorium) and is soluble in carbonate-bicarbonate solutions. Dissolved concentrations of radium isotopes adjust to values in equilibrium with longer lived parents, the rate of adjustment depending upon the half-life \( T_{1/2} \) of the isotope. If Ra\(^{226} \) \( (T_{1/2} = 1622 \text{ yr}) \) is in equilibrium with its parent U\(^{238} \), alpha activity ratios for the two isotopes should equal 1.0. Conversion of U\(^{238} \) abundances to equivalent alpha activity allows comparison with measured alpha activity of radium expressed as equivalent Ra\(^{226} \), which shows that most waters at the Test Site contain less radium than could be supported by the dissolved uranium (table 1). A factor affecting the dissolved lifetime of radium is its coprecipitation with barium sulfate. Well waters of this study contain 5–500 ppb (parts per billion) Ba and 7–100 ppm SO\(_4\), result in solutions which are nearly saturated (valley-fill and tuff aquifer) to supersaturated (carbonate-aquifer) with BaSO\(_4\). Alpha particle emission

All measured activities reported here are in excess of that which may be explained by assuming alpha activity is due to dissolved uranium and radium of normal isotopic composition (table 1). Claassen (1973) speculated that additional alpha activity was caused by unusual uranium isotopic compositions and (or) the presence of soluble daughter products.

The former hypothesis is suggested by the correlation of dissolved uranium and alpha activity (table 2). Results of measurements of U\(^{234} / U^{238} \) alpha activity ratios of selected samples give values of 2.8–4.88 (table 3). Samples were chosen to represent a range of uranium concentrations in different aquifers and to represent a time-related sampling of a well (C) whose waters contained high and variable amounts of alpha activity. Excesses of U\(^{234} \) are well documented for most natural waters (Kaufman and others, 1969; Kronfeld, 1972) and are believed to be caused by preferential oxidation and dissolution of U\(^{234} \) or preferential recoil into solution of U\(^{234} \) atoms from mineral surfaces (Rosholt and others, 1963; Chalov, 1959; Chalov and Merkulova, 1966). Once established, disequilibrium amounts of unsupported U\(^{234} \) readjust to equilibrium values at a rate determined by the half-life \( T_{1/2} = 2.27 \times 10^5 \) yr. Variable efficiency of fractionation in waters of similar age probably reflects differences in the rate of solution of uranium hosts compared to the amount of recoil of U\(^{234} \) from grain surfaces and the degree of mixing of solutions at different locations. A comparison of the uranium contents and isotopic ratios of waters at well C (table 3) with the same values from the Ash Meadows discharge site \( (U = 2.3 \mu g/L; U^{234} / U^{238} = 2–3) \) suggests that water leaving the Test Site area (well C) is diluted with less fractionated waters from other areas (I. J. Winograd, written commun., 1976).

Although the amount of excess U\(^{234} \) is an insignificant atom fraction of the total dissolved U, its contribution to the net alpha activity results in calculated “equilibrium” U\(^{238} \) abundances (table 3, table 1) 3–5 times the dissolved U\(^{238} \) abundance. Correction for alpha activity produced by excess U\(^{234} \) and dissolved radium successfully (within the precision of a counting) accounts for most alpha activity excesses (table 3). Other possible sources of alpha activity, such as plutonium or U\(^{235} \) excesses related to nuclear testing, were not found (table 3).

Radioactive daughters of uranium and radium may also be sources of alpha activity although establishment of equilibrium concentrations in solutions is hindered by the escape of daughters through gaseous diffusion (Rn) or precipitation as relatively insoluble elements or compounds (Th, Pa, Ac). An attempt was made to detect the presence of Po\(^{210} \) \( (T_{1/2} = 0.38 \) yr) and, by inference, its long-lived parent Pb\(^{210} \) \( (T_{1/2} = 22 \) yr). Evidence was found for a small Pb\(^{210} \) – Po\(^{210} \) contribution to alpha activity (table 3).
Beta particle emission

The beta particle emission rate of evaporated water samples is not as great or as variable as the associated alpha activity (table 1). Correlation of beta activity with uranium or radium is very poor, but correlation with potassium is 0.76 in tuff and 0.90 in carbonate aquifers, indicating that the source of beta activity in evaporated residues of these waters is the naturally occurring, beta-emitting isotope K$^{40}$. Other possible beta emitters include Rb$^{87}$ as well as isotopes of the uranium and thorium decay series.

Sources of beta activity resulting from nuclear fission products (Sr$^{90}$, Cs$^{137}$) are a concern at the Nevada Test Site, but the total beta activity of water samples was not anomalous compared to regional background values and no specific identification of isotopes was attempted. Detailed investigation of beta-emitting sources is best performed using γ spectrometry or radiochemical procedures followed by beta energy and half-life determinations. Such investigations were not attempted in this report.

Variations of measured quantities related to sample aging

In order to evaluate the validity of data obtained from stored water samples (table 1, table 3), a number of waters originally collected and analyzed in 1969-71 were resubmitted for analysis (U and Ra) in 1976. An acid rinse (8$\text{N} \text{HNO}_3$) of one of the polyethylene storage bottles was also performed to provide a measure of adsorbed material. All the water samples had been filtered at the time of collection, but not all the stored samples had been acidified.

The stability of uranium in carbonate-bicarbonate waters (Thatcher and others, 1977) was verified (table 4) for most samples, and acid-rinse data indicated that <10 percent of the original uranium was adsorbed on container walls. The comparison is limited by the precision of the fluorimetric technique as well as by the lack of specific data for many early samples. Fission-track measurements of the distribution of uranium in solution revealed generally homogenous distribution with a few uraniferous particles. Particulate matter may have been present in the original samples or may have grown during storage but most apparently remained in suspension. Uranium concentrations of unacidified solutions determined by a fission-track technique gave anomalously high values indicating that uranium was adsorbed on the glass fission-track detectors. An original suspicion that fission-track clusters were caused by particulates of plutonium was not verified by subsequent bulk sample analysis (table 3).

Four of five redetermined radium values are lower than the original values. However, these lower values could not indicate significant decay of excess radium during the 5-yr interval because of the long half-life (1622 yr) of Ra$^{226}$. Adsorption of radium on container walls is apparently the cause of the decrease. (See footnote 3 on table 4.)

Redeterminations of alpha and beta particle emission rates provide little definitive information because of the poor precision of the technique. Nevertheless,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample locality (see fig. N)</th>
<th>Original collection date</th>
<th>U content (ug/L)</th>
<th>Ra$^{228}$ equivalent (pCi/L)</th>
<th>Alpha (U$^{236}$ equivalent) (pg/L)</th>
<th>Beta (Sr$^{90}$ equivalent) (pCi/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well 5C</td>
<td>12/23/71</td>
<td>4.3-7.4(4)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well J-12</td>
<td>3/26/71</td>
<td>0.2-0.6(4)</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well J-13</td>
<td>3/26/71</td>
<td>0.7(2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well UE15D</td>
<td>11/15/71</td>
<td>2.7-2.0(2)</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well U20A-2</td>
<td>10/06/71</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well C-1</td>
<td>3/29/71</td>
<td>6.3-8.0(4)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well C</td>
<td>3/11/69</td>
<td>6.3-7.5(5)</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>1.0-1.4(5)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well C</td>
<td>3/29/71</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well C</td>
<td>5/28/71</td>
<td>6.3-7.5(5)</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>1.0-1.4(5)</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well C</td>
<td>11/15/71</td>
<td>6.3-7.5(5)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.0-1.4(5)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Sample acidified prior to storage.
2 Data obtained by isotope dilution alpha spectrometry.
3 An 8$\text{N} \text{HNO}_3$ acid solution used to rinse this sample’s storage bottle contained 0.64 $\mu$g/L U and 0.4 pCi/L Ra$^{226}$ equivalent.
FIGURE 2
the absence of systematically lower values in redefined samples indicated the emitting species are longlived.

Uranium Distribution and Abundance in Tuffaceous Aquifer Rocks

Uranium and thorium abundances in tuffaceous aquifer rocks were determined by the delayed neutron technique (table 5). Uranium abundances range from 2.6-9.0 ppm, while thorium abundances range from 0.7-27.4 ppm. Detailed sampling within a number of units revealed generally homogenous uranium and thorium contents which were independent of qualitative estimates of the degree of welding or alteration. A fresh glassy zone within a densely welded unit could not be distinguished from neighboring devitrified welded tuffs on the basis of uranium or thorium content. The sharp gradients of uranium concentration between some neighboring units and the constant uranium content within units argues against major mobilization and (or) redistribution of uranium. Fission-track maps of uranium distribution in thin sections indicated that some minor redistribution of uranium had occurred in altered samples. Uranium mobility is evidenced through concentration of uranium in secondary oxides of iron, manganese, and titanium, which form as alteration rims or collect in areas most accessible to water such as microfissures or pumices (fig. 2). Uptake of uranium by surficial alteration products of rhyolite explains the lower uranium con-
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**Table 5.** Uranium and thorium abundances in core samples of the Grouse Canyon Member of the Belted Range Tuff, Rainier Mesa, Nevada Test Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface depth (meters)</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>Th/U</th>
<th>Lithology¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core number UE12DF1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Ash flow, moderately welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Ash fall, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Ash flow, zeolitized, densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Vitrophyre, black, vitreous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Vitrophyre, black, zeolite present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Ash fall, moderately welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Ash fall, moderately welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Ash fall, moderately welded; abundant pumice and vitrophyre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Ash fall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Ash fall; concentration and alteration of pumice fragments becomes with depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Ash fall; concentration and alteration of pumice fragments becomes with depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Ash fall; concentration and alteration of pumice fragments becomes with depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Ash fall; concentration and alteration of pumice fragments becomes with depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core number UE12DF1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>367.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Ash fall, slightly welded, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Ash fall, moderately welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Ash fall, moderately welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Ash fall, highly zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Ash fall, densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Ash fall, densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Ash fall, densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Ash fall, densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Ash fall, densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Ash fall, densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Ash fall, densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core number UE12DF1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Ash flow tuff, moderately to densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Ash flow tuff, moderately to densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Ash flow tuff, moderately to densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Ash flow tuff, moderately to densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Ash flow tuff, moderately to densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Ash flow tuff, moderately to densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Ash flow tuff, moderately to densely welded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core number UE12G10F1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Ash fall tuff, zeolitized.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Lithological units and descriptions provided by Walter Dannichik (written commun., 1968).
tent and the greater U\(^{234}\)/U\(^{238}\) enrichment of tuffaceous aquifer water compared to carbonate aquifer water. Preferential recoil of U\(^{234}\) into solution is enhanced by coating grains with relatively insoluble, uraniumiferous phases (Osmond and Cowart, 1976). In order to contrast with Rainier Mesa samples, future samples of tuffaceous aquifer rocks should concentrate on areas which presently experience high rates of ground water flow (Pahute Mesa wells; Blankennagel and Weir, 1973).
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DISCRIMINATION OF FLUVIAL AND EOLIAN DEPOSITS
BY NUMBER-FREQUENCY ANALYSIS OF SEDIMENTS OF SAND
THROUGH SILT SIZE FROM A POINT BAR, RIO PUERCO, NEW MEXICO

EJY R. G. SHEPHERD,1 and D. L. MACKE,
Wheat Ridge, Colo., Golden, Colo.

Abstract.—Fluvial and eolian deposits are interbedded in ephemeral-stream, arid-region point bars in the channel of the Rio Puerco, west of Albuquerque, N. Mex. Number-frequency distributions of grain size for both types of deposits were obtained using an electronic automatic image analyzer for a suite of 10 samples. The number-frequency results were superior to weight-frequency (sieve) results in discriminating between eolian units and fluvial units in a vertical point-bar sequence. The image-analysis technique also identified those fluvial deposits whose size distributions have been altered significantly by winds, as well as fluvial deposits that do not show a prominent eolian-process component.

Can interbedded eolian and fluvial deposits of arid-region point bars be differentiated through mechanical analysis? Previous attempts to unequivocally discriminate between these two types of deposits on the basis of texture constitute a considerable literature. (See Pettijohn, 1975, chap. 3, and Folk, 1966, for references.) Probably most sedimentologists would agree that an inordinate number of these attempts have failed to satisfactorily attain this goal (Bigarella, 1972; Ahlbrandt, 1975, Moiola and Weiser, 1968, p. 49). We met this classic problem of environmental discrimination during an investigation of depositional processes and structures in a potential modern analog of some ancient uranium-bearing sandstone formations in the Rio Puerco alluvial valley west of Albuquerque, N. Mex. (fig. 1). In this arid fluvial environment, wind ripples and dunes are common features, both along the thalweg (which may be dry more than three-fourths of the year), and higher up on the point bars of the sinuous entrenched channel. Such wind deposits are commonly preserved within point-bar sequences as they are inundated by floods that rapidly deposit large amounts of fine sand and silt from suspension. In places the deposits have practically unaltered textures and structures. The channel pattern changes rapidly as bends migrate, causing preservation of complete point-bar sequences. Layers of wind-deposited sediment exist in some point bars that have been constructed almost entirely within the past 20 years as well as in valley alluvium deposited hundreds of years ago and now exposed in the entrenched-channel walls. Most wind deposits are easily recognized because, as compared to fluvial units, they are more friable, contain less clay, are more evenly laminated

(commonly having inversely graded laminae) and are, consequently, more easily eroded. Sharp ledges form at their lower contacts. In addition, almost all fluvial units show sedimentary structures, textures, and sequences indicative of floods in dry regions (McKee and others, 1967; Denny, 1965, p. 12; Picard and High, 1973). For some units, however, it is difficult to ascertain the depositional agent because eolian deposits may be completely reworked by floods; between floods, high winds frequently alter the texture and distribution of fluvial detritus. Consequently, as an adjunct to interpreting the depositional agent, we used an uncommon method of grain size analysis. Our methods of determination of size distributions were different from those of previous investigators and produced noteworthy, if preliminary, results.

Acknowledgments.—Robert F. Gantnier performed sieve and hydrometer analyses. Carla Potter computed the weight-frequency data from the automatic-image-analyzer data.

**NUMBER-FREQUENCY ANALYSIS OF SAND-THROUGH SILT-SIZE SEDIMENTS**

We used number-frequency data, through the silt range, to characterize size distributions. The phi-mean size of clast was almost always in the coarse- or medium-silt range (60-16 μm). The lengths of four diameters measured at 45° rotations were averaged for each of approximately 500 grains in a sample. Manually, this procedure would be impracticable, but we used an electronic automatic image analyzer (AIA) to do this rote work. Basically, the uncemented grains in the field of view of a microscope were measured by an image-reproducing electronic process, and the data were analyzed directly by computer (Textoris, 1971; Peach and Perrie, 1975; Cole, 1971, has an extensive bibliography). The AIA method does not produce results equivalent to counting in thin section. The AIA dimensions are measured from the projected outline of an entire grain lying on a glass plate, whereas for a thin-sectioned grain, commonly only some smaller section of a grain is observed.

One reason the numerical method of size analysis was attempted is that the statistical parameters used to describe sediments were originally developed for and should theoretically be applied only to number-frequency data, not weight-frequency data as is obtained with sieves (Blatt and others, 1972, p. 44). Another more important reason is that, especially for silt-size sediment, a significant disparity exists between the results of weight-frequency and number-frequency analysis for the same sample. This disparity is well illustrated by a comparison of the number- and weight-frequency distributions of a grab sample of sandy mud (fig. 2) collected from ripples on the surface of an eolian deposit in the channel of the Rio Puerco. Curve A represents the AIA number-frequency distribution. Notice the prominent plateau, principally occupying the coarse-silt range and the 35th-percentile to 45th-percentile range of the cumulative distribution. This plateau is not apparent in the weight-frequency distribution from the sieve data in curve B, or in curve C, which represents number-frequency data of curve A converted to weight frequency.

To illustrate why this plateau is not apparent for weight-frequency data, the simple procedure of converting the AIA number-frequency data to weight-frequency data was performed. That is, grains of quartz (specific gravity = 2.65) of spherical shape were assumed. The weight of each grain was calculated using the average of the four measured diameters to calculate volume. The resulting weight-frequency curve (C in fig. 2) has almost exactly the same shape as the
The reason the plateau of curve A is not shown by curve C is that grain weight is proportional to the cube of the diameter. Because the silt and clay grains constitute little of the total weight, they are not prominent in weight-frequency analysis. This is why only 40 percent (the coarsest fraction) of the grains of the sample constitute 95 percent of the weight.

**AN EOLIAN DISCRIMINANT?**

The dearth of coarse-silt grains in eolian ripples was documented long ago by Udden (1898), who discussed this paucity in relation to the common abundance of very fine sand and coarse silt in loess. Udden (1898, p. 6) also obtained number-frequency distributions of the smallest sizes by using counts of loose grains under the microscope. Since Udden's classic paper, hundreds of analyses of textural attributes of eolian sediments have been made, but texture has not been as valuable as most sedimentologists would like in unequivocally identifying the eolian depositional environment (Bigarella, 1979; Ahlbrandt, 1975). Furthermore, the conspicuous paucity of certain size ranges of clasts becomes obscured if enough sample distributions are lumped together for consideration (Shea, 1974). Even so, Udden's (1898; 1914) data and conclusions alone provide sufficient reason to attempt to ascertain whether the paucity of coarse silt shown in the wind-ripple sample (fig. 2) is indicative of depositional process.

The wind-ripple sample having the distributions of figure 2 was collected the day after a brisk wind had caused rapid ripple migration. To determine the effect of wind entrainment of particles, a sample was later collected from identical ripples that were migrating while the wind was blowing. Size-frequency distributions were obtained for this sample (fig. 3) in the same manner as those for the nonmigrating-ripple sample (fig. 2). The curves in figures 2 and 3 have essentially the same properties, except that the moving-ripple sample has a sharper and flatter plateau at a higher percentile range of measured and accrued grains (curve A). Indeed, only 8 of 497 grains measured were in the coarse-silt range.

