





200)  
R290  
W.78-289


United States  
Department of the Interior  
Geological Survey

EFFECTS OF CONVERTING SAGEBRUSH COVER TO GRASS ON THE HYDROLOGY  
OF SMALL WATERSHEDS AT BOCO MOUNTAIN, COLORADO

---

Open-File Report 78-289

Prepared in cooperation with the  
U.S. Bureau of Land Management





(200)  
R290  
no. 78-289

USGS LIBRARY-RESTON



3 1818 00073321 0

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

✓ [Reports-Open file series]

EFFECTS OF CONVERTING SAGEBRUSH COVER TO GRASS ON THE HYDROLOGY  
OF SMALL WATERSHEDS AT BOCO MOUNTAIN, COLORADO

✓ cat GS  
by GREGG C. LUSBY, 1925 -

Open-File Report 78-289

TM

✓ TM  
✓ TWang/

Prepared in cooperation with the  
U.S. Bureau of Land Management

286516

Denver, Colorado

April 1978



## Contents

	Page
Conversion table-----	7
Abstract-----	8
Introduction-----	9
Acknowledgments-----	10
Purpose and scope-----	11
Location-----	11
Methods of study-----	13
Description of the area-----	19
Topography-----	19
Geology and soils-----	22
Climate-----	23
Vegetation-----	24
Results-----	26
Precipitation-----	26
Runoff-----	33
Rainstorm runoff-----	33
Snowmelt runoff-----	39
Sediment yield-----	44
Soil moisture-----	52
Conclusions-----	53
References-----	56
Basic data-----	57

## Illustrations

	Page
Figure 1. Map showing location of hydrologic study sites and study instrumentation-----	<i>In pocket</i> <del>12</del>
2. Photograph showing water-stage recorder installed in reservoir for measuring runoff-----	14
3. Photograph showing digital recording rain gage-----	15
4. Photograph showing weighing-type recording precipitation gage-----	16
5. Photograph showing acquisition of samples for determination of soil moisture-----	17
6. Photograph showing vegetation transect for determination of vegetation type and density-----	18
7. Photograph showing disc plow being used at Boco Mountain to remove sagebrush-----	20
8. Photograph showing rangeland drill used to seed bluebunch wheatgrass at Boco Mountain-----	21
9. Photograph of sagebrush cover at Boco Mountain showing the bare interspaces and sparse understory-----	25
10. Frequency curve of annual precipitation at Eagle and an estimated curve for Boco Mountain which is 30 percent larger-----	31
11. Frequency curve of maximum daily precipitation at Eagle for the summer months and maximum daily precipitation recorded at Boco Mountain-----	32

Illustrations--Continued

	Page
Figure 12. Regression of precipitation (X) versus runoff (Y) from rainstorms at Watersheds 1 and 4, Boco Mountain-----	37
13. Regression of precipitation (X) versus runoff (Y) from rainstorms at Watersheds 2 and 3, Boco Mountain-----	38
14. Photographs of snow cover at Boco Mountain	
A. Sagebrush area showing the dissipation of snow around plants-----	43
B. Grassed area showing the uniform snow cover-----	43
15. Photograph of snow cover at Boco Mountain showing ice layer over soil which inhibits erosion-----	47 47A
16. Graph showing the relationship between the ratio sediment yield/runoff (rainstorm) and bare soil-----	51

## Tables

	Page
Table 1. Precipitation at Boco Mountain watersheds, in millimeters-----	27
2. Annual rainstorm runoff from watersheds at Boco Mountain, in millimeters-----	34
3. Runoff from snowmelt at Boco Mountain, in millimeters-----	40
4. Sediment yield at Boco Mountain, in cubic meters per square kilometer-----	45
5. Basic data - Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at Boco Mountain, June 1965 to October 1973-----	57

CONVERSION TABLE

For use of those readers who may prefer to use U.S. customary rather than metric units, the conversion factors for the terms used in this report are listed below:

<u>Multiply metric unit</u>	<u>By</u>	<u>To obtain U.S. customary unit</u>
millimeters (mm)	0.0394	inches (in)
centimeters (cm)	.3937	inches (in)
meters (m)	3.281	feet (ft)
kilometers (km)	.622	miles (mi)
square meters ( $m^2$ )	10.76	square feet ( $ft^2$ )
cubic meters ( $m^3$ )	35.31	cubic feet ( $ft^3$ )
hectares (ha)	2.47	acres
square kilometers ( $km^2$ )	.386	square miles ( $mi^2$ )
kilograms (kg)	2.205	pounds (lb)

Effects of converting sagebrush cover to grass on the hydrology  
of small watersheds at Boco Mountain, Colorado

by

Gregg C. Lusby

ABSTRACT

Changes in runoff and sediment yield caused by changing sagebrush cover to grass cover were studied at four small watersheds in western Colorado during a 9-year period. Measurements of runoff and sediment yield from four watersheds were made for 3 years, at which time two watersheds were plowed and seeded to beardless bluebunch wheatgrass. The same measurements were then continued for an additional 6 years.

Measurements indicated that conversion to grass caused a reduction in runoff from summer rainstorms of about 75 percent. Runoff from spring snowmelt increased about 12 percent and annual runoff from treated watersheds decreased about 20 percent when compared to control watersheds. Sediment yield from the seeded watersheds was reduced by about 80 percent. Most of this reduction is related to the decrease in runoff from summer rainstorms.

The size of barren interspaces between plants was reduced on the converted watersheds to about 30 percent of those on the untreated watersheds. Linear regression analysis indicates that a reduction of 38 percent in the amount of bare soil resulting from planting grass would result in a decrease of 73 percent in sediment concentration.

## INTRODUCTION

One of the primary factors affecting the surface hydrology of land masses is the vegetal cover. The vegetal cover not only affects the timing of runoff and the percentage of precipitation that becomes runoff, but it also drastically affects erosion. Langbein and Schumm (1958) showed a relationship between annual precipitation and weight of vegetation per acre and also between annual sediment yield and effective precipitation, which is defined as the amount of precipitation required to produce the known amount of runoff. The highest erosion rates measured in the United States occurred on areas which had an annual effective precipitation of from 250 to 350 mm. This amount of precipitation occurs on millions of hectares of land in the western United States.

