

.

4: 14

. |

ŝ.,

Click here to return to USGS

ALTE OTE A

4 BUAT

#### UNITED STATES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

TECHNIQUE FOR ESTIMATING DEPTH

OF 100-YEAR FLOODS IN TENNESSEE

Open-File Report 77-668

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

White we want with the state

いたいというないというないである

è,

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

#### TECHNIQUE FOR ESTIMATING DEPTH

OF 100-YEAR FLOODS IN TENNESSEE

By Charles R. Gamble and James G. Lewis

A CARLES AND A CARLE

Open-File Report 77-668

â,

#### Nashville, Tennessee

## CONTENTS

the second state with the second s

i ' . . . .

	Page
Abstract	3
Introduction	4
Conversion to metric units	5
Definition of depth	б
Method of analysis	7
Application of results	11
Accuracy and limitations	12
References	14

### ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	1Hydrologic areas for estimating 100-year flood	
	depths in Tennessee	9
	2Relation of 100-year flood depth to drainage	
	area for numbered hydrologic areas in Tennessee	10
•	TABLES	•
Table	1Summary of regression equations	8
Table	2100-year flood depth data at gaging stations	15

N, F

۰.

a stand and the

· · ·

151

·, +

. ...

÷.

# A

-2-

## TECHNIQUE FOR ESTIMATING DEPTH

なると思いなどのないないないないという。

しょうしゃ しんしん しいせい たんちゅう たちない たいたい しんない しょうしん しょう しょうしょう

いいいいです

OF 100-YEAR FLOODS IN TENNESSEE

BY CHARLES R. GAMBLE AND JAMES G. LEWIS

#### ABSTRACT

A method is presented for estimating the depth of the 100-year flood in four hydrologic areas in Tennessee. Depths at 151 gaging stations on streams that were not significantly affected by manmade changes were related to basin characteristics by multiple regression techniques. Equations derived from the analysis can be used to estimate the depth of the 100-year flood if the size of the drainage basin is known.

#### INTRODUCTION

1

and a second second and the second second and the second second second and a second second second second second

Population growth and economic expansion have resulted in increased development of flood-prone lands and have caused an increase in the Nation's average annual flood losses. As a result, the National Flood Insurance Program was established by Congress in the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 and expanded in the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973. These acts established programs for identifying towns and streams subject to flood problems and outlining flood-prone areas on maps by approximate methods. Beginning in 1968 the U.S. Geological Survey began delineating flood-prone areas on 7-1/2-minute topographic quadrangle maps. During the first two years of the program the work was limited to large streams and the flood prone areas were based on the maximum known flood. It was then decided that the delineation of the 100-year flood might be more meaningful by giving areal uniformity to the size of flood delineated. The 100-year flood is defined as that peak discharge which will be exceeded once, on the average, in 100 years, or in other words, the peak discharge which has a one percent chance of being exceeded in any year.

Because of the expansion of the National Flood Insurance Program by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, this flood delineation project was greatly accelerated and expanded to include small streams. An easy method of estimating the depth of the 100-year flood was needed, especially on small streams where limited data were available. Wiitala, Jetter, and Somerville (1961) and Thomas (1964) were among the first to regionalize flood depths.

-4-

The purpose of this report is to describe the development of the method and present relationships used for estimating the depth of the 100-year flood for natural streams in Tennessee. Relationships were defined between size of the drainage basin and depth of the 100-year flood for four hydrologic areas of the state.

