


U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY:

Reports-Open file series, no. ~~65~~: 1962.



(200)
R29o
no.62-156

188659



no. 655, 1962

(200)
R290
no. 655


USGS LIBRARY - RESTON



3 1818 00082871 3

✓
U. S. Geological Survey:

Reports - Open file series, no. 655:1962.



30 JUL 1964

(200)
R290
no. 655

Tube of 9 tables
separately shelled
~~in locked dials~~
with rolled maps
(on 34 sheets)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

62-156

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

[Reports - open file series, no. 655]

Description, composition, and tenor of unconsolidated sediments in
monazite-bearing tributaries to the Catawba River in the
western Piedmont of North Carolina

by

Amos M. White

OPEN FILE REPORT

THIS MATERIAL IS PRELIMINARY
AND HAS NOT BEEN EDITED OR
REVIEWED FOR CONFORMITY WITH
U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY STANDARDS
OR NOMENCLATURE.

1962

This report concerns work done on behalf of the Division of Raw
Materials of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission

To accompany
Weld - Int. 2905
(200)
R290
no. 655

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Washington, D. C.

For release AUGUST 8, 1962

The Geological Survey is releasing in open files the following reports. Copies are available for consultation in the Geological Survey Library, 1033 GSA Bldg., Washington, D. C.:

1. Geology of the Wilson Peak stock, San Miguel Mountains, Colorado, by C. S. Bromfield. 154 p., 1 pl., 37 figs., 8 tables.
2. Exploration of the Jefren gypsum-anhydrite deposit, Tripolitania, Libya, by J. L. Gualtieri. 65 p., 11 pl., 3 figs.
3. A geologic report on the iron deposit of the Shatti Valley area of the Fezzan Province, Libya, by G. H. Goudarzi. 77 p., 38 pl., 10 figs., 3 tables.
4. Report on Marada, Pisida, Idri and Tauorga salt deposits in Libya, by G. H. Goudarzi. 31 p., 11 pl.
5. Idri salt deposits, Fezzan Province, Libya, by G. H. Goudarzi. 36 p., 5 pl., 7 figs., 19 tables.

Copies of the following report are available for consultation in the Geological Survey Library, 345 Middlefield Rd., Menlo Park, California:

6. Preliminary geologic map of the Strawberry Mine area, Madera County, California, by Dallas L. Peck. 1 map.

Copies of the following reports are available for consultation at the Geological Survey Libraries, 1033 GSA Bldg., Washington, D. C.; Bldg. 25, Federal Center, Denver, Colo.; and 345 Middlefield Rd., Menlo Park, Calif.:

7. Description, composition, and tenor of unconsolidated sediments in monazite-bearing tributaries to the Catawba River in the western Piedmont of North Carolina, by Amos M. White. 17 p., 2 figs., 9 tables. A copy from which reproductions can be made at private expense is available in the Library, 1033 GSA Bldg., Washington, D.C.
8. Description, composition, and tenor of unconsolidated sediments in monazite-bearing tributaries to the Broad River in the western Piedmont of South Carolina and North Carolina, by P. K. Theobald, Jr. A copy from which reproductions can be made at private expense is available in the Library, 1033 GSA Bldg., Washington, D. C.
9. Description, composition, and tenor of unconsolidated sediments in monazite-bearing tributaries to the Enoree, Tyger, and Pacolet Rivers in the western Piedmont of South Carolina, by Norman P. Cuppels. 17 p., 2 figs., 10 tables. A copy from which reproductions can be made at private expense is available in the Library, 1033 GSA Bldg., Washington, D. C.

10. TET-809. Geology of the Williston basin, North Dakota, Montana, and South Dakota, with reference to subsurface disposal of radioactive wastes, by Charles A. Sandberg. 148 p., 28 figs. Also on file at 468 New Custom House, Denver, Colo.; 437 Federal Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah; Water Resources Div., USGS, Room 201, 1 North 7th St. West, Billings, Mont.; North Dakota Geological Survey, University Station, Grand Forks, No. Dak.; South Dakota Geological Survey, Vermillion, So. Dak.

11. Bouguer gravity map of the Twin Buttes area, Pima and Santa Cruz Counties, Arizona, by Donald Plouff. 1 map. Also on file at 437 Federal Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah; 602 Thomas Bldg., Dallas, Texas; Arizona Bureau of Mines, University of Arizona, Tucson, Ariz.; 1031 Bartlett Bldg., Los Angeles, Calif.; 232 Appraisers Bldg., San Francisco, Calif.; 468 New Custom House, Denver, Colo. Copy from which reproductions can be made at private expense are available in the Library, Bldg. 25, Federal Center, Denver, Colo.

