



EXPLANATION

Earthquake epicenters, scaled by earthquake magnitude

- Less than 2.0
- Between 2.0 and 2.9
- Between 3.0 and 3.9
- 4.0 or greater

Historical earthquake with moment magnitude of at least 5.0

Seismometer locations

Seismometer location showing date of installation

Oct. 1974 to Dec. 31, 1976

Jan. 1, 1977 to Dec. 31, 1982

Jan. 1, 1983 to Aug. 8, 1989

Strong-motion accelerograph location

P-wave velocity contours, km/s

Depth range 0-5 km

Depth range 5-14 km

Indicators of trend of greatest horizontal compressive stress

For well bore breaks; circle locates well, number keyed to table 3

Trend of P-axis from earthquake focal mechanism; dot locates epicenter, number keyed to table 3

Single earthquake focal mechanism; shaded quadrants indicate compressional arrivals

Sand blow deposits from 1811-12

Deposits cover 1-24 percent of ground

Deposits cover more than 25 percent of ground

State boundary

County boundary

Selected city or town if not identified by road intersections

Limited access, primary, or secondary road

Railroad

Selected river, stream, or irrigation ditch

Wide river, selected lake or pond

INTRODUCTION

This is one of a series of five seismotectonic maps of the seismically active New Madrid, Missouri, area (table 1) and is the second in the series. The map shows the locations of major earthquakes that struck during the winter of 1811-12 (Faulk, 1912; Nutti, 1973). These earthquakes and continuing subsequent seismicity rank the New Madrid area with Charlevoix, Quebec, and the San Andreas area as one of the most seismically active in the United States. The area is the focus of many investigations (for examples, Hey and Melvin, 1979; Hey and McKeeon, 1979; Hey and Hopper, 1980; Hey and McKeeon, 1980; and Johnson, 1991). Applied Technology Council, 1991; Johnston and others, 1992). The map area includes the most intense seismic activity in the New Madrid region.

The map is intended to be a catalog of the geologic and geophysical information needed to assess seismic hazard (Hadley and Devine, 1974; Pavoni, 1985). A previous map (Hey and McKeeon, 1979) of the central Mississippi River valley to the south of the New Madrid seismic zone was developed as a base map for plotting data collected during single investigations, and for compiling a range of information. Since 1978 numerous researchers have greatly advanced our knowledge of the geology and geophysics of the central Mississippi River valley. This new seismotectonic map folio updates approximately the south-central sixth of the central Mississippi Valley seismotectonic map of Hey and McKeeon (1979).

SEISMOTECTONIC DATA

We used the AGCNET seismic information system (GIS) software to compile and edit the maps in this series (table 1). The digital data base that we compiled can be used to produce diverse products in addition to the seismotectonic maps (Rhea and others, 1991). The digital data base is available separately (Tarr, 1991).

BASE MAP

No topographic contours are shown on the base map because most of the Mississippi embayment is flat and people tend to locate themselves on maps in the field in reference to a few nearby landmarks instead of topographic features. The base map is hydrologic instead of hydrostratigraphic, because most of the surface and hydrologic features are shown on the surficial and hydrologic feature map (table 1; Wheeler and Rhea, 1994). The roads, streams, and other linear features are modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital line graph (DLG) data, which are derived from the standard 1:250,000-scale topographic and plumbline maps (U.S. Geological Survey, 1989). All railroads, state and county boundaries, limited access, primary, and secondary roads, and county boundaries are shown. Rivers, streams, and irrigation systems commonly used as geographic references are on the base map. Large streams that are identified by Holocene alluvium in the valleys on Saucer and Stauder (1989) or by wide plains or extended meanders in the flood plains on 1:250,000-scale topographic maps or selected large lakes are shown on the base map, although not all drainages are.

GEOLOGIC SETTING

The map area is situated on the eastern half of the Mississippi embayment, a gentle syncline that plunges southwardly beneath the coastal plain. The syncline is filled with Mesozoic and Cenozoic sediments and sedimentary rocks that overlie the Paleozoic rocks of the midcontinent. Accordingly, we show the edge of the embayment on the map, which is the contact between the midcontinent rocks on the northwest and younger strata on the southeast. The contact trends northeast across the northwest corner of the map area. The contact was digitized from geologic maps published at a scale of 1:250,000 (for example, Johnson and others, 1979). The digitizing generalized the contact slightly by omitting several small outliers of Cenozoic rocks and small inliers of Ordovician rocks.

SEISMIC NETWORK

Saint Louis began the seismic monitoring network in 1974 and now operates it jointly with Memphis State University. Stations were added in most years until 1989 and the largest stations were added in 1980 (S.C. Chiu, L. Hwang, and M. Melvin, written commun., 1991, table 1). The 32 stations that were operational when this map was issued are shown; of these 26 remain operational in December 1992. Most of the station locations are reported to the nearest 0.0001° (about 10 m) to the nearest 0.0001° (about 10 m). The station locations are about 150 m apart, so their symbol maps are nearly superimposed. Several stations have complete histories of instrument upgrades or additions. Further details are available in the Saint Louis Seismograph Network (SLSN) report (SLSN, distributed by Saint Louis University, and the "Center for Earthquake Research and Information Quarterly Seismological Bulletin," distributed by Memphis State University).