**COMPARISON WITH ADJACENT FLUVIAL DISTRIBUTIONS**

If the number-frequency method of identifying the wind-induced dearth of coarse silt was to have merit in the Rio Puerco study, it had to provide distinctive distributions for samples taken from adjacent eolian and fluvial deposits. This requirement was essential because in Rio Puerco point-bar deposits, sheets and wedges of eolian sediment are preserved between units deposited by floods. In order to determine the effect of these processes on channel-sediment sizes, a vertical suite of samples was collected from a Rio Puerco point bar (fig. 1) south of where U.S. Interstate Route 40 crosses the channel (sec. 15, T. 9 N., R. 1 W., Bernalillo County). Our basic purpose in sampling was to determine the vertical change in grain size. At this location the modern point-bar deposit is being removed by bank erosion as the meander migrates. Consequently, a 5-m wall containing a complete point-bar depositional sequence is exposed (fig. 4A). The central part of this wall contains one wedge-shaped unit of sand (unit W1-6, fig. 5) which is texturally and structurally different from its overlying and underlying neighbors. It is poorly cohesive, evenly bedded, and apparently has less clay. The unit is truncated where it adjoins a scarp formed in rippled fluvial sediment, and it contains small angular slumped clasts of the scarp-forming material. These are textural and structural factors that exactly correspond to active eolian sand drifts in the lee of small scarps on the active point-bar surface just downstream, a fact indicating
Figure 4.—Rio Puerco point-bar wall. A, Site where the vertical suite of eolian and fluvial samples (designated by numbers 1-12) was collected at the 4-m stadia rod (graduated in meters and tenths of meters). B, The number 6 designates the eolian unit in the sequence for which size curves are given in figure 5. Note that the interesting lateral-accretion surfaces, one of which the eolian bed rests on, slope to the right in the direction of point-bar construction and meander migration.

that this unit is eolian. A suite of 10 samples was used to characterize this wedge-shaped unit and those above and below it in the point-bar sequence (fig. 4B).

Both weight-frequency (sieve) and number-frequency (AIA) analyses were performed for the suite of samples (fig. 5). The mean sizes are notably different for the two methods of analysis, as expected. However, the AIA data indicate that, using number-frequency analysis, there is less variability between samples and that the unit hypothesized as eolian has a mean size significantly different from the other units. The textural similarities of the samples from the eolian ripples (figs. 2, 3) and this unit are striking.

Considering all the samples, three families of number-frequency size-distribution curves can be recognized (fig. 5). The eolian sediments (figs. 2, 3, and 5) all have size distribution curves showing marked plateaus in conjunction with a notable paucity of grains in and around the size of coarse silt. In addition, eolian curves show the highest proportion of sand (numerically at least 40–50 percent). In contrast, the fluvial group of distribution curves shows a commonly low percentage of sand (less than 10 percent) and no plateau. The paucity of sand in this group of samples is also reflected in the sieve-analysis curves, which singularly all cross the AIA curves (fig. 5). The third group of size-distribution curves shows intermediate characteristics and is designated fluvioeolian (fig. 5). Sedimentary structures and other criteria affirm that the fluvioeolian units were deposited by floods, but all the size-distribution curves have prominent plateaus in the lower cumulative percentages (but generally less than 25 percent). Fluvioeolian sieve-analysis curves do not cross AIA curves like the fluvial curves do. Apparently coarse silt grains were removed from fluvioeolian sediment by wind erosion and were not com-
Figure 5—Grain-size properties of samples taken from units W1-3 through W1-12 in the vertical sequence of the Rio Puerco point bar. Dotted pattern shows sieve results. The phi mean is plotted on the right of the column and the width represents one phi standard deviation. Hatched pattern shows automatic image analyzer (AIA) results. The phi mean is plotted on the left and the width represents one phi standard deviation. Size-distribution curves for the eolian sample have the same designations as those in figures 2 and 3. The other cumulative size distributions have dashed curves for sieve analysis (one-half phi intervals and hydrometer analysis for fines) and solid curves for AIA analysis.

Unfortunately, we cannot yet unequivocally predict depositional-agent distinctions for all units of Rio Puerco point bars. Absolute grain size, postdepositional infiltration of mud into both wind and flood deposits,
and the stage of development of point bars are only some of the additional factors not yet adequately investigated. Furthermore, the calibration and standardization of AIA procedures and the statistical evaluation of AIA results for textural analysis have yet to be satisfactorily determined. Nevertheless, the three groups of curves—fluvial, eolian, and fluvioeolian—are notably distinctive, despite the close proximity of samples from the point-bar exposure discussed here.
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SPECTRAL GRADIENT OF LUNAR RADIOBRIGHTNESS—
HEAT FLOW OR VOLUME SCATTERING?

By A. W. ENGLAND and G. R. JOHNSON,
Reston, Va., Denver, Colo.

Abstract.—Lunar heat flow cannot be derived unambiguously from the spectral gradient of the radiobrightness. Volume scattering of microwaves by rock clasts within the lunar regolith results in a spectral component in the 5- to 30-centimeter range of wavelengths that is a significant fraction of that resulting from lunar heat flow.

Lunar heat flow has been inferred from Earth-based measurements of the Moon’s radiobrightness (Baldwin, 1961; Tikhonova and Troitskii, 1969; Keihm and Langseth, 1975a). A heat-flow-sensing, polychromatic radiometer has been proposed as one of the primary experiments for NASA’s Lunar Polar Orbiting Satellite (U.S. Goddard Space Flight Center, 1975, p. 40-41). The principle employed is that longer wavelength, radio-thermal emission originates deeper within the lunar regolith than does shorter wavelength emission; thus, an increase in radiobrightness with wavelength implies an increase in thermal temperature with depth. Many of the problems of deriving heat flow from the spectral gradient of radiobrightness have been reviewed elsewhere (Troitskii and Tikhonova, 1970; Hagfors, 1970; Muhleman, 1972; Linsky, 1966). A problem that has not been considered is that of scattering of microwaves by rock clasts within the regolith. Because of this scattering, the proposed Lunar Polar Orbiting experiment may yield unacceptably ambiguous heat flows.

Keihm and Langseth (1975b) showed that only the spectral range between 5 and 30 cm is useful for determining heat flow. Shorter wavelength emission originates at shallow depths where the thermal temperature follows the diurnal insolation. Longer wavelength thermal emission originates near the base of the homogeneous regolith. Boulders and incoherent rock strata deep in the regolith scatter the microwaves and produce the apparently negative spectral gradient observed at wavelengths between 50 and 500 cm (Salisbury and Fernald, 1971).

In the spectral range of 5 to 30 cm, the spectral gradient yields heat flow only if there are no competing phenomena. England (1975) used radiative transfer scattering theory to show that the ubiquitous, 1-cm-size rock clasts found throughout the lunar regolith would darken radiobrightness at centimeter and decimeter wavelengths. Grain size distributions obtained from Apollo soil samples and from Surveyor images are used in this report to derive the spectral characteristics of scatter-induced lunar darkening. England’s scattering theory is modified to include a thermal gradient, Q/k, where Q is heat flow and k is thermal conductivity.

The lunar surface can be approximated by 10 to 30 m of regolith lying upon a basaltic halfspace, even though the interface between regolith and basalt is probably indistinct (Keihm and Langseth, 1975b, Kovach and Watkins, 1973). The radiobrightness at wavelengths shorter than 30 cm is relatively insensitive to the characteristics of the interface. The regolith itself can be modeled as a homogeneous powder containing a distribution of spherical rock clasts. The assumption of sphericity is necessary for the problem to be mathematically tractable.

The radiobrightness of the two-layer medium depends upon the scattering albedo, ω,

\[ \omega = \frac{\psi}{\psi + \frac{\lambda^3}{3}} \]

where \( \lambda \) is free-space wavelength and the scattering parameter, \( \psi \), is

\[ \psi = 2\pi^3 \left( \frac{\varepsilon_1^{3/2}}{\tan \delta_1} \right) \left( \frac{\varepsilon_s/\varepsilon_1 - 1}{\varepsilon_s/\varepsilon_1 + 2} \right)^2 \sum_j \frac{\delta_j^2}{3J_j} \]

The physical properties are relative permittivity, \( \varepsilon \), and loss tangent, \( \tan \delta \), of the layer; relative per-
mittivity, $\epsilon_r$, of the rock clasts; and volume fraction, $V_j$, of rock clasts having diameter $l_j$. R. M. Fruland and D. S. McKay (written commun., 1975) have provided us with a list of mass fractions for grains in the 1–2 mm, 2–4 mm, and 4–10 mm ranges of diameter for 60 lunar soil samples. The particle and bulk densities in table 1 were used to convert mass fractions to the Apollo volume fractions shown in table 2. The average scat-

**TABLE 1. Physical properties of the two-layer model of the lunar surface**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$\rho$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>$k$ (W/m·K)</th>
<th>$\epsilon_r$</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.023</td>
<td>3.0 (10 cm)</td>
<td>290.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock clasts</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.0 (10 cm)</td>
<td>365.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedrock</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Carrier and others, 1973.
2 Keihm and Langseth, 1975b.

**TABLE 2. Grain-size distribution for Apollo and Surveyor soils**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission</th>
<th>$K$ (mm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\int\nu dl$</th>
<th>$\int\omega dl$</th>
<th>$\int\tilde{\nu} dl$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apollo 14</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.0490</td>
<td>0.0449</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo 15</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.0558</td>
<td>0.0580</td>
<td>0.0751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo 16</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.0763</td>
<td>0.0549</td>
<td>0.0429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo 17</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.0928</td>
<td>0.0769</td>
<td>0.0285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo Average</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.0250</td>
<td>0.0477</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor I</td>
<td>5.0 x 10$^6$</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor III</td>
<td>3.3 x 10$^5$</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor V</td>
<td>1.23 x 10$^6$</td>
<td>-2.65</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor VI</td>
<td>1.61 x 10$^6$</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor VII</td>
<td>7.9 x 10$^5$</td>
<td>-1.82</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equation 2 for the scattering parameter, $\psi$, is valid only if the diameter of the scatterer is small when compared to the microwave wavelength. That is, the Rayleigh scattering law is the small particle approximation to Mie scattering (Stratton, 1941, p. 563–573). As particle size approaches and becomes greater than the wavelength, the scattering efficiency, $\bar{s}$ (the ratio of scattering cross section to physical cross section), becomes and remains nearly unity, and so an approximation to Mie scattering is to use the Rayleigh law for $\bar{s} < 1$ and to use $\bar{s} = 1$ for larger particles. That is,

$$\psi = 2\pi^3 \left( \frac{\varepsilon_1^{3/2}}{\tan \delta_1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_r}{\varepsilon_1} \right)^{-1} \right)^2 \int \left( \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right)^{-\gamma} d\lambda + \left( F \lambda \right)^{-1} \int \frac{\nu}{\lambda} d\lambda$$

where $\nu = 0$ when $\lambda = K^{-1/\gamma}$ and $F$ is the ratio of particle diameter to wavelength at the lower limit of $\bar{s} = 1$. For the physical properties at depth in the lunar regolith (table 1), $F = 0.23$. Shoemaker and Morris (1969) obtained grain-size distributions from Surveyor TV images. Their data, fitted to functions of the form

$$N = K \lambda^{-\gamma}$$

yield the volume fraction, $\tilde{\nu} dl$, in size range $dl$. That is,

$$\tilde{\nu} dl = \left( \frac{\pi}{4A} \right) \left( -\gamma K \lambda^{-\gamma+1} \right) dl$$

where $N$ is the number of particles larger than diameter $l$ within an area ($A_o$) of 100 m$^2$. An integration of equation 5 yields the Surveyor size distributions.
shown in table 2. Equations 3 and 5 yield the representative Surveyor scattering albedos shown in figure 1.

The concentrations of pebbles observed in the Surveyor TV images are systematically lower than concentrations measured in the Apollo soil samples. The Surveyor VI distribution is intermediate among the Surveyor data and, because the Surveyor VI site lies at the center of the lunar disk, might justifiably be used to reduce Earth-based observations. The Surveyor I distribution exhibits the most extreme slope ($\gamma = -2.58$). The striking differences between the Surveyor-based and Apollo-based curves occur because the Apollo size distributions do not include clasts larger than 1 cm.

The microwave radiation within the lunar regolith consists of direct, $I_p(\tau, \mu)$, and diffuse, $d_p(\tau, \mu)$, intensities where $p$ denotes either vertical, $V$, or horizontal, $H$, polarization, $\tau$ is optical depth, and $\mu$ is direction cosine of the ray’s direction with respect to vertical. The direct component arises from thermal emission within the media and satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial I_p(\tau, \mu)}{\partial \tau} = (1-\omega) \frac{C_\lambda}{\mu} T(\tau') e^{-(\tau' - \tau)/\mu}$$

(6)

where $C_\lambda$ is the Rayleigh-Jeans ratio of free-space, black-body intensity to thermal temperature, $T(\tau)$. The diffuse intensity arises from singly and multiply scattered radiation and satisfies the radiative transfer equation

$$\frac{\partial d_p(\tau, \mu)}{\partial \tau} = d_p(\tau, \mu) - \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{q=V,H} \int_{-1}^{+1} P_{pq}(\mu, \mu') \left[ d_q(\tau, \mu') + \frac{1}{q} q(\tau, \mu') \right] d\mu'$$

(7)

where $P_{pq}(\mu, \mu')$ is the rotationally symmetric, Rayleigh scattering phase matrix which relates incoming radiation of polarization $q$ in conical anulus $d\mu'$ to scattered radiation of polarization $p$ in any direction of $\mu$. Solutions of equations 6 and 7, subject to the boundary conditions of specular reflection at the surface of the layer and at the interface between the layer and halfspace, are discussed by England (1975). The solutions for nonzero heat flow are readily obtained for $I_p(\tau, \mu)$ by replacing $T(\tau)$ with $T_0 + (Q/k) (\tau + \mu)/\alpha$ where the extinction coefficient, $\alpha$, is $2\pi \sqrt{\epsilon_i} \tan \delta_i/(\lambda(1 - \omega))$. The solution for the diffuse component is

$$d_p(\tau, \mu)_{iso} + \omega \epsilon_1 C_\lambda (Q/k) (\tau + \mu)/\alpha$$

(8)

where $d_p(\tau, \mu)_{iso}$ is the general solution for an isothermal medium.

The physical parameters in table 1 and an intermediate regolith thickness of 20 m were used to compute radiobrightness models for a heat flow of 0.03 W/m², the heat flow measured at the Apollo 15 and 17 sites (Langseth and others, 1972, 1974), and for a heat flow of 0.045 W/m², 50 percent greater than the Apollo values. Both models employed an effective, steady-state surface temperature of 251.2 K. This temperature is the Apollo 15 equilibrium temperature at 1.38 m depth (Langseth and others, 1972) extrapolated to the surface by subtracting 1.38(Q/k).

The effective relative permittivity of the lunar surface varies with wavelength (Hagfors, 1970). This occurs because the density of the regolith increases from about 1.3 g/cm³ at the surface to a nearly steady value of 1.9 g/cm³ at depths greater than 15 cm (Carrier and others, 1973). Over that 15-cm epilith, the relative permittivity increases from about 2.0 (Gold and others, 1973) to 4.0 (Bassett and Shackelford, 1972). Most radar measurements at longer wavelengths yield an $\epsilon \approx 3$ (Hagfors, 1970). Therefore, the computed emissivity of the surface is based upon $\epsilon = 3.0$ while the scattering albedo is based upon rock clasts embedded in a matrix whose relative permittivity is 4.0. The spectral gradient of radiobrightness is insensitive to changes in relative permittivity of $\pm 1$.

The radiobrightness models yield temperatures equivalent to those at the center of the lunar disk. These disk center temperatures were reduced by 6 percent to correspond to average lunar disk temperatures (Krotkov, 1965). The uniformly calibrated set of disk brightnesses observed by the Soviets are included in figure 2 (Keihm and Langseth, 1975b).

Figure 2 shows disk brightness temperatures of an Apollo and two Surveyor scattering regoliths (Q = 0.03 W/m²) and disk brightnesses of two scatterer-free regoliths (Q=0.03 and Q=0.045 W/m²). Scatterers generally increase the spectral gradient. Except at short wavelengths, where the Rayleigh approximation overestimates the scattering, the Apollo scattering model fits the radio telescopic observations. The scatterer-free model for Q=0.045 W/m² provides the correct spectral gradient. The brightness curve must, however, be displaced downward by increasing the effective relative permittivity of the surface from 3.0 to 4.0, an increase that is probably unrealistic. The Surveyor VI scattering model predicts temperatures which are uniformly 6 K low. Although the curve
The spectral gradient of the Surveyor I scattering model is near that of the scatterer-free model of the same heat flow. The curve is simply displaced downward 10 K by the scattering. The difference in distribution of scatterers between the Surveyor I and Surveyor VI sites results in an increase in spectral gradient equivalent to a 50-percent increase in heat flow. Therefore, spectral gradients cannot be discounted by a systematic factor. Scattering causes an immutable uncertainty in the interpreted heat flow.

A polychromatic, microwave radiometer in lunar polar orbit cannot be justified as a heat-flow mapping instrument unless inaccuracies in interpreted heat flow of 0.015 W/m²—50 percent of the value measured at the Apollo 15 and 17 sites—are tolerable. A regional map, with this inaccuracy, of the Earth's heat flow would be of use because of the relatively great local variability of the Earth's heat flow. The Moon, however, lacks the recent volcanism and hydrothermal activity that are responsible for local anomalies on Earth. The objective of a lunar experiment would be to map regional heat-flow differences between near-side and far-side, pole, and equator, and maria and highland. These differences may not be very much greater than 0.015 W/m². Unless the uncertainty caused by scattering can be reduced by, perhaps, mapping scattering cross sections with a polychromatic scatterometer in conjunction with the radiometer, the interpretation of lunar radiometric data may be unacceptably ambiguous.
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USE OF A REMOTE COMPUTER TERMINAL DURING
FIELD CHECKING OF LANDSAT DIGITAL MAPS

By C. J. ROBINOVE and C. F. HUTCHINSON,
Reston, Va., Riverside, Calif.

