

MAGNETITE DEPOSITS AND MAGNETIC ANOMALIES
BRANDY BROOK AND SILVER POND BELTS, ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY, NEW YORK
By B. F. Leonard
1952

INTRODUCTION

This report sets forth the geologic and economic possibilities of the Brandy Brook and Silver Pond belts of magnetic anomalies, Cranberry Lake quadrangle, St. Lawrence County, northeastern New York. Each belt contains a massive magnetite deposit, partly explored through diamond drilling by the U.S. Bureau of Mines. The Brandy Brook Northwest deposit is a body of low-grade to medium-grade, possibly of alkalic origin. The Silver Pond deposit has no commercial interest at the present. One or more promising magnetic anomalies remain to be tested by drilling.

The magnetite deposits of the district have been discussed briefly by Buddington and Leonard (1944, 1945), who interpret the high-temperature replacement deposits genetically related to younger granite. Their maps show the geologic setting of the Brandy Brook and Silver Pond belts. Miller (1947) has summarized the exploratory work of the Bureau of Mines. Members of the U.S. Geological Survey logged drill cores and mapped critical parts of the area. Most of the mapping was done by H. F. Leonard and C. L. Rogers in the spring of 1945. The relation of the two belts to the regional magnetic picture may be seen in the aeromagnetic map of Bailey (Bailey et al., 1950).

The Brandy Brook and Silver Pond belts of magnetic anomalies, though not continuous, are closely related spatially and geologically. For that reason, the general geology of the belts is discussed before details of the separate belts are given. The map of the area (fig. 1) was compiled from unpublished magnetic maps (scale, 100 feet to 1 inch) of the Bureau of Mines, to which were tied piecemeal and plausible traverses of the Geological Survey. The contour system of the Bureau of Mines has been extended from the central portion to cover the entire mapped area. The Silver Pond and Brandy Brook Southwest anomalies have been adjusted to this net to give a reasonably accurate picture of the belts. Topographic profiles along the drill sections were not available for all the belts. In this report it has been necessary to use several place names that do not appear on the accompanying map (fig. 1). Readers unacquainted with the area are referred to the Cranberry Lake geologic map (available from the Chief of Distribution, U.S. Geological Survey, Washington 25, D.C., and from many stations) or the preliminary geologic maps of Buddington and Leonard (1944, 1945).

GENERAL GEOLOGY OF THE BELTS

The map (fig. 1) shows three belts of metasedimentary rocks and two of granitic rocks trending west-northwest across the northwest part of the area. Owing to the scarcity of outcrops, the geologic mapping is sketchy and the number, position, extent, and relation of the belts are uncertain. All the rocks are of old pre-Cambrian age. The metasediments belong to the Grenville series.

The Brandy Brook magnetic anomaly lies in the southern belt of metasediments, which comprise shales, quartzite, gneisses, and biotite gneisses, subordinate amounts of marble and amphibolite, and thin sheets of granite. This belt, of unknown width, extends through the Mountain Flow and Aldrich Swamp, crosses Silver Pond near its south end, and underlies the abandoned Empire mill on the west side of the pond. Only the more resistant rocks—quartzite, gneisses, amphibolite, and biotite gneisses—were mapped.

Rocks of the middle belt do not crop out within the mapped area but were cut in drill holes on the Brandy Brook Northwest anomaly. They are of the same general type as those in the southern belt. Their extension to the southeast is inferred from topographic evidence in the form of a swale, about 700 feet wide, that crosses Highway N.Y. 3, about 100 feet southeast of the half-mile road leading to the lake on the Gilbert Tract.

The northern belt, consisting of magnetite biotite gneiss, is exposed along the highway half a mile northeast of the road. This belt has a minimum width of 300 feet; it may be 1000 feet or more wide. The northern and central belts may be parts of a single belt, as the pink granite shows separating them on the map is of unknown thickness. The southern and central belts may be parts of a single belt, as the pink granite shows separating them on the map is of unknown thickness. The southern and central belts may be parts of a single belt, as the pink granite shows separating them on the map is of unknown thickness.

