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ILLUSTRAMIONS
Plate 1. Map of Chinle area, arizona, showing geology and locations of wells.

In late January 1948 the Geological Survey was requested to investigate the possibilities of obtaining additional water sumnlies at four sites on the Navajo Indian Reservation. Each sive was given a priority, and the site at Chinle was designated as second of the four in importance. Field work was to be completed and reports submitted to the Navajo Service by April 9, 1948.

Location
Chinle is situated in Beautiful Valley, about 42 miles north of Ganado and about 40 miles northwest of Window Rock. Beautiful Valley is wide and flat, as it has been cut into the gently-dioping, easily eroded Chinle formation. The community of Chinle is located near the mouth of Canyon de Chelly, about 2 miles unstream from the confluence of Chinle Wash and de Chelly Wash. The mouth of Canyon de Chelly is about l mile east of Chirle, and east of this point the canyon is narrow and deep, being cut into massive sandstone.

## Problem

The existing water supply consists of a dug and drilled well, 176 feet deep, in the alluvial fill of Canyon de Chelly. The well was reported to produce about 85 gallons per minute. Additional water is needed for a possible increase in population to a total of 1,200 people. At a daily rate of 150 gallons per verson, a continuous sunply of about 125 gallons per minute of water would be needed. If the water is produced in an 8 -hour neriod each day, a suobly of about 375 gallons per minute would be needed. The most probable source of additional water was reported to be the alluvial fill of Canyon de Chelly.

## Field work

The work was under the general suoervision of S. F. Turner, District Figineer (Ground Vater). L. C. Halpenny, engineer, and S. C. Brown, geologist, began work in the Chinle area January 26,1948 , and completed the field reconnaissance February 3. The work was hampered by heavy snows which covered the land surface and made field work difficult.

## GROLOGY OF THE CHINLE ARTA

There are two principal stratigraphic units crooping out in the immediate vicinity of Chinle. The Chinle formation, of Triassic age, lies unconformably unon the DeCheliy sandstone member of the Cutler formation, of Permian age. About 6 miles west of Chinle, the Chinle formation is overlain by the Glen Canyon group. Gregoryl/ shows the Shinarumo conglomerate, of Triassic age, lying between the DeChelly sandstone member of the Cutler formation and the Chinle formation to the south of Canyon de Chelly. However, the authors did not observe any exposures of the Shinarumo conglonerate south of the canyon along the Chinle-Sawmill road. The Shinarump is also absent on the north side of the canyon.

I/
Gregory, H. F., Geology of the Navajo country; a reconnaissance of parts of Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah: U. S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 93, pl. 2, 1917.

At the mouth of Canyon de Chelly, the DeChelly sandstone member of the Cutler formation dips westward under the Chinle formation. The overlying Chinle formation has a strike of $\mathrm{N} .15^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. and a dip of $2^{\circ} 4^{\prime}$ 'w. , based on computations by the three-point method from a planetable survey.

The Chinle formation, of Triassic age, is estimated to be about 1,100 feet thick in the Chinle area. It lies unconformably on the DeChelly sandstone member of the Cutler formation and underlies the Glen Canyon group. The Chinle-Glen Canyon contact apparently is locally unconformable.

The upper part of the Chinle formation is about 350 feet thick. It consists of alternating beds of red sandy shale, variegated marly shale, and red to brown sandstone. The shales range up to 20 feet in thickness, and the sandstone beds range between 3 and 5 feet in thickness. The section is somewhat calcareous throughout. The middle part of the Chinle formation is about 700 feet thick and is predominantly variegated shales of pink, red, ashy gray, lavender, and dark gray. Petrified wood in chunks and logs is abundant in the gray-colored zones. Thin beds and lenses of sandstone and impure limestone are present throughout the middle section. The lower part of the Chinle formation is about 150 feet thick and consists of gray and brown sandstone beds ranging in thickness from 5 to 25 feet and seoarated by beds of red to brown arenaccous shale. The sandstone beds are thin-bedded to massive, lenticular, ripole-marked, and contain fragments of petrified wood.

About 40 feet of nonstratified, poorly sorted boulder gravel lies unconformably uvon the DeChelly candstone member of the Cutler formation and the Chinle formation at two nlaces immediately south of the canyon mouth. The boulders are oredominantly of sandstone and range un to 1 foot in diameter. These gravels are considered Quaternary or Tertiary ( $?$ ) in age. They were denosited on a high-level bench formed by an ancient meander of the stream. After the bench was formed on the sandstone and shale, the gravels were decosited. Later the stream channel was deepened to its oresent position, leaving the gravel remnants high above the present channel.