#### Conversion to Metric Units

The analysis and compilations in this report were made using English units of measurements. To convert English units to metric units, the following conversion factors should be used:

Multiply English unit	By	To obtain metric units
cubic feet per second (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	0.0283	cubic meters per second $(m^3/s)$
feet (ft)	.3048	meters (m)
miles (mi)	1.609	kilometers (km)
square miles (mi <sup>2</sup> )	2,590	square kilometers (km <sup>2</sup> )

いいでいった。 「日本では、「日本の時間には、「日本の時間では、「日本の時間には、「日本の時間には、「日本の時間になった」に、「日本の時間には、日本の時間では、日本の時間には、「日本の時間では、「日本の時間に、「日本の時間に、「日本の時間に、「日本の時間では、「日本の

#### DEFINITION OF DEPTH

an erste t

The source of a hard and the second the second of the second to the second of the second second second second s

ため、おから、「ない、おりには、「ない、ない、「ない」」というない、「ない、いたい、「ない、いたい」」というない、「ない、いたい」」というない、「ない」」というない、「ない」」というない、「ない」」という

Since the major uses of the relationships developed in this study were anticipated to be estimating depth at a specific site on a stream and flood mapping, for simplicity and ease of use, depth needed to be related to some parameter which could be taken from topographic maps. The assumption was made that the elevations represented on 7-1/2-minute topographic maps by contour lines which cross stream channels approximate the elevation of the median discharge at the point of the crossing. The median discharge is that discharge which is exceeded 50 percent of the time. A study based on selected stations seems to substantiate this assumption. Also, aerial photographs used to prepare topographic maps are taken when vegetation is dormant and when streamflow approaches median discharge in most Tennessee streams. Depth of the 100-year flood used in this report is the depth above the stream contour crossings shown on 7-1/2-minute topographic maps.

The median discharge and the 100-year flood discharge and their corresponding stages were determined for each gaging station used in the analysis. The 100-year flood discharge used is the weighted discharge from table 2 of Randolph and Gamble (1976). For crest-stage partial-record stations and stations having short records, the median discharge and stage were estimated on the basis of discharge measurements, slope of the rating curve, size of the drainage basin, and knowledge of the site. The difference between the two stages (stage of the 100-year flood minus the stage of the median discharge) is the depth of the 100-year flood used in the analysis. The data used in the analysis are shown in table 2.

-6-

#### METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Randolph and Gamble (1976) defined mathematical equations relating floodflow characteristics to size of drainage basin. Other basin variables were also investigated to see if they improved the estimating equations. These included stream length, stream slope, and mean basin elevation. The definition and method of computation of values for these variables are described by May and others (1970). The same variables were tested by multiple regression techniques in this analysis to see if any improvement in the relation could be detected over the use of drainage basin size alone.

martine - attend that is second to marked

, <sup>1</sup> 2..

4---

Data from 151 gaging stations with drainage areas between 0.17 and 666 mi<sup>2</sup> were used in a statewide multiple regression of depth versus four basin variables. Those variables showing significance at the 5 percent level were drainage basin size and mean basin elevation. Residuals based on this relation were plotted on a map to see if areal bias could be detected. Inspection of the map indicated the state should be divided into four hydrologic areas. The stations within each of these four areas were then grouped and multiple regressions were run for each separate area using the same four basin variables. Different variables showed significance for different areas but the standard error of estimate showed no significant decrease in reliability of the estimating equation when all basin variables were dropped except size of the drainage basin. This one-variable equation is considered the most practical for estimating purposes due to its simplicity of use, especially since the additional variables showed little improvement.

-7-

20日本語のなどのなどのなどでは、「「「「「「」」」を見ているというないできた。「「「」」」の「「「」」」をいたないないないないないないないないないできる。

The equations that were developed to compute depth of the 100year flood in each of the four hydrologic areas (fig. 1) are shown in table 1 and in graphical form on figure 2.

an an an an Albania an an Albania an an Albania an an Albania an Albania an Albania an Albania an Albania an A Albania an Al

; :

· .

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

•

1 1 1 1 1 1

13

*!*'...

. .

Table 1.--Summary of regression equations

D = Depth of the 100-year flood, in feet. A = Drainage basin size, in square miles.

. ::<u>.</u> .

•

• File

. . 

e. Marshell, L.

÷ 1

، بد مرتجهو.

