



(200)

R290

[no. 1097]




UNITED STATES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

[Reports - Open file series]



INTERAGENCY REPORT NASA-123

AERIAL INFRARED SURVEYS AT THE GEYSERS

GEOHERMAL STEAM FIELD, CALIFORNIA

by

R. M. Moxham

219149

sl
com (2)
tw anal

This report has not been edited or reviewed for conformity to Geological Survey standards.

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Released to open files

Prepared by the Geological Survey
for the National Aeronautics and
Space Administration (NASA)

200) accompanies:

2290

Weld - Int. 2905

1097

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON, D. C.
20242

[Reports - Open file
series]

For release AUGUST 30, 1968

The U. S. Geological Survey is releasing in open files the following reports. Copies are available for consultation in the Geological Survey Libraries, 1033 GSA Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20242; Bldg. 25, Federal Center, Denver, Colo. 80225; and 345 Middlefield Rd., Menlo Park, Calif. 94025. Copies are also available for consultation in the Brooks Bldg., College, Alaska 99701; 441 Federal Bldg., Juneau, Alaska 99801; 108 Skyline Bldg., 508 2nd Ave., Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 678 U.S. Court House Bldg., Spokane, Wash. 99201; 504 Custom House, San Francisco, Calif. 94111; 7638 Federal Bldg., Los Angeles, Calif. 90012; 1012 Federal Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80202; and in offices of the Alaska Div. of Mines and Minerals, 509 Goldstein Bldg., Juneau, Alaska 99801; 3001 Porcupine Dr., Anchorage, Alaska 99504; and University Ave., College, Alaska 99701.

Material from which copy can be made at private expense is available in the Alaska Geology Branch, USGS, 345 Middlefield Rd., Menlo Park, Calif. 94025, for items 1 and 2:


1. Geochemical reconnaissance maps of granitic rocks, Coleen and Table Mountain quadrangles, Alaska, by W. R. Brosge and H. N. Reiser. 4 sheets, scale 1:250,000.
2. Gold gradients and anomalies in the Pedro-Cleary Summit area, Fairbanks district, Alaska, by R. B. Forbes, H. D. Pilkington, and Daniel B. Hawkins. 49 p., 1 pl., 12 figs.

* * * * *

The following reports are also being released in open file. Copies are available for consultation in the Geological Survey Libraries, 1033 GSA Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20242; Bldg. 25, Federal Center, Denver, Colo. 80225; 345 Middlefield Rd., Menlo Park, Calif. 94025; They are also available for consultation at other offices as listed:

3. Maps showing structure, overburden, and thickness for a rich oil-shale sequence in the Eocene Green River Formation, east-central Uinta Basin, Utah and Colorado, by W. B. Cashion. 4 maps (1 sheet), scale 1:250,000. 1012 Federal Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80202; 8102 Federal Office Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah 84111; 7638 Federal Bldg., Los Angeles, Calif. 90012; 504 Custom House, San Francisco, Calif. 94111.
4. Aerial infrared surveys at The Geysers geothermal steam field, California, by R. M. Moxham. 25 p., 10 pl., 15 photos, 1 table. 601 E. Cedar Ave., Flagstaff, Ariz. 86001.

* * * * *



CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Geologic setting	3
Equipment and techniques	7
Aerial surveys	9
Data analysis	10
Film density analyzers	11
Diurnal temperature effects	14
Pre-dawn thermal emission	16
Summary	22
References	23

TABLE

Table 1. Emissivity (8-14 μ m) of rocks in The Geysers area --- 20A

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1. Location map.

2. Topographic map of the report area.
3. Geologic map of part of The Geysers area.
4. Relation between first light, solar heating and surface temperature.
5. Infrared image of Clear Lake.
6. MDT density profiles at Clear Lake (fig. 5) along the flight path.
7. MDT density profiles at Clear Lake (fig. 5) across the flight path.
8. Image density vs terrain temperature.
9. 1955 aerial photo of the steam-production area at The Geysers.
10. 1966 aerial photo of the steam-production area at The Geysers.
11. Daytime IR image of the steam-production area at The Geysers.
12. Predawn IR image of Big Sulphur Creek valley in three overlapping views: 12A, area southeast of The Geysers; 12B, The Geysers; 12C, area northwest of The Geysers.
13. 1966 aerial photo of the Sulphur Banks.
14. Surface temperature observations at the Sulphur Banks.
15. Infrared image of Big Sulphur Creek valley.
16. Infrared image of the Little Geysers area.
17. Steaming fracture along Big Sulphur Creek.
18. Tech/Ops film density map of part of figure 12.
19. Enlargement of part of figure 18B with landmarks superimposed.

20. IDT-MDT isodensity map of part of figure 12.
21. IDT-MDT isodensity map of figure 15.
22. Surface temperatures at station 5, across a fault (?) west of the Sulphur Banks.
23. IR images of The Geysers steam field, showing effects of band pass filter.
24. Aerial photos (bottom) and IR image (top) across the regional structure.
25. Aerial photos (bottom) and IR image (top) across the regional structure.