Sediment production is not only dependent on the amount of precipitation, but also is dependent on the type of vegetation growing on the watershed. Several different vegetative communities in the United States are adapted to the effective precipitation range of 250 to 350 mm. These include Pinyon-Juniper forest, grassland, and desert shrubs, each of which has its own intrinsic values. Included in the shrub category is the sagebrush community, which is dominant on over 400,000 km<sup>2</sup> of land in the western United States. Many sites in the United States have been treated to eradicate the sagebrush and promote the growth of grass. Although many sites have already been treated, a greater area remains untouched. Little is known about the effects of these conversions on the hydrology of the sites where the work was done.

#### Acknowledgments

Many thanks are due numerous co-workers in the Geological Survey who helped collect and compute the data. Special thanks to Lynn M. Shown who helped collect and analyze the data on soil moisture, and Farrel A. Branson who did the work on vegetation.

The Bureau of Land Management administered the lands on which the study was done, fenced the entire area, constructed the measurement reservoirs, and plowed and seeded the study watersheds.

### Purpose and scope

Very little quantitative data is available on the hydrologic effects of changing sagebrush cover to grass. It is generally believed that a substantial grass cover provides better watershed protection than sagebrush because of the closer spacing of plants. Sagebrush tends to inhibit the growth of understory and leaves barren interspaces, providing avenues for runoff and attendant erosion. It is the objective of this study to determine the hydrologic effects of changing sagebrush to grass at a specific location.

The scope of the study includes the determination of runoff, sediment yield, infiltration, soil moisture, and productivity of natural sagebrush sites and the comparison of these factors with those from nearby sites that have been converted to grass.

### Location




The study area is located in west central Colorado about 5 km north of Wolcott, lat.  $39^{\circ}44'$ , long.  $106^{\circ}41'$ , at an elevation of about 2,200 m. The drainage is tributary to Alkali Creek, which flows into the Eagle River about 3 km downstream. The general location is shown in figure 1.

---

Figure 1 near here.

---

R290  
no. 78-289



INDEX MAP OF COLORADO SHOWING  
LOCATION OF BOCO MOUNTAIN WATERSHEDS




Plate 1.--Location of hydrologic study sites and study instrumentation.

### Methods of study

In 1965 four small drainage basins at the study area were chosen for instrumentation and calibration. These basins ranged in size from 2.06 to 3.92 ha. A small reservoir was constructed at the lower end of each drainage basin to measure runoff and sediment. The reservoirs were equipped with continuous water-stage recorders for the measurement of runoff and permanent monumented cross sections were established for stage-capacity and sediment yield computations. One of the water-stage recorders is shown in figure 2. A precipitation recorder was installed

---

Figure 2 near here.

---

in each drainage basin. Two basins were provided with digital recording gages which measured rainfall only (fig. 3). The other two basins were

---

Figure 3 near here.

---

provided with weighing type recorders which measured both rain and snow (fig. 4). Four sampling stations were established in each basin where

---

Figure 4 near here.

---

*(usually monthly during summer months)*  
soil samples were obtained periodically for the gravimetric determination of soil moisture (fig. 5). Permanent vegetation transects (fig. 6) were

---

Figures 5 and 6 near here.

---

established at 10 locations in each basin for measurement of vegetation type and density.



Figure 2.--Water-stage recorder installed in reservoir for measuring runoff.



Figure 3.--Digital recording rain gage.



Figure 4.--Weighing-type recording precipitation gage.



Figure 5.--Obtaining soil samples for determination of soil moisture.



Figure 6.--Vegetation transect for determination of vegetation type and density.

The aforementioned measurements were made in all four basins from July 1965 to October 1967, at which time two of the basins were plowed using a disc (fig. 7) and ~~planted~~ with beardless bluebunch wheatgrass (Agropyron inerme) <sup>was</sup> using a rangeland drill (fig. 8). The same

---

Figures 7 and 8 near here.

---

measurements were then continued until October 1973. Effects of the vegetation change were determined by comparing measurements before and after treatment.

Drainage basins and instrumentation are as shown in figure 1. Prior to the beginning of the study, the area was used for sheep grazing. The entire area was fenced in 1965 and has not been used by domestic livestock since that time. Some use of the area has been made by big game animals during the winter months.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA

##### Topography

The Boco Mountain watersheds are located in the low hills bordering Alkali Creek. Average basin slope ranges from about 6-9 percent. Each basin contains a central drainage channel leading to the measurement reservoir. Except for the main channel, the basins are not greatly incised.



Figure 7.--Disc plow being used at Boco Mountain to remove sagebrush.



Figure 8.--Rangeland drill used to seed bluebunch wheatgrass  
at Boco Mountain.

## Geology and soils

The Boco Mountain watersheds are located on Pierre Shale of ~~Upper~~ <sup>Late</sup> Cretaceous age (Tweto and others, 1976). Pierre Shale is a dark gray marine shale containing a few thick beds of fine-grained sandstone. In the area of study no sandstone is ~~present~~ <sup>exposed</sup>.

The soil on the watersheds is residual weathered parent material and contains no A horizons. Fractured bedrock lies from 60 to 200 cm below the surface, but over most of the area it averages about 100 cm below the surface. Soil material has a silty clay texture, is quite uniform in structure, and is very dense. Below 10 cm depth a bulk density greater than 2.0 is common. The density of the upper 10 cm varies depending on the time of year and moisture content.

### Climate

The climate at Boco Mountain is semiarid. The nearest long-term weather station is at Eagle, about 13 km west of and 210 m lower than the study area. The average annual precipitation for 30 years of record at Eagle was 259 mm (climatological data, U.S. Weather Bureau). Of this amount, about 165 mm occurred as rain and 94 mm as snow. Precipitation at Boco Mountain during the study period averaged about 30 percent more than that at Eagle. This is probably the normal situation caused by the higher altitude. The monthly distribution of rain and snow appears to be similar at the two stations. The largest average monthly precipitation was 27 mm in August, and the smallest was 15 mm in February. Rainstorms in the summer are characterized by numerous small events in addition to an occasional large event. The larger events often comprise most of the monthly precipitation. Snowfall during the winter accumulates to some extent and provides a snow cover generally from November until March. Summer temperatures are usually cool at night and warm during the day. The average time between the last spring and first fall freezing temperature at Eagle was 76 days. However, freezing temperatures have been recorded in every month of the year.

## Vegetation

The dominant vegetation on the watersheds before conversion was big sagebrush (Artemesia tridentata subsp. vaseyana) (Shown, Lusby, and Branson, 1972). It averaged about 0.6 m in height and the shrub canopy cover varied from 45 to 55 percent of the watershed areas. The spacing of the sagebrush trunks was 0.6 to 0.9 m (fig. 9). The generally sparse

---

Figure 9 near here.

