MINERAL RESOURCE POTENTIAL OF THE COHUTTA WILDERNESS AND THE HEMP TOP ROADLESS AREA, NORTHERN GEORGIA AND SOUTHEASTERN TENNESSEE
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STUDIES RELATED TO WILDERNESS

Under the provisions of the Wilderness Act (Public Law 88-577, September 3, 1964) and the Joint Conference Report on Senate Bill 4, 88th Congress, the U.S. Geological Survey and the U.S. Bureau of Mines have been conducting mineral surveys of wilderness and primitive areas. Areas officially surveyed constitute one aspect of the suitability studies. The act provided that areas under consideration for wilderness designation should be studied for suitability for incorporation into the Wilderness System. The mineral surveys constitute one aspect of the suitability studies. The act directs that the results of such surveys are to be made available to the public and to be submitted to the President and to the Congress. This report discusses the results of a mineral survey of the Cohutta Wilderness and the Hemp Top Roadless Area in the Chattahoochee National Forest, Fannin, Gilmer, and Murray Counties, Georgia, and the Cherokee National Forest, Polk County, Tennessee. The Cohutta Wilderness was established by Public Law 88-577, September 3, 1964. Hemp Top Roadless Area was classified as a further planning area during the Second Roadless Area Review and Evaluation (RARE II) by the U.S. Forest Service, January 1979.

SUMMARY

The Cohutta Wilderness and the Hemp Top Roadless Area have minor occurrences of metallic minerals, but no known resource potential for such minerals in the foreseeable future, judging by available data, and only a very minor potential for stone for aggregate, road construction, and similar nondimension use. The rocks of the Ducktown massive sulfide district to the northeast do not appear to extend into the study area, and geochemical data derived from samples of rock, soil, and alluvium do not reveal any anomalously high concentrations of trace elements that could be representative of hidden mineral deposits. Sedimentary rocks underlying the metamorphic rocks exposed at the surface have an unknown potential for hydrocarbons in the form of natural gas. No reasonable estimate of the potential can be made until some test drilling is done in the area.

INTRODUCTION

The Cohutta Wilderness and the Hemp Top Roadless Area are in the western part of the Blue Ridge physiographic province of northern Georgia and southeastern Tennessee. Except for about 1,450 acres at the northern extremity of the Cohutta Wilderness, all of the study area is in Georgia. The area of Cohutta Wilderness is 34,850 acres; that of Hemp Top is 2,580 acres. The study area is in rugged mountainous terrain, a strongly dissected upland ranging in altitude from about 980 to 4,200 ft (300 to 1,200 m) above sea level. Local altitude differences of 1,450-2,000 ft and slopes steeper than 25 degrees are common. The north end of the Cohutta Wilderness is about 6 mi south of the Ocoee River gorge, 10 mi west-southwest of the major copper- and sulfur-producing district at Ducktown, Tenn., and about 22 mi southeast of Cleveland, Tenn. (about 5 mi west of the junction of U.S. Highways 411 and 64, fig. 1). The eastern, central, and northwestern parts of the wilderness are drained by Jacks River and its tributaries; the southwestern part by the Conasauga River and its tributaries; and the Hemp Top Roads Area, by a study area was done in the Great Smoky Mountains (King and others, 1958; Hamilton, 1962; Hadley and Woods, 1970; Merschat and Wiener, 1978). Field trip guides for the Ocoee River gorge were prepared by Hurst and Schlee (1962) and Wiener and Merschat (1978). Only a study by Mellen (1956) was done directly in parts of the wilderness. The definitive work on the Ocoee Supergroup—the rocks underlying the study area—was done in the Great Smoky Mountains (King and others, 1958; Hamilton, 1962; Hadley and Goldsmith, 1963; King, 1964; Neuman and Nelson, 1965). Mineral resource studies in the vicinity of the wilderness include reports on base-metal sulfides, gold, iron and manganese, sulfur, and talc (Ashley, 1911; Furcron, 1940; Furcron and others, 1947; Hale, 1974; Heseltine, 1924; Hull and others, 1919; Hurst and Crawford, 1970; Kinkel and others, 1968; Magee, 1966; Maher, 1964; and Rove, 1926).
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Figure 1.—Index map showing location of Cohutta Wilderness and Hemp Top Roadless Area.
Present Work

