

FOLIO NOTE

This map is part of a folio of maps of the Joplin 1° X 2° quadrangle, Kansas and Missouri, prepared under the Continuum United States Mineral Resource Assessment Program (CUSMAP). Other publications in this folio to date include U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Maps MF-2125-A through D (Erickson and others, 1990; Grisafe and others, 1991; Blair and others, 1992; McCaffery and others, 1993). Additional maps showing bedrock geology and other geologic aspects of the Joplin quadrangle will continue to be published as they are prepared. Each map bearing this same serial number with different letter suffixes (MF-2125-F, -G, and so on).

INTRODUCTION

This report and the accompanying maps present an assessment of the mineral-resource potential of the Joplin 1° X 2° quadrangle for Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) zinc-lead sulfide deposits and other mineral deposits. The assessment for MVT deposits was done in February 1989 and the assessment for zinc-lead sulfide deposits in October 1991. The Joplin quadrangle is the fourth in a series of quadrangles in the southern Midcontinent where mineral-resource potential is being evaluated under the CUSMAP program. The first in this series was the Rolla quadrangle, Missouri (Pratt, 1981, 1992; Pratt and others, 1988), the second was the Springfield quadrangle, Missouri (Martin and Pratt, 1985, 1991), and the third was the Harrison quadrangle, Missouri and Arkansas (Pratt and others, 1993) (fig. 1). In each of these projects, various members of the CUSMAP team, composed of geoscientists from the U.S. Geological Survey and the cooperating state geological surveys, compiled a series of maps showing various aspects of the geology, geochemistry, and mineral occurrences of the quadrangle in each case the team met as a group to review the available data and make an assessment of the resource potential.

The Joplin CUSMAP project began in October 1988 as a cooperative effort between the U.S. Geological Survey, the Kansas Geological Survey, and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geology and Land Survey. After completion of the various maps, the assessment meeting was held in Nevada, Missouri, February 14-15, 1989. The first section of this report, dealing with MVT deposits, was prepared by a team effort, and it is appropriate to record here the participating members of the entire CUSMAP team.

U.S. Geological Survey—Walden P. Pratt, coordinator; Ralph L. Erickson, Timothy S. Hayes, Anne E. Caffery, and Helen Whitney. Kansas Geological Survey—Pieter Berendsen, coordinator; Kevin P. Blair, Lawrence L. Brock, Mary E. Grisafe, James R. McCaffery, and Frank W. Wilson.

Missouri Geological Survey—Arms A. Martin, coordinator; Michael C. McFarland, Mark A. Middelton, Bruce W. Netzer, Laurence M. Naylor, James R. Palmer, Charles E. Robertson, James W. Rueff, Jr., R. Satterfield, Cheryl M. Seeger, David C. Smith, and Thomas L. Thompson.

Also active in the project but not participating in the assessment meeting were Lindirh Cordell and Marjorie S. Erickson of the U.S. Geological Survey, and Joy L. Hostie and Eva B. Kivarsanyi of the Missouri Geological Survey.

The remainder of this report is divided into four parts: a brief summary of the geology of the quadrangle is followed by sections that assess the potential of the quadrangle for undiscovered MVT zinc-lead deposits, for diamond occurrences and a variety of industrial minerals for geologic, geochemical, and mineral occurrences of the Precambrian basement rocks. Previous reports have addressed the quadrangle's industrial mineral resources (Grisafe and Rueff, 1991), and hydrothermal resources (Grisafe and Rueff, 1991), and the Precambrian basement geology (Grisafe and Rueff, 1991). A recent report by Cherkovetz (Netzer, 1990). A report on the coal resources of the quadrangle will be published by the U.S. Geological Survey in its Miscellaneous Investigations Series Bulletin 1485, "Coal Resources of the Joplin CUSMAP Area," this list includes all the economic minerals for which a reasonable potential exists in the Joplin quadrangle, on the basis of geologic information available in February 1989 (for the MVT deposits) and October 1991 (for the other types of deposits).

SUMMARY OF GEOLOGY OF THE JOPLIN QUADRANGLE
(Modified from McCaffery and others, 1990)