INTRODUCTION

The Geysers geothermal steam field, Sonoma County, California (fig. 1) is the only site in the United States producing steam for electrical power generation. Geothermal activity at The Geysers has been known for well over a century and the hot springs have attracted visitors to a health resort that dates back to 1852. The first steam well was successfully completed in 1922, but commercial power production did not begin until 1960, when the Pacific Gas and Electric Company put on stream a 12.5 KW generator, utilizing steam produced from about 11 wells of the Magma and the Thermal Power Companies. This capacity was about doubled in 1963 and again in 1967; the present capacity is 55,000 KWH. Construction for an additional 27,500 KWH is under way and future increases are being planned.

There are many surface manifestations of the abnormal geothermal conditions in The Geysers area. Hot springs and steaming hydrothermally altered zones are well known. These more obvious features are generally associated with very high temperature effluents of springs and fumaroles, say on the order of 80-90°C, which give rise locally to correspondingly high soil temperatures. But it is also evident that, from the high-temperature zones, values must decrease laterally to some "normal" background level. It seemed conceivable that there might be areas where temperatures were above the background level, but yet not sufficiently high to produce any obvious manifestations. It was our purpose to try to delineate the surface temperatures and to see whether the results bore any suggestive relation to the geology or to the subsurface geothermal regime.

Rocks are poor conductors of heat. Even in regions where the geothermal flux is many times normal (normal being $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ cal $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$) the outward heat flow from the earth is several orders of magnitude less than the incoming solar flux. Considering the added local variations in the surface thermal regime stemming from meteorological factors, the slight increase in heat flux from a subsurface source would likely be concealed in the noise. Heat transfer by convection however, gives rise to obviously abnormal surface temperatures where liquids and gases transfer heat to rocks along their path. Consequently, convective heat transfer seems most likely involved in any detectable surface temperature anomaly and direct detection of the underground source due to upward heat conduction is not very likely.

GEOLOGIC SETTING

The Geysers area is in Sonoma County, about 70 miles NNW of San Francisco. Relief is relatively rugged for our purposes, amounting to some 1,500 feet, with steep valley walls so that it was not possible to fly as low as desired over some of the area (fig. 2).

Most of the report area is included in a 1:62,500 scale geologic map by Bailey (1946). The area in the vicinity of the steam field has been mapped on a larger scale by McNitt (1963) (fig. 3), with some revisions in 1965. A detailed study of the fumaroles and hot springs is given in the excellent work of Allen and Day (1927). We have used these works extensively in the accompanying geologic descriptions and maps.

Figure 3 shows a portion of The Geysers area as mapped by McNitt. It is underlain by the Franciscan Formation (Jurassic-Cretaceous) which consists of the following, from base upward: massive graywacke, with minor amounts of shale (JKs); several hundred feet of greenstone (gs) with associated chert beds and with serpentized peridotite (sp) at the upper and lower contacts; and poorly bedded micaceous graywacke and shale (JKms).

Cobb Mountain near the northeast corner of the report area is capped by rhyolite and other effusive rocks which Bailey (1946), citing earlier work, relates to the Sonoma volcanics of Pliocene age. During Pleistocene time, extensive volcanic eruptions occurred northeast of the Mayacamas Mountains, but the flows did not reach the report area. No Tertiary or Quaternary volcanic rocks have been found at depth in The Geysers area.

The regional grain of the Franciscan rocks of the Mayacamas Mountains is northwesterly, stemming from a series of faulted structural blocks bounded by steeply-dipping arcuate faults, according to McNitt's interpretation (fig. 3). Vertical displacement is an estimated 2,000 foot minimum. The Geysers area is at the northwest end of a down-thrown block, flanked on the northeast by the Cobb Mountain horst. McNitt (1963, p. 13) points out that the Cobb Mountain "...uplift corresponds with a volcanic extrusion center and is spatially associated with the thermal areas..." suggesting that the uplift arises from magmatic intrusion at depth and that the flanking graben resulted from crustal extension over the arch.

A linear zone of hydrothermal activity extending for perhaps 25 miles and including The Geysers, was recognized early in the exploration for quicksilver (Allen and Day, 1927, p. 11). The alinement, which parallels the regional northwesterly strike, contains at intervals hot springs, fumaroles and zones of hydrothermal alteration. The principal hot springs in the report area are associated with the hydrothermal alteration zones shown on figure 2. For a detailed description of the springs and fumaroles, see Allen and Day (1927). The most important hot spring activity is in Geyser Canyon, from its mouth at Big Sulphur Creek and extending up the canyon for several hundred yards. Most of the springs are above 60°C and several are at the boiling point. The highest fumarole temperature reported by Allen and Day (1927, p. 25) in The Geysers area was 102°C at the "Safety Valve" in Geyser Canyon.

Another fumarole field, the Sulphur Banks, is about one mile west of Geyser Canyon. It is also characterized by soft, steaming ground where temperatures at the numerous gas vents are in the 90°C range.

Upstream from The Geysers resort several hot springs are found in the valley of Big Sulphur Creek and on Hot Springs Creek. At the Little Geysers, a group of hot springs in the 80°-95°C range occurs in a barren alteration zone.

Based on the Day and Allen measurements, McNitt assumes the total natural thermal spring discharge to be 5,000 gal/hr., representing a natural heat loss of about 4.2×10^5 cal/sec.

