


PRELIMINARY INTERPRETATION OF AN AEROMAGNETIC MAP OF THE ALBANY-NEWPORT AREA, OREGON

By
Randolph W Bromery
SCALE 1:62500



Preliminary interpretation of an aeromagnetic map of the Albany-Newport area, Oregon
By Randolph W. Bromery

Introduction
An aeromagnetic survey of the Albany-Newport area in western Oregon was made by the U. S. Geological Survey in September, 1954. The surveyed area, approximately 1,200 square miles, includes the Siletz, Albany, Corvallis, Marys Peak, Toledo, and Regatta quadrangles (see index map). The study of the tectonic geology is not yet completed. The interpretation of the remaining five quadrangles is included in this report.

The geology of these five quadrangles is described in published maps of the U. S. Geological Survey by Vokes and others, 1940 and 1951 and by Baldwin (1951).
Thirty-four east-west traverses, spaced one-half mile apart, were flown approximately 750 feet above the ground. The total intensity magnetic measurements were made with a continuously recording AN-50-M1 airborne magnetometer installed in a two-engine aircraft. Supplemental quadrangle maps were used for pilot assistance, and the flight path of the aircraft was recorded by a ground-based continuous-strip-film camera. The distance from plane to ground was measured with a continuously recording radar altimeter. These data have been compiled as the aeromagnetic data-intensity magnetic contour map.

The airborne-magnetometer survey was flown as part of a geophysical survey program to aid geologic field mapping in and adjacent to the survey area and to provide information useful in the interpretation of subsurface geology.

The author is indebted to F. B. Swenley, Jr., for his assistance and cooperation during the course of the field work and in the preparation of this report.

General geology
The Albany-Newport area includes the western part of the Willamette Valley, described structurally as the southern part of the Puget-Willamette trough, and a central part of the Oregon Coast Range anticlinorium. The Siletz River Volcanic Series of early to middle Miocene age (Gowley and Baldwin, 1951) is exposed in places in the axial parts of the fold and seems to have a gentle plunge to the north-east (Vokes and others, 1951). According to Vokes, little is known of the structure of these volcanic rocks, but they are believed to be complicated by many small faults and superimposed folds. The volcanic sequence, composed mostly of basalt flows and breccia, and the overlying middle Miocene sedimentary rocks have been folded into many gentle flexures. Upper Miocene and lower and middle Pliocene marine strata dip locally off the western flank of the uplift. Marine upper Pliocene and Miocene rocks are present along the coast in the western part of the mapped area.
In the eastern part of the mapped area the Corvallis fault zone (Vokes and others, 1951) undercuts the lower and middle Miocene volcanic rocks and younger Tertiary sedimentary rocks beneath the walled alluvium of the Willamette Valley. This major northeast-trending fault zone can be traced for more than 20 miles from the northeast corner of the Regatta quadrangle to the central part of the Corvallis quadrangle, where it passes beneath the valley alluvium southeast of Corvallis. The Siletz River Volcanic Series is on the northeast or upthrown side of the fault zone, and the amount of vertical displacement along the fault is believed to be several thousand feet (Vokes and others, 1951).
The west side of the exposed Siletz River Volcanic Series is bounded by the Kings Valley fault. This normal fault trends in a northerly direction and is offset by a smaller fault near the center of the map area (Vokes and others, 1951).
In the western part of the survey area small intrusive bodies of basalt and andesite gabbro and dioritic sills and dikes are present (Baldwin, 1951; Vokes and others, 1951). Some of these intrusive bodies are fed by the lower and middle Miocene flows, and others were emplaced in post-Miocene time. The largest sill in the survey area near Marys Peak is approximately 3,000 feet thick (Baldwin, 1951).
In the extreme western part of the survey area, geologic data indicate a former marine embayment in the vicinity of Newport, where middle Miocene and younger marine sedimentary rocks are more than 15,000 feet thick (Gowley, oral communication, 1954).

