



By Vard H. Johnson

produces coke somewhat inferior to Sunnyside coke. From a comparison of the analyses and properties of the coking coal in hole 10 with that found in the surrounding holes, it is apparent that large areas of coal east of the confluence of Coal Creek and Anthracite Creek have the same coking qualities.

RELATION OF GEOLOGY TO COKING QUALITY

[illegible]

1. Lee, W. T., Coal fields of Great West and the West Elks Mountains, Colorado. U. S. Geol. Survey Bull., 1: 230, 1920.
2. Lee, W. T., op. cit., p. 32.
3. Lee, W. T., op. cit., p. 32.
4. Thompson, A. A., Davis, J. D., and Reynolds, D. A., Investigation of coal deposits in the Great West district, Cannonville County, Colorado, Progress Report, U. S. Geol. Survey, Wash., D. C., April 14, 1924.
5. Reynolds, D. A., and others, Carbonizing properties of western coals: U. S. Min. Mus. Tech. Paper 10, 1924.
6. Analyses of Colorado coals: U. S. Min. Mus. Tech. Paper 57a, pp. 56-59, and 74-77.
7. Barry, T. F., Coals of the Hoagy Mountain and Great Plain regions: Industrial Coals with particular reference to Utah, 1927: Trends in the use of energy in the Western States with particular reference to Utah, 1928: U. S. Min. Mus. Tech. Paper 3, 1928.

TABLE 2.—ESTIMATED RESERVES OF COAL IN BEDS MORE THAN 30 INCHES THICK

In the second column, the coal beds are identified as follows:
A - Old King bed, B - Somerset bed, AB - Snowhose bed (where split it conforms to the A and B beds near Somerset), C - Bear bed, D - lower coal bed of upper coal member, E - middle bed of upper coal member,
DE - Oliver bed, H - Newcasnet bed

Tonnage is calculated by the formula $E \times A \times 1.13$ = millions of tons, where E = thickness in feet, A = area in square miles, and 1.13

In general, the reliability of the estimates is proportional to the number of observations.

Township	Group or bed	Number	Average	Area	Reserves	Township
----------	--------------	--------	---------	------	----------	----------

and Range	of obs- ervations	thickness of coal (feet) (1)	under- lain by coal (sq. mi.)	(millions of tons) (3)	totals (millions of tons) (3)
--------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------------	--	------------------------------	--

				(2)
	1	4	3.9	19. (4) 83.4

T. 13 S.	B	5	15.5	18 (4)	325.0
R. 91 W.	C	2	5.5	18 (4)	112.0
	Lower coal member		24.9		520.4

Upper coal member	(6)	15.	16	<u>270.0</u>	<u>790.4</u>
-------------------	-----	-----	----	--------------	--------------

A	3	3.6	35	142.5
B	13	15.6	32 1/2 (5)	578.0
C	10	7.4	34 1/2 (5)	238.8
		<u>26.6</u>		<u>1059.3</u>

1. 13 3.	13	11.5	29 1/2 (S)	583.5
R. 90 W.	8	6.2	29 1/2 (S)	205.7

Upper coal member	17.7	580.0	1599.3
42	16	10.0	33
		405.0	

T. 13 S.	Lower coal member	12	3.5	24	95.0
R. 89 W.			14.4		505.0

Upper coal member D	2	3.6	12	47.6	556.6
	3	3.3	6	22.4	

A	5	9.5	0	66.0
B	7	9.2	14	144.0
C	6	5.6	14	88.8
Lower coal member		18.0		255.0

7. 14 S.	D	5	8.0	13	73.5
7. 20 W.	E	6	14.0	13	205.8
		6	8.0	10	37.3

Upper coal member	24.7	356.6	611.6
-------------------	------	-------	-------

7. 14 S.	Lower coal member	2	8.3	11	103.2
8. 89 W.	Upper coal member	2	7.8	10	88.2
					<u>191.4</u>

Total reserves in beds more than 30 inches thick.....	3743.3
---	--------

Reserves in beds 14 to 30 inches thick.....	488.8
Grand total.....	4165.3

(1) Average of observations shown in previous column.
(2) Corrected for burned outcrops and mining depletion.
(3) Total tonnage not necessarily recoverable.

(4) Estimates corrected for burned outcrop.
(5) Estimates corrected for coal mined.
(6) Thickness projected from R. 90 W.

PAONIA COAL FIELD, COLORADO
COLUMBIAN, MAG.

PRELIMINARY MAP