Abstract.—Field checking of small-scale land classification
maps made digitally from Landsat data is facilitated by use
of a remote terminal linked by telephone to the IDIMS (Interactive
Digital Image Manipulation System) at the EDC (EROS Data
Center), Sioux Falls, S. Dak. When field checking of maps
20 miles northeast of Baker, Calif., during the day showed that
changes in classification were needed, the terminal was used
at night to combine image statistical files, remap portions of
images, and produce new alphanumeric maps for field checking
during the next day. The alphanumeric maps can be used
without serious difficulty in location in the field even though the
scale is distorted, and statistical files created during the field
check can be used for full image classification and map output
at the EDC. This process makes field checking faster than
normal, provides interaction with the statistical data while in the
field, and reduces to a minimum the number of trips needed
to work interactively with the IDIMS at the EDC, thus saving
significant amounts of time and money. The only significant
problem is using telephone lines which at times create
spurious characters in the printout or prevent the line feed
(paper advance) signal from reaching the terminal, thus
overprinting lines which should be sequential. We recommend
that maps for field checking be made with more spectral
classes than are expected because in the field it is much easier
to group classes than to reclassify or separate classes when
only the remote terminal is available for display.

INITIAL DIGITAL MAPPING TECHNIQUE

The mapping technique used with digital Landsat images is shown in the flow chart (fig. 1) and is described in more detail here. Facilities of the Data Analysis Laboratory of the EDC were used for Landsat image analysis. The two analysis systems utilized were the General Electric Image 100 driven by a DEC 11/35 and the Electromagnetic Systems Laboratory’s IDIMS driven by a HP-3000. Results were recorded on the Optronics Film Recorder System. Figure 1 shows the steps in the analysis. The dashed line encloses the steps accomplished by use of a remote
terminal.

The procedure involved analysis of a Landsat digital
image on the Image 100 by selecting training sets
representing known terrain units and classifying areas
with similar radiance levels throughout the image.
When a number of classes had been developed and the
interpreters were satisfied that the resulting classified
image was photointerpretively reasonable, that it in­
cluded a sufficient number of classes, and that the
classes covered over 90 percent of the selected subscene,
the radiance means and covariance matrices for each
class were recorded on magnetic tape.

The recorded statistical data were then used as input
to the IDIMS which performed a maximum-like­
lihood classification of the image. The classified image
was displayed on a color cathode ray tube and checked
for accuracy and consistency by the interpreters.
Grouping and separation of classes was then done
by manipulation of the statistical files in the IDIMS
until the interpreters were satisfied that the classified
image represented the terrain conditions to the best of
their knowledge and was ready for field checking.

The classified image was transferred to magnetic
tape which was used to drive the Optronics film re­
corder to produce black-and-white film positives which
were then combined in the photographic laboratory to
produce a multicolor classified map which is suitable
for field checking. For this experiment, the field-check map was produced at a scale of 1:250 000 and was overlaid with the 1:250 000-scale topographic map in film positive form for ease of location. Figure 2A shows the portion of the Landsat scene used in this experiment. It has been enhanced by contrast stretching, haze removal, destriping, and edge enhancement to make it more readily interpretable to the eye than a normal photographic image made from the Landsat data. The raw Landsat data, in computer-compatible tape format, were destriped and then were used for the initial analysis. Figure 2B shows the classified image map which was used for field checking.

THE FIELD CHECKING EXPERIMENT

The area being mapped is a 7600 km² part of the eastern Mojave Desert east of Baker, Calif. The purpose is to map integrated terrain units consisting of various combinations of geomorphic units, soils, and vegetation which are usable for grazing land management.

Checking of the classification map was done in the field area about 20 miles northeast of Baker, Calif. Under normal circumstances, field checking of the map would result in changes such as grouping of some classes and separation of others because they are found to be only partially representative of the terrain units. The results of the field checking would normally require a trip from Baker, Calif., to Sioux Falls, S. Dak., to use the IDMS for grouping similar classes and reclassifying a small subscene to separate selected classes. This process might need to be reiterated two or three times before the map was considered satisfactory by the interpreters.

To eliminate repeated trips and to reduce cost, a remote computer terminal was used at the field site to manipulate the statistics, reclassify the image, and produce an alphanumeric map that was checked in the field. Computer operations were done at night and the map was taken into the field the next day and checked. A Texas Instruments Model 735 portable teletype-writer terminal was used in a motel room where electrical power and a telephone were available. Most of the functions of the IDIMS, with the exception of the video display, can be operated from the terminal.

Image classification, statistical manipulation, grouping of classes, and map printout were done with the terminal. Figure 2C shows an alphanumeric printout of an area that was field checked. Alphanumeric map symbols were selected for ease of visual discrimination. No difficulty was encountered in locating the map.
classes in the field even though the scale of the map is different in the two directions because of the line and character spacing of the terminal. The printout is restricted to 64 pixels in the x-direction, but can be any length in the y-direction. Small areas of 64x64 pixels (18 km²) were printed and checked, but the example shown in figure 2C samples and prints out every other pixel in every other line, thus allowing checking of a larger area (74 km²) at one time.

After several iterations of classification and field checking, when the interpreters are satisfied with the results, the revised class statistics are used for classification of the full subscene and a map printout is made on the Optronics film recorder at the EDC and mailed to the interpreters. The final classification is geometrically corrected.

The only major problem encountered during the experiment involved the telephone lines. At times transmission was interrupted, which necessitated placing the call and logging on the computer again. Noisy telephone lines at times caused the terminal to print

---

1 Vertical strips may be moused together to produce any width desired, but this was judged somewhat impractical for field use.
spurious characters, and frequently the line feed signal was not received thus causing the terminal to overprint some lines. This is particularly troublesome when an alphanumeric map is being printed and requires re-running the mapping program until a satisfactory map is produced.

We recommend that the original computer-produced maps taken into the field contain more classes than are expected to be mapped. It is easier to use the remote terminal for grouping classes than for statistically separating them through reclassification when only the remote terminal rather than the interactive display is available.

FEASIBILITY AND EFFICIENCY

The use of the remote terminal to aid field checking of Landsat digital maps is quite feasible so long as electric power and a telephone line are available and the problem of noisy telephone lines is not present. Savings in time and cost can be significant. Repeated computer iterations and field check for the area under study near Baker, Calif., could require up to three trips between Baker and Sioux Falls, S. Dak., at a cost of up to $1000 for travel and expenses. Use of the terminal at the field site eliminates this expense and considerably shortens the time required for the reiteration of computer classification and field checking.
 ACCURACY OF FLOOD MAPPING

By D. E. BURKHAM, Sacramento, Calif.

Abstract.—Information taken directly from published and unpublished reports was used to appraise the accuracy and the limitations of the three general flood-mapping methods: detailed, historical, and physiographic. In the appraisal, the probable nationwide average standard error of estimate for water depth and elevation in percentage of depth and in meters was determined for 100-year flood boundaries. Bias errors, users’ errors, and certain time-variant errors were not considered. The probable standard error for the detailed method is 23 percent. Based on 3.9 m as the national average 100-yr depth, the probable standard error of estimate is 0.9 m. The detailed method is applicable to a wide range of hydraulic and topographic conditions; it is the only method tested that is directly applicable to floodways analysis. The method requires detailed data and time-consuming analyses, however, and it has only limited application for determining flood elevations for sheet flow and for flow in channels having readily movable boundaries. The probable standard error for the historical method is 23 percent. Based on the 3.9-m average 100-yr depth, the standard error is 0.9 m. The historical method is applicable to a wide range of hydraulic and topographic conditions if specific data are available. The method is not applicable to floodways analysis, and it has only limited application for flow in moveable-boundary channels. The standard error for the physiographic method is 27 percent. In meters, the error is 1.1. The physiographic method is simpler to apply than the other methods; however, it can be used only in natural channels having rigid boundaries. It is not applicable to sheet flow and to floodways analysis.

Mapping of flood plains has been greatly accelerated during the past decade because flood-plain management has been recognized as a viable alternative to structural flood-control measures and because implementation of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 required information on the frequency of inundation to set flood insurance rates. Public Law 93–234, Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, requires the U.S. Geological Survey and other selected Federal agencies to “give the highest practical priority in allocation of manpower and other available resources” to assist the FIA (Federal Insurance Administration) of the HUD (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development) in identifying flood-prone areas. Present study guidelines require detailed and time-consuming analyses, which only a few Federal agencies and a very limited number of private engineering firms have the competence to perform. The detailed method presently being used has a broad application; it is being used, however, in areas where a simplified method might suffice. Since 1960, several simplified methods have been developed by the U.S. Geological Survey. These simplified approaches cannot be generally accepted as useful alternatives to the detailed method until the relative accuracies of the methods have been evaluated. An acceptable simplified approach that would have a broad application could alleviate manpower stresses.

This report describes and compares the probable national average standard errors of estimate for the different methods that have been used recently by the Geological Survey to define flood-boundary elevations for T-year discharges in natural channels. A T-year discharge is the discharge that will occur, on an average, once in T years—25 yr, 50 yr, and 100 yr. Unless otherwise stated, a T-year depth is the water-surface elevation or gage height for the T-year discharge minus the channel-bottom elevation or gage height (point of zero flow, elevation or gage height at which water ceases to move in the channel). In regard to the hydraulics of T-year discharges, natural channels (channels not significantly affected by manmade structures) are of two general types, rigid boundary and movable boundary. This paper is concerned primarily with the rigid-boundary type which is one that has a low probability of temporal change that would significantly affect the hydraulic characteristics of a T-year discharge.

Errors are discussed and brief descriptions are presented for three general classifications of methods: detailed, historical, and physiographic. Briefly, the detailed method involves the determination of a T-year water-surface profile by obtaining solutions to the dynamic equation for a T-year flood and the determination of flood boundaries by transferring elevations from the profile to a map. The historical method involves the adjustment of the profile for an experienced flood to obtain a T-year profile and the transferring of elevations from the profile to a map. The physiographic method involves the determination of T-year depths by use of a depth-frequency relation and the projection of the depths as elevations on a map.
The standard error of prediction, $SE_p$, for the different flood-mapping methods would be a better measure of error than the standard error of estimate, $SE$, but the writer concluded that only the effort required to approximate $SE$ would be justified for this study. Both $SE_p$ and $SE$ can be used as a measure of the difference between estimated and true values of a characteristic; both are usually estimated on the basis of observed data. $SE_p$ is the preferred value, however, because it better represents the total error; it represents both the bias error and the sampling error, whereas $SE$ represents only the sampling error. The bias error is a time-invariant error caused by consistent overestimates or consistent underestimates of the true value of a parameter. For a regression equation, $SE_p$ represents the error of points along the calculated regression line plus that of individual estimates around that line. $SE$ represents only the error of individual estimates around the line, which is relatively simple to obtain. Because of the national scope of the study, and the complexity of the problem, it was necessary to deal with averages, lumped parameters, and reasonable speculations in order to approximate nationwide average standard errors for the different methods. Use of the standard error of prediction, therefore, was not justified.

The approach used in appraising the overall accuracy of a method requires that a standard error for each component (task, step, or part) of the method be computed, approximated, or assumed. Errors for the different components must be expressed in the same units to appraise the overall accuracy of the method and to compare the accuracy of one method with that of another. For this report, errors are given in meters and as a percentage of depth. For discussion purposes, errors also are given as a percentage of discharge in some instances. Errors for the different components are assumed to be independent. The numerical values given in this report are for 100-yr events unless stated otherwise.

Users' errors and certain time-variant errors, resulting from flood-boundary changes, are not considered in this report. A users' error develops when information on a flood-boundary map is incorrectly transferred to a point on the ground.

Acknowledgments.—The arrangement of this paper follows closely an outline suggested by R. W. Carter (written commun., 1972), and much of the information contained in the paper was taken directly from unpublished reports supplied by Carter and by other personnel in the Geological Survey districts. The writer greatly appreciates these contributions.

## DETAILED METHOD

The detailed method of flood mapping consists of three basic steps: (1) determining a $T$-year discharge, (2) determining a water-surface profile for the $T$-year discharge, and (3) developing a flood-boundary map.

### 100-Year Discharge

A 100-yr discharge determination for a reach of interest is based on a flood-frequency analysis. If a long-term record of discharge is available for a site, the flood-frequency analysis consists of the development of a flood-frequency curve (U.S. Water Resources Council, 1976) from which the 100-yr discharge is obtained directly.

The standard error for a 100-yr discharge for a gaged site depends on the type of assumed distribution (normal, lognormal, Gumbel, and log-Pearson Type III), the error of the mean event, and the error in the slope of the frequency curve (Hardison, 1969). The standard error can be relatively large even though a long-term record is available. For example, assuming a lognormal distribution and a standard deviation of 0.30 log units, the standard error of the 50-yr discharge determined from 25 yr of record is about 25 percent of the discharge (Hardison, 1969, fig. 3); the standard error for the 100-yr discharge probably would be slightly larger.

Typically, streamflow records at a site of interest are not available, and flood-frequency information must be transferred from gaged sites to the ungaged site. The transfer of 100-yr information from a gaged site to an ungaged site on another stream is usually done by regression of 100-yr floods on the physical and climatic characteristics of drainage basins.

The Geological Survey, in 1970, made studies by States to define regression equations for $T$-year discharges for ungaged streams. A characteristic regression equation has the following form:

$$Q_T = aA^bP^cS^d$$  \(1\)

where

- $Q_T = T$-year discharge,
- $A, P, S$ = parameters representing topographic or climatic characteristics, and
- $a, b, c, d$ = regression coefficients.

The standard error of estimate for the equations for the 50-yr flood in the different States ranged from 16 percent (of the discharge) in Georgia to 115 percent in Nebraska (fig. 1). Percentages quoted are converted from errors in logarithmic units where overestimation and underestimation, in percentage, are
averaged. The mean of the standard errors for the different States is 46 percent. According to R. W. Carter (written commun., 1972), there is no evidence that other types of regional flood-frequency analysis would yield errors of lower magnitude. The mean of standard errors for the 100-yr discharge for the different States is assumed to be only insignificantly different from 46 percent. The method used to convert the standard error as a percentage of discharge to standard error as a percentage of depth is presented in the subsection “Errors in a Common Unit.”

Water-Surface Profile

The detailed method of determining profiles for T-year discharges involves basically the solution of the dynamic equation of gradually varied flow. There are three broad classes of detailed methods (Chow, 1959): Graphical-integration method, direct integration method, and step method. The Geological Survey uses a step method (called the step-backwater method). A step method is characterized by dividing the channel into short reaches and carrying the computation step by step from one end of a reach to the other.

The step-backwater method of determining surface profiles (Chow, 1959; Bailey and Ray, 1966) is designed for uniform flow in which the water-surface profile and energy gradient are parallel to the streambed, and the cross-sectional area, hydraulic radius, and depth remain constant through the reach. The method is assumed to be valid for a flow and a channel condition that prevail in rigid channels, if the energy losses are properly accounted for.

Data needed to determine a water-surface profile according to the detailed method can be classified as topographic and channel roughness. The topographic data—elevations and distances to common bases—may be obtained by a field survey or by a combination of a field survey and photogrammetry. Ground elevations and distances can be determined very accurately in field surveys; if desired, ground elevations can be determined without introducing significant errors.

A combination of field survey and photogrammetry is often used to develop contours on topographic maps; elevations and distances needed for the step-backwater computations can be scaled from these maps. The elevation obtained by this procedure is assumed to have a
The Manning's $n$, which is used to represent channel roughness in computations to determine water-surface profiles, is a lumped parameter, and its value during flow in a natural channel depends on a number of time-variant and space-variant factors. Some of the factors that probably exert the greatest influence on the roughness coefficient are (1) flow-boundary roughness, (2) size and shape of stream channel and flood plain, (3) stream-channel irregularity and alinement, (4) vegetation, (5) obstructions, (6) flow depth and rate, (7) filling and scouring, (8) size and concentration of sediment in the flow, and (9) bed form. A high degree of uncertainty is often created in the selection of the proper Manning's $n$ for a reach of interest.

Bailey and Ray (1966) conducted a study of 28 gaged sites to determine the accuracy of the step-backwater procedure in duplicating stage-discharge relations in natural streams. These sites covered a wide range in hydraulic conditions that prevail at gaging stations. The stage-discharge relation was defined for each site by current-meter measurements. Pertinent channel roughness and topographic data were obtained for a long reach downstream from each gaged site, and water-surface profiles for different discharges were computed using the step-backwater procedure. Field surveys were used to obtain the topographic properties of the study stream. A comparison of the measured and computed discharge for all sites is shown in figure 2. The standard error of the computed discharge was +19 percent and -16 percent. Bias in the results obtained using the method apparently was insignificant.

Intuitively, it would appear that the 18 percent
(mean of +19 and −16 percent) standard error introduced in the water-surface profile would be too conservative when applied to a typical site for an FIA study. This intuition is based on three criteria. First, gaging sites for which the step-backwater method was tested by Bailey and Ray (1966) were selected because of their favorable hydraulic characteristics, and therefore, these sites do not represent typical sites. Second, the typical user of the step-backwater method for an FIA study probably does not have the considerable “judgment and experience” represented by Bailey and Ray. Finally, for a typical FIA study, the accuracy of the data used in the step-backwater computations probably is not as good as that for data used by Bailey and Ray. In the absence of results from a more representative test, however, a value of 20 percent of the T-year discharge is assumed to be a usable estimate of the standard error introduced in determining a water-surface profile. The acceptance of the 20 percent was based partly on the fact that there was a tendency towards a closer agreement between measured and computed discharge as the discharge increases (Bailey and Ray, 1966).

Flood-Boundary Map

The development of a flood-boundary map involves the transferring of elevations from a water-surface profile to a map. The task is to define the intersection of elevations with the ground surface. The elevations can be transferred directly during a field survey on the basis of a combination of a field survey and aerial photography or on the basis of elevation contours on a topographic map. As previously stated, ground elevations can be determined very accurately by field surveys. If so desired; elevations from a water-surface profile can be transferred to a map either by field surveys or by a combination of a field survey and use of aerial photographs without introducing significant errors. The use of aerial photographs to transfer elevations without introducing significant errors would require that the boundary of the T-year flood be flagged at selected sites along the flood plain before aerial photographs are taken.

The accuracy of the contours must be considered in evaluating errors in flood mapping when flood maps are developed on the basis of elevation contours. As previously mentioned, the standard error of ground elevations based on contours on topographic maps is assumed to be about one-fourth of the contour interval. Maps having contour intervals greater than about 1.5 m usually are not used to establish elevation-ground intersections; therefore, 0.4 m is assumed to be the standard error.