The Joplin quadrangle in southeastern Kansas and southwestern Missouri, bounded by long. 94° 06' W. and lat. 37° 38' N. (fig. 1), is a north-south trending belt on the Precambrian basement in the Ozark dome; consequently the bedrock formations dip gently west to northwest. In the subsurface, and separated from the gently dipping Paleozoic sedimentary rocks by a nonconformity, the basement is composed predominantly of Proterozoic granitic rocks and lesser volcanic, metamorphic, and sedimentary rocks (Berendsen and Blair, 1991; McCaffery and Cordell, 1992; Kivarsanyi, this report). The nonconformity, which may be as much as 1,000 feet of local relief, is a relatively thin Upper Cambrian basal sandstone (Lamotte or Reagan) that passes upward into a coarse-grained dolomite (Potosi or Derby) and into the Cambrian and Lower Ordovician dolomite (fig. 2); the thickness variation is largely due to the pronounced pincching out of the Precambrian basement (McKnight and Fischer, 1970, p. 14). In western Missouri these strata are divisible into Cambrian and Ordovician formations. The strata include the Bonnetiere Formation, the Davis Formation, and the Derby-Dorran, Potosi, and Eminence Dolomites, or alternatively for Missouri, the post-Bonnetiere Cambrian (Palmer, 1991). The Lower Ordovician formations are successively the Gasconade Dolomite, Roubidoux Formation, and Jefferson City and Cotter Dolomites. In Kansas, which includes two-thirds of the Joplin quadrangle, the entire succession of predominantly carbonate strata above the basal Reagan Sandstone, from Bonnetiere or Davis up through Cotter, is combined and commonly referred to as the Arbuckle Group. Most of this section is present in the northeast corner of the quadrangle; only the Jefferson City and Cotter Dolomites are the only parts of the section exposed in the quadrangle, in the northeast corner. These units are overlain unconformably by limestone and minor shale, Mississippian age, which crop out in the southeast quadrant of the quadrangle and over an area of about 100 square miles in the northeast corner of the southeast corner. A few small patches of Mississippian rocks also crop out along the east edge of the quadrangle. Chattanooga Shale of Late Devonian age, bounded above and below by a nonconformity, occurs locally in the subsurface between the Ordovician and Mississippian rocks. The exposed bedrock in the rest of the quadrangle consists of Pennsylvanian shale, sandstone, limestone, and coal; these rocks form a series of subparallel northeast-trending outcrop bands that expose progressively younger rocks to the northwest. The stratigraphic succession is broken by two major northwest-trending fault zones and by numerous minor structures. The Rose and Silver City domes in the northwestern part of the quadrangle are Cretaceous laccoliths (Berendsen, this report).

RESOURCE POTENTIAL FOR MISSISSIPPI VALLEY-TYPE ZINC-LEAD DEPOSITS

By Walden P. Pratt, Timothy S. Hayes, and Ralph L. Erickson

INTRODUCTION

Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) deposits are defined as predominantly spheerite-sphalerite replacement and vein deposits (including vein breccia filling) in carbonate host rocks, generally restricted to certain formations but not restricted to specific beds, and peneconformably but clearly crosscutting—that is, the deposits are epigenetic and straddled but not stratiform.

The Joplin quadrangle includes a major part of the world-class Tri-State MVT zinc-lead district of Missouri, Kansas, and Oklahoma (fig. 3). Mining in the Tri-State district began in about 1848 and continued until 1970, when increasing environmental regulations and decreasing ore grades combined to force closure of the mines. The most productive area in the district was the Picher field, which extends southward into Oklahoma from the southern boundary of the Joplin quadrangle. Other areas of major importance were the Galena, Joplin, and Oronogo-Webb City-Dawson fields (fig. 3). There are several other small zinc-lead mines and prospects in the quadrangle but none of them are currently active. Through its life the district produced more than \$2 billion in zinc and lead concentrates from about 500 million tons of rock at average grades of about 4.5 percent zinc and 0.75 percent lead (Brookie and others, 1968). The ores consisted predominantly of sphalerite and galena with and/or fracture fillings in silicified and dolomitized Mississippian limestone. With this history in mind, the potential for undiscovered MVT deposits in the Joplin quadrangle was of prime importance in this CUSMAP assessment.

ASSESSMENT METHOD

The assessment for MVT deposits consisted of four steps. First, the team agreed on a descriptive model for MVT deposits, based on the known characteristics of MVT deposits in the mining districts within the Joplin quadrangle and elsewhere in the region (Northern Arkansas, Central Missouri, and Southeast Missouri districts). We defined this descriptive model in terms of its geologic "recognition criteria" believed to be required or at least favorable for the presence of an MVT deposit; implicit in the selection of these criteria was that at least some data were available on their presence or absence in the quadrangle. Second, we superimposed the model of the quadrangle showing the areas of best recognition criteria. Inspection of these stacked map overlays showed some areas where all or some of the criteria were present (the varying degrees), and other areas where only a few or none of the criteria were present. Third, we drew outlines around areas containing similar combinations of criteria. This process divided the quadrangle into a number of assessment areas or blocks. Fourth, to each assessment block we assigned levels of potential and certainty for the occurrence of MVT deposits based on a subjective "gut" consensus, and using the resource classification developed by Taylor and others (1988). This classification assigns resource categories on the basis of two independent factors: (1) the amount and kinds of evidence suggesting a favorable geologic environment for mineralization (the level of mineral potential), and (2) the availability of data (the level of certainty). These factors are combined in a simple matrix (fig. 4) that indicates the status of mineral-resource potential and certainty. The Joplin CUSMAP team used this matrix as a guide to assign assessment levels to each outlined area; the assignments are qualitative and reflect a team consensus.

