

380000

WISCONSIN WRD DISTRICT
LIBRARY/FILE COPY

FLOODS IN
STARKWEATHER CREEK BASIN
MADISON, WISCONSIN

Open-file report

OFR 72-221



FLOODS IN STARKWEATHER CREEK BASIN,
MADISON, WISCONSIN

by Carl L. Lawrence and Barry K. Holmstrom

Introduction

The city of Madison is establishing standards for flood-plain zoning and land-use planning. The evaluation of flood potential is a necessary factor to be considered before such standards are established and put into effect.

Purpose and scope.--The purposes of this report are to determine the magnitude and water surface elevations of the regional (100-year) flood for both existing and future conditions, to determine their limits of flooding, and to analyze maximum hydraulic capacities of the channel system of Starkweather Creek.

The regional flood is defined by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (1968, p. 94) as a flood that occurs on an average of once in 100 years and "which is representative of large floods known to have occurred generally in Wisconsin and reasonably characteristic of what can be expected to occur on a particular stream".

1 The reaches evaluated are (1) Starkweather Creek and
2 West Branch Starkweather Creek, for a distance of 6.0 river
3 miles from the mouth at Lake Monona upstream to the U.S.
4 Highway 51 crossing north of Truax Field; and (2) East
5- Branch Starkweather Creek (2.8 river miles), from its
6 confluence with the West Branch near Milwaukee Street
7 upstream to a point near the Interstate Highway 90-94
8 crossing.

9 Description of area.--The Starkweather Creek basin is
10- about 24 square miles in size. It is located near the
11 center of Dane County, Wisconsin, and about 50 percent of
12 the basin is within the city limits of Madison. It can be
13 characterized as generally flat with low hills around the
14 perimeter of the basin. The drainage pattern consists of
15- two branches, the West Branch and East Branch of Stark-
16 weather Creek, which meet one-half mile above the mouth
17 at Lake Monona. Maximum relief is 210 feet.

18 Cooperation and acknowledgment.--This report was prepared
19 under a cooperative agreement between the U.S. Geological
20- Survey and the city of Madison. The agreement was coordi-
21 nated by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

22 Information, useful for this report, was furnished by
23 the Engineering Department and the Planning Department of
24 the city of Madison. Their helpfulness is appreciated.

25-

7/26/72

1 Additional information.--Information about data used in
2 this report can be obtained from the U.S. Geological
3 Survey, Water Resources Division, 1815 University Ave.,
4 Madison, Wis.

5- Basin Characteristics

6 The lower half of the Starkweather Creek basin is now
7 considered urban. Thus flood characteristics have changed
8 from the original undeveloped condition. Because urbaniza-
9 tion probably has reduced lag-time from rainfall to runoff,
10- flood magnitudes probably have increased.

11 A significant hydrologic characteristic of Starkweather
12 Creek basin is the relatively large overbank storage
13 capacity. Hundreds of acres in the vicinity of Truax Field,
14 including the area east of U.S. Highway 51, which is
15- outside the limits of the present study, are subject to
16 inundation during major floods.

17 The areas of Truax Field adjoining runways and taxi-
18 ways are well drained by storm sewers leading to outfalls
19 at many points along the channel of the West Branch. Thus,
20- although inundated at the time of the flood peak in the
21 channel, they will drain quickly once the peak has passed.

22
23
24
25- 7/26/72

1 Regional (100-year) Flood for Existing Land-Use Conditions
 2 Flood magnitude.--The magnitude of the regional flood for
 3 existing land-use conditions has been determined as follows:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Drainage Area, in square miles</u>	<u>Discharge, in cubic feet per second</u>
6 West Branch Starkweather Creek at U.S. Hwy. 51	6.0	590
8 West Branch Starkweather Creek at mouth of East Branch	12.2	950
10- East Branch Starkweather Creek at Lien Road	4.4	160
11 East Branch Starkweather Creek at confluence with West Branch	9.0	600
13 Starkweather Creek at mouth	24.1	1,600

14 These discharge figures were determined by using
 15 equations derived by D. H. Conger (1971) and adjusted for
 16 presently urbanized conditions on the basis of concepts
 17 proposed by Anderson (1970). A large part of the drainage
 18 area of the East Branch upstream from Interstate Highway
 19 90-94 does not significantly contribute to flood peaks
 20- downstream from the highway, because it drains into a
 21 large gravel pit in a depression below the invert level
 22 of the highway culvert.

7/26/72

1 Flood profile.--The regional-flood profile (sheets 2 and
2 3) was defined from flood-plain cross sections and supple-
3 mental data, including roughness coefficients, bridge and
4 culvert geometry, and road profiles obtained from field
5- surveys. Field data were augmented by information from
6 topographic maps and photographs. The profile was deter-
7 mined by standard step-backwater methods, unobstructed
8 culvert flow, and embankment-overflow computations. The
9 water-surface elevation for the regional flood at the
10- downstream end of the study reach at Lake Monona (sheet 2)
11 was obtained from flood-frequency relations based on 55
12 years of lake-level records.

13 A low-water profile is shown on sheets 2 and 3 to
14 indicate the magnitude of flood rise at any point.

15- The channel thalweg shown is the line joining points
16 of lowest elevation of successive cross sections.