The granite between the Brandy Brook and Silver Pond belts of Graniteville is pink, weakly foliated, and in part with small nests of red granite. East of Silver Pond it is medium-grained, west of the pond it is predominantly fine-grained and has several percent of disseminated magnetite. Locally, very thin portions of biotite gneiss, in some places accompanied by pink soda granite, are present in the alaskite.

The structural relations of the various belts are uncertain. Though the same types of metamorphism are present in both the Brandy Brook and Silver Pond belts, no single unit or succession of units can be established to establish the identity of the two sequences. If the sequences are structurally equivalent, the most reasonable interpretation is that the granite between the Brandy Brook belt (represented the southwest (upright) line), and the Silver Pond belt the northeast (upright) line, of a syncline that is overturned to the northeast.

The Brandy Brook Southwest anomaly contains two long strips within the 20° isoclinal and an insignificant "bead" between them. The strips are arranged tandem, the northwest strip is 1300 feet long, 30 to 110 feet wide, and has two small areas within the 40° isoclinal. The peak of this anomaly was tested by hole B9. Here the overturn is probably shallow, and it increases rapidly to the southwest. The southeast strip is 1,000 feet long and 40 to 100 feet wide. The northwest strip is 1300 feet long, 30 to 110 feet wide, and has two small areas within the 40° isoclinal. The peak of this anomaly was tested by hole B9. Here the overturn is probably shallow, and it increases rapidly to the southwest. The southeast strip is 1,000 feet long and 40 to 100 feet wide.

Throughout the length of the Brandy Brook belt of anomalies, the magnetic profile is steeper on the northeast side than on the southwest. The steepness of the northeast side of the Brandy Brook Northwest anomaly is represented by a negative anomaly, of intensity greater than -10%, that runs along part of the northeast side of the positive anomaly. The negative anomaly does not appear on figure 1 because of the 20° contour interval adopted for that map. The asymmetry of the magnetic profile has been taken by note to indicate that the zone dips southwest. This view is totally unsupported by the drilling data so far.

Four main rock units or zones were cut in drilling the Brandy Brook belt (see fig. 1). These are, from the surface downward: alaskite, felsic gneisses, shales (the host of the ore), and felsic gneisses with contaminated granite. All the units are continuous except the felsic gneisses above the shales. These form a lens cut by holes B4, B5, and B6.

The alaskite is pink, medium-grained, and homogeneous, with an extremely faint foliation. It contains specific areas of red granite and a few thin, scattered layers or schlieren of metasediments. A pink soda granite is probably secondary.

Pyrroxene is the principal granitic mineral. In places, small amounts of calcite, feldspar, scapolite, apatite, and fluorite are also present.

Magnetite is usually accompanied by small amounts of quartz, pyrite with a very little chloritoid. Pyrrhotite is present locally. The quantity of sulfide ranges from nil to about 10 percent; it averages perhaps 3 to 4 percent. Some thin layers in hole B3 carry as much as 75 percent sulfides. One portion was rich in chloritoid (see table 2, footnote 21).-219.8, actually, most of the chloritoid was concentrated in less than 1 foot of this sample interval. Ten percent of biotite lamellae accompanies magnetite in some places, particularly where sulfide is present in marble. This biotite is probably secondary.

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

The map (fig. 1) shows a small, isolated magnetic "hot spot", centered at (10,400 N, 10,100 E), detached from the main Brandy Brook Northwest anomaly. The "hot spot" was drilled by hole B9, which passed successively through alaskite, ore-bearing shales, and a thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses. The apparent thickness and probably the true thickness of shales and ore in hole B9 is about equal to the true thickness of corresponding members cut in hole B1. The dip of the foliation ranges from 70° N to vertical (see section through hole B9). From 481 to 211.2 feet, hole B9 cut bedded, chloritized, hematite-stained, calcite-veined rock that had to be ground repeatedly.

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

the southeast. Alaskite occupies the axial zone of this structure. If the lineation is a fair guide, the axis of the inferred syncline is roughly parallel to the trace of the limbs and (in the neighborhood of Silver Pond) plunges 10° to 25° ESE. The interpretation of the structure as an overturned syncline is suggested by the somewhat gentler dip of the Brandy Brook "limb" as compared with the steeper dip of the Silver Pond "limb". It seems to be confirmed by the downward flattening of the dip in rocks of the Brandy Brook belt (see fig. 1).