	Depth of	Standard error
Hydrologic area	100-year flood	of estimate
	(feet)	(percent)
1	$D = 5.3 (A)^{-200}$	31
2	D ≈ 7.1 (A) <sup>.226</sup>	33
3	$D = 6.1 (A)^{230}$	30
4	$D = 7.4 (A)^{-110}$	36





3;

100- YEAR FLOOD DEPIH, IN FEEL

#### Application of Results

the shall with a water is the set of the set

1.12

z. . . .

; 1

····

To determine the elevation of the 100-year flood at a given point on a stream, proceed as follows:

Determine the correct hydrologic area from figure 1. 1.

Determine the drainage area of the stream, in square miles. 2.

n film an glan a shekara a kara shi gashi a na sana shikara kuta na singe a shikara ta sana. A shikara kuta a shekara a sana shikara a shikara a shikara a shikara a shikara a shikara shikara shikara shika

- Compute the depth of the 100-year flood using the appro-3. priate formula from table 1.
- Add the 100-year flood depth to the median discharge eleva-4. tion represented by contour crossings on 7-1/2-minute topographic maps to obtain the elevation of the 100-year flood.

On streams where reliable flood data are available they should be used to help define the 100-year flood depth or to appraise its validity. If a profile of an actual flood is available, it should be used as a guide to shape the 100-year flood profile.

#### ACCURACY AND LIMITATIONS

tallet withit a little a letter with backstart a with a little states

Mart . Alle . Rule . Math . Better

The accuracy of the regression equations can be expressed in terms of the standard error of estimate. The standard error of estimate is a measure of how well the actual depths agree with those computed by the regression equations. By definition, approximately two of three gaged sites have observed 100-year flood depths within one standard error of estimate of the regression value. The standard error of estimate of the regression equation for each hydrologic area is shown in table 1. こうに、そうないないとなるとなるのであっていたないにありてい、その人をなったり、

The regression equations are known to be applicable only within the range of drainage area sizes used in their definition. Reliability of the equations for estimating depths at sites outside the sample range is unknown. Therefore, the regression equations are applicable only to streams in Tennessee whose basin sizes fall within the following ranges:

Hydrologic area	1	0.36	to	428	mi <sup>2</sup>
Hydrologic area	2	.49	to	382	m1 <sup>2</sup>
Hydrologic area	3	.17	to	666	mi <sup>2</sup>
Hydrologic area	4	.51	to	383	mi <sup>2</sup>

Stations with larger drainage areas were not used because profiles and other data which can be used to estimate flood depths are available for most large streams.

This report is not intended to be used in making final decisions on land use. The results should be used as a guide to decide if a more detailed investigation is needed. Their use in delineating flood boundaries on 7-1/2-minute topographic maps should yield accuracies consistent with map production standards, which is one-half contour interval.

-12-

In West Tennessee (hydrologic area 4), dredging of the low-water channels and construction of levees in about the past 15 years have undoubtedly affected the flood characteristics, and consequently flood depths, of some streams. Randolph and Gamble (1976) state that "... the discharges of 50-year floods on small streams with a large improved channel may be as much as 100 percent larger than other streams in the vicinity without an improved channel." It seems obvious then, that discharges for the 100 year flood may also be larger for improved channels. Many of these improved channels in West Tennessee are of sufficient size to carry major floods within the channel. This means that a greater discharge is confined in a relatively narrow channel, hence a greater depth must occur. Limited data on streams with improved channels indicates that depth of the 100-year flood is greater than that on unimproved channels in the same vicinity. However, no attempt was made to develop any adjustments for improved channels to the developed relations in this report because of lack of sufficient data. A knowledge of whether the particular stream is improved or not is essential in applying the relation given for West Tennessee. It should also be noted that most of the topographic maps in West Tennessee were prepared before about 1960 and, therefore, do not reflect recent channel changes.

1.

ᆟ

11.

1 24 5

and the fight of the states

The relations given in this report may not be applicable to regulated streams, since the stream contour crossings shown on the topographic maps may not reflect the median discharge elevation and the 100-year flood discharge cannot be determined in the conventional manner.