Errors in a Common Unit

Thus far in the report, errors in the 100-yr discharge and errors in the result of a step-backwater analysis are given as a percentage of discharge, and errors in elevations taken from topographic maps are given in meters. The desired units for the errors are depth in meters and percentage of depth.

The method used to convert the standard error in percentage of discharge to standard error in percentage of depth makes use of the slope of a depth-discharge relation for a typical natural channel. The relation between depth and discharge for relatively high flow rates can be represented by

\[ \log d = \log c_i + f \log Q \] (2)

in which

- \(d\) = effective depth of water,
- \(c_i\) = a coefficient (equals the depth when \(Q\) equals 1),
- \(f\) = slope of the stage discharge relation, and
- \(Q\) = discharge.

Equation 2 is a straight line in the variables \(\log d\) and \(\log Q\); therefore the slope of the line can be represented by

\[ f = \frac{\log d_2 - \log d_1}{\log Q_2 - \log Q_1} \] (3A)

or

\[ f = \log \left( \frac{d_2}{d_1} \right) / \log \left( \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \right) \] (3B)

and therefore

\[ \log \left( \frac{d_2}{d_1} \right) = f \log \left( \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \right) \] (3C)

Equation 3C can be used to approximate the ratio of estimated depth, \(d_e\) to true depth, \(d_t\), if values for \(f\) and the ratio of estimated discharge, \(Q_e\), to true discharge, \(Q_t\), are available. Errors in percentage of depth then can be obtained using the following equation:

\[ \text{Percent error} = 100 \left( \frac{d_e}{d_t} - 1 \right) \] (4)

Errors in percentage of discharge previously given can be used in a transformed version of equation 4 to obtain the ratio \(Q_e/Q_t\). An average value for \(f\) for a typical stream, however, must be obtained in order to use equation 3C.

An approximation of the average value for \(f\) for a
ACCURACY OF FLOOD MAPPING

A typical stream is obtained using data furnished by the district offices of the U.S. Geological Survey. Data that were readily available and pertinent to the evaluation of an average value for \( f \) consisted of depths and discharges for \( T \)-year events, depths and discharges for median flow rates (flow rates equaled or exceeded 50 percent of the time), and the gage heights for zero flows. These data were of a preliminary nature and, therefore, had to be scrutinized for errors and applicability before they could be used to determine values for \( f \). The screening of the data was not based on a rigid set of criteria; therefore, the average value for \( f \) determined from the readily available data should be considered only as a reasonable approximation of the true mean.

Equation 3C was used to obtain values of \( f \) for 539 stage-discharge relations for selected sites in seven States (table 1). In the equation, the ratios \( d_{500}/d_{50} \) and \( Q_{100}/Q_{50} \), in which the subscripts denote \( T \)-year events, were used to represent \( d_2/d_1 \) and \( Q_2/Q_1 \), respectively. For a few of the gaging sites, 50-yr depths and discharges were not readily available. For these sites the depths and discharges for flow rates equaled or exceeded 50 percent of the time were used instead of \( d_{50} \) and \( Q_{50} \). The average of the computed values of \( f \) was 0.42 (table 1), which was used to represent \( f \) for a typical stream.

The computed standard error in \( d_{100} \) resulting from a standard error of 46 percent of the 100-yr discharge is 19 percent (of the depth). The 19 percent was obtained by using 1.46 for \( Q_c/Q_t \) and 0.42 for \( f \) in appropriate equations.

The computed standard error in \( d_{100} \) resulting from a standard error of 20 percent (of the discharge) in the water-surface profile is 8 percent (of the depth). The 8 percent was obtained by using 1.20 for \( Q_c/Q_t \) and 0.42 for \( f \) in appropriate equations.

A mean of the 100-yr depths for all natural streams in the United States that are subject to FIA studies was needed in order to change errors in meters to errors in percentages and to change errors in percentages to errors in meters. In absence of a better estimate, 3.9 m, the average of the 100-yr depths for 1742 gaged sites for the 15 States shown in table 2, is used.

The coefficient of variability for the 100-yr depths at the 1742 sites is 52 percent.

The average standard error introduced is about 10 percent of the mean depth when elevations are transferred from water-surface profiles to flood maps on the basis of contours on topographic maps. The 10 percent is based on 3.9 m for mean depth and 0.4 m for accuracy of the contours.

### Overall Accuracy

The overall standard error for the detailed method was obtained by use of the equation

\[
SE = \sqrt{(SE_1)^2 + (SE_2)^2 + (SE_3)^2 + \ldots (SE_n)^2}
\]

(5)

in which the subscripts represent components (or steps) and \( (SE)^2 \) represents variance. For the case in which no significant errors are introduced when elevations from a water-surface profile are transferred to a map, the probable overall average standard error for flood-boundary elevations determined according to the detailed method is

\[
(SE)_{ave} = \sqrt{(19)^2 + (8)^2} = 20.6\%.
\]

When elevations from water-surface profiles are trans-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of stations</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Variability index (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted values</td>
<td></td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The probable overall average standard error in meters are 0.8 and 0.9, respectively. Unless otherwise stated, 23 percent and 0.9 m are used to represent \( SE \) in later discussions.

**Limitations of the Method**

The detailed method of flood mapping is applicable for a wide range of hydraulic and topographic conditions. Generally, the method can be used for those conditions where the conservation of mass and energy for gradually varied flow can be rationally described; therefore, it has only limited application for sheet flow and for flow in channels having readily movable boundaries.

**HISTORICAL METHOD**

The area inundated during a \( T \)-year discharge can be approximated from a record of a major flood if certain data are available. The required data include peak discharge of the flood and the elevation of high-water marks to a common datum. Aerial photographs...
taken during or soon after the flood also represent useful information. To facilitate the approximation and discussion of the probable standard error in results obtained using this method, the components of the method are grouped into three tasks: (1) determining \( T \)-year discharge, (2) determining a water-surface profile for the \( T \)-year discharge, and (3) developing a flood-boundary map.

**100-Year Discharge**

The 100-yr discharge usually must be obtained by making a regional flood-frequency analysis. A standard error of 19 percent of the 100-yr depth has previously been computed for the 100-yr discharge.

**Water-Surface Profile**

The water-surface profile for a 100-yr flood can be approximated by the following steps: (1) develop a water-surface profile for the historical flood on the basis of high-water marks, (2) determine the frequency of the historical flood, (3) define the ratio of flood depths for various recurrence intervals, (4) on the basis of these ratios, determine the adjustment needed to correct the historical profile to obtain a 100-yr profile, and (5) add the adjustment to the profile obtained in step 1.

The water-surface profile for the historical flood can be readily determined if high-water marks are adequate. A 5-percent error is assumed for step 1.

Steps 2, 3, and 4 of the profile-development task involve the determination of adjustment to be added to the profile for the historical flood to obtain elevations for the 100-yr flood boundary. According to R. W. Carter (written commun, 1972), this adjustment normally would be less than about 0.6 m, which can be estimated using multiple correlation techniques and physiographic characteristics with a standard error of about 0.3 m. The 0.3 m would be about 8 percent of the estimated national average depth for the 100-yr flood. The basis for Carter's 0.6- and 0.3-m estimates is not known; however, in the absence of a better estimate the 8 percent is used for this study. Errors introduced in step 5 are assumed to be insignificant.

The probable national average standard error for the profile-development task is assumed to be 9 percent. This value was obtained by using 5 percent for step 1 and 8 percent for steps 2 to 4 in equation 5.

**Flood-Boundary Map**

Elevations usually are transferred from the 100-yr profile on the basis of contours on topographic maps and on the basis of aerial photographs when they are available. To be consistent with an assumed value for a similar task for the detailed method, a 10-percent error is assumed for the historical method.

**Overall Accuracy and Limitation**

The probable overall standard error for the 100-yr flood-boundary elevations determined according to the historical method is

\[
(SE)\_H = \sqrt{(19)^2 + (9)^2 + (10)^2}
\]

= 23.3 percent.

Unless otherwise stated, 23 percent is used to represent \((SE)\_H\) in later discussions; the overall standard error in meters is 0.9.

The historical method can be used for a wide range of hydraulic and topographic conditions, if the needed data are available. Experience and judgment, however, are required to obtain meaningful results, especially when the adjustment of depth is greater than about 50 percent of the mean \( T \)-year depth for the reach of interest. The method is not applicable to floodways analysis, and it has only limited application for flow in channels having readily movable boundaries.

**PHYSIOGRAPHIC METHOD**

The hydraulic and topographic properties of a river reach are a function of the discharge of water and debris (Leopold and Maddock, 1953), which in turn is a function of the physical and climatic characteristics of the drainage basin. These facts are the basis for the physiographic method of flood mapping. To facilitate the discussion of the method and the approximation of errors, the components of the physiographic method are grouped into three tasks: (1) determining \( T \)-year depths, (2) developing \( T \)-year flood profile, and (3) developing a flood-boundary map.

**100-Year Depth**

Two general procedures for making depth-frequency analyses have been used recently by the Geological Survey. These procedures are similar to the method for regional flood-frequency analysis presented by Dalrymple (1960). For brevity in discussion, the two procedures are called the index-flow method and the area-parameter method.

**Index-flow method**

The basic concepts for the index-flow method presented in this section are from a detailed description by Thomas (1964). As applied to hydrologic areas within which the flood characteristics are comparable, the index-flow method involves four basic steps: (1) de-
velopment of flood-frequency curves and determination of $T$-year discharges for selected gaging stations, (9) development of stage-discharge relations and determination of $T$-year depths; (3) development of graphs showing the relation between the index flow and the $T$-year depths; Thomas (1964) used $Q_{2.33}$, the mean annual flood, as the index flow, and (4) development of graphs showing the relation between mean annual flood and drainage basin characteristics.

Thomas (1964) used streamflow records for 45 gaging stations for his New Jersey study. For each gaging station, 1.5-, 2.33-, 5-, 10-, 15-, 25-, and 50-yr discharges were determined from flood-frequency curves for 1922-60. The flood-frequency curves were constructed using methods outlined by Dalrymple (1960). A depth for a $T$-year discharge was evaluated by subtracting a channel-bottom gage height from the gage height for the $T$-year discharge. Thomas (1964) developed graphs showing relations between the index flow (discharge) and the 1.5-, 2.33-, 5-, 10-, 15-, 25-, and 50-yr depths for gaged sites in two different regions—coastal plain and piedmont—in New Jersey. He developed curves showing the average relation between size of basin and the mean annual flood for four areas having similar hydrologic characteristics.

As applied to basins in New Jersey, the standard error of estimate for the index-flow method of determining $T$-year depths is 21 percent (Thomas, 1964).

An index-flow method also was used to determine $T$-year depths in Illinois; however, $Q_2$ was used to represent the index flow. Depth-frequency equations were developed from regression analyses on data from 177 gaging sites. As applied to streams in Illinois, the standard error of estimate was 23 percent for the index-flow method (Winget, 1976).

The probable national average standard error of estimate for the index-flow method for determining 100-yr depths probably is significantly larger than the average of standard errors for New Jersey and Illinois. This premise is based on the following criteria: (1) data used to develop and test pertinent equations for New Jersey and Illinois were for gaging-station sites selected because of their favorable hydraulic characteristics and, therefore, the sites probably are not typical, and (2) national average of the standard error, by regression for the 50-yr flood, is larger than the average of standard errors by regression for New Jersey and Illinois (fig. 1).

A value of 25 percent is assumed to be a reasonable estimate for the probable national average standard error of estimate for the 100-yr depth determined according to the index-flow procedure.

Area-parameter method

The area-parameter method of determining $T$-year depths differs from the index-flow method in one general respect; the $T$-year depths are correlated directly with basin and climatic parameters. The resulting regression equation gives $T$-year depths directly.

The area-parameter method has been used since 1960 in 13 States to determine $T$-year depths. Comprehensive investigations to develop regression equations and determine the accuracy of these regression equations have been made in five of the States—Alabama, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, and Oklahoma—listed in table 3. Reconnaissance-level investigations to develop relations between drainage area and $T$-year depths and to determine standard errors were made in the eight remaining States listed.

In Alabama, 100-yr depths for 129 gaged sites were regressed against 13 basin and climatic parameters (table 3). According to C. F. Hains (written comm., 1976), the 100-yr depths related principally to a 0.2 power of the drainage area. He combined the effects of the remaining significant parameters to obtain the following equation:

$$d_{100} = C_xA^{0.2}$$

in which $C_x$ is different for each of six hydrologic regions. The apparent standard error for the regression equation was 17 percent; however, this value has not been adjusted for degrees of freedom lost in outlining the hydrologic regions (C. F. Hains, written commun., 1976).

The comprehensive studies made in Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, and Oklahoma were similar in scope and procedure to that made in Alabama.

The average of the standard errors for regression equations developed as part of the comprehensive investigations is 23 percent, which was determined from the following values: 17 percent for Alabama, 27 percent for Colorado, 28 percent for Illinois, 19 percent for Kansas, and 24 percent for Oklahoma (table 3). Because of reasons previously described, the standard error of estimate probably should be larger than 23 percent; a value of 25 percent is used for this study.

The average of the standard errors for the eight drainage area-to-depth equations developed as part of the reconnaissance-level investigations is about 40 percent (table 3). The standard errors for the eight equations were not determined precisely; however, the 40 percent is assumed to be a reasonable approximation of the national average standard error of estimate for the reconnaissance-level investigations. This assumption is based partly on the summary of 100-yr depths for gaging-station sites (in 15 States) given in
## Table 3—Summary of regression equations developed to estimate 100-yr depths \((d_{100})\), regions and States where they apply, and standard error of estimate for depths determined using the equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Parameters tested for significance in regression analyses</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Region where equation applies</th>
<th>Standard error of estimate (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>A, S, L, St, E, F, P, A, Lat, G, S1, (t_{100,100}), cX</td>
<td>(d_{100} = C_A \times A^{0.27})</td>
<td>State; (C_A) has been defined for six hydrologic regions within the State</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>A, P, Sx, SB</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 7.64 S_x^{0.517})</td>
<td>Plains</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.30 P^{0.107} S_x^{0.019})</td>
<td>Mountain</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 3.13 S_x^{0.318})</td>
<td>Northern plateau</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.39 A^{0.256})</td>
<td>Southern plateau</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.47 A^{0.59})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.45 A^{0.42})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.74 A^{0.33})</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 1.20 A^{0.25})</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 6.05 S^{0.189} A^{0.597})</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>A, S, S1</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 2.47 S^{0.119})</td>
<td>Glaciated basins</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 1.70 S^{0.236})</td>
<td>Nonglaciated basins</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.57 S^{0.231})</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 2.69 A^{0.139})</td>
<td>Plains area</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.82 A^{0.20})</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.92 A^{0.26})</td>
<td>Mountains</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 1.18 A^{0.26})</td>
<td>Piedmont province</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.96 A^{0.26})</td>
<td>Coastal Plain</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>A, I, RF</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.02 A^{0.231})</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.80 A^{0.25})</td>
<td>North and midcoast basins</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.51 A^{0.35})</td>
<td>South coast, Umpqua and Rogue basins</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 0.77 A^{0.26})</td>
<td>Knoxville vicinity</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 2.43 A^{0.35})</td>
<td>North Western rim</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 2.43 A^{0.26})</td>
<td>Central basin</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(d_{100} = 2.43 A^{0.35})</td>
<td>West Tennessee</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(d_{100} = 5.51 A^{0.21})</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Data taken from unpublished reports furnished by the Survey district for the indicated States. Questions concerning information contained in this report should be referred to the appropriate State.

2 Explanation of symbols: A, Drainage area, in square kilometers; S, Main channel slope, in meters per kilometer; Sx, Slope of the streambed, in meters per kilometer; Sp, Slope of main channel, between two selected points, in meters per kilometer; L, Main channel length, in kilometers; St, Storage factor, in percent of drainage area in lakes and swamps; E, Average basin elevation, in meters; F, Forest factor, percentage of drainage area in forest; P, Mean annual precipitation, in millimeters; A, Azimuth of the main channel, in degrees; Lat, Latitude of centroid of the basin, in degrees; G, Geologic storage factor; S1, Soil infiltration factor; I, 2-year 24-hour rainfall, in millimeters; \(C_A\), RF, Regional factors.

3 The 100-year depth \((d_{100})\) or 50-year depth is the difference between the 100-year elevation and the elevation of median flow—50 percent duration.
table 2. The average of the weighted variability indices for basin sizes, 0 to 26 km², 27 to 260 km², 261 to 1300 km², and greater than 1300 km², is 38 percent. The 38 percent can be used as another reasonable approximation of the national-average standard error of estimate for the reconnaissance-level investigations.

**Water-Surface Profile**

A water-surface profile for the 100-yr flood can be developed using two steps: (1) establish a channel-bottom profile based on a field survey, and (2) add 100-yr depths to the channel-bottom profile to obtain a 100-yr profile. As indicated previously, elevations and distances can be determined very accurately by field survey; however, for practical purposes a maximum accuracy usually is not obtained. A 5-percent error is assumed for step 1. The standard error introduced in step 2 probably is insignificant.

**Flood-Boundary Map**

Elevations from the 100-yr profile can be transferred to a map on the basis of a field survey, on the basis of a combination of a field survey and use of aerial photographs, or on the basis of elevation contours on a topographic map. As previously stated, the transfer of elevations can be accomplished without introducing significant errors if done by a field survey or if done by the combination of field survey and aerial photography. An error of 10 percent is assumed when elevations from a water-surface profile are transferred to a map on the basis of contours on a topographic map. The 10 percent is consistent with the assumed value made for a similar task in the detailed method.

**Overall Accuracy and Limitation**

The probable overall standard errors of estimate for the physiographic method are computed for two levels of investigation—comprehensive and reconnaissance. For the comprehensive level, the probable overall standard error for the 100-yr flood-boundary elevations is

\[
(SE)_{PC} = \sqrt{(25)^2 + (5)^2 + (10)^2}
\]

\[= 41.5 \text{ percent (of depth)}
\]

when the development of the flood-boundary map is based on contours. In meters, the errors are 1.0, 1.1, and 1.6, respectively. Unless otherwise stated, 27 percent and 1.1 m are used to represent the overall average standard error, \((SE)_{PC}\), for a comprehensive investigation; 42 percent and 1.6 m are used to represent the probable overall standard error, \((SE)_{PB}\), for a reconnaissance-level investigation.