Magnetic interpretation
In general, interpretation of the magnetic data of the Albany-Newport area involves the correlation of magnetic anomalies over exposed magnetic volcanic rocks with magnetic anomalies observed in areas where it is assumed that similar rocks are covered by essentially nonmagnetic sedimentary rocks. Quantitative methods of analysis of the magnetic data are as follows: (1) estimation of depths to the upper surface of magnetic rock units by comparison of observed profiles with suitable computed profiles for rectangular prismatic models as described by Vacquier and others (1951); (2) an approximation of the depth to the top of magnetic rocks through the application of the method of upward continuation developed by Henderson and Zietz (1950); (3) magnetic profiles along a flight line over exposed magnetic rocks may be projected upward until the anomaly amplitudes and gradients become favorably similar anomalies along a profile over an area believed to be underlain by the same magnetic rocks at depth; and (4) a method described by Zietz (1951) for estimating the horizontal direction and dip of the remanent magnetization vector by analyzing magnetic intensity anomalies. In this method a line drawn between the maximum and minimum anomaly amplitudes is approximately parallel to the horizontal direction of the remanent magnetization vector, and the dip of the vector is estimated from the ratio of the maximum and minimum anomaly amplitudes measured from the average magnetic level of the surrounding area. The larger the ratio the steeper the dip of the remanent magnetization vector.

In this report, depth estimates are based on the assumption that the lower-lying rocks extend to infinite depth and that the presence of anomalous remanent magnetization does not affect the magnetic intensity gradients (Zietz, 1951).
From an inspection of the magnetic map three distinct anomaly patterns are evident: (1) a zone of high-amplitude anomalies which trends northeast through the east-central part of the area and is bounded on the east and west by the Corvallis and Kings Valley faults zones of Vokes and others (1951); (2) a zone of relatively low magnetic gradients and small anomalies in the eastern part of the area, and (3) a zone of moderate- to low-amplitude magnetic anomalies in the western two-thirds of the area. The east-central zone of high-amplitude anomalies is underlain by the Siletz River Volcanic Series except in the axial portion of the major fold. In the northeast corner of this zone, the abrupt change in a relatively fine magnetic pattern suggests that magnetic rocks are deeply buried or absent. In the other parts of the zone, numerous pronounced magnetic line observed over these volcanic rocks are characteristic of rocks possessing a strong, anomalous remanent magnetization. Examples are: the large Y-shaped reversed or negative magnetic anomaly in the northeast corner of the east-central zone, and the linear magnetic low lying just within the eastern boundary of the same zone. This area in general consists of alternating northeast-trending belts of positive and negative magnetic anomalies. The orientation of these belts indicates a general east-west direction of the horizontal component of the remanent magnetization vector. The ratio of the maximum and minimum anomaly amplitudes, often more than 2 to 1, suggest that the remanent magnetization vector is steeply dipping, and possibly, in some places, reversed. Examples of pronounced reversed and the inferred magnetic low in the northeast part of the same zone, starting approximately three miles south of Corvallis. However, magnetic intensity anomalies in the Siletz River Volcanic Series in this and adjacent areas by Cox (1957) show that the remanent magnetization is steeply dipping and that the horizontal component has an east-west direction.

The contact between the high-amplitude anomalies of the east-central zone and the relatively smooth magnetic pattern of the eastern zone coincides with the mapped Corvallis fault zone (Vokes and others, 1951). Analysis of magnetic anomalies near the town of Albany, using the upward-continuation profile comparison method, indicates that the exposed volcanic rocks are buried 20,000 to 30,000 feet below the surface. The four small, steep-gradient magnetic anomalies that lie to the east of the Corvallis fault zone are very prominent. They are located as follows: the 3-shaped anomaly with the peak amplitude of 1,100 gamma in the northeast corner of the eastern zone, the two magnetic anomalies located one mile northwest of Corvallis, with peak values of 1,100 and 1,200 gamma, and the anomaly just east of the Corvallis fault zone with peak amplitude of 1,175 gamma (Zietz, 1951) and 1,100 gamma.