---

understory was composed of western wheatgrass (Agropyron smithii), bottlebrush squirreltail (Sitanion Hystrix), and several other grasses and forbs. Useable forage production from shrubs, grasses, and forbs was estimated to be about 111 kg/ha.

A few stunted juniper trees grow at the upper end of two of the watersheds and aspen grow at a slightly higher ~~elevation~~ <sup>altitude</sup> on some of the nearby hills.



Figure 9.--Sagebrush cover at Boco Mountain showing the bare interspaces and sparse understory. Stake in photo is 30 cm tall.

## RESULTS

### Precipitation

Precipitation was measured at four locations during the course of the study. Gages 1 and 3 (fig. 1) were installed in November 1964, and were operated continuously until September 1973. These were weighing type recorders that measured snow and rain. Digital recording gages were installed at sites 2 and 4 in June 1966. These gages were used to measure rain only and were operated each summer until 1973. Monthly and annual amounts are shown in table 1.

---

Table 1 near here.

---

Table 1.--Precipitation at Boco Mountain watersheds, in millimeters

Year	Gage	Month												Total for year		
		Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.			
1964	1													36.1	43.7	-----
	2													-----	-----	-----
	3													34.0	41.1	-----
	4													-----	-----	-----
1965	1	28.7	10.4	40.4	17.8	25.7	26.4	67.6	43.2	83.6	6.6	51.8	20.3	422.5		
	2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	3	25.7	9.4	38.1	16.3	19.8	21.8	57.9	45.5	82.8	6.6	52.1	23.4	399.4		
	4	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1966	1	5.6	13.7	4.6	26.2	36.1	22.4	14.5	41.4	21.1	24.9	25.7	54.6	290.8		
	2	-----	-----				22.1	16.5	47.5	21.6				-----	-----	-----
	3	7.6	11.2	5.3	23.6	32.5	22.4	15.2	43.7	18.3	24.1	27.4	54.1	285.4		
	4	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	22.6	18.0	45.0	21.6	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1967	1	22.1	20.1	20.6	8.1	23.1	36.6	30.0	43.9	62.7	15.0	14.0	34.0	330.2		
	2	-----	-----	-----	4.8	24.4	38.1	37.1	38.9	57.4	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	3	21.8	20.3	19.3	10.7	24.6	33.8	29.7	38.6	51.8	15.0	11.4	31.2	308.2		
	4	-----	-----	-----	6.4	25.1	42.2	35.3	46.5	54.4	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1968	1	10.2	21.3	7.4	29.2	33.8	1.77	43.7	62.0	24.1	22.6	20.1	17.0	293.2		
	2	-----	-----	-----	-----	28.4	2.8	41.9	56.6	23.6	20.1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	3	9.4	17.3	6.4	28.4	28.2	1.5	36.1	51.8	21.1	18.8	31.2	17.3	267.5		
	4	-----	-----	-----	-----	30.5	3.6	44.5	59.4	23.6	20.8	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Table 1.--Precipitation at Boco Mountain watersheds, in millimeters--Continued

Year	Gage	Month												Total for year
		Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	
1969	1	67.8	15.0	5.8	26.4	27.4	104.1	39.1	19.1	16.0	71.9	18.0	25.1	435.7
	2	----	----	----	----	24.6	98.3	41.9	22.4	21.8	63.2	----	----	----
	3	67.1	11.2	5.3	22.9	24.4	94.2	39.6	19.1	17.5	74.7	15.5	25.1	456.2
	4	----	----	----	----	25.1	97.8	42.4	24.4	20.6	67.1	----	----	----
1970	1	20.1	4.3	20.8	35.8	9.9	25.7	23.6	37.3	54.1	49.3	31.0	26.6	338.5
	2	----	----	----	----	10.7	26.7	25.7	57.4	60.5	49.8	----	----	----
	3	15.2	3.3	23.4	38.9	10.4	23.9	21.3	41.7	56.1	48.8	34.0	30.0	347.0
	4	----	----	----	----	10.7	26.4	24.9	51.8	61.2	49.8	----	----	----
1971	1	27.9	21.6	23.4	22.6	29.2	2.8	38.9	26.9	52.8	19.6	9.7	45.7	321.1
	2	----	----	----	----	27.9	3.6	37.1	26.9	47.2	----	----	----	----
	3	17.3	22.1	22.4	21.6	27.2	2.0	37.6	26.4	51.1	19.6	10.9	43.7	301.9
	4	----	----	----	----	29.5	3.6	36.8	29.5	48.3	----	----	----	----
1972	1	7.4	6.6	16.0	33.8	17.8	26.2	8.6	14.7	48.0	39.1	11.2	28.7	258.1
	2	----	----	----	----	16.3	34.0	13.7	16.3	47.5	43.2	----	----	----
	3	6.4	6.6	15.0	32.0	18.3	28.2	12.4	15.0	46.0	42.9	12.7	27.7	263.2
	4	----	----	----	----	16.0	32.0	14.2	16.8	48.8	47.0	----	----	----
1973	1	12.2	6.9	11.7	35.8	33.0	49.8	57.4	17.8	10.4	----	----	----	----
	2	----	----	----	----	37.6	49.3	53.6	16.0	11.4	----	----	----	----
	3	12.7	6.6	13.0	36.3	33.8	47.0	52.1	17.5	11.4	----	----	----	----
	4	----	----	----	----	36.8	48.5	52.1	18.8	10.7	----	----	----	----