ASSESSMENT MODEL

The generalized model for MVT deposits in the Joplin quadrangle differs somewhat from the models used for the preceding Midcontinent

northwest- and northeast-trending faults, a west-northeast trending line in the basement of the Springfield 1° X 2° quadrangle, Missouri, as appraised in September 1985 (Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geology and Land Survey, Open-file Report OPR-85-42; MR, 8, p. 2).

Area 3. The entire eastern part (approximately two-fifths) of the quadrangle is underlain by the area of moderate resource potential with a low level of certainty (M/L), based on a combination of factors including Davis shale pinchout, mafic and ultramafic rocks, and the presence of visible sulfides, and linear features in remote-sensing images. This area includes the Tri-State district, with production from the overlying St. Francois granite-hyolite terranes (Sims and others, 1987), similar to the epizonal St. Francois granite-hyolite terranes, and noncommercial mineralized rock and drill cores from southeastern Missouri (Kivarsanyi, 1981, fig. 9). Two alternative interpretations of the Precambrian basement geology, both developed by the U.S. Geological Survey (Berendsen and Blair, 1991; McCaffery and Cordell, 1992), but the generalized geologic map of the Precambrian basement shown in figure 8 is adopted for this discussion and resource potential. The Precambrian basement is covered by Phanerozoic sedimentary rocks throughout the quadrangle. The basement in this region has no historic record of mineral production, and its undisturbed resources could not be assessed from sparse drill-hole information, geophysical maps, and analogies with similar terranes.

Area 4. This small area northeast of the center of the quadrangle is west of the Davis pinchout but contains a strong geochemical anomaly and an inferred basement structure, which may be a fault or the pinchout of the Lamotte Sandstone. On the basis of these two favorable criteria the area is assigned a moderate potential with a low level of certainty (M/L).

Area 5. On the basis of its proximity to area 2, the southwestern part (approximately one-fourth) of the quadrangle is assigned a moderate potential with a low level of certainty (M/L). The northwestern limit of this area is defined in such a way as to include a prominent northwest-trending fault zone in the Joplin quadrangle which crosses the geophysical anomaly in area 2.

Areas 6A and 6B. The remainder of the quadrangle is assigned a low potential with a low level of certainty (L/L). These areas cover a few major faults in the sedimentary rocks as well as in the basement, but the pinchout of Davis shale is probably remote. More specifically, Davis shale is present, and then (3) upward movement into the host rocks along edges or through windows in the shale (and/or along fracture zones). This concept is really a partial genetic model; it is incomplete because it does not take into account the source of the brines or metals, or the processes responsible for precipitating the metals as sulfides. However, it forms the basis for the descriptive assessment that follows.

Assessment of Potential in Mississippi Rocks—Map B

The principal areas of interest in the Mississippi rocks are centered on windows through the underlying Chattanooga and Northview Shales; a fairly small window in the northeastern corner of the quadrangle, and a larger, irregular window in the southwest and south-central parts of the quadrangle (fig. 6). The quadrangle was divided into eight assessment blocks:

1. Presence or proximity of a fault or fault zones, also to allow upward movement of metalliferous brines. Faults and fault zones in the Paleozoic sedimentary rocks were mapped at the surface and compiled on unpublished maps by J.R. McCauley (Kansas Geological Survey) and D.C. Smith (Missouri Geological Survey), or were interpreted from drill-hole data (Blair and others, 1992). Faults in the Precambrian basement were inferred from unpublished gravity and aeromagnetic terrace maps provided by L.E. Cordell and A.E. McCaffery (U.S. Geological Survey) and from a basement map by Berendsen and Blair (1991). This criterion was interpreted rather subjectively, without regard to length or orientation of faults; the only factor considered was the presence or absence of faults, with increasing weight given (qualitatively) for a greater number of faults present in the assessment block under consideration.

2. Presence or proximity of faults or fault zones, also to allow upward movement of metalliferous brines. Faults and fault zones in the Paleozoic sedimentary rocks were mapped at the surface and compiled on unpublished maps by J.R. McCauley (Kansas Geological Survey) and D.C. Smith (Missouri Geological Survey), or were interpreted from drill-hole data (Blair and others, 1992). Faults in the Precambrian basement were inferred from unpublished gravity and aeromagnetic terrace maps provided by L.E. Cordell and A.E. McCaffery (U.S. Geological Survey) and from a basement map by Berendsen and Blair (1991). This criterion was interpreted rather subjectively, without regard to length or orientation of faults; the only factor considered was the presence or absence of faults, with increasing weight given (qualitatively) for a greater number of faults present in the assessment block under consideration.