Drilling for steam by the Magma and the Thermal Power Companies began in 1955 in the Geyser Canyon alteration zone. Superheated steam was produced from depths of 500 to 1,200 feet. Development at this locale continued and drilling later was extended westward to the Sulphur Banks (fig. 3) where deeper steam production was found at pressures to 500 psi. At present, forty-two wells have been drilled, from 1,500 to 6,000 feet deep in an area of about 300 acres. Steam capable of producing 15,000 KWH has been developed (Otte and Dondanville, 1968). Since 1967, Union Oil Company has undertaken drilling here in partnership with Magma Thermal Power Company.

A successful well was completed in 1967 on the south side of Big Sulphur Creek in sec. 14, T11N, R9W by Geothermal Resources Inc., with a production depth of 6,500 feet. A second well is being drilled nearby. Signal Oil and Gas Company has completed two steam wells, near the centers of sections 12, T11N, R9W and 18, T11N, R8W respectively, which Otte and Dondanville (1968) characterize as successful. A third well is being

drilled by this company in sec. 10, T11N, R9W. Thus, a 600,000 KWH power potential for the area may be conservative, according to Otte and Dondanville.

Temperature-depth measurements were made in 1960 in eight steam wells of the Thermal Power Company. In each, a constant temperature interval was recorded in the upper part of the well, which McNitt (1967, p. 18) suggests is caused by groundwater overlying the steam reservoir. Further, hydrostatic equilibrium exists between the two phases whereby the expansive pressure of the steam is balanced by the hydrostatic pressure of the overlying water body. Calculation of the hydrostatic head leads to the conclusion that the steam-water interface slopes roughly parallel to the topographic surface in this vicinity.

EQUIPMENT AND TECHNIQUES

The aerial infrared equipment used in this survey was a conventional line scanner. The terrain is scanned along a line normal to the flight path by means of a rotating 45° mirror. The infrared emission from the earth is reflected through the optical system onto a solid state detector. The detecting element converts the optical signal to electrical form which, after amplification, modulates a light source focused on a film strip. The light source is deflected across the film in synchronization with the scanning mirror, and the film strip advances in proportion to the aircraft velocity. This combined light deflection and film motion thus creates an image whose gray scale is related to the infrared energy emitted from the terrain. The line scanner used in this work is not a radiometer, however. That is, the scanner output signal (and therefore the image tone) is not a direct measure of the incoming infrared energy, owing mainly to the AC characteristics of the amplifiers. This matter will be dealt with below.

The scanner was equipped with an electrically-cooled Ge:Hg detector having maximum response in the 8-14 micrometer (μm) region. Narrow band pass dielectric filters were placed in the optical path to limit the detector response on selected flights.

The scanner was carried in a twin-engine D-18 Beechcraft operated by the USGS Water Resources Division. Portable rotating beacons were placed at strategic locations on ridge tops to assist night navigation.

During the survey period, temperatures of water and soil were monitored at selected localities. Yellow Springs Instrument Company Series 400 thermistors were employed. At each site, thermistors were

implanted a few mm below the surface in the soil or in a rock crack. For water temperatures, the thermistors were attached to floats in such a way that the elements were submerged a few centimeters. The thermistor resistance was measured with an automatic sequencing bridge and the results were recorded on an Esterline-Angus strip chart recorder. The temperature monitoring equipment is described in detail elsewhere (Moxham and others, 1968).

The film produced by the scanner is a conventional negative so that higher terrain radiance produces darker image tones i.e., greater film density. Consequently, where we have occasion in this report to refer to film density, higher densities refer to higher terrain radiance. All images accompanying this report are positive prints from the original negative, hence, whiter tones correspond to higher terrain radiance; darker tones correspond to lower terrain radiance.

AERIAL SURVEYS

Aerial surveys were made during August 15-19, 1966. Nine lines about four miles long and spaced at about one mile intervals were flown NNE, approximately normal to Big Sulphur Creek valley. This grid was centered on the steam-producing area. Another line was flown WNW, along Big Sulphur Creek from about the Sonoma-Lake County line to Squaw Creek.

One afternoon IR flight was made, using an 8-14 μ m band pass filter over the detector. All other IR surveys were made from 02:30-05:00, with the detector open (unfiltered) or with 8-14, 8.9-10 and 10-15 μ m filters.

Several flights were made over Clear Lake, 10 miles NE of The Geysers, to provide additional targets whose temperatures could be readily monitored. A temperature monitoring station was established on the west shore of the lake, about one mile northwest of Fraser Point.

Aerial panchromatic 70mm photographs along the survey lines were made on one flight.

It is a matter of some interest in aerial IR surveying to know the time at which the onset of solar heating at sunrise will begin to have a detectable effect on surface temperature. The first light of dawn is sometimes desirable, if not mandatory for low level aerial navigation over rugged terrain. But as the sun rises, the surface temperature at some point in time begins to increase and to obscure thermal features one desires to depict. We have shown elsewhere (Moxham and others, 1968, p. 9) that there is roughly a one hour lag between first light of dawn and the onset of surface heating at sunrise (figure 4). Surface temperature begins to rise just a few minutes after sunrise.