DeChelly Wash is partially filled with quicksand, scattered boulders, and gravel lenses to a deoth of at least 220 feet. The fill is considered as of Quaternary age in this revort. The log of well 10R-156 shows 78 feet of gravel between 98 and 176 feet. A test well about 350 feet north of well loR-156 was drilled to a deoth of 222 feet, and was renorted to have encountered 220 feet of quicksand overlying 2 feet of shale. A second well is now being drilled 20 feet from well 10R-156, and the last report showed that no gravel was encountered above 140 feet. The logs of the three wells indicate that the gravel beds are very sootty and lenticular.

No faults were observed in the area. The westward din of the beds reoresents the west side of the Defiance uolift.

## GROUND WATER

## Occurrence of ground water

The DeChelly sandstone member of the Cutler formation is not generally recognized as an aquifer in the area, but an examination of the outcrops indicates that where it is below the water table it should produce water. The oossibilities for obtaining water from this formation will be discussed later in the report. The sand members in the Chinle formation yield water to stock wells in Beautiful Valley and at Many Farms, which is 16 miles north of Chinle. At Chinle, the formation is probably not water bearing, as only one thin bed of sandstone occurs in that part of the formation underlying the commuity. The \&uaternary or Tertiary (?) alluvium is not water bearing, as it lies above the water table.

The water table is near the surface in the quaternary alluvial fill of Canyon de Chelly. The depth below the land surface ranges from a few inches near the stream to about 4 feet near the outer edge of the flood plain. Nothing is known of the seasonal and annual range of fluctuation of the water table. Movement of the ground water is downstream, at about the same gradient as that of the surface flow. Three wells produce water from the alluvial fill to the east of Chinle: wells C-2, 10R-156, and 250. Records of these wells are given in tables 1, 2, and 3, and the locations are shown on plate 1. Of the three wells, the most productive is well 10R-156, which is used to supply water for the school and community at Chinle. Vell 250 is equipped with a windmill and furnishes water for stock and domestic use for the nearby Indians. Well C-2 supplies water to the headquarters of Canyon de Chelly National Monument and to Thunderbird Ranch.

The log of well loR-156 shows that water-bearing beds of gravel and sand were encountered at a depth of 98 to 176 feet, with the most productive horizon reported at 152 to 174 feet. The well was dug and sealed to a depth of 42 feet. Below this depth a drilled hole extends to a depth of 176 feet. This was cased with 4 inch pioe, with 20 feet of $3 \frac{1}{2}$ inch, 80 -gage, button screen set at 135 to 155 feet. The 4 inch oive was sealed at the bottom of the well and extends a foot or two into the bottom of the dug caisson. The well is reoorted to be oroducing from the screened zone. Two turbine pumps are installed in the dug portion of the well, one a gasoline-powered, standay pump and the other an electric-powered Dump that is controlled by a float and automatic switch on the storage tank. Both oumps have the suction pipe installed about 2 feet above the bottom of the dug caisson.

Pumping test
A pumping test was made on well 10R-156 from January 28 to January 31. Observations of water level were also made in well 250 during the veriod of the test. Prior to the pumping test, the automatic electric turbine pump was overating nearly 24 hours a day. The discharge into the storage tank was unknown, and could not be measured because of the arrangement of water lines. However, the specific capacity of the well was computed as 5 gallons per minute per foot of drawdown, based on a drawdown of 26 feet with a discharge of 130 gallons per minute. Under normal operation, with the electric turbine pumping into the storage tank, the drawdown was about 16 feet. Based on the specific capacity of the well, the normal discharge was aporoximately 80 gallons per mimute.

Cn the morning of Jamuary 29, an attempt was made to shut off the electric pump long enough to determine the static water level, but the first attempt falled due to the necessity for refilling the water tank. at $4: 24 \mathrm{o}$. m. the pump was again shut off until 10:03 a. m. January 30. The water level recovered to a vosition of aporoximate equilibrium, 6.93 feet below the rim of the manhole in the top of the caisson. Both pumps were then turned on for $3 \frac{1}{2}$ hours in order to fill the storage tank. The automatic electric pump was then shut off, and an attempt was made to operate the gasoline pump at a constant discharge for a length of time sufficient for the water level in the well to attain equilibrium. at a discharge of 131 gallons per minute the water level in the well approached equilibrium at about 33 feet below the measuring point. A greater discharge could not be used for fear of lowering the water level to such an extent that oil on the surface of the water would enter the pump intake. However, about $11 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} ., \mathrm{January} 30$, the storage tank again became empty, and water had to be pumped into the tank. The pump was then shut down to obtain the recovery of the water level, but the valves on the line to the tank failed, allowing the tank of water to drain back into the well. The test was discontinued at this stage, and the automatic electric pump was turned on. The Theis? recovery method was apolied to the data collected, using the recovery curve obtained the night of January 29-30 and the discharge and drawdown obtained the night of January 30-31. The transmissibility of the aquifer was computed to be about 2,100 . The soecific cadacity of the well was computed as 5 gallons per minute per foot of drawdown, from the steady discharge of 131 gallons per minute and the resulting drawdown of 26 feet. On the basis of the data obtained from well 10R-156 and well 250 , the extent of the cone of depression of well 10R-156 was computed. For instance, with a steady discharge of 130 gallons per minute, the drawdown at a distance of 133 feet would be 1 foot.

