

MAP SHOWING DISTRIBUTION PATTERN OF ZINC FROM SAMPLES OF -80 MESH STREAM SEDIMENT,
NORTHERN SONORA, MEXICO

EXPLANATION

Reported Value (by atomic absorption) (ppm)	Frequency Reported	Percent of Observations	Plotted symbols
1	1	0.1	
10	1	0.1	
15	6	0.6	
20-25	30	3.0	
30-35	117	11.7	*
40-55	207	20.7	*
60-80	181	18.1	*
100-120	100	10.0	△
130-170	37	3.7	△
180-200	29	2.9	△
270-300	10	1.0	△
390-560	4	0.4	△
570-800	1	0.1	△
840-1,200	0	0	*
1,200-1,700	0	0	*
1,800-2,600	1	0.1	*
2,700-3,800	1	0.1	*
3,900-5,400	0	0	*
5,700-8,100	1	0.1	*

In the fall of 1975 the first phase of a two-year regional geochemical survey was conducted in the northern half of a study area in northern Sonora, Mexico, by a team of scientists representing the U.S. Geological Survey and the Consejo de Recursos Minerales of Mexico. Stream-sediment samples were collected at 323 sites and panned concentrate samples were collected at 531 of these sites. The sampling plan called for a sample site at each tributary draining an outcrop area of 1 to 10 km² in order to give a sample density of one sample/10 km²; however, accessibility controlled actual spacing. Five-pound composite stream sediment samples were scooped across the stream channels and sieved to -80 mesh. Additional samples were collected and panned to obtain a heavy mineral concentrate. Following heavy liquid separation, the heavy minerals were separated into several fractions based on magnetic susceptibility. The fractions include those minerals susceptible (1) to hand magnet, (2) to less than 0.6 amps on a Frantz separator, and (3) to greater than 0.6 amps on the Frantz separator. These three magnetic fractions plus the stream-sediment samples were analyzed by emission spectrography for 30 elements and by atomic absorption and wet chemical methods for six or more elements. The results were entered into the computerized Rock Analysis Storage System (RASS) and data sets were analyzed by various statistical programs in the USGS STRIPAC system to produce element distribution maps and tabular statistics. The range of concentration of each element was subdivided into three or four intervals for plotting by symbols as shown in the above table.

SCALE 1:250,000

25 METERS

U.S. Geological Survey
OPEN FILE REPORT
This map is preliminary and has not
been edited or reviewed for conformity
with Geological Survey standards.

Glenn H. Allcott, George Yantrup, Jr.,
Jose Lee-Rivero, and Arturo Gomez