DATA ANALYSIS

Problems in data analysis center on geometrical and electrical sources of error and upon several other uncertainties. Inspection of nearly any of the IR images accompanying this report with respect to the planimetry in figure 2, is sufficient to demonstrate the geometric distortion common to most of the present generation of scanners. Where landmarks are well resolved and in the case where one is only interested in image density, the distortion may be of minimum consequence. Where few landmarks can be identified, image distortion makes it very difficult to locate geographically a particular point on the image and it is nearly impossible to superpose the image on a photo or map, for comparison with other data.

The well known relationship between terrain radiance and surface temperature have led some writers to quantitatively analyze IR image density in terms of surface temperature. This is a hazardous business at best, for several reasons. First, most IR scanners are not radiometers in that ~~(is)~~ there is not a straightforward relationship between radiance at the entrance aperture and the output signal. This is largely a matter of the instrument's electrical characteristics.

Second, the recording system leaves much to be desired. Exposure of the recording film is not constant but rather is a function of the film speed through the transport system, which in turn depends on the surveying altitude. Moreover, variations in image tone can be produced by using films of different gammas and by film development processes. Third, the atmosphere both absorbs the terrain signal and emits its own energy, the net result depending upon wavelength, scan angle (ie., path length) and the temperatures of the two media.

No attempt has been made to evaluate these parameters individually in any rigorous way, but some film density analyses were made to examine some of the more evident sources of error.

Before discussing the results, a brief description of the film density analyzers will be useful.

Film density analyzers

A Joyce-Loebl microdensitometer-isodensitracer (MDT-IDT) and a Tech/Ops image quantizer were used to measure the IR film densities (for details on the operation and use of these instruments, see Turner and Boynton, 1968). Essentially, both instruments sense the density by means of a light beam passed through the film specimen onto a photocell. The IDT-MDT compares the light beam intensity with a reference beam passed through a standard density wedge. By moving the film in the Y direction, the MDT provides a density profile along the film; the IDT contours the density, using X and Y motion of the film carriage.

Accurate density measurement of a given feature on the imagery requires that the feature completely fill the aperture. To resolve small thermal features, a small aperture, say $50\mu\text{m}$, is desirable. But the small aperture also resolves high frequency noise. At larger apertures, say $400\mu\text{m}$, the high frequency noise is diminished but with corresponding loss of detail in the terrain information. Low frequency components are retained in both instances as the wave length greatly exceeds any reasonable aperture setting. In practice, in the contouring mode particularly, we have found a 300μ aperture to be a useful compromise.

The Tech/Ops image quantizer light beam passes through the specimen film, which is rotated on a drum. The light intensity through the specimen modulates the voltage on a spark stylus which in turn prints the density contours on sensitized paper.

Several flights were made over Clear Lake and the adjacent shoreline with the IR detector "open" (unfiltered) and with 8-14, 8.9-10, and 10-15 μ m bandpass filters. Figure 5 shows one of the images and figures 6 and 7 are MDT profiles of the several image densities.

In figure 5, the Clear Lake portion of the image shows the fine lines (several per millimeter) parallel to scan direction, which are due to film drive jitter. These are sometimes referred to as scan lines, but they result from the slightly non-uniform motion of the IR recording film transport system in the scanner. The jitter lines give rise to high frequency "noise" in the MDT film density profile and is altitude (scale) independent. The noise amplitude is relatively inconsequential in face of the more formidable problems. For example, there are evident on figure 5 dark streaks in the lake (parallel to scan direction) which correlate with the low frequency variations on the density profile. The dark bands result from the scanner electronics. The scanner amplifiers are AC-coupled to achieve optimum high frequency response and therefore optimum small target resolution. The scanner prints out the signals, positive and negative, above and below an average DC level. Unfortunately, there is no clamping or DC level restoration so that the gray tone of the image is dependent upon the average DC level along the scan line. This effect is most pronounced where the incoming signal is nearly DC, e.g., from a large body of water of uniform temperature.

The lack of DC restoration is particularly evident on figure 6A, a density profile along the flight track over Clear Lake. The signal from Clear Lake is essentially DC, and occupies a large part of the scan line. But the signal from the adjacent shore line area varies locally so that the shore line signal level raises or lowers the average DC level, giving rise to

light and dark bands across the lake.

(In spite of this low frequency component, one can see in the lake irregular tonal patterns that no doubt represent real temperature variations. For example, there is a small, but distinct discharge of warm water from a boat basin just east of the flight line at station 4. A band of warmer water along the west shore is also faintly suggested; several faint oval-shaped patterns are seen elsewhere).

Figure 7 shows a series of profiles across the image, parallel to the scan direction. It is evident (e.g., fig. 7A) that there is a signal drop-off toward the horizons, probably due to atmospheric effects. The intervening atmosphere absorbs part of the terrain signal. As the atmospheric transmission decreases with path length, absorption of the terrain signal correspondingly increases as the scan angle sweeps from the vertical toward the horizon. At the same time the reverse effect operates, as the atmosphere itself emits along the scan path. However, from the data cited below, atmospheric absorption is evidently the more effective mechanism at the terrain and atmospheric temperatures encountered in these surveys.