During the pumping test it was noted that consumption of water at Chinle was abnormally high. The water storage tanic, which is situated on an 80 -foot hill between the well and the school, has a reported capacity of 20,000 gallons. During the test period the tank would usually be emptied in about 8 hours, and on one occasion the tank of water was used up in 6 hours. Based on an estimated population of 300 at Chinle, the use of water was at least 200 gallons and may have been as much as 250 gallons per verson per day.

## QUALITY OF WATBR

Three samples of water from wells in the Chinle area were analyzed in the Geological Survey laboratory in Albuquerque. The analyses are given in table 3. The waters are hard but are otherwise suitable for domestic use.

Table 2 indicates that wells $\mathrm{C}-2$ and 250 were drilled 41 feet and 28 feet, respectively, into the DeChelly sandstone member of the Cutler formation. The waters from these wells are higher in calcium, sulfate, and chloride, and lower in bicarbonate, than the water from well 10R-156, which did not penetrate the DeChelly sandstone member. This difference in chemical character indicates that at least some water is being produced from the DeChelly sandstone member in wells C-2 and 250.
2/
Theis, C. $\nabla$., The relation between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using groundwater storage: Am. Geophys. Union Trans., p. 520, 1935.

## possibilitites of cbtaining additional water

Cn the basis of the oumping test, a well for a supolemental water supoly would have to be at least 500 feet from well 10R-156 to prevent serious overlapoing of the two cones of depression. At a distance of 450 feet between wells, the lowering of the water table midway between the two wells would be abou.t 2 feet. However, it was impossible to olace a new well farther than about 350 feet downstream from well 10R-156 and keen the new well out of the stream channel. Therefore, a site for a test well was selected 350 feet north of well 10R-156. The location was placed about the same distance from the outer edge of the fill as well 10R-156, in an effort to strike the same lens of gravel that was found in that well. Later developments indicated that well 10R-156 would be abandoned when the new well was completed, and therefore a second site was selected about 20 feet northeast of well 10R-156.

It is probable that water can be obtained from the DeChelly sandstone member of the Cutler formation, especially where it underlies the alluvial fill in Canyon de Chelly. At Chinle the beds dip under shales of the Chinle formation and farther west the conditions are such that any water in the DeChelly will be under artesian pressure. The chemical analyses indicate that the two wells which were drilled into the DeChelly (C-2 and 250) oroduce water whose quality is different from that of the water from well loR-156. This indicates that at least some water is being oroduced from the DeChelly in these two wells.

The log of well loR-156 shows that no sandstone was encountered in the well, which is 176 feet deep. A plane-table traverse indicated that the tod of the DeChelly sandstone member of the Cutler formation, uneroded, would have been encountered at 85 feet below the surface. The fact that sandstone was not encountered indicates that the canyon was scoured out at this point. It was calculated that at well 250 the DeChelly, if uneroded, would be 88 feet from the tov of the well casing, and the log shows that sandstone was encountered at 87 feet. Thus, well 250 was drilled on the rim of the buried canyon, and well 10R-156, 60 feet farther east, was drilled in a part of the canyon which is now partly filled with alluvium.

## FRECOMMBANDATIONS

On the basis of the driller's log of well loR-156 it was believed that water-bearing gravels should occur at a depth of about 100 feet within a radius of a few hundred feet from the well. accordingly, a location was selected for a test well at a distance of about 350 feet north from well 10R-156. A recommendation was made to drill into the DeChelly sandstone member of the Cutler formation in order to determine its water-bearing possibilities. The test well was drilled to a depth of 222 feet and the driller reported that the only material encountered was quicksand. The well was abandoned after drilling 2 feet in hard materis reported as shale. This hard material may have been a boulder from t.ee canyon rim or may have been the DeChelly sandstone member, but the penetration was inadequate for identification.