3. Presence of "favorable geochemistry," that is, geochemical anomalies—abnormally high concentrations of arsenic, antimony, and/or tellurium in the Cambrian and Lower Ordovician dolomite (fig. 2); the thickness variation is largely due to the pronounced pincching out of the Precambrian basement (McKnight and Fischer, 1970, p. 14). In western Missouri these strata are divisible into Cambrian and Ordovician formations. The strata include the Bonnetiere Formation, the Davis Formation, and the Derby-Dorran, Potosi, and Eminence Dolomites, or alternatively for Missouri, the post-Bonnetiere Cambrian (Palmer, 1991). The Lower Ordovician formations are successively the Gasconade Dolomite, Roubidoux Formation, and Jefferson City and Cotter Dolomites. In Kansas, which includes two-thirds of the Joplin quadrangle, the entire succession of predominantly carbonate strata above the basal Reagan Sandstone, from Bonnetiere or Davis up through Cotter, is combined and commonly referred to as the Arbuckle Group. Most of this section is present in the northeast corner of the quadrangle; only the Jefferson City and Cotter Dolomites are the only parts of the section exposed in the quadrangle, in the northeast corner. These units are overlain unconformably by limestone and minor shale, Mississippian age, which crop out in the southeast quadrant of the quadrangle and over an area of about 100 square miles in the northeast corner of the southeast corner. A few small patches of Mississippian rocks also crop out along the east edge of the quadrangle. Chattanooga Shale of Late Devonian age, bounded above and below by a nonconformity, occurs locally in the subsurface between the Ordovician and Mississippian rocks. The exposed bedrock in the rest of the quadrangle consists of Pennsylvanian shale, sandstone, limestone, and coal; these rocks form a series of subparallel northeast-trending outcrop bands that expose progressively younger rocks to the northwest. The stratigraphic succession is broken by two major northwest-trending fault zones and by numerous minor structures. The Rose and Silver City domes in the northwestern part of the quadrangle are Cretaceous laccoliths (Berendsen, this report).

4. Presence of knobs or highs on the Precambrian surface, as indicated by drilling data. This exploration grade for MVT deposits originated in the Southeast Missouri district where pinches of the basal Lamotte Sandstone against basement knobs apparently localized many ore bodies in the overlying carbonates; the same principle applies in similar terranes elsewhere. The most significant basement features in the Joplin quadrangle are a series of individual rhylites to andesitic basement highs, identified from drilling in a dominantly rhyolitic terrane in the southwestern part of the quadrangle. The knobs are probably erosional remnants. Since the knobs are not discernible on geophysical maps, it is likely that more of them probably exist in undrilled parts of the rhyolite terrane. Source of data: Unpublished drilled drilling data from R.L. Erickson (U.S. Geological Survey) and Mary Dohy (Kansas Geological Survey).

5. Presence of visible sulfides at the surface or reported on drill logs. Source of data: Missouri Geological Survey, unpublished logs. (This part of the data base is available only for the Missouri part of the quadrangle.)

6. Zones of dense or intersecting linear features on LANDSAT and SLAR (side-looking airborne radar) data, which are believed to indicate faults or zones of structural weakness. Source of data: Kansas Geological Survey.

As with the Springfield and Harrison quadrangles, the assessment of the Joplin quadrangle was done for individual "packages" of sedimentary rocks—the Arbuckle (Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician), the Mississippian, and the Pennsylvanian.

Maps A and B show the distribution of the six assessment criteria through the quadrangle in the Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician rocks (Map A) and in the Mississippian rocks (Map B). Figures 5 through 7 show the same information diagrammatically, in a less cluttered form, and at a smaller scale (1:250,000); figure 5 shows lithologies and geochemical criteria for the Cambrian and Ordovician rocks, figure 6 shows lithologies and geochemical criteria for the Mississippian rocks, and figure 7 shows structural criteria that apply to both sequences.

Assessment of Potential in Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician Rocks—Map A

As described above, the Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician section is not subdivided into formations in the two-thirds of the Joplin quadrangle that lies in Kansas, but is recorded in subsurface logs as the undivided Arbuckle Group. For this reason, geochemical data used for the mineral-resource assessment could not be assigned to specific formations, and consequently the Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician rocks are lumped into a single unit.