The Ge:Hg detector is generally termed a "long wavelength" detector as it has peak sensitivity at about $10\mu\text{m}$. However the sensitivity range extends well beyond the $8\text{--}13\mu\text{m}$ atmospheric window so that if no filter is used, as in figure 7A, noticeable atmospheric absorption will result from the water vapor bands adjacent to the $8\text{--}13\mu\text{m}$ window. Restricting the incoming signal to the more transparent parts of the $8\text{--}13\mu\text{m}$ window (figures 7B-D) tends to reduce the atmospheric losses but also reduces the effective temperature sensitivity.

Tri-X Aercon film was used in all of the surveys reported here. No special efforts were made to control the development although the processing followed a standard routine with respect to the chemicals and development time.

The effects of some of the foregoing sources of errors are summarized in figure 8. It suggests that an 8-14 μ m filter is probably the best compromise between atmospheric absorption and terrain signal loss. Though the limit of error for the 8-14 μ m band pass is only about 1°C, these data represent an ideal case. It is evident that with different land-water temperatures and geometry, the lack of DC restoration could introduce much larger errors. Moreover, no account has been taken here of the variations in film exposure and development and the effects of instrument parameters such as automatic gain control.

Diurnal temperature effects

One of the principal areas of interest is the present steam production site on the north side of the valley of Big Sulphur Creek. This rather steeply sloping surface is largely grass-covered with scattered trees. Along the drainages, trees and brush are more abundant (figure 9). The south valley slope, on the other hand, has a heavy growth of trees and brush. Figure 9 is an aerial photo taken in 1955 before the extensive cultural changes resulting from the drilling for steam. The picture shows rather well the light-colored barren patches of hydrothermal alteration against the darker background of the grass-covered unaltered ground. The same area photographed during our survey in August 1966, shows the extensive cultural changes that have taken place, but the Geyser Canyon alteration zone is not very well depicted (figure 10).

A daytime IR image of this area (fig. 11) shows only the highest surface temperatures in a doughnut-shaped pattern where copious steam is escaping around the drilling pad of a blown-out steam well. The shaded, cooler vegetation contrasts strongly with the hot, sun-lit grass-covered slope. Many of the topographic features on the IR resemble those on the aerial photo due to shadows that create differences in solar heating.

A pre-dawn image of the same area (fig. 12^BA), shows how the diurnal cooling effects the IR emission. The lower-temperature geothermal anomalies now contrast strongly against the cooler grassy slopes. The vegetation is apparently about at the same radiant temperature as the soil. Cooler air has settled into topographic lows, and with no filter over the detector, gives rise to the cooler surfaces in the basin of Big Sulphur Creek; dark mottling correlates with smaller topographic depressions.

The increase in temperature difference between anomaly and background from afternoon to pre-dawn is borne out by ground temperature measurements at the Sulphur Banks (fig. 13 and 14). Four thermistors were emplaced at random in a warm alteration zone at station 1. Another four-thermistor array was established at station 2 on a flat bare soil surface about 500 feet NW of the anomaly at station 1. The results (fig. 14) show that during the day the background is at about the same temperature as the anomaly, whereas in the pre-dawn hours the average temperature at the anomaly is about 10° greater than the background.

It is also important to note that, even though the bare soil at background station 2 appeared to be fairly uniform in texture and composition, the night time temperature spread among the four thermistors was on the order of 4°C. In the alteration zone, there is a much greater spread among the thermistors owing to the local percolation of warm gases through the soft ground.

Pre-dawn thermal emission

An over-all thermal view of the report area is shown in figure 15. Additional details are on figures 12 and 16. The most intense anomalies lie for the most part in the alteration zones shown in figure 2. The group of springs at the Little Geysers (fig. 16) is the southeasternmost prominent anomaly, though there seems to be a small unidentified hot spot about 1/4 mile further upstream on Big Sulphur Creek. Additional anomalies, apparently associated with hot springs, occur along Big Sulphur Creek between Little Geysers and powerhouse 1. The most prominent is about one mile SE of the mouth of Hot Springs Creek. The springs on Hot Springs Creek are barely perceptible, by contrast.

The two most intense anomalies lie between powerhouse 1 and Geyser Canyon. They are in the area's largest alteration zone and it is here that steam development first began. Natural steam vents at 90°C are common, and on the westernmost of the two anomalies steam from the cracked drilling pad of a wild-blowing well adds to the thermal signal. The southwest limit of the anomalous zone is a thermal lineament which extends along the north bank of Big Sulphur Creek from the powerhouse nearby to the mouth of Geyser Canyon. A similar WNW linear thermal zone lies along the creek from near the mouth of Geyser Canyon downstream along Big Sulphur Creek for several hundred yards. This zone likewise forms the southern limit of a zone of hot spots in the valley next west of Geyser Canyon. The image suggests and field examination tends to confirm that the linear thermal zone contains two steaming fracture zones, one about at creek level, the other 20 feet or so above the creek (fig. 17).

Further west the Sulphur Banks anomalies are in an area mantled by landslides. The hot patches form a 1/2 mile long line of interconnected thermal spots extending WNW from near powerhouse 2.

En echelon with the Sulfur Banks thermal zone, is a straight reach of Big Sulphur Creek along which are several small thermal spots; they are probably due to hot springs, as the creek brightness on the image is perceptibly higher along this reach.