ACCELEROGRAPHES

The number and locations of strong-motion stations in the map area change often. Bushy (1990) listed 18 analog and 6 digital accelerographs operated by several agencies and universities. We show the 23 digital stations that were operational in December 1992. Most locations are reported to the nearest 0.0001° (about 10 m). The station locations are reported to the nearest 0.01° (about 1.10 km). Further details are in the digital database STRONGM0, which is maintained by the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER) Strong Ground Motion Group at the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory.

EARTHQUAKE EPICENTERS

Network catalog data are from Saint Louis University (Taylor and others, 1991); epicenters and magnitudes are from table 1 (about 1 km). Nine percent of the epicenters in the map area have calculated precisions (ERH) of 5 km or less; most of these epicenters have ERH values of 1 km or less. Total location uncertainty, however, includes inaccuracies and perhaps imprecisions from other sources and probably extends beyond the 1 km range. The data shown in the map area between July 1974 and June 1991. The denser cluster of epicenters forms a band trending northwest from near Dyersburg, Tennessee, to near New Madrid, Missouri. The data are the same as those used in the digital accelerograph network (STRONGM0). The added precision of the PANDA data improves estimates of depths more than of epicenters, so the depths are from the permanent network (Hey and others, 1991; Chiu and others, 1991; Chiu and others, 1992; L.M. Chiu, oral commun., 1992). Therefore, for consistency we show the epicenters from the permanent network instead of the PANDA data.

Several earthquakes that occurred before the permanent network was in place were larger and caused more damage than any recorded by the permanent network. The 8 largest earthquakes that Johnson (1991) estimated occurred in the 1811-12 seismic zone (table 2) are indicated on the map. Since 1811 all parts of the map area have undergone Modified Mercalli intensity VIII or greater at least once.

Several earthquakes that occurred before the permanent network was in place were larger and caused more damage than any recorded by the permanent network. The 8 largest earthquakes that Johnson (1991) estimated occurred in the 1811-12 seismic zone (table 2) are indicated on the map. Since 1811 all parts of the map area have undergone Modified Mercalli intensity VIII or greater at least once.

P-WAVE VELOCITY

Al-Shabani and Mitchell (1988) calculated P-wave velocity averaged within large crustal blocks; both reports include contour maps of the calculated velocities. We show the contours from the report, which used seismic waves from the permanent network and seismic waves from the 1811-12 seismic zone. Map showing seismic and hydrologic features in the vicinity of New Madrid, Missouri (table 1; Wheeler, R.L., Rhea, Susan, and Tarr, A.C., 1992, Seismotectonic and related maps of the central Mississippi Valley and environs, Seismological Research Letters, v. 63, p. 225-240).

Wheeler, R.L., Rhea, Susan, and Tarr, R.J., 1994, Map showing structure of the Mississippi Valley graben in the vicinity of New Madrid, Missouri, U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-2264-A, scale 1:250,000.

Zoback, M.D., and Zoback, M.L., 1991, Tectonic stress field of North America and relative plate motion in Steinberg, D.B., Johnson, J.M., Zoback, M.D., and Blackwell, D.B., eds., Neotectonics of North America, Geological Society of America, Decade Map Volume 1, p. 339-366.

Table 1. Maps in the U.S. Geological Survey seismotectonic folio of the vicinity of New Madrid, Mo.

Map	Theme: features shown	Reference
MF-2264-A	Seismically active epicenters, focal mechanisms, seismic velocities, instrument locations, and aspects of liquefaction.	This map
MF-2264-B	Crustal structure, epicenters, and large structures inferred from gravity, seismic reflection, seismic refraction, and magnetotelluric data.	Rhea and Wheeler (1994a)
MF-2264-C	Geophysical surveys, epicenters, and lines of gravity, aeromagnetic, magnetotelluric, seismic reflection, and seismic refraction surveys and models.	Rhea and Wheeler (1994b)
MF-2264-D	Bedrock geology: epicenters, seismic reflection, and seismic refraction surveys and models.	Wheeler and others (1994)
MF-2264-E	Single-event focal mechanisms, seismic reflection, and seismic refraction surveys and models.	Wheeler and Rhea (1994)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank R.L. Dan for digitized geologic data, S.C. Chiu, Lotte Haas, and Melvin Whitington for lists of seismograms, R.L. Street and personnel of the Lamont-Dobryn Earth Observatory for assistance, and B.W. Johnson for the use of the permanent network and for permission on unpublished focal mechanisms. A.C. Johnson for preparing the descriptive text, and S.C. Chiu and K.L. Mickus for unpublished focal mechanisms. J.M. Chiu for discussions. The manuscript was improved by suggestions of A.J. Crone, J.S. Gomberg, and R.F. Madole.

REFERENCES

Author	Title	Source
Al-Shabani, A., and Mitchell, R., 1988, Tectonic stress variations in the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 93, no. B7, p. 6375-6393.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Al-Shabani, A., and Mitchell, R., 1987, Tectonic stress variations and seismicity in the New Madrid seismic zone: <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 92, no. B7, p. 6375-6393.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 1991, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 96, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 1992, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 97, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 1993, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 98, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 1994, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 99, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 1995, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 100, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 1996, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 101, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 1997, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 102, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 1998, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 103, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 1999, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 104, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 2000, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 105, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 2001, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 106, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 2002, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 107, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 2003, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 108, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 2004, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 109, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 2005, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 110, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.	
Anderson, J.M., and Johnson, J.M., 2006, The seismicity of the central Mississippi Valley: A comparison of seismic and geodetic data, <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , v. 111, no. B1, p. 1491-1509.	U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1712, 1 sheet, scale	