The western zone of the survey area can be subdivided into two parts with contrasting magnetic patterns. The eastern part of the zone is located between the Kings Valley fault and longitude 123°30' and is characterized by moderate low-amplitude magnetic anomalies. The western part is located between longitude 123°30' and the west edge of the surveyed area and is characterized by a relatively flat magnetic pattern. The pronounced differences in anomaly amplitudes and gradients between these two parts suggest a north-trending fault through the zone in the vicinity of longitude 123°30'. The relatively slight change in the amplitudes and gradients of the anomalies across the Kings Valley fault suggests that the volcanic rocks west of the fault are not developed to any great extent. The magnetic anomalies decrease in amplitude and gradient westward from the Kings Valley fault, indicating a general thinning of the overlying nonmagnetic sedimentary rocks. However, magnetic intensity anomalies are found in the area between the Kings Valley fault and longitude 123°30'. These intensity anomalies in general follow a north-south trend of the anomalies, but also show some local variations. The largest of these intrusive bodies, the thick sill which cuts across the area of the map area between longitude 123°30' and 123°35', does produce a pronounced magnetic anomaly, however.

The western half of the eastern part between longitude 123°30' and 123°35' contains two north-trending linear anomaly belts. Depth analysis of the anomalies located along 123°30', using the method of Vacquier and others (1951), indicates that the anomaly-producing rocks are buried 2,000-4,000 feet below the surface. A similar analysis of the magnetic anomalies located along 123°35' gives depths of 3,000-5,000 feet below the surface. The two linear anomaly belts lie along the southern map boundary, suggesting that they are the same magnetic unit. An effort to trace anomaly belts along latitude 44°30' N. interpreted as having been produced by a fault with a left lateral movement of approximately two miles. The amplitudes and gradients of the magnetic anomalies north and south of the inferred fault do not show any appreciable change, which may indicate little or no vertical displacement. The strike, direction, and magnitude of the magnetic anomaly effect is coincident with a mapped left lateral separation of geologic units south of the town of Siletz (Gowley, oral communication).

The flat magnetic zone in the western part of the map area shows extremely low magnetic intensity anomalies along the southern border. These anomalies are interpreted to be produced by rocks buried 5,000-10,000 feet below the surface. The pronounced magnetic low in the southeast corner of the survey area and two miles north of the southern map boundary along longitude 123°30' are likely produced by rocks having a strong remanent magnetization. The magnetically derived area in the vicinity of Newport and south of Siletz indicates that magnetic rocks are either deeply buried or absent.

A regional gravity profile was made by the author along the coast to the western boundary of the map area. Interpretation of the gravity data suggests that low-density sedimentary rocks in the vicinity of Newport are approximately 10,000 feet thick and that high-density probably volcanic rocks are at relatively shallow depths in the southwestern part of the survey area.

References cited
Baldwin, B. M., 1951, Geology of the Marys Peak and Alsea quadrangles, Oregon: U. S. Geol. Survey Oil and Gas Inv. Map 98-160.
Cox, Albert, 1957, Remanent magnetization of lower to middle Miocene basalt flows from Oregon: Nature, vol. 179, p. 659-660.
Henderson, R. G., and Zietz, Isadore, 1950, The upward continuation of magnetic intensity fields: Geophysics, v. 15, no. 4, p. 317-332.
Gowley, F. D., Jr., and Baldwin, B. M., 1950, Siletz River volcanic series, northwestern Oregon: In Assoc. Petroleum Geologists Bull., v. 30, p. 805-812.
Vacquier, V., Rosenblatt, R. C., Henderson, R. G., and Zietz, I., 1951, Interpretation of aeromagnetic maps: Geol. Soc. America, Memoir 57, 151 p.
Vokes, H. R., Meyer, D. A., and Brown, Lino, 1954, Geology of the west-central border area of the Willamette Valley, Oregon: U. S. Geol. Survey Oil and Gas Inv. Map 98-150.
Vokes, H. R., Burkhart, R., Swenley, F. D., Jr., 1950, Geology of the Newport-Willamette area, Lincoln and Lane counties, Oregon: U. S. Geol. Survey Oil and Gas Inv. Prelim. Map 80.
Zietz, Isadore, 1951, Remanent magnetization and aeromagnetic interpretation (unpubl.) Soc. Marine Geophysics Lecture, p. 235.

Oregon (Albany-Newport area). Aeromagnetic. 1:62,500. 1962.