Additional geologic mapping must be done before the structure enclosing the Brandy Brook Southwest anomaly can be understood.

BRANDY BROOK BELT

LOCATION, ACCESS, AND OVERVIEW

The Brandy Brook belt of magnetic anomalies begins at the mouth of Brandy Brook, in the northeast rectangle of the Cranberry Lake quadrangle, and extends roughly N. 55° W. for about 12,000 feet to a point 500 feet south-east of a prominent curve in Highway N.Y. 3. The curve is 0.7 mile to the east of Cranberry Lake village. The belt may be divided for convenience into three parts, with two principal areas of magnetic highs at the ends and an almost neutral area in the middle.

The Brandy Brook Northwest anomaly lies on the Gilbert Tract of the Newnan Falls Paper Mill. A ballistoid road, leaving Highway N.Y. 3 at the curve noted above, runs along the north side of the anomaly. (Only the west end of this road appears on fig. 1.) The anomaly passes through a saddle on hill 1660 feet topographic map of Cranberry Lake quadrangle and continues southward down the hill to Aldrich Swamp.

The Brandy Brook Southwest anomaly is a low ground east and west of the Clifton-Colton town line. It may be reached by an old road running through Aldrich Swamp, or by boat from Cranberry Lake. The State of New York owns this block of land and holds the mineral rights for the part east of the town line. The Shenando Furnace Co. holds the mineral rights for the part west of the town line. The block lies within the Adirondack State Park. When the State of New York holds the mineral rights for any land within the Park, the existing law forbids exploration or development of the minerals on that land.

Here the magnetic anomaly is very weak and of no commercial interest.

DISCOVERY AND EXPLORATION

The Brandy Brook belt was first tested in the winter of 1944 during a reconnaissance magnetic survey made by the U.S. Bureau of Mines under the Clifton-Colton town line. Compass deflections along the line were noted by Benjamin Rogers in 1939 during his original subdivision of Macaulay's Great Tract into townships. The U.S. Geological Survey recommended reconnaissance dip-measurements along the line and directed its continuation southward to the belt of metasediments, where the Brandy Brook belt of anomalies was then discovered. A detailed dip-measurements and dip-compass survey of the belt was made by the Bureau of Mines in 1944, and nine diamond-drill holes were bored by that organization in 1944 and 1945. The neighboring Silver Pond (Brandy Brook Southwest) anomaly was mapped by the Bureau of Mines, and three holes were drilled in it in 1945. The Bureau's work has been summarized by Miller (1947). The results of geologic mapping and core studies by the Geological Survey are presented with the magnetic data in figure 1 hereafter. Graphic logs of the drill holes are shown in figure 3.

The Brandy Brook Northwest anomaly has three substantial strips, arranged tandem, within the 20° isoclinal. The most important strip is 2,200 feet long and generally 100 feet wide. The closed 40° isoclinal within it is 1,300 feet long and 40 to 50 feet wide. This contains four elongate areas within 60° isoclinal. Their aggregate length is 950 feet. Two shorter strips within the 20° isoclinal, each 400 to 500 feet long, are present between the 40° isoclinal and 13,150 E. Each of these has a length of 200 to 250 feet within the 40° isoclinal. An isolated "hot spot" is located at (10,400 N, 10,100 E) and an insignificant strip of 420° readings, 200 feet long, centers at (8,400 N, 11,150 E). Overturn south the Brandy Brook Northwest anomaly is relatively shallow, perhaps averaging 20 feet. Five of the right grade on the anomaly were drilled.

The Brandy Brook Southwest anomaly contains two long strips within the 20° isoclinal and an insignificant "bead" between them. The strips are arranged tandem, the northwest strip is 1300 feet long, 30 to 110 feet wide, and has two small areas within the 40° isoclinal. The peak of this anomaly was tested by hole B9. Here the overturn is probably shallow, and it increases rapidly to the southwest. The southeast strip is 1,000 feet long and 40 to 100 feet wide. The northwest strip is 1300 feet long, 30 to 110 feet wide, and has two small areas within the 40° isoclinal. The peak of this anomaly was tested by hole B9. Here the overturn is probably shallow, and it increases rapidly to the southwest. The southeast strip is 1,000 feet long and 40 to 100 feet wide.