The primary lithofacies criterion in the MVT assessment model is a pinchout or window in the underlying Davis-equivalent shales. Shale of the Davis Formation is present in the northeastern part of the quadrangle but pinches out to the west along a line located somewhere within a north-south trending zone that extends over the eastern part of the quadrangle. As there is insufficient subsurface data to locate this pinchout accurately, it is shown on map A as a zone about 15-20 mi wide, note the individual drill-hole locations showing the area of best recognition criteria. Inspection of these stacked map overlays showed some areas where all or some of the criteria were present (the varying degrees), and other areas where only a few or none of the criteria were present. Third, we drew outlines around areas containing similar combinations of criteria. This process divided the quadrangle into a number of assessment areas or blocks. Fourth, to each assessment block we assigned levels of potential and certainty for the occurrence of MVT deposits based on a subjective "gut" consensus, and using the resource classification developed by Taylor and others (1988). This classification assigns resource categories on the basis of two independent factors: (1) the amount and kinds of evidence suggesting a favorable geologic environment for mineralization (the level of mineral potential), and (2) the availability of data (the level of certainty). These factors are combined in a simple matrix (fig. 4) that indicates the status of mineral-resource potential and certainty. The Joplin CUSMAP team used this matrix as a guide to assign assessment levels to each outlined area; the assignments are qualitative and reflect a team consensus.

ASSESSMENT MODEL

The generalized model for MVT deposits in the Joplin quadrangle differs somewhat from the models used for the preceding Midcontinent

Lampiroite of the same composition as these is the host rock in the basement of the Springfield 1° X 2° quadrangle, Missouri, as appraised in September 1985 (Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geology and Land Survey, Open-file Report OPR-85-42; MR, 8, p. 2).

GEOLOGY AND MINERAL-RESOURCE POTENTIAL OF THE PRECAMBRIAN BASEMENT

The region of Kansas and Missouri that includes the Joplin quadrangle is underlain by the Middle Proterozoic (1.35-1.40 Ga) Spawnavic granite-hyolite terrane (Sims and others, 1987), similar to the epizonal St. Francois granite-hyolite terranes, and noncommercial mineralized rock and drill cores from southeastern Missouri (Kivarsanyi, 1981, fig. 9). Two alternative interpretations of the Precambrian basement geology, both developed by the U.S. Geological Survey (Berendsen and Blair, 1991; McCaffery and Cordell, 1992), but the generalized geologic map of the Precambrian basement shown in figure 8 is adopted for this discussion and resource potential. The Precambrian basement is covered by Phanerozoic sedimentary rocks throughout the quadrangle. The basement in this region has no historic record of mineral production, and its undisturbed resources could not be assessed from sparse drill-hole information, geophysical maps, and analogies with similar terranes.

Area 2. This small area northeast of the center of the quadrangle is west of the Davis pinchout but contains a strong geochemical anomaly and an inferred basement structure, which may be a fault or the pinchout of the Lamotte Sandstone. On the basis of these two favorable criteria the area is assigned a moderate potential with a low level of certainty (M/L).

Area 3. The entire eastern part (approximately two-fifths) of the quadrangle is underlain by the area of moderate resource potential with a low level of certainty (M/L), based on a combination of factors including Davis shale pinchout, mafic and ultramafic rocks, and the presence of visible sulfides, and linear features in remote-sensing images. This area includes the Tri-State district, with production from the overlying St. Francois granite-hyolite terranes (Sims and others, 1987), similar to the epizonal St. Francois granite-hyolite terranes, and noncommercial mineralized rock and drill cores from southeastern Missouri (Kivarsanyi, 1981, fig. 9). Two alternative interpretations of the Precambrian basement geology, both developed by the U.S. Geological Survey (Berendsen and Blair, 1991; McCaffery and Cordell, 1992), but the generalized geologic map of the Precambrian basement shown in figure 8 is adopted for this discussion and resource potential. The Precambrian basement is covered by Phanerozoic sedimentary rocks throughout the quadrangle. The basement in this region has no historic record of mineral production, and its undisturbed resources could not be assessed from sparse drill-hole information, geophysical maps, and analogies with similar terranes.

Area 4. This small area northeast of the center of the quadrangle is west of the Davis pinchout but contains a strong geochemical anomaly and an inferred basement structure, which may be a fault or the pinchout of the Lamotte Sandstone. On the basis of these two favorable criteria the area is assigned a moderate potential with a low level of certainty (M/L).

Area 5. On the basis of its proximity to area 2, the southwestern part (approximately one-fourth) of the quadrangle is assigned a moderate potential with a low level of certainty (M/L). The northwestern limit of this area is defined in such a way as to include a prominent northwest-trending fault zone in the Joplin quadrangle which crosses the geophysical anomaly in area 2.

