U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

MISCELLANEOUS FIELD STUDIES
MAP MF-2081-E
FOLIO OF THE DELTA 1° X 2° QUADRANGLE, UTAH
SHEET 1 OF 5



MAP A. DISTRIBUTION OF ANTIMONY IN HEAVY-MINERAL-CONCENTRATE SAMPLES

EXPLANATION

+ Nonanomalous value

× Anomalous value



Figure 1. Histogram showing antimony concentrations in 636 heavy-mineral-concentrate samples collected from the Delta quadrangle, Utah. N, not detected at 500 ppm.



MAP B. DISTRIBUTION OF ARSENIC IN HEAVY-MINERAL-CONCENTRATE SAMPLES

EXPLANATION

+ Nonanomalous value

× Anomalous value

Figure 1. Histogram showing arsenic concentrations in 636 heavy-mineral-concentrate samples collected from the Delta quadrangle, Utah. N, not detected at 20 ppm; L, detected, but less than 20 ppm.



MAP C. DISTRIBUTION OF BARIUM IN HEAVY-MINERAL-CONCENTRATE SAMPLES

EXPLANATION

+ Nonanomalous value

× Weakly anomalous value

□ Moderately anomalous value

□ Highly anomalous value



Figure 1. Histogram showing barium concentrations in 636 heavy-mineral-concentrate samples collected from the Delta quadrangle, Utah. L, detected, but less than 20 ppm; G, greater than 10,000 ppm.

Base from U.S. Geological Survey, 1972

SCALE 1:250 000

5 0 5 10 MILES

5 0 5 10 KILOMETRES

Geology from Morris, 1987
See sheet 2 for description of map units

STUDIES RELATED TO CUSMAP

This map is part of a folio of maps of the Delta 1° x 2° quadrangle, Utah, prepared under the Conterminous United States Mineral Assessment Program (CUSMAP). Other publications in this folio include an aeromagnetic-anomaly map and related geophysical maps (Kucks, 1991), and a complete Bouguer-gravity-anomaly map and related geophysical maps (Bankey and Cook, 1989).

INTRODUCTION

The Delta quadrangle is located in the eastern part of the Basin and Range physiographic province, near the boundary of the Basin and Range province with the Rocky Mountain and Colorado Plateau provinces. Much of the Tintic-Deep Creek igneous and mineral belt is within the northern part of the quadrangle.

GEOLOGIC SETTING

Bedrock in the quadrangle consists predominantly of a sequence of late Precambrian and Paleozoic strata that was thrust eastward during the Cretaceous Sevier orogeny. These rocks were thrust onto an autochthon of Mesozoic sedimentary rocks in the southeastern part of the quadrangle and onto Precambrian and Paleozoic rocks in other parts of the quadrangle. Much of the northern part of the quadrangle is composed of Oligocene and younger volcanic rocks and related intrusions; a single Jurassic-age stock is present in the southwestern part of the quadrangle. Late Cenozoic extensional tectonism produced north-trending fault blocks; the highlands of these blocks were deeply eroded and the resulting debris was deposited in adjacent basins. Most of the mineral deposits in the Tintic-Deep Creek igneous and mineral belt were formed during the middle and late Cenozoic.

GEOCHEMICAL STUDIES

Data obtained from this regional geochemical study can be used to define broad geochemical patterns and trends that can be combined with geologic and geophysical data to assess the mineral resource potential of this quadrangle. These maps of the Delta 1° x 2° quadrangle show the regional distribution of antimony (map A), arsenic (map B), barium (map C), beryllium (map D), bismuth (map E), cadmium (map F), copper (map G), lead (map H), molybdenum (map I), silver (map J), tin (map K), tungsten (map L), and zinc (map M) in heavy-mineral-concentrate samples.

COLLECTION OF SAMPLES

A total of 636 heavy-mineral-concentrate samples were used to generate the data presented in this report. Of these samples, 169 were collected by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and 467 samples were collected during the National Uranium Resource Evaluation (NURE) program.

The samples collected during the NURE program consisted of minus-100-mesh stream sediments that were reanalyzed by the USGS. Generally, these samples contained as much as 500 g of material. NURE stream-sediment samples were a composite of three roughly equal portions of material collected from an area within a distance of about 30 m of the designated sample site (Sharp and Aamodt, 1978). Each NURE sample that contained enough material was then processed as a heavy-mineral-concentrate by the USGS.

The samples collected by the USGS consisted of active alluvium collected primarily from first-order (unbranched) and second-order (below the junction of two first-order streams) streams as shown on USGS topographic maps (scale = 1:24,000). Each sample was collected from several localities within an area that may extend as much as 17 m from the sample site plotted on the map.

PREPARATION OF SAMPLES

Stream-sediment samples were prepared following a procedure outlined by Sharp and Aamodt (1978) in accordance with NURE specifications. The NURE and USGS samples were panned in the laboratory and, after air drying, processed through bromoform (specific gravity 2.8) to separate the remaining quartz, feldspar, clays, and other lighter minerals from the heavy minerals.