It thus appears that the two most prominent mapped thermal zones are bounded on the south by linear features and a third is linear in shape. Though the thermal alignments do not coincide with any of the faults mapped by McNitt, an abnormally warm fracture system along parts of Big Sulphur Creek is strongly suggested.

The most intense thermal anomalies in The Geysers area coincide generally with hydrothermally altered steaming ground. IR maxima are recorded over active fumaroles and hot springs. But close inspection of figure 12C and figure 15 shows a subtle arcuate low intensity thermal feature extending northwest from the Sulphur Banks. To try to objectively evaluate this feature with respect to its environs and to the adjoining high intensity thermal anomalies, we employed the two density analyzers described previously.

The image quantizer was used as a film density level slicer. In this procedure, the specimen is scanned with the quantizer set so that it prints only those densities greater than a certain selected value. The process is then repeated, changing only the quantizer threshold so that it prints slightly lower densities. The process is repeated at successively lower thresholds, yielding a set of prints as in figures 18 and 19. The IR image used in this case was that shown in figure 12.

Figure 18 covers Big Sulphur Creek valley from about Hot Springs Creek to about one mile SE of the Buckeye Mine, and in figure 18A, depicts maximum film densities in this area. The highest density is in the main alteration zone at a point about midway between powerhouse 1 and Geyser Canyon. The hottest parts of the anomalies at the Sulphur Banks also fall in this density increment, including the site at station 1. The next lower density increment (18B) increases the size of the Geyser Canyon thermal zone by perhaps a factor of 2 and the Sulphur Banks zone by somewhat more. The alteration zone, about midway between Geyser Canyon and powerhouse 2, now makes its appearance rather faintly. But perhaps more significant is the development of an arcuate density zone extending west from the Sulphur Banks, and after a gap, an oval-shaped ring of density features still further to the west.

Adding the next lower density increment (figure 18C), there is essentially no further enlargement of the Sulphur Banks-Geyser Canyon suite of anomalies, but the area west of Sulphur Banks is greatly enlarged and several spots are included SE of The Geysers and south of Big Sulphur Creek.

The next added density increment prints out roughly half the area of the IR image.

It seems safe to say that figure 18A depicts only thermal anomalies, as all of the localities relate directly to steaming ground. At the other extreme, figure 18D must be well into the geologic noise level. This intuitive reasoning leads to the conclusion that the boundary between normal and abnormal surface temperatures ought to be at some intermediate density level between 16A and 16D.

^{An}
^ IR image of Big Sulphur Creek valley (fig. 12) was also analyzed with the IDT-MDT, with generally comparable results. The isodensity map is printed out with each density increment depicted by a separate color for ease of identification (see Turner and Boynton 1968, for details on the color attachment). The boundaries of the two maximum isodensity zones are shown on figure 20. Temperatures at ground stations 1 and 2 were recorded (fig. 14) at the time the image was made. Station 1 is within the anomaly; station 2 is just beyond. The observed 11°C average temperature difference between these stations gives some measure of the anomaly.

Another IR image of Big Sulphur Creek valley (fig. 15) on a smaller scale than figure 12 and covering a larger area, was also processed on the IDT-MDT. The density increments of figures 20 and 21 do not correspond precisely but the general distribution of maximum densities is similar in both instances. In December, 1967, soil temperature measurements were made in the area of higher radiance west of the Sulphur Banks. A linear array of 5 thermistors spaced at about 50 foot intervals was emplaced on the south-sloping ridge between two landslides in the SE 1/4 sec. 11, T11N R9W (fig. 13). The array was intended to cross the arcuate fault mapped here by McNitt (fig. 3). At most of the thermistor sites, the bedrock evidently was at shallow depth, on the order of inches. The results (fig. 22) indicate a nighttime difference of about 7°C between the two thermistors on the south as opposed to the three on the north. A direct comparison of this temperature break with the IR results would hardly be justified, owing to seasonal differences if nothing else, but it seems evident there is some anomalous soil temperature condition at

this locality. A good deal more surface observations would be required before one were to draw any further conclusions.

In the foregoing density analyses, unfiltered images were utilized to take advantage of the maximum signal response. By the same token, as previously demonstrated, the unfiltered images suffer the greatest atmospheric transmission losses, that reduce the apparent radiance toward the image edges. This effect is illustrated in figure 23, where the colder air in topographic depressions and the density roll-off with increased scan angle are much more evident on the unfiltered image than on the 8-14 band pass. The density maps consequently are useful only in comparing relative densities in the central part of the image and on a local basis.

From a regional standpoint, the radiance in the Big Sulphur Creek area shows no diagnostic character that we can identify. MDT density profiles were made of several IR images oriented NE that extend from Little Sulphur Creek to Squaw Creek. In all cases, radiance levels in Big Sulphur Creek valley do not exceed those in the adjacent areas except for the immediate vicinity of the hydrothermal zones (figures 24 and 25). The arcuate radiance zone west of the Sulphur Banks is visible on these regional images, but the absolute value of the radiance level is relatively low. The higher radiance levels elsewhere in the region can be ascribed locally to vegetation and to slope orientation with respect to sun angle, but there is not a great deal of consistency. Variation in emissivity may also be involved. Representative samples of several bedrock units in The Geysers area were examined with an emissivity box (Moxham and others 1968, p. 11) utilizing a Barnes 8-14 μ m radiometer. The results

(Table 1) show a spread in ϵ_{8-14} from 0.9 to 0.98, but more commonly from 0.94-0.97 for weathered surfaces. This variation in emissivity amounts to about 3°C for a 25°C surface temperature. However, soil development and generally rough surface textures probably tend to diminish the emissivity variable to a somewhat smaller value than suggested by the bedrock samples.