-13-

ŧ

#### REFERENCES

1

May, V. J., Wood, G. H., and Rima, D. R., 1970, A proposed streamflow-data program for Tennessee: U.S. Geol. Survey open-file report, 55 p. 「日本のない」をないのないのです。

1

のないというのないのないのないないない

- Randolph, W. J., and Gamble, C. R., 1976, Technique for estimating magnitude and frequency of floods in Tennessee: Tennessee Dept. Transportation, 52 p.
- Thomas, D. M., 1964, Flood-depth frequency in New Jersey: New Jersey Dept. Conserv. and Econ. Devel. Water-Resources Circ. 14, 14 p.
- Wiitala, S. W., Jetter, K. R., and Somerville, A. J., 1961, Hydraulic and hydrologic aspects of flood-plain planning: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1526, 68 p.

Table 2.--100-year flood depth data at gaging stations

\* \*r. \* . •

).

•

and the second sec

t :1

.

ار الم<mark>ولد</mark>ورية. مراجع الأورية

All and a state of the second

Station number	Station name	Hydrologic area	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> )	100-yf Stage (ft)	ar flood Discharge (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	Median stage (ft)	Median discharge (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	Depth of 100-year flood (ft)
02384900 Coah	ulla Creek near Cleveland	г	4.35	8.5	2,750	6.		7.6
TOJIJOUU WEST	rotk urakes treek tributary near untain Head	ę	.95	12.8	928	1.9	I	10.9
03408500 New 1	River at New River	2	382	38.0	63.500	2.9	233	35.1
03409500 Clear	r Fork near Robbins	7	272	20.5	44,600	2.1	142	16.4
03414500 East	Fork Obev River near Jamestown	7	196	30.5	44,700	2.0	149	28.5
03415000 West	Fork Obey River near Alpine	7	81	19.0	19,800	1.1	47.3	17.9
03415700 B1e	Eagle Creek near Livingston	7	4.77	13.5	2,440	1.0	•	12.5
03416000 Wolf	River near Byrdstown	0	106	12.5	31,400	1.7	67.7	10.8
03417700 Math	ews Branch tributary near Livingston	2	.49	10.5	687	.2	ı	10.3
03418000 Roar	ing River near Hilham	7	51.4	15.0	12,800	1.3	42.0	13.7
03418900 Racci	oon Creek near Old Winesap	7	1.52	11.7	667	3.2	I	8.5
03420000 Calf	killer River below Sparta	2	111	32.0	20,900	1.6	149	30.4
03420360 Mud	Creek tributary Number 2 near							
Sun	mmitville	m	2.28	5.5	1,440	1.5	ı	4.0
03420380 Mud	Creek tributary near Summitville	m	1.03	7.0	290	2.0	1	5.0
03420400 Mud	Creek near Summitville	ę	7.30	6.4	3,780	.6	ı	5.8
03420500 Barr	en Fork near Trousdale	m	126	18.1	38,300	1.5	98.3	16.6
03420600 Owen	Branch near Centertown	e	4.60	8.4	3,690	1.0	ı	7.4
03421100 Sink	tributary at McMinnville	ę	.47	8.4	549	.2	ı	8.2
03425500 Spri	ng Creek near Lebanon	m	35.3	12.2	13,300	1.0	13.5	11.2
03425700 Spen	cer Creek near Lebanon	ñ	3.32	9.7	3,800	<u>.</u>	1	9.2
03425800 Ceda	r Creek tributary at Green Hill	m	.86	9.2	817	1.0	ı	8.2
03426000 Drak	es Creek above Hendersonville	ε	19.2	14.0	8,760	1.0	5.3	13.0
03426800 East	Fork Stones River at Woodbury	m	39.1	17.5	16,400	2.5	28.3	15.0
03427500 East	Fork Stones River near Lascassas	m	262	41.0	46,400	3.8	113	37.2
03427830 Shor	t Creek tributary near Christiana	ო	.17	10.2	250	2.2	ı	8.0
03427840 Shor	t Creek near Christiana	m	3.54	9.3	4,020	3.1	ı	6.2
03428000 West	Fork Stones River near Murfreesboro	m	122	22.5	39,000	1.9	48.6	20.6
03430400 Mill	Creek at Nolensville	e	12.0	10.5	9,130	1.9	ı	8.6
03430600 Mill	Creek at Hobson Pike	ę	43.0	14.6	14,500	s.	1	14.1
03430700 Ind1:	an Creek at Pettus Road at Nashville	ς Γ	3.86	9.3	2,500	0	ı	9.3
03431000 Mill	Creek near Antioch	m	64.0	21.5	21,600	2.9	22.1	18.6
03431080 Sims	Branch at Elm Hill Pike, near							
Doi	nelson	ო	3.92	15.5	3,180	1.3	ı	14.2
03431120 West	Fork Browns Creek at General Bates							
Dr	ive at Nashville	б	3.30	8.5	3,660	1.2	ı	7.3
03431240 East	Fork Browns Creek at Baird-Ward							
Pr	inting Company at Nashville	'n	1.58	5.6	899	•2	ł	5.4
03431340 Brow	ns Creek at Factory Street at							,
Na.	shville	m	13.2	9.8	5,670	1.9	ı	7.9