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

The heavy-mineral-concentrate samples were analyzed for 31 elements using a semiquantitative, direct-current arc emission spectrographic method (Grimes and Marranzino, 1968). The results of the analyses can be found in Arbogast and others (1990a,b--results for the NURE samples) and Arbogast and others (1992; 1988a,b--results from USGS samples collected in Wilderness Study Areas, including the Deep Creek Mountains, Swasey Mountain/Howell Peak, and the Fish Springs Range areas). All values are reported within a framework of six steps per order of magnitude (1, 0.7, 0.5, 0.3, 0.2, 0.15, or multiples of 10 of these numbers) and represent approximate geometric midpoints of the concentration ranges. The precision has been shown to be within one adjoining reporting interval on each side of the reported value 83 percent of the time, and within two adjoining intervals 96 percent of the time (Motooka and Grimes, 1976).

The samples collected by the USGS were also analyzed by various chemical methods for gold, antimony, arsenic, bismuth, cadmium, and zinc. Results from these analyses are given in Zimbelman (1993a). In general, the samples collected during the NURE program did not contain enough material to allow complete analysis by methods other than emission spectrography, and additional chemical analyses were not performed on these samples.

GEOCHEMICAL MAPS

Point-plot maps for the data were prepared using a computer program in the USGS's STATPAC system (VanTrump and Miesch, 1977). The point-plot maps show the locations and magnitudes of geochemical anomalies, in addition to the locations of all the sample sites. Maps showing the distributions of analytical results for selected trace metals in stream sediments collected by the USGS are given in Zimbelman (1993a,b).

GEOCHEMICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE DATA

Reconnaissance geochemical surveys are valuable tools in mineral exploration, but they should be used in conjunction with data obtained from other earth science disciplines. In particular, outlining exploration targets generally involves considerable additional, more detailed investigations.

REFERENCES CITED

Arbogast, B.F., Roemer, Theodore, Whitney, H.A., and Zimbelman, D.R., 1988a, Analytical results and sample locality maps of stream-sediment, heavy-mineral-concentrate, and rock samples from the Fish Springs Range Wilderness Study Area, Juab County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 88-573, 29 p.

Arbogast, B.F., Hageman, P.L., Roemer, Theodore, Whitney, H.A., and Zimbleman, D.R., 1988b, Analytical results and sample locality maps of stream-sediment, heavy-mineral-concentrate, and rock samples from the Swasey Mountain and Howell Peak Wilderness Study Areas, Millard County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 88-577, 37 p.

Arbogast, B.F., Zimbleman, D.R., and Whitney, H.A., 1990a, Analytical results and sample locality maps of stream-sediment samples from the Delta 1° x 2° quadrangle, Toole, Juab, Millard, and Utah Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 90-222, 46 p.

Arbogast, B.F., Folger, H.A., and Zimbleman, D.R., 1990b, Analytical results and sample locality maps of heavy-mineral-concentrate samples from the Delta 1° x 2° quadrangle, Toocle, Juab, Millard, and Utah Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 90-264, 39 p.

Arbogast, B.F., Hageman, P.L., Hill, R.H., Fey, D.L., and Zimbleman, D.R., 1992, Analytical results and sample locality maps of stream-sediment and heavy-mineral-concentrate samples from the Deep Creek Mountains Wilderness Study Area, Juab and Tooele Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 92-259, 22 p.

Bankey, Viki, and Cook, K.L., 1989, Complete Bouguer gravity map and related geophysical maps of the Delta 1° x 2° quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-2081-A, scales 1:250,00 and 1:500,000.

Grimes, D.J., and Marranzino, A.P., 1968, Direct-current arc and alternating-current spark emission spectrographic field methods for the semiquantitative analysis of geologic materials: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 591, 6 p.

Kucks, R.P., 1991, Aeromagnetic anomaly map and related geophysical maps of the Delta 1° x 2° quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-2081-B, scale 1:250,000.

Motooka, J.M., and Grimes, D.J., 1976, Analytical precision of one-sixth order semiquantitative spectrographic analyses: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 738, 25 p.

Morris, H.T., 1987, Preliminary geological map of the Delta 2° quadrangle, Tooele, Juab, Millard, and Utah Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 87-18, scale 1:250,000.

Sharp, Robert, Jr., and Aamodt, P.L., 1978, Field procedures for the uranium hydrogeochemical and stream-sediment reconnaissance as used by the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory: Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Manual LA-7054-M, 64 p.

VanTrump, George, and Miesch, A.T., 1977, The U.S. Geological Survey RASS-STATPAC system for management and statistical reduction of geochemical data: Computers and Geosciences, v. 3, p. 475-488.

Zimbelman. D.R., 1993a, Maps showing distribution of barium, beryllium, copper, lead, molybdenum, silver, and tin in stream-sediment samples, Delta 1° x 2° quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-2081-C, scale 1:250,000.

Zimbelman. D.R., 1993b, Maps showing distribution of gold, antimony, arsenic, bismuth, cadmium, and zinc in stream-sediment samples, Delta 1° x 2° quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-2081-D, scale 1:250,000.

MAPS SHOWING DISTRIBUTION OF ANTIMONY, ARSENIC, BARIUM, BERYLLIUM, BISMUTH, CADMIUM, COPPER, LEAD, MOLYBDENUM, SILVER, TIN, TUNGSTEN, AND ZINC IN HEAVY-MINERAL-CONCENTRATE SAMPLES, DELTA 1° X 2° QUADRANGLE, UTAH

By
David R. Zimbelman
1993

Manuscript approved for publication March 5, 1993

Any use of trade names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey

INTERIOR—GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, RESTON, VIRGINIA—1993

For sale by U.S. Geological Survey Map Distribution, Box 25286, Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225