SUMMARY

The aerial infrared surveys indicate that the principal high temperature zones at The Geysers are in part limited (at the surface) on the southwest by structural lineaments; their northeast extent tends to be more diffuse.

An arcuate radiance pattern suggesting slightly elevated surface temperatures, extends beyond the northwest present limit of steam production at the Sulphur Banks. The arcuate zone seems to coincide with a temperature difference measured on the surface, and with a mapped fault, as well. There are other slightly elevated radiance patterns in Big Sulphur Creek valley but their significance is not known. The radiance in Big Sulphur Creek valley, except for the hydrothermal alteration zones, is not exceptional, that is, there is little evidence of a regional geothermal anomaly at the surface in The Geysers area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to the Thermal Power Company for many courtesies extended during this study. Dr. James Koenig, Calif. Division of Mines, also provided much useful information, which is greatly appreciated.


Very competent assistance in the field was rendered by C.R. Fross who operated the infrared scanner, pilots Ray Rote, Howard Chapman and William Myers and technicians George Boynton, P. Philbin and R.M. Turner.

REFERENCES

- Allen, E. T., and Day, A. L., 1927, Steam wells and other thermal activity at "The Geysers" California: Carnegie Inst., pub. no. 378, 106 p.
- Bailey, E. H., 1946, Quicksilver deposits of the western Mayacamas District, Sonoma County, California: Calif. Journal Mines and Geol., v. 42, no. 3, p. 199-230.
- McNitt, J. R., 1963 (revised 1965), Exploration and development of geothermal power in California: Calif. Div. Mines and Geol., Special Report 75, 45 p.
- Moxham, R. M., Greene, G. W., Friedman, J. D., and Gawarecki, S. J., 1968, Infrared imagery and radiometry summary report, Dec. 1967: U. S. Geol. Survey Interagency Report NASA-105, open-file report, 29 p.
- Otte, C., and Dondanville, R. F., 1968, Geothermal developments in The Geysers area, California (abstract): Amer. Assn. Petrol. Geol., Symposium on geothermal resources, March 29, Bakersfield, Calif.
- Turner, R. M., and Boynton, G. R., 1968, Film density analyzers for infrared investigations: U. S. Geol. Survey Interagency Report NASA-94, open-file report.

Figure 1. Location map.

FIG. 1





The figure is a topographic map of a specific geographic area. It features contour lines to represent elevation. Several rectangular boxes are overlaid on the map, indicating the boundaries of other illustrations in the report. Gray patches are also present, representing areas of hydrothermal alteration as documented by Bailey in 1946. The map is oriented horizontally and occupies the upper half of the page.

Figure 2. Topographic map of the report area. The approximate boundaries of some of the other illustrations in this report are shown by the rectangles. Areas of hydrothermal alteration, taken from Bailey (1946) are indicated by gray patches.

FIGURE 2



CONTOUR INTERVAL 80 FEET
DOTTED LINES REPRESENT 20-FOOT CONTOURS
DATUM IS MEAN SEA LEVEL

Figure 3. Geologic map of The Geysers area from McNitt, 1963. A=power plant no. 1; B=power plant no. 2; C=Eagle Rock; D=Geyser Road; E=Healdsburg-Geyser Road; geothermal steam wells are indicated by circles.

Geologic formations are indicated by the following symbols: Franciscan formation (Jurassic-Cretaceous) from base upward; solid cross hatch=graywacke and shale (JKs); gray=greenstone (gs); broken cross hatch=serpentine (sp); white=micaceous graywacke and shale (JKms); barbed boundaries enclose landslides of Pliocene age.

FIG. 3

Geology from McNitt, 1963




Figure 4. Relation between first light, solar heating and surface temperature. First light was measured with a cadmium sulfide cell (+); solar radiation with an Eppley pyrliometer (\times); surface temperature by thermistor (o).

FIGURE 4




Figure 5. Infrared image of Clear Lake, 8/17/66, 03:44 (no filter).
Horizontal white line indicates approximate track of
aircraft. A=temperature monitoring station no. 4.

FIG 5




Figure 6. MDT density profiles of the Clear Lake image (figure 5) along the flight path. Temperatures of the land and water are those measured at station 4.

FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B




FIG. 6C

FIG. 6D




Figure 7. MDT density profile at Clear Lake (figure 5) across the flight path.
Arrows indicate the lateral limits of the terrain scan.

FIG. 7C

FIG. 7B

FIG. 7A




FIG. 7D





Figure 8. Image density vs terrain temperature along flight path (right) and across flight path (left). Solid lines indicate limit of error for 8-14 μ m pass band.