G. C. Gazdik and M. L. Dunn, Jr., assisted by P. T. Behum and V. P. Girol, made field studies for the U.S. Bureau of Mines (USBM) in the spring and fall of 1977, and in the spring of 1979, collecting 61 rock samples and 17 panned (heavy-mineral) concentrates of stream sediment for spectrographic, atomic-absorption, and fire-assay analyses and for determinations of graphite and uranium oxide ($UO_2$) (Gazdik and Dunn, 1982). Analyses were performed at the Bureau of Mines, Reno Research Center, Reno, Nev. The Bureau of Mines, Reno Research Center, Tuscaloosa, Ala., evaluated four samples of clay and phylite for ceramic properties and blooting characteristics.

J. E. Gair and J. F. Slack, assisted at various times by Richard Ketelle, A. L. Gross, Donald Henry, Ann Stevenson, Thomas Haney, Gregory Morley, and Timothy Muzik, did field work for the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in the spring and fall of 1977 and 1978, and in the fall of 1979. They prepared a reconnaissance geologic map and collected 390 rock-chip and quartz-vein samples, 405 soil samples, and 531 samples of fine-grained stream sediment (Gair and Slack, 1982; Gair, 1982). The samples were analyzed spectrographically and by means of atomic absorption at the USGS laboratories, Denver, Colo. (for Cohutta Wilderness data, see Siems and others, 1979).

Surface- and Mineral-Rights Ownership

In the Cohutta Wilderness and the Hemp Top Roadless Area all surface rights and about 81 percent of the mineral rights are owned by the U.S. Government. Mineral rights in about 19 percent of the area—6,444 acres in the Cohutta Wilderness and 160 acres in the Hemp Top Roadless Area—are held in perpetuity by private interests (fig. 2). In 1930, large blocks of land were purchased by the U.S. Government from several lumber companies for inclusion in the Chattahoochee National Forest. Some of the land, now part of the area covered by this report, has mineral rights held by a third party. Legal records of these early transactions are often covered by this report, has mineral rights held by a third party. Legal records of these early transactions are often.

No prospecting permits have been issued by the U.S. Forest Service, and no records of previous mining or prospecting in the study area were found.

GEOLGY

The Cohutta Wilderness and the Hemp Top Roadless Area are underlain by sedimentary rocks of the Ocoee Supergroup of Proterozoic Y(3) and Z age. Rock units are similar to those in the adjacent Big Frog Wilderness Study Area (Slack and others, in press). The thickness of Ocoee rocks in the area is probably not less than 6,500 ft (2,000 m), but because of complicated structure and a lack of horizon markers, no approximation of actual thickness can be made. The Ocoee in its type area in the Great Smoky Mountains is 29,000 ft or more thick. Two major subdivisions of the Ocoee, the Snowbird and Great Smokey Groups, are represented by the rocks of the study area. Most of these rocks are slightly metamorphosed graywackes, anfite, sandstone, shale (grading to argillite, slate, and phylite), siltstone, and pebbly conglomerate. The Snowbird Group consists almost entirely of dark-green and dark-gray to black (carbonaceous) meta shale and metasiltstone. Pyrite cubs are abundant in some zones in the Snowbird rocks. The Great Smoky is composed of mixtures of all the rock types of the area, including thin layers similar to rocks in the Snowbird Group. The different kinds of rock are interbedded in layers of less than a foot to hundreds of feet thick. Veins of barren white vein quartz commonly cut the metasedimentary rocks. Metasedimentary beds are lenticular and change substantially in thickness over short distances. Sedimentational features of the rocks suggest deep-water deposition and the action of local turbidity currents during deposition. Repetitions of similar lithologies are commonplace. Because of the lensing of lithologies in rock sequences and the recurrence of similar lithologies at different stratigraphic levels, no beds have been recognizable as horizon markers within the Great Smoky Group. Lithostratigraphic units that have been mapped are defined only as zones of dominant lithologies (Gair and Slack, 1982, maps A and B). The major rock units are interpreted to be separated in places by large thrust faults (Gair and Slack, 1982, map B; and mineral resource potential map accompanying this report). Large folds overturned to the west-northwest occur along the eastern side of the area. The Snowbird and Great Smokey Groups are separated by the major Greenbrier fault in the north part and across much of the west side of the Cohutta Wilderness (Gair and Slack, 1982, map B). Within rocks in the Great Smoky Group, dominantly sandy and arkosic rocks are named the Panther Bluff Formation. In the study area, this formation extends into the Big Frog Wilderness Study Area to the north. The Panther Bluff appears to be overlain by the Boyd Gap Formation, which is composed of interbedded sandy, shaly, silty, and conglomeratic rocks.

GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY

No metallic deposits are known in the Cohutta Wilderness or the Hemp Top Roadless Area. In our reconnaissance geochemical sampling we found no evidence of mineral deposits or significant mineralization in the area. Scattered high-background concentrations and some slightly anomalous concentrations of trace elements suggest the presence of minor amounts of metal-bearing detrital minerals in the metasedimentary rocks or in small veins.

The geochemical evaluation is based on the trace-element content of 291 samples of rock (chips), 379 samples of soil, and 207 samples of stream sediment collected by the USBM in the Cohutta Wilderness; on the trace-element content of 19, 26, and 24 samples, respectively, of similar materials from the Hemp Top Roadless Area (Gair, 1982, figs. 2-4); and on the trace-element content of 40 samples of rock and 16 panned concentrates of stream sediment collected by the USGS. Trace-element data from the wilderness have been listed by Siems and others (1979). Only one geochemically anomalous area has been identified, in the northeast part of the Cohutta Wilderness where high-background concentrations of cobalt and nickel occur in the same general area in samples or rock, soil, and stream sediment (fig. 3). The anomaly is not related to known mineralization and probably does not reflect any significant mineralization in near-surface rocks of the anomalous area.

Trace-element concentrations in the USGS samples are mostly within background ranges established by the USGS data. High concentrations are found in a few scattered vein-quartz samples collected by the USBM, but values are only slightly higher than the geochemical background of the country rock and are not considered significant. Quartz veins of the study area are essentially barren, and their background trace-element abundances are generally lower than those of surrounding rocks (Gair, 1982, table 2). Three panned-concentrate samples were collected in the western part of the wilderness by the USGS. One or two of these samples contain concentrations of beryllium, chromium, lead, nickel, and thorium. Highly magnetic splits of the three samples, separated from the original samples by hand magnet, contain concentrations of tin (Gair, 1982, figs. 4, 9). A few of the other types of samples from the same general area also have small tin concentrations.

OTHER EVALUATIONS

Seven samples of carbonaceous rocks (phylite, slate, siltstone) were analyzed for free carbon (graphite), and 12 rock samples were analyzed for uranium ($UO_2$) in USGS laboratories. The highest carbon content measured was 2.6 percent, and the samples analyzed for uranium contained no detectable $U_3O_8$. 
Figure 2.—Map of mineral-rights ownership, Cohutta Wilderness and Hemp Top Roadless Area. Shaded areas have privately owned mineral rights; blank areas have mineral rights held by the U.S. Government. North-south and east-west boundaries of mineral rights areas are based on Georgia land district system.
MINERAL RESOURCE POTENTIAL

Rocks in the study area have marginal potential for crushed stone and for raw materials for ceramic products and lightweight aggregate. The potential for tin resources is considered slight because of the low tin concentrations in the crushed stone and for raw materials for ceramic products and or mineralogical evidence was obtained to support accounts of a few local residents that once silver and tin were produced from placer deposits in Murray County, Ga. The source of the Murray County deposits is gold-bearing quartz veins in Fort Mountain Gneiss of Furcron and others (1947), which unconformably underlies the Ocoee Supergroup rocks. Gold has also been produced along Coker Creek and several of its tributaries 19 mi northeast of the area in Monroe County, Tenn. The source of the Coker Creek deposits is gold-bearing quartz veins and disseminated gold in the Ocoee Supergroup (Ashley, 1911; Rave, 1926). According to a local resident, gold was prospected for by means of hydraulic methods on a small tributary of Hickory Creek in the western part of the wilderness prior to establishment of the national forest. This prospect could not be found during the present study. A panned-concentrate sample from Hickory Creek near the reported prospect contains no detectable gold.