17 Limits of flooding.--The flood profiles were used to
18 delineate flood boundaries on the inundation map (sheet 1).
19 The location of the boundaries of the regional flood were
20- based principally on surveyed cross sections and on inter-
21 polation between 10-foot contour lines on U.S. Geological
22 Survey topographic maps and 2-foot contour lines on maps
23 provided by the city of Madison.
24
25-

7/26/72

1 Depth of flooding.--The depth of flooding at any point may
2 be determined by subtracting the land-surface elevation
3 from the water-surface elevation. An approximate depth may
4 be estimated by subtracting the interpolated land-surface
5- elevation (topographic map) from the elevation of the water
6 surface (inundated-area map). In a few places the contour
7 lines shown may no longer be applicable due to land-surface
8 changes. More accurate depths of flooding can be obtained
9 if ground elevations are determined by leveling from a
10- bench mark or other point of known elevation.

11 The maximum difference between the regional flood
12 elevation and the normal low-water elevation along both
13 branches of Starkweather Creek, for existing conditions,
14 is less than 10 feet. On the West Branch (sheet 4) it is
15- 9.9 feet in an undeveloped area just upstream from State
16 Highway 30. On the East Branch (sheet 5) the maximum
17 height during the regional flood above normal low water
18 would be 5.8 feet.

7/26/72

1 Regional (100-year) Flood for Future Urban Conditions
2 Estimates of land use.--The city of Madison Planning De-
3 partment has provided a land-use map (written communication,
4 1970) for the entire basin, which shows areas proposed to
5-- be zoned for residential, industrial, commercial, or open
6 space use. At present agricultural use prevails in much
7 of the upper reaches of the basin.

8 Flood magnitude.--Adjustments to the regional flood, as
9 previously determined for existing land-use conditions,
10-- have been made to reflect future urban conditions. The
11 inherent characteristics of urbanization such as paving
12 and roof tops, and storm-sewering or channelization,
13 generally increase the flood peak magnitude, though not
14 necessarily the flood volume, and reduce the response time
15-- to storms.

16 The peak discharge estimates were principally based
17 on the concepts of Anderson (1970). Estimates of imper-
18 vious area from the city of Madison land-use map, range
19 from 8 percent on the West Branch upstream from U.S.
20-- Highway 51 to 20 percent on the East Branch upstream from
21 Lien Road. The estimated decrease in lag time at the same
22 sites was approximately 80 percent.

7/26/72

1 Regional (100-year) flood magnitudes for future urban
2 conditions are shown in the following table:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Discharge in cubic feet per second</u>
3- West Branch Starkweather Creek at U.S. 4 Hwy. 51	860
5- West Branch Starkweather Creek at mouth 6 of East Branch	950
7 East Branch Starkweather Creek at Lien Road	290
8 East Branch Starkweather Creek at confluence 9 with West Branch	600
10- Starkweather Creek at mouth	1,600

11 Flood profile.--The water-surface profile of the regional
12 flood, adjusted to reflect future urban development, is
13 also shown on sheets 2 and 3. No adjustment to the region-
14 al flood was made in the lower reaches of the creek for
15- future urbanization because the lower reaches are already
16 completely urbanized and because the present open-space
17 flood-storage areas in the middle reaches would generally
18 remain after urbanization. Much channelization has already
19 taken place.

20- The regional flood elevation as adjusted for future
21 urbanization is a maximum of 0.5 foot above the standard
22 regional flood elevation on the West Branch and 1.1 feet
23 on the East Branch.
24
25-

7/26/72

1 Limits of flooding.--The boundaries of the regional flood
2 for future urban conditions are also shown on sheet 1.

3 Maximum Hydraulic Capacities

4 The maximum hydraulic capacity of the channel system
5 within the area of study presented on a frequency basis is
6 the 10-year flood. This is for existing land-use con-
7 ditions. An exception is the East Branch upstream from
8 Lien Road, where the channel is relatively steep and will
9 accommodate the 100-year flood.

10 This is shown graphically on sheets 4 and 5, where
11 profiles of the left and right banks (facing downstream)
12 are superimposed on profiles of the 100-year, 50-year,
13 25-year, and short reaches of the 10-year floods. The
14 shorter-period profiles were determined by the same tech-
15 niques used for preparing the regional (100-year) flood
16 profile.

17 Due principally to channelization, bank heights are
18 man-made rather than natural and are extremely variable.
19 Overflow will occur where the flood profile is higher than
20 the bank profile. Thus, the regional flood could be con-
21 tained within the channel in some areas, whereas even the
22 10-year flood could cause minor overflows elsewhere.

23
24
25
7/26/72

References Cited

1
2
3
4
5-
6
7
8
9
10-
11
12
13
14
15-
16
17
18
19
20-
21
22
23
24
25-

Anderson, D. G., 1970, Effects of urban development on
floods in Northern Virginia: U.S. Geol. Survey
Water-Supply Paper 2001-C, 22 p.

Conger, D. H., 1971, Estimating magnitude and frequency
of floods in Wisconsin: U.S. Geol. Survey open-file
rept., 200 p.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 1968, Wisconsin
administrative code, rules of Department of Resource
Development: Madison, Wis., chap. RD 16, p. 87-101.