The physiographic method is applicable for natural channels having rigid boundaries. The method is not viable for studying the effects of local, onsite conditions, for determining the flood-boundary elevations for sheet flow, and for making floodways analyses.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Information taken directly from published and unpublished reports was used to appraise the accuracy of the different methods for flood-plain mapping that have been used by the U.S. Geological Survey at different places and times since 1960. The study was concerned with the national-average accuracy for the different methods. For brevity in determining and discussing accuracy, the methods scrutinized are classified as: detailed, historical, and physiographic (table 4). The elements (or tasks) of the three methods are as follows:

1. Determining the \(T\)-year discharge by regression equations.
2. Determining the water-surface profile for the \(T\)-year discharge; this requires detailed hydraulic and topographic information to be used in a step-backwater analysis which must be made for a reach of interest.
3. Developing a flood-boundary map; this requires the transferring of elevations from a profile to a map.

**Historical:**

1. Determining the \(T\)-year discharge by regression equations.
2. Determining the water-surface profile for the \(T\)-year discharge. This requires the development of a water-surface profile for a historical flood and the adjustment of the historical-flood profile to obtain a \(T\)-year profile.
3. Developing a flood-boundary map; this requires the transferring of elevations from a profile to a map.
### Table 4.—Probable nationwide average standard error for flood-boundary elevations for 100-yr floods, obtained according to the indicated methods and tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Determining 100-year discharge or 100-year depth (Percent^2)</th>
<th>Determining 100-year profile (Percent^2)</th>
<th>Transferring elevations from profile to map^1 (Percent^2)</th>
<th>Overall error Percent^2 Meters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detailed</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiographic:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index-flow</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area-parameter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconnaissance-level</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>42 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1 Transferred on the basis of contours on topographic maps.
^2 Percent of 100-year depth.
^3 Represents standard error for the 100-year depth.

### Physiographic:

1. Determining T-year depths; a regional depth-frequency analysis is required for this task.
2. Developing a T-year profile; this requires the development of a channel-bottom profile and the adjustment of the channel-bottom profile by adding T-year depths.
3. Developing flood-boundary maps.

The probable standard error of estimate, in percent of depth and in meters, was determined for 100-yr flood-boundary elevations (table 4). The depth is the difference in the water-surface elevation for the 100-yr discharge and the channel-bottom elevation. Users' errors, resulting when information on a map is incorrectly transferred to a point on the ground, and certain time-variant errors, resulting from flood-boundary changes, are not considered in this report.

The conclusions that are presented below are pertinent to a national average. The conclusions are as follows:

1. If all the possible viable methods of determining 100-yr flood-boundary elevations for natural streams had been tested for accuracy, the resulting nationwide standard errors of estimate probably would have ranged from 19 to 54 percent of the 100-yr depth. The 19 percent (of depth) represents the probable national-average standard error of estimate for regression equations developed to estimate 100-yr discharges (fig. 1). The 54 percent represents the probable standard error of estimate when 3.9 m, the average of 100-yr depths at 1752 streamflow-gaging stations, is used to represent the 100-yr depth. The 54 percent is obtained by using equation 4 in which 52 percent, the spatial coefficient of variation for 100-yr depths at the 1752 streamflow-gaging stations, is used to represent error for the 100-yr depth, and 10 percent is used to represent the standard error in determining the 100-yr profile, and 10 percent is used to represent the standard error in transferring elevations from a profile to a map.

2. The detailed method is applicable to a wide range of hydraulic and topographic conditions; it is the only method tested that is directly applicable to floodways analysis. Generally, the method can be used for conditions where the conservation of mass and energy for gradually varied flow can be rationally described. The method, therefore, has only limited application for sheet flow and for flow in channels having readily movable boundaries (sand channels). The probable standard
error of estimate for the 100-yr flood-boundary elevations is 23 percent. Based on 3.9 m as the national average 100-yr depth, the standard error of estimate is 0.9 m.

3. The historical method is applicable for a wide range of hydraulic and topographic conditions, if specific data are available. The specific data include peak discharge of the flood and the elevations of high-water marks referred to a common datum. Aerial photographs that show the areal extent of a historical flood of interest also provide useful information. The method has only limited application for flow in channels having readily movable boundaries (sand-channel streams). It is not applicable for floodways analysis. The probable standard error of estimate for the 100-yr flood-boundary elevations is 23 percent of the depth. Based on 3.9 m as the national average 100-yr depth, the standard error of estimate is 0.9 m.

4. The physiographic method is simpler to apply than the other methods. The application of the method is limited primarily to selected rural areas—areas not having a significant number of bridges, culverts, dams, dikes, canals and flumes—because it can be used only in natural channels (channels not significantly affected by manmade structures) having rigid boundaries (channels having a low probability of change that would significantly affect the hydraulic characteristics of a T-year discharge). The method is not viable for studying the effects of local, onsite conditions and for determining the flood-boundary elevations for sheet flow. For a comprehensive investigation, the probable standard error of estimate for the 100-yr flood-boundary elevation is 27 percent. The probable standard error of estimate is 42 percent if only a reconnaissance-level investigation is made (one in which size of basin is the only independent parameter used in a regression analysis). In meters, the errors would be 1.1 and 1.6, respectively.

5. The determination of the T-year discharge (or T-year depth for the physiographic method) introduces the largest component of error for the different methods; for the detailed method, the ratio of the standard error for the 100-yr discharge to the overall standard error is 0.83.

6. Investigations are needed to develop standard procedures for determining T-year discharges for ungaged streams more accurately. There is also a need for a feasible simplified method to determine T-year flood-boundary elevations in channels significantly affected by manmade structures, T-year flood-boundary elevations for sheet flow, T-year flood-boundary elevations for flow in channels having readily movable boundaries, and T-year flood elevations for floodways.

REFERENCES CITED


NATURAL RADIOACTIVITY IN GEOTHERMAL WATERS, ALHAMBRA HOT SPRINGS AND NEARBY AREAS, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MONTANA

By ROBERT B. LEONARD and VICTOR J. JANZER, Helena, Mont., Denver, Colo.

Abstract.—Radioactive hot springs issue from a fault zone in crystalline rock of the Boulder batholith at Alhambra, Jefferson County, in southwestern Montana. The discharge contains high concentrations of radon, and the gross alpha activity and the concentration of radium-226 exceed maximum levels recommended by the Environmental Protection Agency for drinking water. Part of the discharge is diverted for space heating, bathing, and domestic use. The radioactive thermal waters at measured temperatures of about 60°C are of the sodium bicarbonate type and saturated with respect to calcium carbonate. Radium-226 in the rock and on fractured surfaces or coprecipitated with calcium carbonate probably is the principal source of radon that is dissolved in the thermal water and discharged with other gases from some wells and springs. Local surface water and shallow ground water are of the calcium bicarbonate type and exhibit low background activity. The temperature, percent sodium, and radioactivity of mixed waters adjacent to the fault zone increase with depth. Samples from most of the major hot springs in southwestern Montana have been analyzed for gross alpha and beta activity. The high level of radioactivity at Alhambra appears to be related to leaching of radioactive material from fractured siliceous veins by ascending thermal waters and is not a normal characteristic of hot springs issuing from fractured crystalline rock in Montana.

The purpose of this report is to describe anomalously high radioactivity in thermal waters in Alhambra Hot Springs located about 19 km southeast of Helena (fig. 1) and to suggest the relation of the hot springs to the local and regional geology and hydrology.

Several studies in the United States and elsewhere have described higher levels of radioactivity in thermal waters than in adjacent cooler ground water and surface water (Scott and Barker, 1962; O'Connell and Kaufmann, 1976). Radioactive decay may be a major source of the geothermal heat (Tilling and Gottfried, 1969; Blackwell and Robertson, 1973; Gabelman, 1976). Radiochemical studies by Wollenberg (1975), Stoker and Kruger (1975) and others suggest that radiochemical data can be a useful tool for the exploration and evaluation of geothermal resources. Moreover, the potentially deleterious effects of elevated levels of radioactivity on public health (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976) could impose severe restrictions on the development of geothermal resources. Data describing radioactivity of thermal waters are thus essential to an assessment of Montana's geothermal resources.

During a reconnaissance survey of local uraniumiferous deposits with a field-type Geiger counter, Thurlow and Reyner (1952) detected about twice the normal background levels of radioactivity at Alhambra Hot Springs and Boulder Hot Springs, about 30 km (kilometers) to the south (fig. 1). They reported that carbonate incrustations around the Alhambra springs registered more than 10 times normal radioactivity background and that radioactivity was detected with a scintillometer while traversing the area by automobile. During a reconnaissance by the U.S. Geological Survey in 1970, slightly higher than normal levels of radioactivity at Alhambra Hot Springs and normal background levels at Boulder Hot Springs were recorded with field equipment (E. C. Robertson, written commun., 1976). At both localities the thermal waters are used for space heating, bathing, and domestic purposes. Therefore, anomalously high levels of radioactivity could constitute potential hazards to health.

Acknowledgments.—The cooperation and assistance of local landowners, particularly Mrs. W. L. Bompante, Mr. R. C. Butler, and Mr. W. W. Wall, and of Mr. W. J. Lindsay, a drilling contractor of Clancy, are sincerely appreciated. Mr. Larry Lloyd, health physicist of the MDHES (Montana Department of Health and Environmental Sciences), deserves special recognition for his continued advice, support, and release of unpublished data included in this report. His contributions to the investigation do not necessarily imply total agreement with the conclusions.
GEOHYDROLOGIC SETTING

Three groups of hot springs, several zones of seepage, and a flowing hot-water well lie along a 0.5 km segment of the Alhambra fault (Smedes, 1966) in the valley of Warm Springs Creek near its mouth (fig. 1). Thermal waters issue from fractured quartz monzonite and alaskite or from the shallow overlying alluvium and colluvium at a combined rate of about 16 L/s (liters per second). Mean annual precipitation of about 500 mm (millimeters), chiefly in the form of snow in the Elkhorn Mountains to the east, sustains perennial streamflow and provides recharge to the fractured rock aquifers.

The hydrothermal system sustaining the Alhambra hot springs probably is confined to igneous intrusive and volcanic rock. Alhambra is located in the northeast part of the Boulder batholith, a composite pluton of Laramide (Late Cretaceous to early Tertiary) age that is composed primarily of quartz monzonite (Smedes, 1966; Knopf, 1963). The monzonite is typically sheeted and locally is crossed by pronounced systems of jointing. Dikes, plugs, and irregular masses of aplite, alaskite, and younger rhyolite (age 35.8 ±1.4 m.y., R. A. Chadwick, Montana State Univ., written commun., 1976) typically are shattered and sheared.

Nearly vertical silicified zones, locally called reefs, transect the quartz monzonite and the alaskite bodies. The reefs consist mainly of brecciated country rock containing many small discontinuous lenses of cryptocrystalline silica, some of which contains highly radioactive uranium minerals (Roberts and Gude, 1953). Although the reefs are resistant to erosion, forming prominent ridges and spurs, they have been faulted and somewhat brecciated before and after silicification that occurred soon after emplacement of the batholith (Pinckney, 1965).

Radioactivity in the batholith appears to be associated preferentially with siliceous rock. Samples of silicic Butte quartz monzonite and alaskites similar to the rock exposed near Alhambra Hot Springs contain 5.9 and 9.2 ppm (parts per million) uranium; 22.3 and 36.3 ppm thorium; and 3.6 and 4.5 percent potassium, respectively (Tilling and Gottfried, 1969, table 2). Average concentrations for the Boulder batholith are lower.

Near Alhambra, primary and secondary uranium minerals are concentrated in fissure fillings of microcrystalline quartz and chaledony associated with siliceous reefs. Roberts and Gude (1953) reported that a sample of high grade ore from the abandoned W. Wilson mine, about 1 km southwest of the hot...
springs, assayed 9.58 percent uranium. The main reef in which the mine is located trends about N. 60° E. (Smedes, 1966). If continuous beneath alluvial and colluvial deposits, the reef would intersect the Alhambra fault in the subsurface near the hot springs.

The crystalline rocks, including the reefs, presumably are too old to represent sources of residual heat, and no firm evidence is available to support contact of the thermal waters with younger hot rock. Radioactive elements in the rocks of the Boulder batholith are sufficiently abundant to produce a significant part of the average measured heat flow of about 2 microcalories per square centimeter by radioactive decay (Blackwell and Robertson, 1973). However, decay of radioactive elements in localized deposits probably is a negligible source of heat for the hot springs.

The mean annual air temperature at Alhambra is about 6°C. If geothermal gradients of 21°C/km measured in similar rock about 8 km to the southwest (Blackwell and Robertson, 1973) can be extrapolated to Alhambra, the maximum temperature of 59.4°C recorded for the hot springs theoretically would be expected at a depth of 2.5 to 3 km. Evidence from a geothermal test well at Marysville and the deep mines at Butte (fig. 1) indicates that faults and fractures similar to those observed at Alhambra act as conduits for deep circulation of meteoric waters through rock that normally is impermeable when intact.

METHODS OF INVESTIGATION

Samples for radiochemical analysis were collected by the U.S. Geological Survey at hot spring 9, flowing hot well 6, and Boulder Hot Springs (fig. 1) to substantiate the previously reported anomalies. Samples were also collected at Broadwater Hot Springs, about 3.2 km west of Helena, because the springs represent a somewhat similar geohydrologic environment and are under consideration for development as a source of space heating and domestic water supply. Only the samples from Alhambra showed a level of radioactivity above normal background. There, the gross alpha and beta activity and the concentration of radium-226 exceeded levels recommended for drinking water (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976).

Larry Lloyd, of the MDHES, and the senior author made a reconnaissance survey during the summer of 1976 by using an SPA3 scintillometer to localize the sources of radioactivity at Alhambra. Observed levels of radioactivity were 5 times normal background near the hot springs and seeps and as much as 10 times normal background in travertine deposits around one of the vents and in siliceous zones in adjacent fractured crystalline rock. A similar scintillometer survey of the Broadwater Hot Springs failed to reveal abnormal levels of radioactivity. Analyses by MDHES for gross alpha and gross beta activity of selected water samples confirmed abnormal levels of radioactivity at the Alhambra Hot Springs and normal background levels at Boulder and Broadwater.

Additional samples for determination of dissolved uranium, radium-226, and radon by the National Water Quality Laboratory of the Geological Survey in Denver were then collected mainly at sites where the MDHES analyses showed abnormal levels of radioactivity. Some duplicate samples were also sent to MDHES for concurrent analysis.

SAMPLING PROCEDURES

At springs having multiple vents, the samples were obtained where the specific conductance, discharge, and temperature were highest. All the waters sampled were clear and virtually free of suspended matter.

Unfiltered samples for analysis by the Survey were collected in 4-L polyethylene bottles and acidified with reagent grade hydrochloric acid to a pH less than 1. Unfiltered samples for radiochemical analysis by the MDHES were collected in 1-L polyethylene bottles and acidified with reagent grade nitric acid to a pH of less than 1. The analyses for gross alpha and beta activity normally were completed within 1 week of collection.

Samples of thermal waters for determination of dissolved radon-222 were collected in 50 mL (milliliter) heavy-walled borosilicate glass collection tubes to which lengths of Tygon plastic tubing were attached. The lower (short) length of tubing was bent in the form of a U so that the open end was about 0.5 m above the sampler. When the desired sampling depth was reached, the trapped air was released through the longer length of tubing by opening a pinchcock at the surface. When a representative sample had been collected by alternately raising and lowering the sampler, the pinchcock was closed and the plastic tubes at each end were sealed for shipment.

To minimize decay of the radon-222 (half-life, 3.823 days), the samples were shipped to the laboratory by priority mail for immediate processing.

Duplicate samples, filtered and unfiltered, normally were collected for chemical analysis by using methods approved by the Survey, with some modifications suggested for thermal-spring samples by Presser and Barnes (1974). Routine field measurements included water discharge by using a Hoff or pygmy current meter, temperature by using a maximum-reading
mercury thermometer and a thermistor-wheatstone bridge combination, pH by using a Sargent-Welch PBL pH meter, specific conductance by using a Yellow Springs Model 33 SCT meter, and alkalinity by titration. At several sites, samples of gas were collected in plastic and glass syringes. These samples were analyzed for nitrogen, oxygen plus argon, carbon dioxide, and methane by gas chromatography in the Survey laboratories in Menlo Park, Calif.

LABORATORY PROCEDURES

Detailed descriptions of the radiochemical procedures used by the Survey for water and sediment analysis are given by Thatcher, Janzer, and Edwards (1977). Determination of radon dissolved in water or mixed with gas or air is made by an alpha scintillation technique, which is similar to the technique for determining radium-226 using radon.

Determination of the gross alpha and gross beta-gamma radioactivity of a water sample is relatively simple and rapid. The results are useful as an indication of total sample radioactivity or as a screening technique. Analyses for dissolved uranium, radium-226, and radon-222 by the Survey were made only on those samples for which the gross alpha activity as determined by the MDHES was greater than 10 pCi/L (picocuries per liter).

LABORATORY RESULTS

The values of gross alpha and gross beta activity reported for duplicate samples by the Survey generally are much higher than corresponding values reported by the MDHES, particularly for samples containing high levels of gross alpha activity (table 1). Despite the apparent discrepancies, both laboratories verified anomalously high levels of radioactivity at the same sites, and the results varied somewhat proportionately from site to site. The results of recent proficiency tests conducted by EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) confirm the comparability of MDHES gross alpha data with reference standards distributed by EPA and suggest that the determinations of gross beta activity may be slightly lower than the actual values (Larry Lloyd, oral commun., 1977). To be useful, comparative measurements of duplicate samples should be reported in the same units or be readily convertible to equivalent units. The Survey gross alpha measurements in water are reported in terms of micrograms per liter (µg/L); that is, mass units of equivalent natural uranium (Un) per liter, in preference to picocuries per liter, the activity units used by the MDHES and others. A conversion factor of 1 µg/L = 0.68 pCi/L is used to compare gross alpha determinations in this report.