Table 1.--Precipitation at Boco Mountain watersheds, in millimeters--Continued

Year	Gage	Month												Total for year
		Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	
1969	1	67.8	15.0	5.8	26.4	27.4	104.1	39.1	19.1	16.0	71.9	18.0	25.1	435.7
	2	----	----	----	----	24.6	98.3	41.9	22.4	21.8	63.2	----	----	----
	3	67.1	11.2	5.3	22.9	24.4	94.2	39.6	19.1	17.5	74.7	15.5	25.1	456.2
	4	----	----	----	----	25.1	97.8	42.4	24.4	20.6	67.1	----	----	----
1970	1	20.1	4.3	20.8	35.8	9.9	25.7	23.6	37.3	54.1	49.3	31.0	26.6	338.5
	2	----	----	----	----	10.7	26.7	25.7	57.4	60.5	49.8	----	----	----
	3	15.2	3.3	23.4	38.9	10.4	23.9	21.3	41.7	56.1	48.8	34.0	30.0	347.0
	4	----	----	----	----	10.7	26.4	24.9	51.8	61.2	49.8	----	----	----
1971	1	27.9	21.6	23.4	22.6	29.2	2.8	38.9	26.9	52.8	19.6	9.7	45.7	321.1
	2	----	----	----	----	27.9	3.6	37.1	26.9	47.2	----	----	----	----
	3	17.3	22.1	22.4	21.6	27.2	2.0	37.6	26.4	51.1	19.6	10.9	43.7	301.9
	4	----	----	----	----	29.5	3.6	36.8	29.5	48.3	----	----	----	----
1972	1	7.4	6.6	16.0	33.8	17.8	26.2	8.6	14.7	48.0	39.1	11.2	28.7	258.1
	2	----	----	----	----	16.3	34.0	13.7	16.3	47.5	43.2	----	----	----
	3	6.4	6.6	15.0	32.0	18.3	28.2	12.4	15.0	46.0	42.9	12.7	27.7	263.2
	4	----	----	----	----	16.0	32.0	14.2	16.8	48.8	47.0	----	----	----
1973	1	12.2	6.9	11.7	35.8	33.0	49.8	57.4	17.8	10.4	----	----	----	----
	2	----	----	----	----	37.6	49.3	53.6	16.0	11.4	----	----	----	----
	3	12.7	6.6	13.0	36.3	33.8	47.0	52.1	17.5	11.4	----	----	----	----
	4	----	----	----	----	36.8	48.5	52.1	18.8	10.7	----	----	----	----

As mentioned previously, the Boco Mountain watersheds received about 30 percent more precipitation annually than did Eagle during the study period. Shown on figure 10 is a frequency curve for annual

---

Figure 10 near here.

---

precipitation at Eagle, which is based on 30 years of record, and one for Boco Mountain, which is 30 percent larger. The recurrence of annual precipitation measured at Boco Mountain, which was computed from the short-term record, fits this curve quite well. Using the curve based on the Eagle record as a smoothing agent, the recurrence interval of annual precipitation received at Boco Mountain is as follows:

	<u>Annual precipitation, in mm</u>	<u>Recurrence interval, in yr</u>
1965	411	5.4
1966	288	1.4
1967	319	1.8
1968	280	1.3
1969	446	8.7
1970	343	2.3
1971	312	1.7
1972	261	1.2

Shown on figure 11 is a frequency curve of maximum daily precipitation at Figure 11 near here.

---

Eagle for the summer months. Also plotted on this curve are maximum daily precipitation at Boco Mountain at recurrence intervals computed from the short-term record. The data fit the curve for Eagle quite well except for one day in 1969, which was apparently an extreme event. The frequency of maximum daily precipitation at Boco Mountain obtained from this curve is as follows:

	<u>Maximum daily precipitation, in mm</u>	<u>Recurrence interval, in yr</u>
1965	26.4	5.2
1966	12.7	1.3
1967	15.5	1.6
1968	13.0	1.3
1969	49.5	120.0*
1970	15.5	1.6
1971	23.4	3.5
1972	22.4	3.1
1973	16.2	1.7

\* This value is too far beyond available data for reliability.

16




Figure 10.--Frequency curve of annual precipitation at Eagle and an estimated curve for Boco Mountain which is 30 percent larger.




Figure 11.--Frequency curve of maximum daily precipitation at Eagle for the summer months and maximum daily precipitation recorded at Boco Mountain.

## Runoff

The effect of vegetative conversion on runoff will be considered separately for both rainstorms and snowmelt because it appears that the effects were different. The treated watersheds 2 and 4 were plowed and planted to beardless bluebunch wheatgrass (Agropyron inerme) in October 1967. The control watersheds 1 and 3 remained in sagebrush throughout the study period 1965-73. The grass did not become well established until late in the growing season of 1968. In comparing pretreatment and posttreatment periods, 1968 is omitted because it is considered a transition period.

Three methods were used to determine the statistical significance of changes noted in runoff from treated watersheds and the magnitude of these changes. These methods are variance analysis, determination of predicting equations by regression of runoff events between watersheds during the calibration period, and the regression of precipitation against runoff for individual events.

### Rainstorm runoff

Inflow to the reservoirs at Boco Mountain by individual event is shown in table 5 (see Summary of data). Annual runoff from rainstorms is shown in table 2.

---

Table 2 near here.

---

Table 2.--Annual rainstorm runoff from watersheds  
at Boco Mountain, in millimeters

Year	Watershed			
	1	2	3	4
1965	28.7	41.9	33.8	31.8
1966	.5	7.1	2.7	3.7
1967	6.6	16.6	10.4	9.3
1969	14.8	9.8	27.9	11.0
1970	.8	.4	4.1	0
1971	6.4	1.2	8.6	.8
1972	3.0	0	3.3	0
1973	.1	.5	.8	.1
Total	60.9	77.5	91.6	56.7

A three-way analysis of variance was performed on runoff data for the Boco Mountain watersheds to test the significance of any measured differences. This type of analysis was done for both individual rain-storm runoff events and annual runoff from rainstorms for each watershed. This analysis determines the portion of the total variance in runoff data that is attributable to different factors. In this case the factors considered were differences in watersheds, differences in years, and differences in cover. Differences in runoff caused by differences in watershed cover as measured by the "F" statistic were significant at the 1 percent level for both individual runoff events and annual runoff.

In order to determine the magnitude of changes that were indicated, regression equations were developed between runoff from control and converted watersheds during the pretreatment period. The resulting equations are as follows:

For annual rainstorm runoff,  $Y = 3.5 + 1.08X$

For individual rainstorm runoff,  $Y = 0.24 + 1.07X$

where  $Y$  = runoff from watersheds before conversion, and

$X$  = runoff from control watersheds, in mm.

The standard error for these two equations was 1.9 mm for annual amounts and 0.4 mm for individual events.

Predicted annual runoff from rainstorms for the converted watersheds during 1969 to 1973 was 11.1 mm, whereas 2.4 mm was measured. Predicted runoff using the individual event equation was 9.2 mm per year. Indicated reduction in runoff for each of the equations was 78 percent and 74 percent, respectively.

Shown in figure 12 are the results of plotting precipitation (X)

---

Figure 12 near here.

---

against runoff (Y) for watersheds 1 (control) and 4 (treated) during both the pretreatment and posttreatment periods. The least squares fit of the regression lines in this figure indicate that in the control watershed, runoff from rainstorms was slightly less during the post-treatment period, but the slope of the regression line remained essentially the same. In the treated watershed the slope of the regression line declined from 0.28 to 0.21.