1

Table 2.--100-year flood depth data at garing stations--Continued

, !; **,** 

."

•

.1

۰ ۲۰ ۱

A Sala A

N.E.

\$

Station	Station name	lydrologic	Drainage	100-ye	ar flood	Median	Median	Depth of
number		area	area (mi <sup>2</sup> )	Stage (ft)	Discharge (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	Stage (ft)	Discharge (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	100-year flood (ft)
03431520 Cla	avlick Creek at Lickton	۰ ۲	4.13	9.2	3.420	1.3	1	7.9
03431580 Ew	ing Creek at Knight Road near Bordeaux	ŝ	13.3	11.0	7,540	1.0	ı	10.0
03431600 Wh.	ites Creek at Tucker Road near Bordeaux	е Ц	51.6	19.5	19,200	3.7	21.8	15.8
03431630 Ri	chland Creek at Lynnwood Blvd., at		i	•		,		r
	Belle Meade	'n	2.21	5.0	1,710	1.3	1	3.1
03431650 Va	ughns Gap Branch at Percy Warner Blvd.,					1		
8	t Belle Meade	m	2.66	8.0	2,250	1.7	ı	6.3
03431700 Riv	chland Creek at Charlotte Ave., at							
	Nashville	m	24.3	16.5	10,600	1.2	0.0	15.3
03431800 Sy	camore Creek near Ashland City	m	97.2	14.5	23,000	2.7	47.5	11.8
03432500 Wei	st Harpeth River near Leipers Fork	m	66.9	16.0	36,900	1.0	21.5	15.0
03433500 Ha:	rpeth River at Bellevue	ę	393	24.5	41,400	1.8	167	22.7
03434500 Ha:	rpeth River near Kingston Springs	m	666	34.0	69,700	2.1	309	31.9
03435020 Rev	d River near New Deal	m	9.32	11.5	5,820	3.2	ı	8.3
03435030 Rev	d River near Portland	ب س	15.1	14.0	6,990	2.7	10.7	11.3
03435500 Rev	d River near Adams	۰ ۳	- 309	39.0	49,800	3.0	359	36.0
03435600 Su	lphur Fork Red River tributary near		ï					
	White House	ო	3.5	9.1	2,430	1.4	ı	7.7
03436000 Su	Juhur Fork Red River near Adams	<b>6</b> 1	165	28.5	27.200	4.1	73.0	24.4
03436700 Va	llow Creek near Shiloh		124	17.0	17,900	4.2	79.3	12.8
03/612/00 Te	shy freek heat Juiton	) –	10.2	0.1	2,580		23.0	4.0
	suy uteen avove would -1- American Meterda	٩,•	1.04			, a		0 U F
U340/UUU TI	CK UTEEK AL MONAWK	-1 -	01 C	0.01	100°0T	, c , c	7.70	4 · r -
03469110 Rai	msey Creek near Fittman Center		27.2	0.0	140	7.7	ı	<b>D</b> • • •
03469130 Li	ttle Pigeon River near Sevierville	1	110	19.5	19,400	1.5	ı	18.0
03469160 Ea.	st Fork Little Pigeon River near			1				
	Sevierville	-4	64.1	22.7	11,500	.2	ı	22.5
03469500 We.	st Prong Little Pigeon River near							
	Pigeon Forge	ч	76.2	14.2	13,800	1.5	1	12.7
03470000 Li	ttle Pigeon River at Sevierville	Ч	353	16.0	50,500	1.8	339	14.2
03480000 Wa	tauga River at Stump Knob	Ч	172	21.2	36,300	1.6	193	19.6
03482500 Ro	an Creek at Butler.	Ч	166	11.4	11,100	8.	98.2	10.6
03483000 Wa	tauga River at Butler	ч	427	18.1	37,600	1.5	461	16.6
03485500 Dov	e River at Elizabethton	Ч	137	8.8	11,700	1.1	163	7.7
03491000 Bi	g River Creek near Rogersville		47.3	9.8	6.350	1.9	24.6	7.9
03491200 Bi	o Creek tributary near Ropersville		2.00	8.1	1,070	œ	1	7.3
03497300 Li	ttle River above Townsend	1	106	16.5	26.200	2.1	208	14.4
03498000 1.1	ttle River near Walland	. –	192	5.01	26.600	7.1	222	17.8
1.1 03498500 1.1	ttle River near Marvville	t	269	25.5	37.200	7.1	312	18.4
03498700 Na	ile Creek near Knowville		36	5.9	246		1	5.0
03518500 Te	llico River at Tellico Plains	. –	118	14.5	20.900	1.8	188	12.7
03519600 Ts	land Creek at Vonore		11.2	12.5	2,790	2.8	1	9.7
03519610 Ba	ker Creek tributary near Binfield		2.10	7.2	1.060	2.7	ı	4.5
03519630 Gr	iffitts Branch near Greenback		1.46	9.8	- 817	- 8 - 1	ı	0.8