A curie is the unit of radioactivity equivalent to 3.7 x 10^{10} disintegrations per second (becquerels). A picocurie (pCi), or 1 x 10^{-12} Ci, yields 2.22 disintegrations per minute and is one of the more common units used to describe the low level of radioactivity generally associated with environmental samples. Some confusion and uncertainty in reporting gross alpha measurements in water have resulted from the definition of a “special curie” for natural uranium and thorium, which was made by the National Committee of Radiation Protection (Ney, 1974; Hursch, 1974). Use of the “special curie” results in a conversion of mass to activity for natural uranium of 1 µg = 0.33 pCi. If the three isotopes U-238, U-235, and U-234 found in natural uranium in the proportions 99.28, 0.711, and 0.0006 percent, respectively (Edwards, 1968), occur in the same proportions in the standard isotope calibration solutions, the conversion 1 µg = 0.68 pCi is valid. Perhaps coincidentally, use of the “special curie” conversion factor of 0.33 would result in closer agreement between the results of the Survey and MDHES for high concentrations of gross alpha.

Natural uranium is commonly recommended and used as the gross alpha calibration isotope (American Public Health Association and others, 1976; American Society for Testing and Materials, 1976). Strontium-90 or cesium-137 is recommended as the gross beta calibration isotope. Natural uranium for alpha, and cesium-137 for beta reportedly are the calibration standards of reference for both laboratories. Therefore, the results should agree if the calibration solutions used are made from reliable standards preferably calibrated by or traceable to the National Bureau of Standards. Perhaps “natural” uranium salts used as standards for the gross alpha determinations should be tested to verify the coexistence of the isotopes in their natural abundance.

The maximum contaminant levels for public water supplies specified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1976) for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity are 15 and 50 pCi/L, respectively. The 50 pCi/L level for gross beta applies if the average annual concentrations of tritium and strontium-90 are less than 20 000 and 8 pCi/L, respectively. Levels of these isotopes in the ground waters from the Alhambra area could reasonably be expected to be less than 500 and 0.1 pCi/L, respectively.

Gross alpha levels determined by the Survey ranged from 26 µg/L (18 pCi/L) in the sample from cold well 5 to 930 µg/L (630 pCi/L) from hot spring 9 (table 1). The corresponding determination by the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample location number (fig.1)</th>
<th>Sample location</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date of collection</th>
<th>Specific conductance (µhos/cm)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Dissolved solids (sum of constituents) (mg/L)</th>
<th>Dissolved adsorp-silica ratio</th>
<th>Cross alpha¹</th>
<th>Dis-</th>
<th>Cross beta²</th>
<th>Dis-</th>
<th>Dis-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>solved</td>
<td>sodium</td>
<td>radon</td>
<td>Potas-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Warm Springs</td>
<td>46 26</td>
<td>111 58 48</td>
<td>6/29/76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cold well</td>
<td>46 25</td>
<td>54 111 57 15</td>
<td>8/20/76</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cold well</td>
<td>46 26</td>
<td>69 111 58 44</td>
<td>6/29/76</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cold well</td>
<td>46 26</td>
<td>52 111 58 36</td>
<td>6/29/76</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cold well</td>
<td>46 26</td>
<td>48 111 58 41</td>
<td>8/03/76</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Flowing hot</td>
<td>46 27</td>
<td>01 111 58 50</td>
<td>4/29/76</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>46 26</td>
<td>53 111 58 51</td>
<td>6/29/76</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>46 26</td>
<td>59 111 58 50</td>
<td>6/15/76</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>46 26</td>
<td>47 111 58 58</td>
<td>4/28/76</td>
<td>1,580</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Flowing warm</td>
<td>46 26</td>
<td>52 111 59 14</td>
<td>7/10/76</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>1,060</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/13/76</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/23/76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/19/76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/02/76</td>
<td>1,620</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Theoretical conversion for natural uranium: 1µg/L = 0.68 pCi/L.
²As cesium-137.
³Corresponding gas sample 130,000 pCi/L.
⁴Corresponding gas sample 80,000 pCi/L.
MDHES for cold well 5 was 14 pCi/L; the maximum determined was 293 pCi/L (compared with 890 μg/L = 605 pCi/L reported by the Survey) for hot spring 9. Gross beta values ranged from 19 pCi/L in the sample from cold well 5 to 220 pCi/L (as cesium-137) from hot spring 9.

Although the MDHES analyses show lower levels of radioactivity than the Survey analyses for corresponding samples, both show that the samples of thermal water from wells and springs 6-10 exceed the maximum contaminant level for gross alpha and beta activity. According to the Survey analysis, gross alpha in the sample from cold well 5 exceeded the maximum contaminant level, but the MDHES determination was lower than the maximum contaminant level.

Radium-226 concentrations ranged from 3.5 pCi/L in cold well 5 to 73 pCi/L in hot spring 9. The drinking water regulations (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976) state that the maximum contaminant level for radium-226 plus radium-228 in community water systems is 5 pCi/L. With the exception of cold well 5, all the samples analyzed by the Survey contained concentrations of radium-226 alone exceeding the maximum contaminant level for radium.

None of the samples was analyzed for radium-228, a beta-emitting radium daughter resulting from the alpha decay of thorium-232. However, the predominance of thorium in the rocks suggests that radium-228 is present in the thermal waters. Activity ratios Ra-228/Ra-226 larger than unity have been reported from several surface- and ground-water sources in Colorado (Johnson, 1971); therefore, the concentration of radium in the waters may be significantly higher than reported.

Maximum concentration of radon-222 was 37,000 pCi/L in a water sample collected from flowing warm well 10. The reported levels probably are 5 to 10 percent lower than the true levels as a result of losses by diffusion of radon through the plastic tube closures. All-glass sampling tubes would have been preferable; however, these were not used because of their fragility, expense, and unavailability at the time of sample collection. Drinking water regulations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1976) do not specify a maximum contaminant level for radon.

The reported concentrations of potassium-40, a beta-emitter, and dissolved uranium are relatively insignificant in relation to public health. For example, the maximum concentration of potassium-40 was 15 pCi/L from flowing warm well 10. On the basis of an estimated potassium content of the human body of about 0.35 percent (Lange, 1969) and a specific activity of potassium-40 in natural potassium of about 0.75 pCi/mg (picocurie of beta particles per milligram), about 200,000 pCi of potassium-40 is present in a human weighing 75 kg. Similarly, the reported concentrations of natural uranium, with the possible exception of the value of 7.2 μg/L for cold well 5, represent relatively insignificant contributions to the gross alpha content of the waters. The higher concentration of uranium in cold well 5 than in associated hot springs resembles similar occurrences in Nevada (Wollenberg, 1975).

**Sources of Radioactivity in Water**

Either natural or manmade radioactivity, or both, are found in varying concentrations in all precipitation and surface and ground waters. The natural radioactivity is caused by traces of (1) the long-lived parent members of three natural radioactive decay series—U-238 (uranium series), U-235 (actinium series), and Th-232 (thorium series) and their daughters, (2) single long-lived radioactive elements, with potassium-40 being the most abundant, and (3) radionuclides such as tritium and carbon-14, which are produced by cosmic rays as well as by nuclear devices.

Uranium occurs in low concentrations in many natural waters; average seawater contains about 3 μg/L dissolved uranium (Gabelman, 1976). U-238 is the most abundant (99.28 percent) natural isotope of uranium. Its decay products, U-234, Th-230, Ra-226, Rn-222, Po-218, Po-214, and Po-210 decay by alpha emission. In terms of their activities (decay rates), U-238 and U-234 are about equally abundant in nature. The average activity ratio U-234/U-238 of about 1.4 in geothermal waters is suggested by a recent study in the western United States (O'Connell and Kaufmann, 1976). U-235 is much less abundant in nature (normally 0.7 percent of natural uranium) than U-238 and does not contribute significantly to the radioactivity of natural waters.

Although thorium is a major radioactive constituent of acid igneous rock, measured levels of thorium-232 greater than a few nanograms per liter (10^-9 g/L) are rarely found in surface or ground waters. Thorium has been found in detectable concentrations in mine drainage from acid igneous rocks (V. J. Janzer, unpub. data, 1977), but because of the apparent immobility of the ions under normal conditions, thorium generally is not a significant dissolved radioactive constituent of natural waters. However, the beta-emitting daughter radium-228 may, when combined with radium-226, occur at Alhambra at con-
centrations approaching the maximum contaminant level of 5 pCi/L established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1976).

**RELATIONSHIP OF RADIOACTIVITY TO MAJOR DISSOLVED CONSTITUENTS**

As water passes through the soil and rocks it dissolves varying quantities of the minerals, both radioactive and nonradioactive, with which it comes in contact. Therefore, the chemical composition of the water reflects the composition of the rocks as well as variations in temperature, pressure, and traveltime along its path in the subsurface.

All the waters sampled near Alhambra were of the calcium bicarbonate or sodium bicarbonate type. The water in general progresses from streamflow (No. 1) and shallow ground water (wells 2-5) of the calcium bicarbonate type to more highly radioactive thermal water (wells and springs 6-10) of the sodium bicarbonate type with increasing temperature, concentration of dissolved solids and silica, and sodium-adsorption-ratio (table 1). The sodium-adsorption-ratio (U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954) is defined as

\[
\frac{\text{Na}^+}{\sqrt{\frac{\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+}}{2}}}
\]

with all concentrations in milliequivalents per liter. It is normally used for describing the quality of irrigation waters but is convenient for describing differences in the cation distribution in thermal waters and, at Alhambra, for distinguishing thermal waters (high ratio) from the normal ground water (low ratio).

The relatively dilute calcium bicarbonate water from cold well 2 about 2.4 km upstream from the hot springs (fig. 1) is probably characteristic of the nonthermal shallow water in the fractured quartz monzonite. The radioactivity is near or below the level of detection, as it is in chemically similar waters from Warm Springs Creek and cold well 3 (table 1), respectively. Levels of radioactivity significantly higher than normal background, but far lower than in the thermal waters, were reported for selected samples of waters in wells penetrating similar aquifers several kilometers from the hot springs (Larry Lloyd, written commun., 1976).

The thermal waters from the Alhambra fault zone (Nos. 6-9, fig. 1) are remarkably similar chemically to the cooler, but slightly more mineralized, discharge of flowing warm well 10. Although less radioactive than the discharge from hot spring 9, which the well was meant to replace, the well water is too radioactive for domestic use. The similarities suggest a common source.

Discharges from cold wells 3-5 are mixtures of thermal and nonthermal waters. The temperature of the water in cold well 5 increased with depth. If the well were drilled deeper, the percentage of thermal water and the radioactivity in the discharge probably would be higher.

Calcium (in equivalent weights) is more abundant than sodium in most of the crystalline rock that underlies the Warm Springs watershed (Smedes, 1966); therefore, the predominance of calcium in the surface water and cool shallow ground water is not unexpected. The relatively low proportion of calcium and magnesium in the thermal waters, as indicated by the high sodium-adsorption-ratio (fig. 2), probably results mainly from the decreasing solubility of these carbonates and the increased solubility of sodium salts with increasing temperature. Despite the relatively low concentrations of calcium, solution-mineral equilibrium calculations (Mariner and others, 1976) show that hot spring 8 was saturated with calcium carbonate. Active deposition of calcium carbonate as radioactive travertine at the vent indicates saturation of hot spring 9 also.

According to Thurlow and Reyner (1952) a sample of the travertine or of a similar incrustation at a vent north of Warm Springs Creek contained 0.058 percent equivalent uranium but only 0.001 percent actual uranium and 10 times normal radioactive background. The high values of radioactivity in the discharge and in the travertine are consistent with Wollenberg's (1975) observation that high levels of radioactivity in Nevada thermal waters are commonly associated with calcium carbonate dominated, in contrast to silica dominated, hot springs. Most of the major hot springs in southwest Montana have been sampled by the Survey and analyzed for gross alpha and beta activity by MDHES. Besides Alhambra, only three other springs show high levels of radioactivity, and all three are actively depositing calcium carbonate; none of the three issues from fractured crystalline rock.

The relatively insignificant concentrations of dissolved uranium in the waters at Alhambra suggest that radium-226 and its short-lived alpha-emitting polonium daughters (Po-218 and Po-214) are the principal sources of the high alpha activity. High concentrations of radon-222 from several sources confirm the presence of its parent, radium-226, in the system (table 1).

High concentrations of radon are commonly found in water from fractured zones in crystalline rock.
FIGURE 2.—Variations in radioactivity, sodium-adsorption-ratio, temperature, and concentration of silica in water samples.
The fracturing that accompanies seismic activity presumably increases the surface area of radioactive rock from which radon can be released and transferred to the water. Alhambra lies along the northern Intermountain Seismic Belt (Smith and Sbar, 1974) and is subject to continuing seismic activity that may maintain open fractures in the rock. The epicenters of three major earthquakes, one in 1925 and two in 1935, lie within 32 km of Alhambra (Friedline and Smith, 1974; Robertson and others, 1976).

Much higher concentrations of radon were found at the same sites in December 1976 than in April or June 1976. The concentrations of radon were not proportional to the concentrations of radium-226 in the samples nor were fluctuations in the two constituents correlative (fig. 2). Radium-226 normally is not found in water at the concentration required to produce the measured concentrations of radon; that is, in excess of several thousand picocuries per liter.

Although thorium isotopes are not generally significant dissolved radioactive constituents of natural waters, they are formed in the dissolved state by the radioactive decay of the dissolved uranium isotopes U-238 and U-234. Whereas the uranium tends to remain in solution as a stable carbonate complex, the thorium isotopes plate out or are sorbed on rock surfaces. Concentrations of Th-234, U-234 (decay product of Th-234), and Th-230 are much higher on the surfaces of rock fractures that have been exposed to percolating waters than in the bulk of the country rock (J. Rosholt, oral commun., 1977). Radium-226 resulting from the radioactive decay of the sorbed Th-230 probably is the source of much of the dissolved radon found in the thermal waters described in this study.

Wollenberg (1975) and O'Connell and Kaufmann (1976) suggest that radium-226, coprecipitated with calcium, can be a substantial secondary source of radon. At least part of the radon at Alhambra probably is a decay product of radium-226 in calcareous deposits at depth.

No consistent relationship between the concentration of radon and the chemistry or temperature of the water is apparent; however, the concentrations of dissolved radon in samples from flowing wells generally were higher than in samples from the springs (table 1, fig. 2). Spasmodic eruptions of gas from flowing hot well 6 contained 130,000 pCi/L. The activity of dissolved radon in corresponding water samples was 7000 and 11,000 pCi/L, respectively. Radon in the spring waters probably had more time to approach equilibrium with the atmosphere and to dissipate into the surrounding rock and soil at shallow depth than did radon in the more confined well waters.

Major components of the gas from the wells and springs are nitrogen (85-88 percent), oxygen and argon (4-6 percent), and carbon dioxide (8-9 percent). Whereas radon is a noble, nonreactive gas, reactions between carbon dioxide in solution and the rocks probably determine to a large extent the chemical properties of the thermal waters. Although the solubility of carbon dioxide in water varies inversely with temperature, it is retained in solution under hydrostatic head at depth where it can lower the pH of the water and react with the minerals in the rock to form soluble bicarbonates. Conversely, when carbon dioxide gas is liberated from ascending thermal waters as a result of the decline in hydrostatic pressure, the less soluble compounds, particularly calcium carbonate, can precipitate from a saturated solution to seal the conduits or to form travertine deposits at the surface. Precipitation of calcium would leave the waters relatively enriched with respect to the more soluble sodium bicarbonate.

Sodium (in equivalent weights) is more abundant than calcium in the silica-rich rocks associated locally with the hot springs and the radioactive anomalies. The high sodium and bicarbonate content of the thermal waters at Alhambra may result from the reaction:

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{Na} \text{ silicate} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{Na}^+ + \text{H} \text{ silicate} \]

which represents dissolution of sodium feldspar with the simultaneous conversion of carbon dioxide (CO\(_2\)) to bicarbonate (HCO\(_3^-\)), release of sodium ion (Na\(^+\)), and formation of clay. Calcium, potassium, silica (SiO\(_2\)), and soluble radioactive elements disseminated in the rock would be liberated concurrently. Sodium bicarbonate tends to inhibit precipitation of dissolved uranium (Barker and Robinson, 1963), thereby increasing its mobility.
to the point of discharge (Fournier and others, 1974). The difference of about 100°C between the estimated subsurface temperatures and measured surface temperatures suggests mixing. Precipitation of calcium, as almost certainly occurs at Alhambra, would lead to erroneously high temperature estimates, although the error probably is negligible.

The solubility of silica increases with temperature, and the solubility of amorphous silica is much greater than the solubility of primary quartz at the same temperatures. If the dissolved silica in the thermal waters is at equilibrium with primary quartz in the fractured crystalline rock aquifers, subsurface temperatures estimated from the concentrations of silica are about 111°C for hot spring 9 and 115°C for flowing warm well 10 (Fournier and Rowe, 1966). The estimated temperatures would be only about 82° and 87°C, respectively, if the equilibrium were with chalcedony (Fournier and Truesdell, 1974). If the water is cooled by mixing with shallow ground water, temperatures estimated using the cation and quartz geothermometers are nearly equal.

From his studies in Iceland, Arnorsson (1975) concluded that the silica concentration of hot springs associated with low-temperature reservoirs is controlled by the solubility of chalcedony if the reservoir temperature is less than 110°C, by quartz or chalcedony in the range from 110° to 180°C, and by quartz at temperatures greater than 180°C. The combined evidence indicates that the reservoir temperature for Alhambra Hot Springs is in the lowest range.

In several abandoned mines near Alhambra, secondary uranium minerals occur along fractures in veins of microcrystalline quartz or as disseminations in the monzonite wall rock “where they have clearly been deposited from circulating ground water” (Thurlow and Reyner, 1952, p. 18). Wright, Bieler, Shulhof, and Emerson (1954) state that the most recent of several varieties of chalcedony found in veinlets and enclosing breccia fragments are the most highly radioactive. However, they confirm the association of primary and secondary uranium minerals with chaledonic gangue, which led Roberts and Gude (1953) to conclude that little or no migration of uranium has occurred since the primary uranium and chalcedony were deposited by ascending epithermal solutions. Current circulation of thermal water probably is dissolving the radioactive vein material and is unassociated with the primary emplacement and wallrock alteration that apparently took place before late Tertiary time.