Areas 6A and 6B. The remainder of the quadrangle is assigned a low potential with a low level of certainty (L/L). These areas cover a few major faults in the sedimentary rocks as well as in the basement, but the pinchout of Davis shale is probably remote. More specifically, Davis shale is present, and then (3) upward movement into the host rocks along edges or through windows in the shale (and/or along fracture zones). This concept is really a partial genetic model; it is incomplete because it does not take into account the source of the brines or metals, or the processes responsible for precipitating the metals as sulfides. However, it forms the basis for the descriptive assessment that follows.

Assessment of Potential in Mississippi Rocks—Map B

The principal areas of interest in the Mississippi rocks are centered on windows through the underlying Chattanooga and Northview Shales; a fairly small window in the northeastern corner of the quadrangle, and a larger, irregular window in the southwest and south-central parts of the quadrangle (fig. 6). The quadrangle was divided into eight assessment blocks:

1. Presence or proximity of a fault or fault zones, also to allow upward movement of metalliferous brines. Faults and fault zones in the Paleozoic sedimentary rocks were mapped at the surface and compiled on unpublished maps by J.R. McCauley (Kansas Geological Survey) and D.C. Smith (Missouri Geological Survey), or were interpreted from drill-hole data (Blair and others, 1992). Faults in the Precambrian basement were inferred from unpublished gravity and aeromagnetic terrace maps provided by L.E. Cordell and A.E. McCaffery (U.S. Geological Survey) and from a basement map by Berendsen and Blair (1991). This criterion was interpreted rather subjectively, without regard to length or orientation of faults; the only factor considered was the presence or absence of faults, with increasing weight given (qualitatively) for a greater number of faults present in the assessment block under consideration.

2. Presence or proximity of faults or fault zones, also to allow upward movement of metalliferous brines. Faults and fault zones in the Paleozoic sedimentary rocks were mapped at the surface and compiled on unpublished maps by J.R. McCauley (Kansas Geological Survey) and D.C. Smith (Missouri Geological Survey), or were interpreted from drill-hole data (Blair and others, 1992). Faults in the Precambrian basement were inferred from unpublished gravity and aeromagnetic terrace maps provided by L.E. Cordell and A.E. McCaffery (U.S. Geological Survey) and from a basement map by Berendsen and Blair (1991). This criterion was interpreted rather subjectively, without regard to length or orientation of faults; the only factor considered was the presence or absence of faults, with increasing weight given (qualitatively) for a greater number of faults present in the assessment block under consideration.

3. Presence of "favorable geochemistry," that is, geochemical anomalies—abnormally high concentrations of arsenic, antimony, and/or tellurium in the Cambrian and Lower Ordovician dolomite (fig. 2); the thickness variation is largely due to the pronounced pincching out of the Precambrian basement (McKnight and Fischer, 1970, p. 14). In western Missouri these strata are divisible into Cambrian and Ordovician formations. The strata include the Bonnetiere Formation, the Davis Formation, and the Derby-Dorran, Potosi, and Eminence Dolomites, or alternatively for Missouri, the post-Bonnetiere Cambrian (Palmer, 1991). The Lower Ordovician formations are successively the Gasconade Dolomite, Roubidoux Formation, and Jefferson City and Cotter Dolomites. In Kansas, which includes two-thirds of the Joplin quadrangle, the entire succession of predominantly carbonate strata above the basal Reagan Sandstone, from Bonnetiere or Davis up through Cotter, is combined and commonly referred to as the Arbuckle Group. Most of this section is present in the northeast corner of the quadrangle; only the Jefferson City and Cotter Dolomites are the only parts of the section exposed in the quadrangle, in the northeast corner. These units are overlain unconformably by limestone and minor shale, Mississippian age, which crop out in the southeast quadrant of the quadrangle and over an area of about 100 square miles in the northeast corner of the southeast corner. A few small patches of Mississippian rocks also crop out along the east edge of the quadrangle. Chattanooga Shale of Late Devonian age, bounded above and below by a nonconformity, occurs locally in the subsurface between the Ordovician and Mississippian rocks. The exposed bedrock in the rest of the quadrangle consists of Pennsylvanian shale, sandstone, limestone, and coal; these rocks form a series of subparallel northeast-trending outcrop bands that expose progressively younger rocks to the northwest. The stratigraphic succession is broken by two major northwest-trending fault zones and by numerous minor structures. The Rose and Silver City domes in the northwestern part of the quadrangle are Cretaceous laccoliths (Berendsen, this report).