The same relationship for watersheds 3 (control) and 2 (treated) is shown in figure 13. Essentially the same result is indicated for

---

Figure 13 near here.

---

these watersheds as was seen in watersheds 1 and 4. The slope of the regression was almost the same in pretreatment and posttreatment periods for the control watershed, whereas the slope for the treated watershed declined from 0.35 to 0.16.

62




Figure 12.--Regression of precipitation (X) versus runoff (Y) from rainstorms at watersheds 1 and 4, Boco Mountain.

86




Figure 13.--Regression of precipitation (X) versus runoff (Y) from rainstorms at watersheds 2 and 3, Boco Mountain.

### Snowmelt runoff

The response of the converted basins to snow was somewhat different than it was to rain. Runoff derived from snowmelt for all basins is shown in table 3. An analysis of variance showed that after adjustment

---

Table 3 near here.

---

for differences in watersheds and years, no significant difference in runoff from converted and control watersheds was measured.

The relationship between runoff from the control watersheds, (1, 3) and the converted watersheds (2, 4) was established by regression of values obtained during the calibration period. The resulting equation is

$$Y = -9.7 + 1.65X$$

where  $Y$  = annual snowmelt runoff from converted watersheds, and

$X$  = annual snowmelt runoff from control watersheds.

The correlation coefficient is 0.98 with 2 degrees of freedom and the standard error is 1.8 mm. Average annual snowmelt from the converted watersheds obtained by using this predicting equation and runoff values from the control watersheds during 1969-73 was 36.0 mm. Measured average annual snowmelt from the converted watersheds was 35.1 mm, which again indicates no appreciable difference in snowmelt runoff because of conversion.

Table 3.--Runoff from snowmelt at  
Boco Mountain, in millimeters

Year	Watershed			
	1	2	3	4
1966	29.7	29.7	23.5	38.8
1967	19.0	18.5	18.3	23.3
1969	40.7	67.2	36.5	65.6
1970	25.8	24.5	20.4	31.6
1971	43.5	39.9	50.6	57.5
1972	26.9	21.5	21.3	30.2
1973	0	.2	0	13.1
Total	185.6	201.5	170.6	260.1

A large part of the annual runoff at Boco Mountain is derived from snowmelt. This proportion on the control and converted watersheds is as follows:

	Proportion of annual runoff <u>occurring from snowmelt, in percent</u>	
	<u>Control</u>	<u>Converted</u>
1966-67 (pretreatment)	83	69
1969-73 (post-treatment)	80	91

The percentage remained about the same for the two periods in the control watersheds, but in the watershed changed to grass, the percentage increased from 69 to 91 percent. This was caused primarily by the reduction of runoff from summer rainstorms, although for these particular periods runoff from the converted watersheds increased from 110 percent of that from the control watersheds during the pretreatment period to 123 percent during the post-treatment period.

Figures 14A and 14B show a comparison of the snowpack at one of the sagebrush watersheds and a grassed watershed. A meaningful measure

---

Figures 14A and 14B near here.

---

of the snowpack on sagebrush-covered watersheds is difficult to obtain. Although the snowfall measured on all watersheds was nearly the same, it is not known how much redistribution by wind took place prior to melting. Snow-tube measurements in the watersheds indicated that distribution on the converted watersheds was quite uniform, but distribution on the control watersheds was highly variable. Density of the snow within shrub crowns is generally much less than that in the interspaces. During the spring period prior to the start of runoff, snow within the shrub crowns is either melted or evaporated as shown in figure 14A. Hutchison (1965) described this same effect at a study site in northern Wyoming. It is not known whether this moisture enters the ground as stemflow around the plants or is evaporated, but it is not available for runoff. According to Shown, Lusby, and Branson (1972), the soil moisture is recharged slightly more during the winter period in the sagebrush watersheds than in the grass. This water may come from the snowpack around the plants. In contrast, the snowpack on the grassed watersheds is more or less at a uniform depth over the area and is evenly exposed to solar radiation which may induce a quicker melt, thus providing less opportunity for infiltration.



Figure 14.--Snow cover at Boco Mountain.

A. Sagebrush area showing the dissipation of snow  
around plants.

B. Grassed area showing the uniform snow cover.

Regression of annual runoff between control and converted watersheds during the pretreatment period resulted in a predicting equation

$$Y = -5.8 + 1.54X$$

where  $Y$  = annual runoff from converted watersheds, and

$X$  = annual runoff from control watersheds, in mm.

The correlation coefficient was 0.79 with 2 degrees of freedom. The standard error of this regression is 2.8 mm. Annual runoff during the posttreatment period for the converted watersheds using this equation was 46.8 mm, whereas 37.5 mm was actually measured, resulting in a reduction of 20 percent.

#### Sediment yield

Total annual sediment yield was determined for each watershed by measuring the sediment deposit in the outlet reservoir after each summer runoff season. Computations were done from permanently established cross sections in the reservoirs to determine the accretion during the intervening period. Sediment yields for each period are shown in table 4. The sediment yields for pretreatment and posttreatment

---

Table 4 near here..

---

periods are also shown in table 4.

Table 4.--Sediment yield at Boco Mountain, in  
cubic meter per square kilometer

Period	Watershed			
	1 (Control)	2 (Treated)	3 (Control)	4 (Treated)
July 25, 1965-Nov. 2, 1965	1,069	3,616	2,509	1,110
Nov. 2, 1965-Oct. 31, 1966	0	0	0	0
Oct. 31, 1966-Nov. 1, 1967	329	1,855	1,016	288
Nov. 6, 1968-Nov. 6, 1969	41	31	896	411
Nov. 6, 1969-Nov. 3, 1970	164	31	358	0
Nov. 3, 1970-Oct. 27, 1971	247	0	1,135	82
Oct. 27, 1971-Nov. 6, 1972	206	0	0	0
Nov. 6, 1972-Oct. 2, 1973	0	0	0	0
July 25, 1965-Nov. 1, 1967	1,398	5,471	3,525	1,398
Nov. 6, 1968-Oct. 2, 1973	658	62	2,389	493

An analysis of variance indicated that after adjusting for differences in watersheds and periods, no significant difference could be detected between the mean values for converted and control watersheds that could be related to treatment. The extreme variation in observed values between years probably masked any such changes which may have occurred.

Three secondary methods were used to determine quantitatively the effect of treatment on sediment yield.

The regression of annual sediment yield values from control areas against those from areas to be converted during the pretreatment period resulted in the predicting equation

$$Y = -49 + 1.45X$$

where

$Y$  = Annual sediment yield from areas to be converted, and

$X$  = Annual sediment yield from control areas, both in  $\text{m}^3/\text{km}^2$ .