The rough correlation between the concentration of silica and radioactivity (fig. 2), the absence of excessive radioactivity in hot springs from similar crystalline rock in Montana, and the local concentration of radioactive minerals suggest that leaching of radioactive material from fractured siliceous veins is the principal source of radioactivity in the thermal waters at Alhambra. If the radioactive elements were derived from dissolution of chalcedonic vein deposits intercepted by the Alhambra fault or associated fractures, at least part of the dissolved silica would be derived from the same source. The silica geothermometers then would confirm the association by Mariner, Presser, and Evans (1976) of Alhambra Hot Springs with reservoir temperatures less than 110°C.

CONCLUSIONS

1. High levels of radioactivity occur at Alhambra Hot Springs in the discharges from springs and flowing wells, in calcareous incrustations around the springs, and in silicified zones and chalcedony veins in associated crystalline intrusive rock. High levels of radioactivity are not characteristic of hot springs that issue from fractured crystalline rock in Montana.

2. The thorium and uranium concentrations of the type of acid igneous rocks exposed near the hot springs are higher than the average for the Boulder batholith. The predominance of thorium suggests that a toxic beta-emitting daughter, radium-228, is present in the thermal waters.

3. Gross alpha and beta activities and the concentrations of radium-226 in thermal waters from springs and flowing wells exceed recommended maximum permissible levels of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1976) for drinking water. High concentrations of radon are dissolved in the water and mixed with other gases at several sites.

4. In general, gross alpha and gross beta activities determined by the Survey exceeded the values reported for corresponding samples by the MDHES. Both laboratories verified anomalously high levels of radioactivity at the same sites, and the results were normally proportional. The discrepancies may be attributable to differences in calibration-isotope solutions and analytical procedures.

5. Fractures associated with the Alhambra fault appear to act as conduits for ascending thermal waters that were heated by deep circulation along a thermal gradient of about 31°C/km.

6. The chemical composition of the water ranges from surface and shallow ground water of the calcium bicarbonate type to more highly radio-
active thermal water of the sodium bicarbonate type with increasing temperature, concentrations of dissolved solids and silica, and sodium-adsorption-ratio.

7. Under atmospheric conditions some of the thermal waters are supersaturated with respect to calcium carbonate and carbon dioxide gas. Reactions of dissolved carbon dioxide with rocks in the subsurface probably have released the sodium and calcium ions and produced bicarbonate ion in the water.

8. Loss of carbon dioxide by ascending thermal waters caused deposition of radioactive travertine at the surface and probably in the subsurface.

9. Radium-226 is the source of radon-222 that is dissolved in the thermal water and discharged with other gases from several springs and wells. The radium-226 probably formed as a decay product of thorium-230 sorbed on fracture surfaces and coprecipitated with calcium carbonate.

10. Dissolution of radioactive chalcedony at depth probably accounts for most of the radioactivity and part of the dissolved silica in the thermal waters.

11. The reservoir temperature probably is less than 110°C, but could be as high as 160°C.
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DEFINITION OF REGIONAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN DISSOLVED SOLIDS AND SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE, SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, PENNSYLVANIA AND NEW YORK

By DAVID J. LYSTROM, FRANK A. RINELLA, and WILLIAM D. KNOX, Portland, Oreg.

Abstract.—This report presents statistical tests for assessing the accuracy and validity of two regional models of the relationship between dissolved-solids concentration and specific conductance. These models are used to estimate dissolved-solids concentrations based on specific-conductance measurements. The two regional models are compared with station models for 27 stream sites in the Susquehanna River basin. The average standard errors of estimate of two regional models, when used to estimate dissolved-solids concentrations for the 27 stream sites, are 10.7 and 10.6 percent. In comparison, the station models have average standard errors of 9.0 and 9.3 percent, respectively. Results of the statistical tests indicate that in the Susquehanna River basin the regional models can be used to estimate dissolved-solids concentrations from specific-conductance data for sites for which chemical analyses are not available.

Specific conductance is often used as an indicator of certain aspects of water quality (Steele and Matalas, 1974; Singh and Kalra, 1973). The major dissolved inorganic constituents in water can be related to specific conductance by use of linear regression. (See for example, Steele, 1968, 1972, 1976; Hawkinson and Steele, 1975; Blakey and others, 1972.) During compilation of water-quality data for a regional study of stream water in the Susquehanna River basin in Pennsylvania and New York, it was found that dissolved-solids concentrations can be estimated from specific conductance with acceptable accuracy by using regression relationships. This report presents two site-specific regression relationships (station models) for each of the 27 stream sites and two regional regression relationships (regional models). Regional models apply to all streams in the Susquehanna River basin. Criteria are established for statistical tests used to determine if the regional models are significantly different from station models. The accuracy of each model is summarized to indicate expected errors.

Although the method was developed for use with available data, experience with it has shown that regional or station models would also be useful in the design of data-collection networks. Specific conductance is considerably less costly to obtain than the corresponding dissolved-solids concentration. This statistical method provides an effective means of evaluating the trade-off of reduced costs versus loss of accuracy in estimating dissolved solids indirectly from specific conductance rather than determining it directly from field samples by laboratory analysis.

BASIN SETTING

The Susquehanna River empties into the Chesapeake Bay and drains the largest basin (71,250 km²) along the east coast of the United States. About three-quarters of the basin is in Pennsylvania and one-quarter in New York. The Susquehanna River basin spans four physiographic provinces (see fig. 1), the (1) Appalachian Plateaus, (2) Valley and Ridge, (3) Blue Ridge, and (4) Piedmont provinces (Fenneman, 1928). The northeast part of the Appalachian Plateaus is characterized by well-rounded hills and flat valleys resulting from continental glaciation. The unglaciated southwest part of the Appalachian Plateaus is characterized by flat-topped mountains having deeply incised stream valleys. The Valley and Ridge province is characterized by steep parallel mountains and ridges separated by valleys. Only a very small part of the mountainous Blue Ridge province lies within the Susquehanna River basin. The Piedmont province contains terrain that is gently rolling to hilly.

The climate in the Susquehanna River basin is moderate. Average annual precipitation ranges from 810 mm in the northwestern part of the basin to 1120 mm in the southern and east-central parts, with a basin average of approximately 1020 mm. About 50 percent of the precipitation over the Susquehanna River basin appears in the stream as runoff. Large losses occur during the hot summer months due to evaporation and transpiration.
The chemical character of tributaries of the Susquehanna basin is influenced by three major factors: (1) streamflow, (2) geology and ground water, and (3) land-use practices.

The highest dissolved-solids concentrations occur during periods of base flow due to the effects of ground-water inflow, evaporation, and coal-mine drainage. As streamflow increases, the dissolved-solids concentration is lowered by dilution from direct runoff (Anderson, 1963). Stream water quality is influenced by the wide variety of geologic formations. The geology of the basin is characterized predominantly by sandstone and shale, glacial outwash, limestone and dolomite, coal, and some metamorphic rock. Streams receiving water drained from the coal fields in the eastern and western parts of the basin have low pH and high concentrations of iron, sulfate and free sulfuric acid. Farm cultivation, urbanization, and industrialization in the Susquehanna River basin also influence the chemical character and quality of the surface water.

**APPROACH**

The approach used in this study is intended to establish the accuracy and utility of site-specific and regional relationships between dissolved solids and specific conductance. Analysis of variance is used to determine the significance of differences between two types of linear-regression relationships (models) and between regional and site-specific (station) models.

**Station models**

Plots of available dissolved-solids concentrations versus specific conductance for 27 stream stations (see locations in figure 2) in the Susquehanna River basin show that linear-regression models fit the data very well. Correlation coefficients of these relationships commonly exceed 0.97, and data points are randomly distributed about the regression line. Station models are based on a minimum of 10 data points during water years 1965–76. This period was selected as one in which land use was relatively stable. Figure 3 represents a typical station plot for the Lackawanna River at Old Forge, Pa.

The two linear models shown in figure 3 are defined by the following linear regression equations:

\[ Y = a + bX \]  
\[ Y = (\bar{Y}/\bar{X})X \]
where $Y$ represents dissolved-solids concentrations, $X$ is specific conductance, $a$ and $b$ are least squares linear regression constants, $\bar{Y}$ is the mean dissolved-solids concentration, and $\bar{X}$ is the mean specific conductance. The basic difference between these two models is that model 2 has a $Y$ intercept of zero. Theoretically, the $a$ term in model 1 will approach zero as specific conductance approaches zero. However, the ranges of specific conductance in streams rarely approach zero. Therefore, the $a$ term is included in model 1 to provide a regression line that will fit the upper ranges of a nonlinear relationship more accurately. (See Steele, 1971).

A standard analysis-of-variance test (Mendenhall, 1971) was used for each station to determine if the two models are statistically different. In this test the variance, $V_1$, of the data about model 1 is divided by the variance, $V_2$, about model 2. Variance is defined by

$$V_1 = \frac{\sum_1^N (Y_e - \bar{Y})^2}{N - 2} \quad (3)$$

and

$$V_2 = \frac{\sum_1^N (Y_e - Y_o)^2}{N - 1} \quad (4)$$

where $Y_o$ is the observed dissolved-solids concentration, $Y_e$ is the corresponding dissolved-solids concentration, and $N$ is the number of data points. The ratio of variances is compared to values in a standard $F$ distribution (Afifi and Azen, 1972, app. II, table 6) for a specified percentile of significance. The results of the analysis-of-variance test (Anova) for all 27 stations indicate that there is no difference between models 1 and 2 at the 95-percent level of significance. The results of the Anova test also indicate that the constant $a$ in model 1 does not differ significantly from zero. The average standard errors of estimate for all 27 stations are 9.0 and 9.3 percent for models 1 and 2, respectively. Both models therefore produce statistically similar results.

**Regional models**

Two regional models for the Susquehanna River basin were developed from 1441 paired values of dissolved-solids concentration and specific conductance for 59 stations (locations shown in fig 2) during water years 1965-76. These data are plotted in figure 4.

Fitting model 1 to the regional data by least-squares regression results in the equation

$$Y = 1.04 + 0.622X,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

and fitting model 2 to the same data results in the equation

$$Y = 0.626X,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $Y$ is dissolved-solids concentration and $X$ is specific conductance. The standard error of estimate of...
both equations 5 and 6 is ±16.6 mg/L or ±10.5 percent of the mean dissolved-solids concentration.

As shown in figure 4, equations 5 and 6 are nearly equivalent. The analysis of variance of the pooled data about equations 5 and 6 indicates that there is no significant difference at the 95-percent level. Although either equation could be used, equation 6 is more easily computed.

**REGIONAL MODELS COMPARED WITH STATION MODELS**

The regional models are intended to estimate dissolved-solids concentration from specific conductance at stream sites where dissolved-solids data are not available. It is therefore desirable to assess the accuracy of the regional models (eqs 5 or 6) compared with the station models.

The Anova test was applied for each of 27 sets of data to evaluate whether the regional models differ significantly from the station models. It was found, using model 1, that five station models were different from the regional model at the 95-percent level of significance. A similar test for model 2 revealed four significant differences among the 27 sets of data. These results indicate that about 17 percent of the station models differ significantly from the regional models. If all data were drawn hypothetically from the same population, only 5 percent of the station models would be expected to be different from the regional model at the 95-percent level of significance.

The Anova test implies that errors resulting from use of a regional model at some stations would be significantly greater than the errors resulting from the station models. To evaluate these errors, table 1 lists the standard errors of estimate of the station models and the standard errors of estimate resulting from applying two regional models (eqs 5 and 6) at each station. The standard errors for the station models and regional models are comparable. The average of standard errors of estimate for station models 1 or 2 is only about 1.5 percent lower than the average for the regional models. Differences between the station and regional models that are significant at the 95-percent level are footnoted in table 1.

**TABLE 1.—Regression constants and standard errors of estimate for station and regional models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station number</th>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Number of data points</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Range of specific conductance</th>
<th>Model 1 $Y = a + bX$</th>
<th>Model 2 $Y = (Y/X)^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standard error of estimate</td>
<td>Standard error of estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1503770</td>
<td>Susquehanna River near Great Bend, N.Y.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1507500</td>
<td>Geneseegetts Creek at Smithville Plaza, N.Y.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1513050</td>
<td>Geneseegetts Creek at Sayre, Pa.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1518700</td>
<td>Tioga River at Tioga Junction, Pa.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1520000</td>
<td>Gowensie Creek near Lawrenceville, Pa.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1523000</td>
<td>Pineville Creek near Kannan, N.Y.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1531000</td>
<td>Chemung River at Chemung, N.Y.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536000</td>
<td>Susquehanna River at Falls, Pa.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536500</td>
<td>Lackawanna River at Archbald, Pa.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1536600</td>
<td>Lackawanna River at Old Forge, Pa.</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1537700</td>
<td>Susquehanna River near Humlock Creek, Pa.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1540500</td>
<td>Susquehanna River at Danville, Pa.</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1542000</td>
<td>Susquehanna River at Glenorch, Pa.</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1545000</td>
<td>West Branch Susquehanna River at nurse, Pa.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1545600</td>
<td>Young Woman's Creek near Reno, Pa.</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1542800</td>
<td>West Branch Susquehanna River at Lock Haven, Pa.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1547500</td>
<td>Bald Eagle Creek at Blanche, Pa.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1549300</td>
<td>Beech Creek at Monument, Pa.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1553500</td>
<td>West Branch Susquehanna River at Lewisburg, Pa.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1554000</td>
<td>Susquehanna River at Sunbury, Pa.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1555000</td>
<td>Penns Creek at Penfield, Pa.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1558000</td>
<td>Juniata River at Huntingdon, Pa.</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1559000</td>
<td>Raystown Branch Juniata River near Huntingdon, Pa.</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1557000</td>
<td>Juniata River at Newport, Pa.</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1570500</td>
<td>Susquehanna River at Harrisburg, Pa.</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1576500</td>
<td>Conestoga River at Lancaster, Pa.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1576900</td>
<td>Susquehanna River at Holtwood, Pa.</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Averages values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Y = a + bX$</td>
<td>$Y = (Y/X)^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard error of estimate</td>
<td>Standard error of estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Station model</td>
<td>Regional model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Microsiemens per centimeter at 25°C.

2 $Y=0.626X$ (eq 6).

3 $Y=1.040+0.622X$ (eq 5).

4 Regional model significantly different from station model at the 95-percent level.
It should be noted that the errors resulting from regional models may produce a bias. That is, a regional model may tend to overestimate or underestimate the concentration of dissolved solids for a specific site. The occurrence of bias is more likely at sites where a regional model differs significantly from a station model.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the Anova tests and a comparison of standard errors in table 1 indicate that station models 1 and 2 will yield comparable results for the Susquehanna River basin. Regional models defined by equations 5 and 6 can also be considered equivalent to each other.

It was also found by use of the Anova test that the errors resulting from use of the regional models were significantly greater than for station models for about 17 percent of the stations. It is therefore recommended that station models should be used where adequate data are available. The accuracy of station models can be judged from computation of standard errors of estimate as shown in table 1.

At places where specific conductance has been measured but dissolved-solids data are not available, the regional models (eqs 5 or 6) can be used to estimate dissolved-solids concentration from specific conductance. The magnitude of errors shown in table 1 for the regional models was found acceptable for estimating dissolved solids in a regional water-quality analysis.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study are applicable primarily to the Susquehanna River basin and possibly to similar adjacent stream basins. The methodology, however, can be applied to any river basin or study region.

Station models (model 1) shown in table 1, were used to estimate additional dissolved-solids concentrations for 14 stream stations in a regional water-quality study of the Susquehanna River basin. The regional model (eq 5) was used for an additional 10 stations. These estimates of dissolved-solids values were essential to provide adequate data for relating the occurrence of dissolved solids to land use and other physical characteristics of drainage basins.

The computer program used in this study to plot data and to compute the regression constants, standard errors of estimates, and ratios of variance is available in Fortran IV language adapted to a CDC 6600 computer system. The data input to the program is a magnetic tape compiled from the U.S. Geological Survey's water-quality computer files and retrieval system (Wanda C. Meeks, written commun., 1974).
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PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF THE FLOATING DOME METHOD OF MEASURING REAERATION RATES

By DOYLE W. STEPHENS, NSTL Station, Miss.

Abstract.—The floating dome method of measuring reaeration rates was evaluated using a modified tracer technique and a disturbed equilibrium technique. The lack of agreement between results of the floating dome method and the other methods was attributed to limitations in instrument accuracy amplified by physical relationships of the dome to the water column rather than conceptual errors in the dome method. In techniques where polarographic oxygen probes are used to monitor the oxygen content within the dome atmosphere, the ratio of volume of the dome to volume of the water column under the dome must be kept below 0.02 to minimize instrument error to less than 10 percent of the predicted oxygen transport. The combination of instrument limitations, coupled with environmental limitations caused by wind and the greenhouse effects, severely restrict application of the floating dome method.

Copeland and Duffer (1964) introduced the floating dome method of measuring gaseous diffusion rates in water as an improvement of the gasometric tent technique developed and used in England (Gameson and Barrett, 1958). The dome method consists of floating a rigid dome enclosure on the water surface to isolate a small area through which gaseous exchange with the atmosphere in the dome occurs. The oxygen content of the vapor phase in the dome and in the water is monitored over a suitable time period, and the decrease in oxygen content of the vapor phase in the dome is related to the increase in oxygen content of the water to provide an estimate of the reaeration rate. The water must be undersaturated with oxygen at the initiation of the measurement period and must not exceed saturation during the measurement interval. The method assumes that the gas-transfer coefficient within the enclosure is equivalent to the transfer coefficient in the open water. This assumption has been reported valid only for still or gently circulating waters (Welch, 1968). Furthermore, Gameson and Barrett (1958) reported that the tent enclosure causes underestimations of reaeration rates when waves approaching a height of 4 cm are present. Owens (1965) stated that despite the restriction of turbulence within the enclosure, comparative experiments using enclosures and other methods yield similar results, but no specific references were cited. Sugiura and others (1963) found that the reaeration rate is primarily determined by turbulence in the water, not the air phase; hence, the dome should not influence the estimate. Conversely, Zogorski and Faust (1973) believed the dome distorts the gas-transfer rate by interfering with wind and wave action beneath it.