Figure 9. 1955 aerial photo of the steam-production area at The Geysers. White patches are zones of hydrothermal alteration. Figures 10, 11, and 12B cover approximately the same area.

FIG. 9





Figure 10. 1966 aerial photo of the steam-production area at The Geysers. Power plant no. 1 is on the lower right; power plant no. 2 is at the left center.

FIG. 10






Figure 11. Daytime IR image of the steam production area at The Geysers (15:34, August 16, 1966). Arrow indicates ring-shaped thermal anomaly surrounding blown-out steam well.

FIG. 11




Figure 12A. Predawn IR image of Big Sulphur Creek valley.
In three overlapping views: 12A, area southeast
of The Geysers; 12B, The Geysers; 12C, area north-
west of The Geysers.

12A: A=Hot Springs (?); B=Hot Springs Creek;
C=Cobb Creek; D=power house no. 1.

FIG. 12 A




Figure 12B. A=Big Sulphur Creek; B=Geyser Road; C=The Geysers resort; D=power plant no. 1; E=power plant no. 2. Power plant no. 1, in operation shows warm; power plant no. 2, under construction shows cold.

FIG. 12 B





Figure 12C. A=temperature monitoring station no. 1; B=station no. 2;
C=station no. 3; D=Geyser Road; E=Healdsburg-Geyser Road;
F=Big Sulphur Creek; G=jeep trail; H=Eagle Rock.

FIG 12C

17 AUG 60






Figure 13. 1966 aerial photo of the Sulphur Banks. Power plant no. 2 is in the lower center. Temperature monitoring stations are indicated by the numbers 1, 2, and 5.



FIG. 13

Figure 14. Surface temperature observations at the Sulphur Banks. Images shown in figures 11 and 12 were made during the infrared surveys on 8/16 and 8/17 respectively. Station 1 (x) is labeled anomaly; station 2 (.) is labeled background.

FIG. 14




Figure 15. Infrared image of Big Sulphur Creek valley (8/17/66, 04:00, no filter). A=Big Sulphur Creek; B=Squaw Creek; C=The Sulphur Banks; D=The Geysers; E=Little Geysers. A row of white dots on the lower right of the image is caused by static electricity discharge.

FIG. 15





Figure 16. Infrared image of the Little Geysers area (04:00, 8/17, no filter). A=Big Sulphur Creek, B=Cobb Creek; C=Hot Springs Creek; D=Little Geysers. Figure 16 is an enlargement of the SE part of figure 15.

FIG. 16






Figure 17. Steaming fracture along Big Sulphur Creek. Power plant no. 2 is in the background on the upper left.

FIG. 17






Figure 18. Tech/Ops film density map of part of fig. 12. Top view is the density slice showing only maximum densities. Lower densities are added by increments toward the bottom.

FIG. 18

A

B

C

D




Figure 19. Enlargement of part of figure 18B with landmarks superimposed. Dashed lines are jeep trails. A=Geyser Road; B=Healdsburg-Geyser Road; C=power plant no. 2; E=Eagle Rock. Segments of stream valleys have been shown where they can be identified.

FIG. 19




Figure 20. IDT-MDT isodensity map of part of figure 12. A=Geyser Road; B=Geyser-Healdsburg Road; C=power plant no. 2; E=Eagle Rock. Maximum density shown in black; second highest density shown by dashed contour. Segments of private roads and jeep trails are shown only where they can be identified on the image.

FIG. 20




Figure 21. IDT-MDT isodensity map of figure 15. A=Geyser Canyon; B=power plant no. 2; C=power plant no. 1; D=The Geysers resort; E=Hot Springs Creek; F=Eagle Rock. Maximum density shown in black; second and third highest densities indicated by solid and dashed contours respectively.

FIG. 21




Figure 22. Surface temperatures at station 5 across the fault (?) west of the Sulphur Banks. Each curve represents the temperature recorded by one thermistor. The thermistors were placed in a NE-SW line spaced at fifty foot intervals. The two lower curves (solid line and long dashes) represent the two thermistors on the SW.

FIG. 22




Figure 23. IR images of The Geysers steam field showing effects of band pass filter. Top, 8.9-10 micrometers, 03:50, 8/18/66. Bottom, no filter, 04:00, 8/17/66.

FIG. 23





Figure 24. Aerial photos (bottom) and IR image (top) across the regional structure. Numerous areas show radiance as great as that in Big Sulphur Creek valley. For example, a brush covered slope (A) and several partly forested SW facing slopes (B,C) east of Squaw Creek. C=Cobb Creek; E=Big Sulphur Creek; F=Geyser Road; G=power plant no. 1. Letters on aerial photos correspond to those on infrared image.

FIG. 24








Figure 25. Aerial photos (bottom) and IR image (top) across the regional structure. Some apparent contradictions are illustrated. Barren area (A) and adjacent heavily forested area (B) west of the north branch of Little Sulphur Creek appear to have radiances reversed from similar barren area (C) and adjacent heavily forested area on the west slope of Big Sulphur Creek. E=Big Sulphur Creek; F=Geyser Road; G=Squaw Creek at the mouth of Cold Water Canyon; H=the Sulphur Banks. Arrows indicate position of arcuate radiance feature.





USGS LIBRARY - RESTON



3 1818 00082075 1