Points on this regression were obtained by pairing watersheds 1 and 4 and 2 and 3. The regression was forced through zero because during the period November 2, 1965 to October 31, 1966 a slight amount of sediment was deposited in each of the reservoirs, but the amount was too small to measure. The equation resulting from the 6 points has a coefficient and a standard error of 319 of determination of .96 with 4 degrees of freedom. Prediction of sediment yield for converted areas during the post-treatment period resulted in an average value of  $408 \text{ m}^3/\text{km}^2$  instead of  $55.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{km}^2$  actually measured.

Average annual sediment yield from the control and converted areas were as follows. All values are weighted for watershed size.

	<u>Average annual sediment yield, in cubic meter</u>	
	<u>per square kilometer per year</u>	
	<u>Control</u>	<u>Converted</u>
1965-67 (pretreatment)	755	1,204
1969-73 (posttreatment)	273	52

If the same relationship existed between sediment yield from control and converted watersheds during the posttreatment period as existed during the pretreatment period,  $435 \text{ m}^3/\text{km}^2/\text{yr}$  might have been expected from the converted watersheds after treatment instead of the  $52 \text{ m}^3/\text{km}^2/\text{yr}$  which occurred, or a reduction of 88 percent.

Sediment yield at Boco Mountain appears to be highly correlated with rainstorm runoff. Very little sediment was contributed to the reservoirs from snowmelt runoff. As shown in figure 15, an ice layer

---

Figure 15 near here.

---

usually formed over frozen ground in late spring. Observations during this period showed the water to be running over the ice and creating very little erosion. The slow release rate from melting also created prolonged flows of low discharge which produced little erosion in channels.



Figure 15.--Snow cover at Boco Mountain showing ice layer over soil which inhibits erosion.

47A

A comparison of rainstorm runoff and sediment yield follows.

<u>Average annual rainstorm runoff, in cubic meter per square kilometer per year</u>		
	<u>Control</u>	<u>Converted</u>
1965-67 (pretreatment)	13,400	19,900
1969-73 (posttreatment)	6,600	3,200

<u>Ratio of sediment yield to rainstorm runoff</u>		
	<u>Control</u>	<u>Converted</u>
1965-67 (pretreatment)	0.056	0.060
1969-73 (posttreatment)	.041	.016

These data indicate that if the ratio of runoff in the pre and post-treatment periods which was observed in the control watersheds had occurred in the converted watersheds, annual runoff for the posttreatment period would have been  $9,800 \text{ m}^3/\text{km}^2/\text{yr}$  instead of the 3,200 measured.

This indicates a reduction of 67 percent.

The ratio of sediment yield to rainstorm runoff, which in effect is a sediment concentration, is quite similar for the three periods when sagebrush was present on the watersheds. However, if the ratios observed in the control watersheds had occurred in the treated watershed, a sediment concentration ratio of 0.044 would have been measured in the posttreatment converted watershed instead of the 0.016 measured. This indicates a reduction of 64 percent in sediment concentration.

Because of the few data points available and the great variability in the sediment data, a definite statistical qualification on the effect of sagebrush conversion to grass is difficult to make. In the foregoing discussions, however, the following changes were indicated: (1) the annual sediment yield, as determined from predicting equations developed for the pretreatment period, was reduced about 86 percent by conversion to grass, (2) the relationship between average annual sediment yield for control and converted areas indicated a reduction in sediment yield of about 88 percent because of conversion to grass, and (3) not only was runoff from summer rainstorms reduced about 75 percent by conversion to grass, but the sediment concentration of runoff that did occur was reduced by about 64 percent.

In view of the foregoing observations, although a conclusive statistical statement about the effect of conversion on sediment yield cannot be made, it seems likely that a reduction in sediment yield on the order of 80 percent was caused by the conversion to grass.

Branson and Owen (1970) determined that average annual runoff from small watersheds is highly correlated with percent bare soil, but they did not find a correspondingly good relationship between average annual sediment yield and percent bare soil. As shown previously, there is a direct relationship between rainstorm runoff and sediment yield at the Boco Mountain study area. This feature has been noted at numerous other study sites in the arid west such as Badger Wash in western Colorado. It therefore seems logical that sediment yield would also be highly correlated with percent bare soil. The following values of percent bare soil were obtained in 1967, just prior to treatment, and in 1973 at the end of the study period.

Bare soil on watersheds, in hits per 100 pins

	<u>Sagebrush</u>	<u>Grass</u>
1967 (pretreatment)	56.5	61.6
1973 (posttreatment)	51.8	38.0

Shown in figure 16 is the relationship between bare soil and the ratio,

---

Figure 16 near here.

---

volume of sediment to volume of runoff from rainstorms. This ratio is a sediment concentration based on volume. The regression equation is

$$Y = -0.0578 + 0.00194X$$

with a correlation coefficient of 0.99 and a standard error of close to zero. This relationship indicates that a 38 percent reduction in bare soil because of planting grass resulted in a 73 percent reduction in sediment concentration. The two sagebrush watersheds indicated an 8

percent reduction in bare soil and a 27 percent reduction in sediment concentration which may have been partially the result of 9 years of protection from grazing, but this was not definitely established.




Figure 16.--Relationship between the ratio sediment yield/runoff (rainstorm) and bare soil.

### Soil moisture

The results of the soil-moisture portion of this study were published previously (Shown, Lusby, and Branson, 1972). Some of the major findings will briefly be reviewed here.

The largest input to soil moisture at the Boco Mountain watersheds occurs during winter and early spring from snow. Summer rain rarely penetrates the soil more than 25 to 50 mm and is rapidly dissipated by evaporation. Big sagebrush appeared to use slightly more soil water than beardless bluebunch wheatgrass. The sagebrush extracted water from deeper in the soil and from the fractured shale beneath the soil, and it extracted water from the soil to a lower soil-water potential. Also, slightly more water was evaporated from the soil surface on sagebrush watersheds as determined from soil-water potential and root data.

Beardless bluebunch wheatgrass used the soil moisture more efficiently than big sagebrush. About 340 kg more usable forage per ha was produced annually by the grass.

## CONCLUSIONS

The hydrologic effects of converting sagebrush-covered rangeland to grass-covered land was studied for 9 years at a site in west-central Colorado near Wolcott. Runoff and sediment yield from four small watersheds ranging in size from 2 to 4 ha were measured in small reservoirs at the outlet of each watershed. After 3 years of calibration, two of the watersheds were plowed and seeded to beardless bluebunch wheatgrass (Agropyron inerme). The same parameters were then measured for an additional 6 years.