-16-

÷,

Table 2.--100-year flood depth data at gaging stations--Continued

Station	Station name	Hydrologic	Drainage	100-y	ear flood	Median	Median	Depth of
number		area	атеа	Stage	Discharge	Stage	Discharge	100-year flood
			(四14)	(IC)	(ft~/s)	(11)	(ft <sup>-</sup> /s)	(it)
03519640 Baker	Creek near Greenback	-1	16.0	10.1	3,790	2.5	23	7.6
03519700 Bat Cr	reek near Vonore	-1	30.7	17.7	6,170	1.5	I	16.2
03520100 Sweetw	water Creek near Loudon	l	62.2	14.6	5,760	2.5	ı	12.1
03534000 Coal C	Creek at Lake City	-1	24.5	10.6	7,760	0	ı	10.6
03534500 Buffal	lo Creek at Norris	-1	7.82	11.4	2,070	1.4	ı	10.0
03535000 Bullru	un Creek near Halls Crossroads	-1	68.5	12.0	13,800	2.4	42.5	9.6
03535160 Beaver	r Creek near Halls Crossroads	-1	14.1	10.4	4,160	1.0	1	9.4
03535180 Willow	" Fork near Halls Crossroads	-1	3.23	9.5	1,490	2.5	ı	7.0
03538130 Caney	Creek near Kingston	Ч	5.55	8.3	2,420	2.8	ł	5.5
03538200 Poplar	r Creek near Oliver Springs	4	55.9	21.1	066'6	1.9	ł	19.2
<b>03538225 Popla</b>	r Creek near Oak Ridge	2	82.5.	28.5	13,600	3.9	68	24.6
· 03538250 East H	Fork Poplar Creek near Oak Ridge	2	.19.5	16.0	4,340	2.0	32	14.0
03538300 Rock (	Creek near Sunbright	2	5.54	6.6	1,880	.2	I	6.4
03538500 Emory	River near Wartburg	2	83.2	28.3	26,000	1.9	38.9	26.4
03538600 Obed F	River at Crossville	2	12.0	10.6	1,830	1.0	I	9.6
03538900 Self (	Creek near Big Lick	7	3.80	10.1	1,380	1.9	I	8.2
03539100 Byrd (	Creek near Crossville	7	1.10	11.4	767	2.8	1	8.6
03539500 Daddys	s Creek near Crab Orchard	7	93.5	26.0	15,500	1.5	54.1	24.5
03541100 Bitter	r Creek near Camp Austin	2	5.53	9.5	4,310	2.0	I	7.5
03541200 Forked	i Creek near Oakdale	7	2.44	10.3	1,400	4.0	ı	6.3
03541500 Whites	s Creek near Glen Alice	-1	108	24.4	42,800	1.9	55.1	22.5