4. Presence of knobs or highs on the Precambrian surface, as indicated by drilling data. This exploration grade for MVT deposits originated in the Southeast Missouri district where pinches of the basal Lamotte Sandstone against basement knobs apparently localized many ore bodies in the overlying carbonates; the same principle applies in similar terranes elsewhere. The most significant basement features in the Joplin quadrangle are a series of individual rhylites to andesitic basement highs, identified from drilling in a dominantly rhyolitic terrane in the southwestern part of the quadrangle. The knobs are probably erosional remnants. Since the knobs are not discernible on geophysical maps, it is likely that more of them probably exist in undrilled parts of the rhyolite terrane. Source of data: Unpublished drilled drilling data from R.L. Erickson (U.S. Geological Survey) and Mary Dohy (Kansas Geological Survey).

5. Presence of visible sulfides at the surface or reported on drill logs. Source of data: Missouri Geological Survey, unpublished logs. (This part of the data base is available only for the Missouri part of the quadrangle.)

6. Zones of dense or intersecting linear features on LANDSAT and SLAR (side-looking airborne radar) data, which are believed to indicate faults or zones of structural weakness. Source of data: Kansas Geological Survey.

MINERAL-RESOURCE ASSESSMENT

As with the Springfield and Harrison quadrangles, the assessment of the Joplin quadrangle was done for individual "packages" of sedimentary rocks—the Arbuckle (Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician), the Mississippian, and the Pennsylvanian.

Maps A and B show the distribution of the six assessment criteria through the quadrangle in the Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician rocks (Map A) and in the Mississippian rocks (Map B). Figures 5 through 7 show the same information diagrammatically, in a less cluttered form, and at a smaller scale (1:250,000); figure 5 shows lithologies and geochemical criteria for the Cambrian and Ordovician rocks, figure 6 shows lithologies and geochemical criteria for the Mississippian rocks, and figure 7 shows structural criteria that apply to both sequences.

Assessment of Potential in Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician Rocks—Map A

As described above, the Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician section is not subdivided into formations in the two-thirds of the Joplin quadrangle that lies in Kansas, but is recorded in subsurface logs as the undivided Arbuckle Group. For this reason, geochemical data used for the mineral-resource assessment could not be assigned to specific formations, and consequently the Upper Cambrian and Lower Ordovician rocks are lumped into a single unit.

The primary lithofacies criterion in the MVT assessment model is a pinchout or window in the underlying Davis-equivalent shales. Shale of the Davis Formation is present in the northeastern part of the quadrangle but pinches out to the west along a line located somewhere within a north-south trending zone that extends over the eastern part of the quadrangle. As there is insufficient subsurface data to locate this pinchout accurately, it is shown on map A as a zone about 15-20 mi wide, note the individual drill-hole locations showing the area of best recognition criteria. Inspection of these stacked map overlays showed some areas where all or some of the criteria were present (the varying degrees), and other areas where only a few or none of the criteria were present. Third, we drew outlines around areas containing similar combinations of criteria. This process divided the quadrangle into a number of assessment areas or blocks. Fourth, to each assessment block we assigned levels of potential and certainty for the occurrence of MVT deposits based on a subjective "gut" consensus, and using the resource classification developed by Taylor and others (1988). This classification assigns resource categories on the basis of two independent factors: (1) the amount and kinds of evidence suggesting a favorable geologic environment for mineralization (the level of mineral potential), and (2) the availability of data (the level of certainty). These factors are combined in a simple matrix (fig. 4) that indicates the status of mineral-resource potential and certainty. The Joplin CUSMAP team used this matrix as a guide to assign assessment levels to each outlined area; the assignments are qualitative and reflect a team consensus.

ASSESSMENT MODEL

The generalized model for MVT deposits in the Joplin quadrangle differs somewhat from the models used for the preceding Midcontinent

Lampiroite of the same composition as these is the host rock in the basement of the Springfield 1° X 2° quadrangle, Missouri, as appraised in September 1985 (Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geology and Land Survey, Open-file Report OPR-85-42; MR, 8, p. 2).

GEOLOGY AND MINERAL-RESOURCE POTENTIAL OF THE PRECAMBRIAN BASEMENT

The region of Kansas and Missouri that includes the Joplin quadrangle is underlain by the Middle Proterozoic (1.35-1.40 Ga) Spawnavic granite-hyolite terrane (Sims and others, 1987), similar to the epizonal St. Francois granite-hyolite terranes, and noncommercial mineralized rock and drill cores from southeastern Missouri (Kivarsanyi, 1981, fig. 9). Two alternative interpretations of the Precambrian basement geology, both developed by the U.S. Geological Survey (Berendsen and Blair, 1991; McCaffery and Cordell, 1992), but the generalized geologic map of the Precambrian basement shown in figure 8 is adopted for this discussion and resource potential. The Precambrian basement is covered by Phanerozoic sedimentary rocks throughout the quadrangle. The basement in this region has no historic record of mineral production, and its undisturbed resources could not be assessed from sparse drill-hole information, geophysical maps, and analogies with similar terranes.