Additional depositories are hereby announced for the following report, placed on open file on May 10, 1962:

Aeromagnetic maps of the Twin Buttes area, Pima and Santa Cruz Counties, Arizona, flown at 500 feet above ground and flown at 4,000 feet barometric elevation, by G. E. Andreasen and J. A. Pitkin. 2 maps. Now also on file at 468 New Custom House, Denver, Colo.; 1031 Bartlett Bldg., Los Angeles, Calif.; and 232 Appraisers Bldg., San Francisco, Calif.

* * * * *

1
2
3
4
5-
6
7
8
9
10-
11
12
13
14
15-
16
17
18
19
20-
21
22
23
24
25-

Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Location of the samples	3
Description of the tables	6 3
Block, station number, and depth of sample below	
surface of flood plain	8 4
Sample numbers	8 4
Material sampled	9 5
Screening characteristics	10 6
Minerals in concentrate	14 12
References cited	17 12

Illustrations--long list

Page

Figure 1. Index to areas used for placer appraisal between
the Savannah and Catawba Rivers, South

Carolina and North Carolina

5 in pocket

2. Map showing drainage and distribution of samples,
Savannah River-Catawba River district, South

Carolina and North Carolina

7 in pocket

Tables

(Numbers follow in sequence from report by P. K. Theobald, Jr., 1962.)

Page

Table 36.	Descriptions of sediments sampled along Indian Creek	
	and other tributaries to the South Fork Catawba	
	River, Lincoln County, Catawba County, and	
	Gaston County, N. C.	3
37.	Descriptions of sediments sampled along Clark Creek,	
	Catawba County and Lincoln County, N. C.	3
38.	Descriptions of sediments sampled along Jacob Fork,	
	Burke County, Catawba County, Cleveland County,	
	and Lincoln County, N. C.	3
39.	Descriptions of sediments sampled along downstream	
	part of Henry Fork, Burke County and Catawba	
	County, N. C.	3
40.	Descriptions of sediments sampled along Laurel Creek	
	and other tributaries to Henry Fork, Burke	
	County, N. C.	3
41.	Descriptions of sediments sampled along Lyle Creek	
	and other tributaries to the Catawba River,	
	Catawba County, N. C.	4 3
42.	Descriptions of sediments sampled along Hunting Creek	
	and other tributaries to the Catawba River,	
	Burke County, N. C.	4 3

1
2
3
4
5—
6
7
8
9
10—
11
12
13
14
15—
16
17
18
19
20—
21
22
23
24
25—

Table 43. Descriptions of sediments sampled along Silver
Creek, Burke County, N. C. 43

44. Descriptions of sediments sampled along Muddy Creek
and Shadrick Creek, McDowell County and Burke
County, N. C. 43

Description, composition, and tenor of unconsolidated sediments in
monazite-bearing tributaries to the Catawba River in the
western Piedmont of North Carolina

by

Amos M. White

Introduction

The accompanying 9 tables were prepared during 1953-54 to assist
in the appraisal of fluviatile monazite placers in the basin of the
Catawba River, North Carolina. Principal results have been summarized
(Overstreet, Theobald, and Whitlow, 1959, p. 709-714). Details of the
exploratory drilling of two monazite placers in this area were released
in 1954 (Hansen and White, 1954, p. 3-28).

The samples described were panned by the writer with the assistance
of J. W. Wissert, B. F. Spradlin, G. A. Miller, and W. J. Hoppe³
between April and November 1952. Some samples in the extreme eastern
part of the area were collected by N. P. Cuppels, D. W. Caldwell, and
W. C. Overstreet in November 1952. The methods used to collect the
samples and pan the concentrates have been described in detail
(Theobald, 1957, p. 3-6).

Hoppes

THIS MATERIAL IS PRELIMINARY
AND HAS NOT BEEN EDITED OR
REVIEWED FOR CONFORMITY WITH
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY STANDARDS
OR NOMENCLATURE.

1 The mineralogical analyses recorded in the tables were made in
2 1952-53 by M. N. Girhard, H. B. Groom, Jr., R. P. Marquiss, C. J.
3 Spengler, Jerome Stone, and E. J. Young in the laboratories of the
4 U.S. Geological Survey. Methods used to prepare the concentrates,
5 identify the minerals, and transpose expressions of abundance from
6 percentage by numerical frequency to percentage by weight of the con-
7 centrate have been summarized by Overstreet, Theobald, Whitlow, and
8 Stone (1956, p. 692-694). Aspects of new methods of sample splitting
9 evolved during the work were discussed by Richard Kellagher and F. J.
10 Flanagan (1956, p. 213-221). A nomogram devised to obtain percent
11 composition by weight from the grain counts of minerals was reviewed
12 by R. M. Berman (1953, p. 120-123).

13 The field and laboratory work was sponsored by the Division of
14 Raw Materials of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

Location of the samples

Samples from the drainage basin of the South Fork Catawba River are described in 5 tables (tables 36-40) numbered in sequence after the tables presented by P. K. Theobald, Jr.