03543500 Sewee	Creek near Decatur	-1	117	23.0	20,100	s.	75.7	22.5
03544500 Richla	and Creek near Dayton	-1	50.2	11.7	14,900	1.0	ł	10.7
03556000 Turtle	stown Creek at Turtletown	ы	26.9	7.8	1,900	1.3	42.2	6.5
03565300 South	Chestuee Creek near Benton	ы	31.8	12.0	9,050	1.1	19.2	10.9
03565500 Oostar	laula Creek near Sanford	ы	57.0	13.5	8,190	2.8	58.1	10.7
03566200 Brymei	r Creek near McDonald	ч	9.68	8.0	2,470	1.8	ı	6.2
03566420 Wolfte	ever Creek near Ooltewah	-1	18.8	9.5	5,590	8.	13.4	8.7
03567500 South	Chickamauga Creek near Chickamau	ja l	428	23.0	35,100	2.2	296	20.8
03570800 Little	e Brush Creek near Dunlap	Ч	15.4	11.3	3,910	2.0	i	9.3
03571000 Sequat	cchie River near Whitwell	н	384	17.5	32,500	2.6	328	14.9
03571600 Brown	Spring Branch near Sequatchie	н	0.67	8.7	285	1.2	ı	7.5
03571800 Battle	e Creek near Monteagle	н	50.4	11.7	9,130	.2	ł	11.5
03574700 Big Hu	uckleberry Creek near Belvidere	m	2.18	9.5	1,770	<u>ې</u>	ı	0.0
03578000 Elk Ri	iver near Pelham	m	65.6	14.0	12,100	3.3	53.2	10.7
03578500 Bradl€	sy Creek near Prairie Plains	ო	41.3	16.0	8,290	1.5	23.5	14.5
03581500 West F	fork Mulberry Creek at Mulberry	ო	41.2	16.0	16,400	1.5	1	14.5
03582300 Norris	s Creek near Fayetteville	m	42.6	12.8	17,800	ŝ	ı	12.3
<b>03583000 Brads</b>	naw Creek at Frankewing	m	36.5	16.9	14,400	1.5	17.2	15.4
03583200 Chicke	an Creek at McBurg	m	7.66	8.3	6,600	.2	ı	8.1
03583300 Richls	and Creek near Cornersville	ო	47.5	18.2	18,100	2.5	18.3	15.7
03584000 Richla	and Creek near Pulaski	ო	366	29.5	90,200	1.5	195	28.0

Ъ.

. . . . . .

1

ī

ļ

-17-

Table 2.--100-year flood depth data at gaging stations--Continued

,223 /\*

ż

and the second se

•

.

.

.

. . . .

, **6**<sup>13</sup>

.