Area 2. This small area northeast of the center of the quadrangle is west of the Davis pinchout but contains a strong geochemical anomaly and an inferred basement structure, which may be a fault or the pinchout of the Lamotte Sandstone. On the basis of these two favorable criteria the area is assigned a moderate potential with a low level of certainty (M/L).

Area 3. The entire eastern part (approximately two-fifths) of the quadrangle is underlain by the area of moderate resource potential with a low level of certainty (M/L), based on a combination of factors including Davis shale pinchout, mafic and ultramafic rocks, and the presence of visible sulfides, and linear features in remote-sensing images. This area includes the Tri-State district, with production from the overlying St. Francois granite-hyolite terranes (Sims and others, 1987), similar to the epizonal St. Francois granite-hyolite terranes, and noncommercial mineralized rock and drill cores from southeastern Missouri (Kivarsanyi, 1981, fig. 9). Two alternative interpretations of the Precambrian basement geology, both developed by the U.S. Geological Survey (Berendsen and Blair, 1991; McCaffery and Cordell, 1992), but the generalized geologic map of the Precambrian basement shown in figure 8 is adopted for this discussion and resource potential. The Precambrian basement is covered by Phanerozoic sedimentary rocks throughout the quadrangle. The basement in this region has no historic record of mineral production, and its undisturbed resources could not be assessed from sparse drill-hole information, geophysical maps, and analogies with similar terranes.

Area 4. This small area northeast of the center of the quadrangle is west of the Davis pinchout but contains a strong geochemical anomaly and an inferred basement structure, which may be a fault or the pinchout of the Lamotte Sandstone. On the basis of these two favorable criteria the area is assigned a moderate potential with a low level of certainty (M/L).

Area 5. On the basis of its proximity to area 2, the southwestern part (approximately one-fourth) of the quadrangle is assigned a moderate potential with a low level of certainty (M/L). The northwestern limit of this area is defined in such a way as to include a prominent northwest-trending fault zone in the Joplin quadrangle which crosses the geophysical anomaly in area 2.

Areas 6A and 6B. The remainder of the quadrangle is assigned a low potential with a low level of certainty (L/L). These areas cover a few major faults in the sedimentary rocks as well as in the basement, but the pinchout of Davis shale is probably remote. More specifically, Davis shale is present, and then (3) upward movement into the host rocks along edges or through windows in the shale (and/or along fracture zones). This concept is really a partial genetic model; it is incomplete because it does not take into account the source of the brines or metals, or the processes responsible for precipitating the metals as sulfides. However, it forms the basis for the descriptive assessment that follows.

Assessment of Potential in Mississippi Rocks—Map B

The principal areas of interest in the Mississippi rocks are centered on windows through the underlying Chattanooga and Northview Shales; a fairly small window in the northeastern corner of the quadrangle, and a larger, irregular window in the southwest and south-central parts of the quadrangle (fig. 6). The quadrangle was divided into eight assessment blocks:

1. Presence or proximity of a fault or fault zones, also to allow upward movement of metalliferous brines. Faults and fault zones in the Paleozoic sedimentary rocks were mapped at the surface and compiled on unpublished maps by J.R. McCauley (Kansas Geological Survey) and D.C. Smith (Missouri Geological Survey), or were interpreted from drill-hole data (Blair and others, 1992). Faults in the Precambrian basement were inferred from unpublished gravity and aeromagnetic terrace maps provided by L.E. Cordell and A.E. McCaffery (U.S. Geological Survey) and from a basement map by Berendsen and Blair (1991). This criterion was interpreted rather subjectively, without regard to length or orientation of faults; the only factor considered was the presence or absence of faults, with increasing weight given (qualitatively) for a greater number of faults present in the assessment block under consideration.

2. Presence or proximity of faults or fault zones, also to allow upward movement of metalliferous brines. Faults and fault zones in the Paleozoic sedimentary rocks were mapped at the surface and compiled on unpublished maps by J.R. McCauley (Kansas Geological Survey) and D.C. Smith (Missouri Geological Survey), or were interpreted from drill-hole data (Blair and others, 1992). Faults in the Precambrian basement were inferred from unpublished gravity and aeromagnetic terrace maps provided by L.E. Cordell and A.E. McCaffery (U.S. Geological Survey) and from a basement map by Berendsen and Blair (1991). This criterion was interpreted rather subjectively, without regard to length or orientation of faults; the only factor considered was the presence or absence of faults, with increasing weight given (qualitatively) for a greater number of faults present in the assessment block under consideration.

3. Presence of "favorable geo