The structural relations of the various belts are uncertain. Though the same types of metamorphism are present in both the Brandy Brook and Silver Pond belts, no single unit or succession of units can be established to establish the identity of the two sequences. If the sequences are structurally equivalent, the most reasonable interpretation is that the granite between the Brandy Brook belt (represented the southwest (upright) line), and the Silver Pond belt the northeast (upright) line, of a syncline that is overturned to the northeast.

The Brandy Brook Southwest anomaly contains two long strips within the 20° isoclinal and an insignificant "bead" between them. The strips are arranged tandem, the northwest strip is 1300 feet long, 30 to 110 feet wide, and has two small areas within the 40° isoclinal. The peak of this anomaly was tested by hole B9. Here the overturn is probably shallow, and it increases rapidly to the southwest. The southeast strip is 1,000 feet long and 40 to 100 feet wide. The northwest strip is 1300 feet long, 30 to 110 feet wide, and has two small areas within the 40° isoclinal. The peak of this anomaly was tested by hole B9. Here the overturn is probably shallow, and it increases rapidly to the southwest. The southeast strip is 1,000 feet long and 40 to 100 feet wide.

Throughout the length of the Brandy Brook belt of anomalies, the magnetic profile is steeper on the northeast side than on the southwest. The steepness of the northeast side of the Brandy Brook Northwest anomaly is represented by a negative anomaly, of intensity greater than -10%, that runs along part of the northeast side of the positive anomaly. The negative anomaly does not appear on figure 1 because of the 20° contour interval adopted for that map. The asymmetry of the magnetic profile has been taken by note to indicate that the zone dips southwest. This view is totally unsupported by the drilling data so far.

Four main rock units or zones were cut in drilling the Brandy Brook belt (see fig. 1). These are, from the surface downward: alaskite, felsic gneisses, shales (the host of the ore), and felsic gneisses with contaminated granite. All the units are continuous except the felsic gneisses above the shales. These form a lens cut by holes B4, B5, and B6.

The alaskite is pink, medium-grained, and homogeneous, with an extremely faint foliation. It contains specific areas of red granite and a few thin, scattered layers or schlieren of metasediments. A pink soda granite is probably secondary.

Pyrroxene is the principal granitic mineral. In places, small amounts of calcite, feldspar, scapolite, apatite, and fluorite are also present.

Magnetite is usually accompanied by small amounts of quartz, pyrite with a very little chloritoid. Pyrrhotite is present locally. The quantity of sulfide ranges from nil to about 10 percent; it averages perhaps 3 to 4 percent. Some thin layers in hole B3 carry as much as 75 percent sulfides. One portion was rich in chloritoid (see table 2, footnote 21).-219.8, actually, most of the chloritoid was concentrated in less than 1 foot of this sample interval. Ten percent of biotite lamellae accompanies magnetite in some places, particularly where sulfide is present in marble. This biotite is probably secondary.

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).

To satisfy the positions of the anomalies and the results of drilling, the fault must strike just a little east of north. This raises the question why a very thick sequence of fossiliferous gneisses was cut by hole B9, that is, why the ore zone was not cut twice. If the fault has a moderate amount of dip, all relations appear to be satisfied. However, a vertical dip seems more likely, judging from the nature of known Adirondack faults. This will not explain the fault, cut the ore zone twice, unless the drill hole was deflected westward after intersecting the broken zone. With the bearings of the hole and the hypothetical fault meeting at an angle of 30 to 40° in such a horizontal deflection seems not only reasonable but necessary. As far as the writer knows, the inclination of the bottom of hole B9 was not checked, and

The facts can be interpreted in several ways: sharp swing in foliation from northeast to north and extreme thinning of rock units beneath the "hot spot", sharp right-angle or zigzag fold that will minimize the same thickness for the units and gives them a northwesterly strike beneath the "hot spot", fault of unknown direction and displacement passing through the broken zone in foliolar folds and giving the ore zone an apparent horizontal displacement of approximately 200 feet. The hypotheses cannot be developed in detail in this report. The assumption of a fault fix ore facts and raises fewer questions. For that reason, it has been favored in preparing the geologic map (fig. 1).