Theobald, P. K., Jr., 1962, Description, composition, and tenor of unconsolidated sediments in monazite-bearing tributaries to the Broad River in the western Piedmont of South Carolina and North Carolina: U.S. Geol. Survey Open-file Rept., ^{p. 13} 2 figs., 10 tables.

Samples from the drainage basin of the Catawba River are described in tables 41-44.

The location of the area to which each table refers is shown on the index to areas used for placer appraisal between the Savannah and Catawba Rivers, South Carolina and North Carolina (fig. 1).

Location of individual samples are given by the sample numbers on the figure showing distribution of samples in the Savannah River-Catawba River District, South Carolina and North Carolina (fig. 2). At many localities several samples were taken.

Description of the tables

The tables give a systematic presentation of field and laboratory data about the samples.

1 Block, station number, and depth of sample

2 below surface of flood plain

3 The block and station number identify the location of each
4 sample. Blocks are identified by letter and stations by number which
5- do not repeat in a given map area.

6 "Depth of sample below the surface of flood plain (feet)" is the
7 measure of the vertical position of a sample below the top of the
8 flood plain. For riffle samples it is the measure of the vertical
9 height of the banks of the present channel of the stream.

10- Sample numbers

11 Sample numbers follow the system: (1) the calendar year in which
12 the sample was collected is shown by the left-hand digits, (2) the
13 collector is indicated by the pair of letters, (3) the samples progress
14 in numerical sequence throughout the year, and (4) the right-hand
15- digits show the position of a sample in the sequence collected in a
16 given year by an individual. Thus, sample number 52-WE-346 was
17 collected in 1952 by A. M. White, and it is the 346th sample taken by
18 him that year. Collectors indicated by other letters are:

19 OT = W. C. Overstreet

20- DC = D. W. Caldwell

21 CS = N. P. Cuppels

Material sampled

The column headed "Material sampled" contains entries which give a summary of the position and grade size of the sediment sampled.

Position is designated as "riffle," "bank," or "terrace" accordingly as the sample was taken from the bed of the present channel of the stream, from a bank of the present channel, or from terrace deposits of an older fluvial deposition than the "bank" and "riffle" sediments.

Grade size of the unconsolidated sedimentary material sampled was classed as gravel, sand, silt, or clay according to field criteria. Clay and silt were identified by the feel and cohesiveness of the sedimentary material. Alluvium was described as clay if it was unctuous or its matrix was both unctuous and the dominant component, and if it was sufficiently tenaceous to roll into rods between one's hands. Fine-grained sediments that were incapable of being rolled into rods were called silt. Various uncohesive, gritty, fine- to coarse-grained sediments were called sand or gravel depending upon the part of the material from the original volume (0.34 cu. ft.) that passed through the sieve and was caught in a pan after washing and screening the sample through a 1/8-inch sieve. In two-component mixtures of sand and gravel the sediment was called sand if 0.18 cubic foot or more material passed through the sieve, and it was called gravel if less passed through. Three- or four-component mixtures were classed as gravel or sand accordingly as the dominant constituent was retained on the sieve or caught in a pan below the sieve.

Screening characteristics

The "Screening characteristics" of a sample are field descriptions of the sediment. Sizes of particles are divided into plus $1/4$ inch, minus $1/4$ inch to plus $1/8$ inch, and minus $1/8$ inch according to the size of aperture of sieve on which the particle was retained, or through which it passed, when the sample was screened to prepare it for panning.

The "Volume ratio" given in the table under "Screening characteristics" is an expression to show the part of the original sample in each size class. It is based on an original volume of 0.34 cubic foot, and is the measured volume in hundredths of a cubic foot of the component expressed as a whole number.

Material listed as "Minus $1/8$ inch" is divided into "Sand" and "Silt and clay." For sand the volume ratio was measured, but the silt and clay is sediment that suspends in water and cannot be measured by the system used in the field. Hence, an estimate of the silt and clay is given under "Abundance" in the same unit used for volume. The few originally larger or smaller samples than the standard have been recalculated to equal the standard volume.

1 Estimates of the percentages of the different detrital components
2 coarser than 1/8 inch were made to determine variations in the gross
3 character and degree of weathering of the bedrock in the drainage
4 basin. A dominance of quartz and potassium feldspar over rock
5 fragments indicates lack of exposures of unweathered rock in the
6 drainage basin. The "Maximum intermediate dimension (inches)" gives
7 the length of the intermediate dimension of the largest fragment in
8 the sample of alluvium.