All samples collected by the USGS and the USBM in the Cohutta Wilderness and the Hemp Top Roadless Area were analyzed for gold. Most contain no detectable gold; 14 samples contain detectable but unmeasurable amounts of gold; and only 10 rock samples, 6 soil samples, 6 stream-sediment samples, and 2 panned-concentrate samples contain measurable amounts of gold—traces (less than 0.1 ppm) in all samples except four samples of fine-grained stream sediment, the two of panned concentrates, one of rock, and one of soil (Gair, 1982, table 5). In comparison with the evident lack of gold in hundreds of samples, even the small amounts of gold in the relatively few samples in which gold has been detected can be considered anomalous. Such gold-bearing samples are generally widely scattered in the area, and because of their wide spacing, each gold-bearing sample probably represents an isolated gold anomaly and probably an isolated occurrence of gold mineralization. It is not possible from our data to estimate the possible extent of gold mineralization in the area adjacent to the gold-bearing samples. The gold concentration in the stream-sediment samples is generally low simply because these few samples just happen to be relatively far from their source rocks. A very high gold content of 1.5 ppm found in one panned-concentrate sample collected by the USBM, but not repeated in a barren panned concentrate from the same locality, probably is derived from a gold-bearing quartz vein. The actual size of such a vein and the grade of gold in the vein are conjectural. The likely small size of most gold-bearing veins in the area and the wide spacing between them, and the likelihood of low gold content in most occurrences suggest virtually no potential for gold resources in the wilderness under foreseeable economic conditions. Verification of this rather tentative conclusion or a more optimistic determination of the potential for gold resources would require closely-spaced collecting and analysis of many additional samples from the vicinity of the sites from which the gold-bearing samples were collected during this study.
Figure 3.—Areas of overlapping high-background concentrations of cobalt and nickel in rock, soil, and stream-sediment samples.
DESCRIPTION OF MAP UNITS

Ocoee Supergroup (Proterozoic Z and Y?)

Great Smoky Group (Proterozoic Z)

Boyd Gap Formation, upper part—Fine- to coarse-grained metasandstone, metagraywacke, meta-arkose, and quartz-pebble metaconglomerate

Boyd Gap Formation, lower part—Interbedded metasandstone, metagraywacke, meta-arkose, dark metasiltstone, and green, dark-gray, or black (graphitic) metashale grading to phyllite and slate. Disseminated pyrrhotite and pyrite common. Lensoid thickening and thinning of the different lithologies common

Panther Bluff Formation—Fine- to coarse-grained metasandstone, lesser amounts of metasiltstone, and minor interbedded gray metashale and metaconglomerate

Snowbird Group, undivided (Proterozoic Z and (or) Y)—Laminated and massive, poorly bedded, gray, dark-gray, and black (graphitic) metashale and slaty metasiltstone. Pyrite zones common and characteristically contain pyrite cubes or weathered-out pyrite molds, 1/2-1 in. in diameter

Areas of overlapping high-background concentrations of cobalt and nickel in rock, soil, and stream-sediment samples

Stream-sediment and soil samples
Stream-sediment and rock samples
Stream-sediment, rock, and soil samples
Rock and soil samples

Contact

Normal fault

Thrust fault—Sawteeth are on upper plate

Anticline

Syncline

Overturned anticline—Showing generalized trace of axial plane at land surface and inferred plunge direction of fold axis

Overturned syncline—Showing generalized trace of axial plane at land surface and plunge direction of fold axis, where inferred
Table 1.—Analyses for iron and (or) manganese