The production of usable forage on the watersheds changed from about 110 kg/ha on the sagebrush-covered watersheds to about 450 kg/ha after conversion. At the same time, the size of barren interspaces between plants was reduced on the converted watersheds to about 30 percent of those on the untreated watersheds.

One large rainstorm occurred during the study period. The remainder of the storms were small to medium in size. The effects of conversion to grass on rainstorm runoff was determined by three methods. These were: variance analysis, determination of predicting equations by regression of individual runoff events, and regression of precipitation against runoff of individual events for each watershed. The results of these determinations indicated that conversion to bluebunch wheatgrass resulted in a reduction in runoff from summer rainstorms of about 75 percent.

A large part of the annual runoff at Boco Mountain is derived from snowmelt in the spring. Although no statistical difference was measured in snowmelt runoff because of conversion, the treated watersheds did produce about 12 percent more runoff from this source when compared with control watersheds. The combination of the decrease in runoff resulting from summer rainstorms and possible increase in runoff from snowmelt resulted in an annual reduction in runoff of about 20 percent from converted watersheds.

Conversion to grass resulted in an apparent reduction in sediment yield of about 80 percent. Most of this reduction is due to reduced runoff from rainstorms. Not only was the volume of rainstorm runoff reduced by about 75 percent, but the sediment concentration was reduced by about 64 percent. Very little erosion is caused by snowmelt runoff.

Percent bare soil on the Boco Mountain watersheds was related to the ratio of sediment yield to runoff. The regression of this ratio and percent bare soil indicated that a reduction of 38 percent in the amount of bare soil resulting from planting grass effected a decrease of 73 percent in sediment concentration.

The largest recharge of soil moisture at Boco Mountain is from snowmelt in winter and early spring. Big sagebrush appeared to use slightly more soil water than beardless bluebunch wheatgrass. This was the result of using water from deeper in the soil mantle and fractured bedrock and from extracting water to a lower soil-water potential.

Hibbert, Davis, and Scholl (1974) reported that removal of deep-rooted shrubs in Arizona resulted in an increase in annual runoff. The discrepancy between these findings and those reported here are probably relatable to differences in climate and soils. Chaparral watersheds on which they reported were located on deep, coarse-textured soils which were penetrated by an extensive root system. During hot, dry summers the shrubs were able to extract a large part of the annual recharge to the soil mass resulting from winter precipitation. After replacement of the deep-rooted system by shallow-rooted grasses, a much larger percentage of water entering the deep soil mantle from winter rains appeared as runoff at the watershed outlet. Soils at Boco Mountain are shallow and dense. Precipitation rarely penetrates more than a few decimeters and does not contribute to base flow of streams under either vegetation regime. Both sagebrush and grass extract most of the soil moisture from the lithosol at Boco Mountain, although sagebrush produces a lower soil-water potential and actively grows later in the fall than does grass.

#### References Cited

Branson, F. A., and Owen, J. B., 1970, Plant cover, runoff, and sediment yield relationships on Mancos Shale in western Colorado: Water Resources Research, v. 6, no. 3, p. 783-790.

Hibbert, A. R., Davis, E. A., and Scholl, D. G., 1974, Chaparral conversion potential in Arizona: U.S. Dept. Agriculture, Forest Service Research Paper RM-126, 36 p.

Hutchison, B. A., 1965, Snow accumulation and disappearance influenced by big sagebrush: U.S. Dept. Agriculture, Forest Service Research Note RM-46, 7 p.

Langbein, W. B., and Schumm, S. A., 1958, Yield of sediment in relation to mean annual precipitation: Am. Geophys. Union Trans., v. 39, no. 6, p. 1076-1084.

Shown, L. M., Lusby, G. C., and Branson, F. A., 1972, Soil-moisture effects of conversion of sagebrush cover to bunchgrass cover: Am. Water Resources Assoc., Water Research Bull., v. 8, no. 6, p. 1265-1272.

Tweto, Ogden, Moench, R. H., and Reed, J. C., Jr., 1976, Preliminary geologic map of the Leadville 1° by 2° quadrangle, northwestern Colorado: U.S. Geol. Survey Misc. Field Studies Map MF-760.

U.S. Weather Bureau, 1943-73, Climatological data, Colorado: U.S. Dept. Commerce, Weather Bur. Ann. Summs.

zoogeographic line).

11. Determination of elements of vegetation  
derived from a stage-dependent analysis of the  
available data on the vegetation of the area  
in question.