9 Abbreviations for "Composition" and for other parts of the table
10 are:

	Word	Abbreviation
1		
2	Amphibole	amph
3	Biotite gneiss	bio gn
4	Biotite-hornblende gneiss	bio-hgn
5—	Biotite schist	bio sch
6	Calc-silicate rock	calc-silicate
7	Chlorite schist	chl sch
8	Diabase	diab
9	Epidote	ep
10—	Feldspar	fels
11	Gabbro	gb
12	Garnet	gar
13	Granite	gr
14	Hornblende	hnb
15—	Hornblende gneiss	hgn
16	Ilmenite	ilm
17	Kyanite	ky
18	Limestone	ls
19	Magnetite	magn
20—	Muscovite	musc
21	Organic fragments	organic frags
22	Pegmatite	peg
23	Quartz	qtz
24	Sillimanite schist	sil sch
24	Spinel	spi
24	Tourmaline	tour
25—	Trace	tr
	Xenotime	xen

Minerals in concentrate

The columns under "Minerals in concentrate" show the weight of the concentrate in grams, the "Sieve fraction," seven minerals of possible economic interest, seven accessory minerals, and a column for other minerals. Weight of the concentrate shows the amount of minerals panned from a sample of standard size (0.34 cu. ft.). Under "Sieve fraction" the size distribution of the minerals in the concentrate is shown by weight percentage retained on the 45, 100, and 170 mesh sieves. Where the percentage of the concentrate caught on the 32 mesh sieve or passing through the 170 mesh sieve is greater than 1 percent it is also recorded.

The seven minerals of possible economic importance are monazite, ilmenite, rutile, zircon, garnet, kyanite, and sillimanite. Abundance of each of these minerals in the panned concentrate is shown as a weight percentage of the concentrate. Dashes are used in the columns headed "Percent of concentrate" to show that the mineral was looked for but not found. Trace means that the mineral is present but makes up less than 1 percent of the weight of the concentrate. The tenors of these possibly economic minerals are given as pounds per cubic yard of sediment in place. Tenors estimated to be less than 0.1 pound per cubic yard are recorded to show the sparseness of the mineral, but the estimates of less than 0.1 pound are not reliable. Tenors have been adjusted for swell to reduce the measured volume of the sample to approximate volume in place. For reduction of swell the factors published by Peele and Church (1941, v. 1, p. 3-03) were used:

Class of alluvium	Swell (in percent)
Riffle sand and gravel	14
Bank silt, sand, and loose gravel	20
Clay and compact bank gravel	35


1 No adjustment for recovery in panning was applied to the
2 estimated tenors of the samples, because the recovery is different for
3 different minerals in the different classes of sedimentary materials.
4 Recoveries of monazite, the mineral with which the work is concerned,
5 were about 84 percent in the different materials, and the recoveries
6 of the other minerals ranged from about 40 to 90 percent with the
7 lowest recoveries being for minerals in samples of silt and clay
8 (Theobald, 1957, p. 11).

9 The abundance of the accessory minerals of no economic value
10 are shown as weight percentage of the concentrate. Estimates of tenors
11 have not been prepared. Staurolite is here classed as an accessory
12 instead of an economic mineral because of its general sparseness in
13 the high-grade metamorphic rocks on which the fluvial placers are
14 developed.


15 "Others" lists minor accessory minerals of infrequent occurrence.
16 The name of the minor mineral is written above percentages showing
17 its abundance in the concentrate. Abbreviation of the names of the
18 minerals were given above.

References cited

- Berman, R. M., 1953, A nomogram for obtaining percent composition by weight from mineral-grain counts: Jour. Sed. Petrology, v. 23, p. 120-123.
- Hansen, L. A., and White, A. M., 1954, Monazite placers on South Muddy Creek, McDowell County and Silver Creek, Burke County, North Carolina: U.S. Atomic Energy Comm. RME 3115, p. 3-28.
- Kellagher, Richard, and Flanagan, F. J., 1956, The multiple cone splitter: Jour. Sed. Petrology, v. 26, no. 3, p. 213-221.
- Overstreet, W. C., Theobald, P. K., Jr., Whitlow, J. W., 1959, Thorium and uranium resources in monazite placers of the western Piedmont, North and South Carolina: Mining Eng., v. 11, no. 7, p. 709-714.
- Overstreet, W. C., Theobald, P. K., Jr., Whitlow, J. W., and Stone, Jerome, 1956, Heavy-mineral prospecting, in Internat. Conf. Peaceful Uses Atomic Energy, Geneva, 1955, Proc., v. 6, p. 692-694.
- Peele, Robert, and Church, J. A., 1941, Mining engineers' handbook, 3rd ed.: New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., p. 1-01 to 14-66, plus 63.
- Theobald, P. K., Jr., 1957, The gold pan as a quantitative geologic tool: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1071-A, p. 1-54.



POCKET CONTAINS
2 ITEMS.



USGS LIBRARY - RESTON
3 1818 00082871 3