There are several other environmental limitations to the use of the dome method. The greenhouse effect was recognized by Copeland and Duffer (1964) in their original paper, and several attempts to use the dome on a windswept estuary failed owing to dome movement across the water surface (R. L. Cory, oral commun., 1976). Hall (1971) introduced a modification of the dome procedure based on the measurement of the diffusion of gaseous oxygen into a floating dome filled with nitrogen. This technique has been used successfully by researchers at the Chesapeake Biological Laboratory in Maryland (W. R. Boynton and W. M. Kemp, written commun., 1977).

The floating dome method of Copeland and Duffer (1964) has been cited numerous times in the literature as a direct method of measuring reaeration rates in natural waters, but it has been actually employed in only a few instances (Duffer and Dorris, 1966; Hannan and Dorris, 1970). Juliano (1969) attempted to use the dome to measure reaeration in an estuary but found that the available instrumentation was not suited to monitoring oxygen charges within the dome. Hannan and Dorris (1970) reported that the dome method yielded results comparable with those calculated using the equation of Odum and Hoskin (1958). Aside from occasional comparisons of the dome method with predictive equations, there has been little evaluation of the dome method due to the lack of suitable comparative techniques of measuring reaeration rates. With the advent of tracer methodology (Tsivoglou and others, 1965; Rathbun and others, 1975), it has become possible to evaluate older methods of measuring reaeration coefficients.
METHODS

A modification (Rathbun and others, 1975) of the radioactive tracer technique of Tsivoglou and others (1965; 1968) was used to measure the reaeration coefficient in a continuously mixed tank while simultaneously measuring the reaeration coefficient using both the floating dome (Copeland and Duffer, 1964) and the disturbed equilibrium methods (Gameson and Truesdale, 1959). The tracer technique is based on the observation that the ratio of the rate coefficient of a gas desorbing from water under mixed conditions to the rate coefficient for oxygen being absorbed by the same water is a constant, regardless of mixing conditions. The procedure consists of dissolving a quantity of tracer gas into the water and measuring its desorption with time. The desorption coefficient of the tracer gas is then converted to a reaeration coefficient by means of a constant determined from laboratory experimentation. The modified tracer technique uses ethylene and propane as the tracer gases in place of the more hazardous krypton-85. The disturbed equilibrium method (Gameson and Truesdale, 1959) consists of reducing the dissolved-oxygen content of the water to a level below saturation and monitoring the oxygen recovery of the water.

Four experiments were conducted utilizing two different dome designs and two different test tanks. Two experiments were run in the laboratory, and two outdoors. Tap water was used in all experiments.

The two experiments run in the laboratory, Lab 1 and Lab 2, March 23 and 31, 1976, respectively, used a fiberglass tank with a diameter of 0.75 m and a water depth of 0.31 m. The plexiglass dome used in these experiments was rectangular with a pitched roof and a volume of 32.52 L and covered a surface area of 0.053 m². It was supported by a 0.06-m-wide wood frame around the base. A wire support held a dissolved-oxygen probe (Yellow Springs Instrument Co., Model 54) and a temperature probe (Enviro-Labs, Model IS-102) in the air space within the dome. A second pair of oxygen and temperature probes were placed in the water below the dome. The output signals from the oxygen and temperature probes were multiplexed (Enviro-Labs, Model SC 210-2) and punched at 30-minute intervals onto a 16-channel paper tape using an analog-digital recorder (Fischer and Porter Co., type 1542). The probe used to measure oxygen within the dome was calibrated in fresh air to an arbitrary midscale value representing 100-percent saturation. Subsequent readings were related to this value. The oxygen probe used in the water was calibrated using micro-Winkler titrations of the tank water (Carritt and Carpenter, 1965; Carritt and Carpenter, 1966). Oxygen saturation values were calculated according to Weiss (1970) and corrected for barometric pressure.

The procedure for initiating an experiment consisted of stripping the oxygen from the tank water using nitrogen gas and a porous diffuser until the dissolved-oxygen content of the water was about 48 percent saturation. The dome, containing its sensors, was then floated on the water surface, and the subsurface oxygen and temperature sensors were added. Water saturated with ethylene and propane was added to give initial concentrations of approximately 10 micrograms per liter in the tank. A laboratory stirring impeller situated 0.14 m below the water surface and running at 1900 revolutions per minute was then started and run until completion of the experiment.

Two experiments were run outdoors, Outdoor 1 on July 8 and Outdoor 2 on November 11, 1976, in an open stainless-steel tank measuring 6.1 m long, 1.2 m wide, and 1.8 m deep. The experiments were conducted with a water depth of about 1 m. A high-velocity pump was employed at one end of the tank to provide constant circulation. A plastic tarpaulin shielded the part of the tank where the dome and instrumentation were located. The instrumentation and procedures for the large-tank experiments were similar to those used for the small-tank experiments. The dome used in the large tank consisted of the bottom part of a semi-hemispherical plastic dessicator (Nalge Co.) mounted on a polyfoam frame. The dome had a radius of 0.13 m and a volume of 4.96 L and covered an area of 0.053 m².

Water samples were collected at 30-minute intervals for ethylene and propane analysis and samples for micro-Winkler determinations of oxygen were usually taken every hour. Micro-Winkler titrations were used as a comparative backup for the oxygen probe. Water samples for hydrocarbon analysis were either siphoned from the tanks allowing the sample bottle to flush once or collected using a self-flushing submersible sampler. Analysis for dissolved hydrocarbons was done using a gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector in conjunction with a stripping chamber and cold trap apparatus (Shultz and others, 1976). The desorption coefficient for each of the hydrocarbon gases was calculated using the equation

\[ K_H = \frac{\log_e (C_F/C_r)}{\Delta T}, \]

where \( K_H \) is the desorption coefficient in reciprocal hours;

\( C_F \) is the final concentration of the hydrocarbon gas;
$C_i$ is the initial concentration of the hydrocarbon gas; and

$\Delta T$ is the time period in hours.

In practice, the log (base e) of the individual hydrocarbon concentrations is plotted with time, and a least-squares fit is made through these points. The slope of this line is the desorption coefficient, $K_H$. The desorption coefficient for each hydrocarbon gas is then related to the reaeration coefficient, $K_z$, for oxygen by

$$K_z = \frac{K_H}{1/R},$$

where $R$ is the ratio of the laboratory determined desorption coefficient of the hydrocarbon gas to the absorption coefficient for oxygen as determined in stirred tank calibration studies (R. E. Rathburn, oral commun., 1977). Oxygen diffusion rates were calculated using $R$ values of 1.15 for the ratio $K_O:K_E$ and 1.39 for the ratio $K_O:K_P$, where $K_E$ is the desorption coefficient for ethylene, $K_P$ is the desorption coefficient for propane, and $K_O$ is the absorption coefficient for oxygen. The calculation of gas-transfer coefficients measured by the floating dome method was, according to Slack and others (1973), adapted from Copeland and Duffer (1964).

The reaeration coefficient, in reciprocal hours, was converted to a gas-transfer rate using the following equation:

$$k = K_z (C_s - C),$$

where $k$ is the gas-transfer rate in grams per cubic meter per hour at a 100-percent saturation deficit;

$K_z$ is the reaeration coefficient, in reciprocal hours, calculated from hydrocarbon data;

$C_s$ is the average 100-percent saturation, in grams per cubic meter, possible during the experiment for water temperature encountered; and

$C$ is set at zero to reflect a 100-percent saturation deficit.

Reaeration coefficients for the disturbed equilibrium method were calculated using the equation

$$K_z = \frac{\log C_{sT} - C_i}{\log C_{sF} - C_f},$$

where $K_z$ is the reaeration coefficient in reciprocal hours;

$C_{sT}$ is the initial dissolved-oxygen saturation value;

$C_s$ is the initial dissolved-oxygen concentration; and

$\Delta T$ is the time period in hours.

The reaeration coefficient was then converted to a gas-transfer rate using equation 3.

**RESULTS**

Oxygen diffusion rates, in the two experimental tanks, measured using the floating dome method, the disturbed equilibrium method, and the hydrocarbon tracer method are presented in table 1. Predicted oxygen diffusion rates using the hydrocarbon tracer method are a maximum of 10 percent greater than the rate predicted by the disturbed equilibrium method for the Lab. 2 experiment. Rates predicted for the Outdoor 1 experiment using the hydrocarbon tracers range from 3 percent greater to 25 percent less than the rate predicted by the disturbed equilibrium method. There is less agreement between the hydrocarbon method and the disturbed equilibrium method for the remainder of the experiments. This variation is probably due to the lack of sampling for hydrocarbons and micro-Winkler titrations during nighttime periods. Most experiments were initiated in late morning and terminated early the next morning. This resulted in a reduced number of samples representing terminal hours of the experiment. Any analytical errors on these sam-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Time Interval (h)</th>
<th>Hydrocarbon tracer method</th>
<th>Disturbed equilibrium method</th>
<th>Floating dome method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab. 1</td>
<td>Initial 6</td>
<td>(1) 1.14</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 19</td>
<td>(1) 1.48</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab. 2</td>
<td>Initial 6</td>
<td>(1) 1.07 1.09 1.10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 21.5</td>
<td>1.04 1.13 1.03</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dome volume, 32.52 L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor 1</td>
<td>Initial 5</td>
<td>0.60 0.82 1.11 1.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 20</td>
<td>.59 .81 .78 .36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor 2</td>
<td>Initial 5</td>
<td>1.04 2.82 2.07 1.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial 8</td>
<td>--- --- 1.73 1.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor 2</td>
<td>Total 23.5</td>
<td>1.85 2.52 .68 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dome volume, 4.96 L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Not used.
2. Dome returned to a 100-percent saturation value 10 hours after experiment initiated.
ples would then assume greater significance in the calculation of reaeration rates.

There was poor agreement between oxygen diffusion rates measured using the floating dome method and the other methods for the first two experiments. In both of these experiments, a large-volume dome (32.52 L) was used over a small volume of water (49.6 L). With this condition, small errors in the determination of oxygen within the dome are translated into large errors in the predicted reaeration rate. A smaller dome (4.96 L) used over 49.8 L of water in the next series of experiments provided results more comparable with the range observed using the disturbed equilibrium and tracer methods (table 1).

Inherent in the dome method is the need to accurately measure the decrease in gaseous oxygen content within the dome atmosphere while simultaneously measuring the increase in dissolved oxygen within the water. Measured changes in the percent oxygen content in the dome atmosphere are used to calculate the total quantity of oxygen which diffused from the dome atmosphere into the water column. The problems in applying the dome method then involve accurately measuring the oxygen content within the dome atmosphere and minimizing the effect of instrument error in the calculation of the observed oxygen transported from the dome.

There are three sources of error in the use of a polarographic oxygen meter: Instrument component limitations, such as nonlinearity; oxygen sensor limitations, such as nonlinearity and membrane differences; and environmental variables, such as barometric pressure and relative humidity.

The manufacturer (Yellow Springs Instrument Co.) states that for the Model 54 system with all errors additive, the maximum error at 20°C would be ±0.59 ppm (parts per million) when using the meter scale of 0 to 10. The probability of all errors being additive is small; hence, the error accumulation is believed to be one-half of the maximum. The errors for the 0 to 20 scale would be about double those at the 0 to 10 scale.

There is little the user can do to eliminate errors due to instrument component limitations and limitations of the oxygen sensor. If care is given to the calibration and the use of the system, errors due to altitude, barometric pressure, and relative humidity can be eliminated and, according to the manufacturer, maximum error becomes ±0.32 ppm when using the meter scale of 0 to 10.

The effects of errors due to maximum instrument tolerances of ±0.3 and ±0.6 ppm were calculated using a hypothetical model based on previous experiments with the reaeration methods investigated. Model conditions consisted of a final oxygen saturation of 8.24 mg/L (milligrams per liter) at 25°C, initial dissolved oxygen deficit of 4.24 mg/L, barometric pressure of 101.3 kPa, and a water column volume of 50 L below the dome. Under these conditions, 162 milliliters of oxygen must be transported into the 50-L water column before saturation at 8.24 mg/L is reached. Calculations based on the two domes used in this study (volumes of 4.96 and 32.52 L) were included (table 2). It is evident that estimates made using a small-volume dome on deep water will be less affected by instrument errors than those using a large dome on shallow water. In general, the ratio of total dome volume to volume of the water column below the dome must be less than 0.021 if the maximum instrument error is to be less than 10 percent of the observed oxygen change within the dome atmosphere. The application of this principle to use of a dome containing 32.52 L, like the large dome used in this study, under conditions of the model indicated that the water depth below the dome be a minimum of 11 m.

Comparison of mean rates for oxygen diffusion measured using the hydrocarbon tracer and the disturbed equilibrium methods with those measured using the dome (table 3) illustrates the considerable overestimation of rates by the dome for Lab. 1 and Lab. 2 experiments and the first part of Outdoor 1. The two outdoor experiments were run using a dome with a volume of 4.96 L which reduced the effect of the maximum meter error and partially improved the agreement between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Ratio of</th>
<th>Water depth</th>
<th>Observed change</th>
<th>Error as percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dome</td>
<td>dome</td>
<td>volumes—</td>
<td>to satisfy</td>
<td>in oxygen meter</td>
<td>of observed change,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m²)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>dome to</td>
<td>model condition</td>
<td>to satisfy model</td>
<td>assuming meter error of—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>water column</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>conditions</td>
<td>0.3 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>1.072</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>2.094</td>
<td>0.0419</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.0922</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectangular:</td>
<td>32.52</td>
<td>0.0504</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.—Relationship of dome size and water column volume to observed changes in oxygen meter readings for model conditions.
the dome-measured reaeration rates and those of the hydrocarbon tracer and the disturbed equilibrium methods.

An attempt was made to calculate reaeration coefficients ($K_r$ of eqs 2 and 3) on an hourly basis from results of both domes. The large dome predicted a total transport of oxygen in excess of that necessary to saturate the water for the initial hour of the two laboratory experiments. Prediction of hourly rate coefficients gave more reasonable results when the 4.96 L dome was used.

Relative humidity and temperature were noted to have considerable influence on the oxygen meter and the probe performance. The probe used within the dome atmosphere was air calibrated at ambient relative humidity to a midscale value of 10 (0-20 scale) and was then placed inside the dome where the humidity reached 100 percent. As the probe was designed to be calibrated and operated at 100-percent humidity, any departure from that value results in an error in measurement. The manufacturer states that calibration at 50-percent relative humidity results in 0.12 ppm error at 20°C and 0.27 ppm error at 30°C. Observation indicates that this error is a negative error resulting in an underestimation of oxygen content within the dome atmosphere.

Although the probes are temperature compensated, it was observed that a 1.2°C rise in temperature of the dome atmosphere resulted in a 0.5- to 2.5-percent decrease in the apparent oxygen content of the dome as indicated on the oxygen meter. Conversely, a decrease in temperature within the dome atmosphere would result in an increase in the apparent oxygen content of the dome as indicated on the meter. This problem was overcome for Outdoor 2 by directing the output from the small submersible pump, which circulated water over the underwater probe membrane, to the dome surface, which maintained it at near water temperature. The laboratory experiments did not exhibit dome temperature fluctuations greater than 1°C. This would result in a maximum overestimation of 4-18 percent in the oxygen diffusion rate. During the Outdoor 1 experiment, the dome atmosphere experienced a 6.2°C rise in temperature in the initial 4 hours of the experiment. This could introduce a 32- to 160-percent overestimation of oxygen diffusion rates. Correcting the dome-estimated value for Outdoor 1 in table 1 by the maximum overestimation would place it at 1.01 (g/m³)/h, which is within the range of 0.60-1.11 (g/m³)/h as obtained by the other methods. The atmosphere in the water-cooled dome used in Outdoor 2 experienced a 2.3°C rise in temperature in the initial 5 hours. The corresponding increase in water temperature was 1.8°C. This could result in a 17- to 88-percent overestimation of oxygen diffusion rates. However, the dome-predicted rates for the experiment are underestimated with respect to the other methods by about 75 percent. If decreases in dome temperature are assumed to have the inverse effect as increased temperature, then the possible underestimation error resulting from the nighttime observed 2.6°C drop in dome temperature could be as high as 80 percent. Apparently, underestimation errors resulting from the cooler nighttime temperatures of November more than compensated for the overestimation caused by the warm afternoon temperatures.

## CONCLUSIONS

Preliminary evaluation of the floating dome method of measuring oxygen reaeration rates using a modified tracer technique and a disturbed equilibrium method demonstrated a variety of practical problems in the application of the dome method.

In theory, the dome method should produce realistic estimates under some environmental conditions. In practice, the limitations imposed by instrumental accuracy necessitate use of small-volume domes on deep water such that the ratio of dome volume to water column volume is less than 0.02. Additionally, the dome atmosphere must be maintained near the oxygen probe calibration temperature, and probe calibration must be done at 100-percent relative humidity.

The use of alternative methods to polarographic measurement of gaseous oxygen within the dome may solve many of the operational problems experienced in this evaluation. Gas chromatography has been successfully used to measure both gaseous and dissolved oxygen (Weiss and others, 1972; Park and Catalfo, 1964), but it is difficult to apply to remote field situations. The manometric technique of Scholander (1942) was also used by Copeland and Duffer (1964), and its
low cost and portability may lend it to field use (see Scholander and others, 1955).

Several environmental factors have been reported to limit application of the dome method. The dome must be used at night to avoid the greenhouse effect, or water cooling of the dome must be used to reduce internal heating. Wind and waves tend to move the dome around, factors which preclude its use on large open waters. Additionally, the water upon which the dome rests must be well mixed, and any oxygen demand (respiration) or oxygen production (photosynthesis) must be known.

The floating dome method for measuring oxygen diffusion rates has potential, but the use of current polarographic oxygen monitoring systems severely limits its application. Most of the environmental limitations may be circumvented by proper experimental design and equipment construction. Further work is needed in the application of alternative methods for the determination of gaseous oxygen within the dome, particularly the Scholander (1955) method. If its reported accuracy for oxygen analysis (±0.2 percent) can be obtained in the field and precise determinations made of dissolved oxygen using micro-Winkler titrations (±0.07 mg/L O₂) or polarographic techniques (±0.2 mg/L O₂), then the floating dome method could provide a usable field tool.
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