[Analyzed for iron and manganese by means of atomic absorption methods, for aluminum and silicon by means of semiquantitative spectrographic methods, and for phosphorus by means of X-ray fluorescence; all analyses performed by USGS, Reno Metallurgy Center, Reno, Nev.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Fe Percent</th>
<th>Mn Percent</th>
<th>P Percent</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description of sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B 407</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hickory Ridge, Cohutta Wilderness</td>
<td>Iron-enriched material from prospect pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 441</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Near Popular Camp Creek, Cohutta Wilderness Area</td>
<td>Iron-oxide replacement of slate float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 1</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Green property, Doogan Mountain area</td>
<td>Nodules in soil on sides of trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Green property, Doogan Mountain area</td>
<td>Pockets of friable manganese-oxides in clay from sides of trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Green property, Doogan Mountain area</td>
<td>Manganiferous iron-oxide nodules from side of large pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Powell property, Doogan Mountain area</td>
<td>Manganese-rich material from pockets in iron deposits, sample B 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 5</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Powell property, Doogan Mountain area</td>
<td>Massive iron-rich deposit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 For localities of samples B 407 and B 441 in the Cohutta Wilderness, see Gair, 1982, fig. 2.
Iron and manganese—Small amounts of iron and associated manganese were produced in the early 1900s at Doogan Mountain on the west side of the Alaculsa Valley 2.5 mi west of the wilderness boundary (Watson, 1908). The iron deposits are similar to brown iron ore deposits in nearby parts of Georgia and Tennessee and appear to have formed by replacing argillaceous metasedimentary rocks within the zone of a major thrust fault bordering the Alaculsa Valley. Iron silicate minerals and iron sulfide disseminated in the “normal” Ocoee rocks of the area presumably were the source of the iron. Analyses of samples from the Doogan deposits, and the availability of much larger and higher grade ores are small and the amounts of undesirable components—silica, phosphorus, and alumina—are consistently too high compared with ores of iron and manganese more readily available elsewhere.

Concentrations of iron oxide similar in appearance and grade and in general types of source rocks to those at Doogan Mountain were found at two localities in the study area (table 1; Gair, 1982, fig. 2). These occurrences are on or close to major inferred faults (Gair and Slack, 1982, Map B) and we infer that there may be similar small concentrations of iron and manganese elsewhere in the area along faults cutting the Ocoee rocks. Because of the variable content of iron and manganese in such occurrences, the presence of undesirable constituents, the small size and sporadic distribution of deposits, and the availability of much larger and higher grade deposits elsewhere, the study area is considered to have no resource potential for iron or manganese deposits of this type.

Iron has been produced at Ducktown, Tenn., from gossan formed by the weathering of massive-sulfide deposits and as a by-product of copper production. The deposits are small and the amounts of undesirable components—silica, phosphorus, and alumina—are consistently too high compared with ores of iron and manganese more readily available elsewhere.

Graphite—Despite the widespread occurrence of graphitic rocks in the Ocoee Supergroup, the carbon content of such rocks in the study area is low and the resource potential for graphite is nil.

Uranium—The absence of detectable U3O8 in analyzed samples and the lack of any other evidence of uranium deposits indicate that the study area has no resource potential for uranium.

Talc—Talc deposits are known at Fort Mountain, 7.5 mi southwest of the Cohutta Wilderness. The deposits are associated with rocks not present in the wilderness, and no talc-like material was found in the study area. The study area is considered to have no potential for talc.

Oil and gas—Recent seismic studies (Cook and others, 1979) indicate that the Blue Ridge in North Carolina contains a thick sequence of sedimentary rocks 3,000-15,000 ft thick, below a layer of metamorphic rocks 4,000-45,000 ft thick. These metamorphic rocks, of which those of the Cohutta Wilderness are a part, evidently have been moved 100 mi or more up and over the younger, non-metamorphosed sedimentary rocks. The sedimentary rocks have an unknown potential for hydrocarbons. The depths at which the sedimentary rocks occur and the temperatures implicit in such depths suggest that any hydrocarbons present would be in the form of natural gas (Cook and others, 1979, p. 568). The chances of finding concentrations of such gas are problematical. Although no reasonable estimate of Alfa gas potential can be made until deep test drilling is done, the presence of gas cannot be totally discounted.
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