



Map Showing Cerium Concentrations from Stream Sediments and Soils Throughout the Humboldt River Basin and Surrounding Areas, Northern Nevada

Cerium (Ce), identified as an element of interest within the Humboldt River basin, is a lithophile element of the Lanthanide group, also known as light rare-earth metals or rare earth elements (REE). Cerium commonly exists in accessory minerals such as monazite (CeLa₂Si₂PO₄)₆ and bastnaesite (CeFeCO₃, cerite is a cerium silicate), and samarskite (a niobate and tantalite of cerium and yttrium). Monazite, usually occurring in small quantities, is a common accessory mineral in granites, gneisses, apatites, and pegmatites.

Globally, the concentration of cerium is most enriched in acid volcanic rocks (45 to 250 ppm) and intermediate igneous rocks (60 to 160 ppm) (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 1992) and averages about 60 ppm for continental crustal rocks (Taylor, 1964). Cerium concentrations in the Humboldt River basin range from 4 to 945 ppm. Areas of anomalous concentrations of cerium may provide areas to explore for rare-earth deposits (Hodrick, 1999). The toxicity of cerium and its fate and transport in the environment are not well known.

Construction of thematic maps
The thematic map is a useful format for representing the regional variation in geochemical concentration between samples. The approach used for each data set was to (a) transform every concentration to the logarithm of the concentration for the element and (b) calculate the mean and standard deviation of the log-transformed data. Element concentrations are now expressed as a logarithm and are classified by standard deviations above or below the mean. The standard deviation category for each sample is indicated by a color symbol. Samples with standard deviations below the mean were assigned the "cool" hues of blues and greens, and samples with standard deviations above the mean were assigned the "warm" hues of gold, orange, and red.

A small geochemistry map (fig. 4) was generated from the data using a Geosoft software version of the minimum-curvature algorithm (Briggs, 1974; Wehring, 1981) is useful in fitting a surface to closely spaced and gradually varying data while interpolating smoothly between widely spaced data. Data gaps, while conservatively interpolated, may occasionally allow the surface to overshoot or undershoot. Contour intervals on the thematic map are calculated from the minimum curvature grid values and provide an indicator of the generalized spatial continuity of geochemical trends. Contour lines (in brown) left unclosed reflect the sparseness of data available in these areas.

References
Briggs, Ian C., 1974, Machine contouring using minimum curvature: *Geophysics*, v. 39, no. 1, p. 39-48.
Briggs, P.H., 1996, Forty elements by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry, in: *Analytical methods manual for the Mineral Resource Surveys Program*, U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-525, p. 77-94.
Folger, H.W., 2000, Analytical results and sample locations of reanalyzed NURE stream-sediment and soil samples for the Humboldt River basin Mineral-Environmental Resource Assessment, northern Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-421, 491 p.
Hodrick, J.B., 1999, Rare Earths: U.S. Geological Survey Minerals Yearbook, p. 61.1-61.5.
Kabata-Pendias, Alina, and Pendias, Henryk, 1992, Trace elements in soils and plants-Second edition: CRC Press, 365 p.
King, H.D., Fey, D.L., Matooka, J.M., Knight, R.J., Roushey, B.H., and McGuire, D.J., 1996, Analytical data and sample locality map of stream-sediment and soil samples from the Winnemucca-Surprise Resource Area, northwest Nevada and northeast California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-062-A (paper) and 96-062-B (diskette), 341 p.
Taylor, S.R., 1964, The abundance of chemical elements in the continental crust-a new table: *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, v. 28, p. 1273-1285.
Wehring, Michael, 1981, MINC: A gridding program based on minimum curvature: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 81-1224, 41 p.

Acknowledgments
We wish to thank Karen Kelley, Steven Smith, and Craig Brunstein (U.S. Geological Survey) for their reviews of this report.