A site for a second test well was selected, about 20 feet northeast of well 10R-156. It is reported that, if the new well is a success, well 10R-156 will not be used except for emergency operation in the event of a temnorary shut-down of the new well. It is strongly recommended that the water-bearing dossibilities of the DeChelly member of the Cutler formation be tested by drilling at least 50 feet into the formation and making a bailing test with the overlying alluvium cased off. The pumping test showed that the alluvial fill would produce sufficient water for the presently planned expansion of the community of Chinle, but water for any further expansion would probably need to be produced from the DeChelly sandstone member. Therefore, it is highly desirable that the waterbearing properties of the sandstone be determined.

In order to obtain the maximum amount of information from the test well, samples of the well cuttings should be collected at intervals of 10 feet and for every formation change. Each sample should be at least 5 pounds. A sample of any water produced from the DeChelly sandstone member should be collected for analysis.

Table 1. - Records of wells in Chinle area, Apache County, Arizona.
(All wells are drilled unless otherwise noted in "Remarks" column)
(Locations of wells are shown on Plate 1)

| No. | Owner | Driller | Date <br> com- <br> ple- <br> ted | Altitude <br> above <br> sea <br> level | Depth of well (feet) | Diam- <br> eter <br> of <br> well <br> (in.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\mathrm{d} /}{\mathrm{c}-2}$ | National Park Service B | Burt Cravath | 1936 | - | 100 | 8 |
| $\frac{d}{10 R-156}$ | Navajo Service | do. | 1934 | - | 176 | 4 |
| $\frac{d}{250}$ | do. | do. | 1934 | - | 115 | 6 |
| C-1 | Chinle Catholic Mission | , | - | - | 21 | 120 |
| 10R-155 | Navajo Service | - | - | - | 144 | 3 |

a/ Measuring point was tod of casing or top of well curb.
 indicates horsepower.

Records obtained by L. C. Halpenny and S. C. Brown

|  | Water level |  | Pump and Dower b/ | Use of water c/ | ${ }^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{omp} .}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Depth <br> below <br> measur- <br> ing ooint <br> (feet) | Date of measurement a/ |  |  |  | Remarks |
| C-2 | 3.61 | $19 \mathrm{Feb}{ }^{3}{ }^{3},$ | ${ }_{3}^{C, G}$ | D, S | - | Furnishes water for headquarters of Canyon de Chelly National Monument and for Thunderbird Ranch. See log. |
| 10R-156 | 6.93 | $\operatorname{Jan.}_{1948} 30,$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{E} \\ 10 \end{array}$ | P | 57 | Dug to 42 feet; drilled to total depth. Dug portion is 10 feet in diameter. Standby turbine pump in well powered with gasoline engine. Furnishes water for comunity and school at Chinle. See log. |
| 250 | 11.39 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } 29, \\ & 1948 \end{aligned}$ | C,W | D, S | - | Furnishes water for stock trough and for itinerant Indians. See log. |
| C-1 | 15.98 | Feb. 3 , 1948 | None | N | - | Dug well. Reported abandoned because of poor quality of water. |
| 10R-155 | 11.10 | Feb. 2, 1948 | None | N | - | LDandoned stock well near Chinle Wash. |

c/ D, domestic; S, stock; P, Dublic supply; $\mathbb{N}$, not used.
d/ See table 3 for analysis of water sample.

Table 2. - Logs of wells in Chinle area, Apache County, Arizona. (Locations of wells are shown on Plate 1)


Table 3. - Analyses of water from wells in Chinle area, Apache County, Arizona. Numbers correspond to these in tables 1 and 2 on plate 1.

Analyses by Geoloeical Survey
(Parts per miliion except specific conductance)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Well } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Date of collection 1948 | Depth (feet) | Specific <br> conduct- <br> ance <br> (Nicro- <br> mhes at <br> $25 \mathrm{C}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cal- } \\ & \text { cium } \\ & \text { (Ca) } \end{aligned}$ | Mag-nesium ( Mg ) | ```Sodium and potassium (Na+K)``` | Bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{HCC}_{3}\right)$ | Sul- <br> fate <br> $\left(\mathrm{SC}_{4}\right)$ | Chloride (C1) | Flueride <br> (F) | Ni- <br> trate <br> $\left(\mathrm{NL}_{3}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dissolved } \\ & \text { solids } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> hard- <br> ness <br> as $\mathrm{CaCl}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c-2 | Feb. 3 | 100 | 6.58 | 94 | 24 | 24 | 307 | 105 | 16 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 429 | 333 |
| 10R-156 | Jan. 30 | 176 | 510 | 71 | 18 | 21 | 312 | 26 | 10 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 319 | 251 |
| 250 | do. | 115 | 674 | 78 | 25 | 39 | 302 | 91 | 26 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 428 | 298 |