.

a the state

ал<sup>3</sup> • • • • • • • • • • •

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 

Lation mane	yurutuğıt area	area (m1 <sup>2</sup> )	stage (ft)	Discharge (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	Stage (ft)	Discharge (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	100-year flood (ft)
3587200 Bluewater Creek tributary near Leoma 3587500 Shoal Creek ahove Little Shoal Creek at	e	67.	6.8	436	8.	I	6.0
Lawrenceburg	ო	27.0	19.5	12,600	1.0	ı	18.5
3588400 Chisholm Creek at Westpoint	ę	43.0	14.5	16,100	3.1	37.7	11.4
3588500 Shoal Creek at Iron City	ო	348	27.0	90,200	3.0	293	24.0
3594200 Eavle Creek near Clifton Junction	4	19.0	8.5	10,700	0	•	8.5
3596000 Duck River below Manchester	ŝ	107	22.0	44,600	6.	60	21.1
3597000 Garrison Fork at Fairfield	e	66.3	24.6	28,100	1.5	32.7	23.1
3597300 Wartrace Creek above Bell Buckle	ŝ	4.99	16.0	4,690	2.4	1	13.6
3597400 Wartrace Creek near Bell Buckle	• m	9.59	10.3	7.410	4.	ł	6.9
3597450 Kellv Creek tributarv near Bell Buckle		.73	4.9	684	۳.	ı	4.6
3597500 Wartrace Creek at Bell Buckle	. m	16.3	12.0	10,200	2.5	6.5	9.5
3597550 Muse Branch near Bell Buckle	n	1.86	6.1	1,390	1.9	1	4.2
3598200 Weakley Creek near Rover	e	9.46	6.2	5,030	0	1	6.2
3599200 East Rock Creek at Farmington	m	43.1	17.5	20,000	s.	I	17.0
3599400 Little Flat Creek tributary near							
Rally Hill	e	.63	8.6	101	ŝ	ı	8.1
3600500 Big Bigby Creek at Sandy Hook	e	17.5	13.0	10,600	1.5	10.9	11.5
3602500 Piney River at Vernon	m	202	23.5	43,400	2.7	141	20.8
3604070 Coon Creek tributary near Hohenwald	4	.51	6.7	345	1.8	ı	4.9
3604080 Hugh Hollow Branch near Hohenwald	4	1.52	4.2	968	ŝ.	ı	3.7
3604090 Coon Creek above Chop Hollow near							1
Hohenwald	4	6.02	7.2	3,660	1°2	١	5.7
3604100 Coon Creek near Hohenwald	4	10.1	8.3	4,840		0.L	0.0
3606500 Big Sandy River at Brucetown	4	205	16.5	18,900		717	13.0
7024300 Beaver Creek at Huntingdon	4	55.5	14.0	8,650	2.2	43	11.8
7024500 South Fork Obion River near Greenfield	4	383	18.5	30,200	. 8 . 9	205	11.7
17026500 Reelfoot Creek near Samburg	4	110	17.5	17,900	1.6	8.9	5. 5
7028500 North Fork Forked Deer River at Trenton	, <b>t</b>	73.5	15.2	10,600	4.1	20.8	11.1
7028560 Cain Creek near Fruitland	4	6.17	13.5	2,780	۰ <u>،</u> ،	1	13.0
7028600 Cain Creek tributary near Trenton	4	.95	5.01	116	л. т.	1	۰ م ۱
7028700 Cain Creek near Trenton	4	14.4	13.1	5,18U	0	I	1.1
7028900 Middle Fork Forked Deer River near					•		
Spring Creek	4	88.2	12.5	17,100	0	,	12.5
17028935 Turkey Creek tributary near Medina	4	1.08	21.9	1,540	12.0	1	6 6 .
7028950 Turkey Creek at Fairview	4	13.3	15.9	8,590	2.5	ı	13.4
17029000 Middle Fork Forked Deer River near	I	1					
Alamo	4	369	16.5	24,500	7.7	168	8.8
17029050 Nash Creek near Tigrett	4	7.23	11.8	2,470	1.0	ı	10.8
7029090 Lewis Creek near Dyersburg	4	25.5	19.5	6,120	1.0	ı	18.5
7029370 Cypress Creek at Selmer	4	44.1	16.0	5,630	ŗ.	ı	15.5
	Ŷ	505	17 6	6 350	ر ۱	ı	18.1

strist (

1. 1224

. • •

• ,-

1

٠÷