SUMMARY OF DATA  
and results for 100-km<sup>2</sup> periods, which

1. Precipitation (mm) and temperature (°C)

Period	Precipitation (mm)	Temperature (°C)
1	26.4	17
2	26.4	18
3	26.4	19
4	26.4	20
5	26.4	21
6	26.4	22
7	26.4	23
8	26.4	24
9	26.4	25
10	26.4	26
11	26.4	27
12	26.4	28
13	26.4	29
14	26.4	30
15	26.4	31
16	26.4	32
17	26.4	33
18	26.4	34
19	26.4	35
20	26.4	36
21	26.4	37
22	26.4	38
23	26.4	39
24	26.4	40
25	26.4	41
26	26.4	42
27	26.4	43
28	26.4	44
29	26.4	45
30	26.4	46
31	26.4	47
32	26.4	48
33	26.4	49
34	26.4	50
35	26.4	51
36	26.4	52
37	26.4	53
38	26.4	54
39	26.4	55
40	26.4	56
41	26.4	57
42	26.4	58
43	26.4	59
44	26.4	60
45	26.4	61
46	26.4	62
47	26.4	63
48	26.4	64
49	26.4	65
50	26.4	66
51	26.4	67
52	26.4	68
53	26.4	69
54	26.4	70
55	26.4	71
56	26.4	72
57	26.4	73
58	26.4	74
59	26.4	75
60	26.4	76
61	26.4	77
62	26.4	78
63	26.4	79
64	26.4	80
65	26.4	81
66	26.4	82
67	26.4	83
68	26.4	84
69	26.4	85
70	26.4	86
71	26.4	87
72	26.4	88
73	26.4	89
74	26.4	90
75	26.4	91
76	26.4	92
77	26.4	93
78	26.4	94
79	26.4	95
80	26.4	96
81	26.4	97
82	26.4	98
83	26.4	99
84	26.4	100
85	26.4	101
86	26.4	102
87	26.4	103
88	26.4	104
89	26.4	105
90	26.4	106
91	26.4	107
92	26.4	108
93	26.4	109
94	26.4	110
95	26.4	111
96	26.4	112
97	26.4	113
98	26.4	114
99	26.4	115
100	26.4	116
101	26.4	117
102	26.4	118
103	26.4	119
104	26.4	120
105	26.4	121
106	26.4	122
107	26.4	123
108	26.4	124
109	26.4	125
110	26.4	126
111	26.4	127
112	26.4	128
113	26.4	129
114	26.4	130
115	26.4	131
116	26.4	132
117	26.4	133
118	26.4	134
119	26.4	135
120	26.4	136
121	26.4	137
122	26.4	138
123	26.4	139
124	26.4	140
125	26.4	141
126	26.4	142
127	26.4	143
128	26.4	144
129	26.4	145
130	26.4	146
131	26.4	147
132	26.4	148
133	26.4	149
134	26.4	150
135	26.4	151
136	26.4	152
137	26.4	153
138	26.4	154
139	26.4	155
140	26.4	156
141	26.4	157
142	26.4	158
143	26.4	159
144	26.4	160
145	26.4	161
146	26.4	162
147	26.4	163
148	26.4	164
149	26.4	165
150	26.4	166
151	26.4	167
152	26.4	168
153	26.4	169
154	26.4	170
155	26.4	171
156	26.4	172
157	26.4	173
158	26.4	174
159	26.4	175
160	26.4	176
161	26.4	177
162	26.4	178
163	26.4	179
164	26.4	180
165	26.4	181
166	26.4	182
167	26.4	183
168	26.4	184
169	26.4	185
170	26.4	186
171	26.4	187
172	26.4	188
173	26.4	189
174	26.4	190
175	26.4	191
176	26.4	192
177	26.4	193
178	26.4	194
179	26.4	195
180	26.4	196
181	26.4	197
182	26.4	198
183	26.4	199
184	26.4	200
185	26.4	201
186	26.4	202
187	26.4	203
188	26.4	204
189	26.4	205
190	26.4	206
191	26.4	207
192	26.4	208
193	26.4	209
194	26.4	210
195	26.4	211
196	26.4	212
197	26.4	213
198	26.4	214
199	26.4	215
200	26.4	216
201	26.4	217
202	26.4	218
203	26.4	219
204	26.4	220
205	26.4	221
206	26.4	222
207	26.4	223
208	26.4	224
209	26.4	225
210	26.4	226
211	26.4	227
212	26.4	228
213	26.4	229
214	26.4	230
215	26.4	231
216	26.4	232
217	26.4	233
218	26.4	234
219	26.4	235
220	26.4	236
221	26.4	237
222	26.4	238
223	26.4	239
224	26.4	240
225	26.4	241
226	26.4	242
227	26.4	243
228	26.4	244
229	26.4	245
230	26.4	246
231	26.4	247
232	26.4	248
233	26.4	249
234	26.4	250
235	26.4	251
236	26.4	252
237	26.4	253
238	26.4	254
239	26.4	255
240	26.4	256
241	26.4	257
242	26.4	258
243	26.4	259
244	26.4	260
245	26.4	261
246	26.4	262
247	26.4	263
248	26.4	264
249	26.4	265
250	26.4	266
251	26.4	267
252	26.4	268
253	26.4	269
254	26.4	270
255	26.4	271
256	26.4	272
257	26.4	273
258	26.4	274
259	26.4	275
260	26.4	276
261	26.4	277
262	26.4	278
263	26.4	279
264	26.4	280
265	26.4	281
266	26.4	282
267	26.4	283
268	26.4	284
269	26.4	285
270	26.4	286
271	26.4	287
272	26.4	288
273	26.4	289
274	26.4	290
275	26.4	291
276	26.4	292
277	26.4	293
278	26.4	294
279	26.4	295
280	26.4	296
281	26.4	297
282	26.4	298
283	26.4	299
284	26.4	300
285	26.4	301
286	26.4	302
287	26.4	303
288	26.4	304
289	26.4	305
290	26.4	306
291	26.4	307
292	26.4	308
293	26.4	309
294	26.4	310
295	26.4	311
296	26.4	312
297	26.4	313
298	26.4	314
299	26.4	315
300	26.4	316
301	26.4	317
302	26.4	318
303	26.4	319
304	26.4	320
305	26.4	321
306	26.4	322
307	26.4	323
308	26.4	324
309	26.4	325
310	26.4	326
311	26.4	327
312	26.4	328
313	26.4	329
314	26.4	330
315	26.4	331
316	26.4	332
317	26.4	333
318	26.4	334
319	26.4	335
320	26.4	336
321	26.4	337
322	26.4	338
323	26.4	339
324	26.4	340
325	26.4	341
326	26.4	342
327	26.4	343
328	26.4	344
329	26.4	345
330	26.4	346
331	26.4	347
332	26.4	348
333	26.4	349
334	26.4	350
335	26.4	351
336	26.4	352
337	26.4	353
338	26.4	354
339	26.4	355
340	26.4	356
341	26.4	357
342	26.4	358
343	26.4	359
344	26.4	360
345	26.4	361
346	26.4	362
347	26.4	363
348	26.4	364
349	26.4	365
350	26.4	366
351	26.4	367
352	26.4	368
353	26.4	369
354	26.4	370
355	26.4	371
356	26.4	372
357	26.4	373
358	26.4	374
359	26.4	375
360	26.4	376
361	26.4	377
362	26.4	378
363	26.4	379
364	26.4	380
365	26.4	381
366	26.4	382
367	26.4	383
368	26.4	384
369	26.4	385
370	26.4	386
371	26.4	387
372	26.4	388
373	26.4	389
374	26.4	390
375	26.4	391
376	26.4	392
377	26.4	393
378	26.4	394
379	26.4	395
380	26.4	396
381	26.4	397
382	26.4	398
383	26.4	399
384	26.4	400
385	26.4	401
386	26.4	402
387	26.4	403
388	26.4	404
389	26.4	405
390	26.4	406
391	26.4	407
392	26.4	408
393	26.4	409
394	26.4	410
395	26.4	411
396	26.4	412
397	26.4	413
398	26.4	414
399	26.4	415
400	26.4	416
401	26.4	417
402	26.4	418
403	26.4	419
404	26.4	420
405	26.4	421

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco Mountain, June 1965 to October 1973

Observation reservoir 1

Location--Lat.  $39^{\circ}44'27''$ , long.  $106^{\circ}40'49''$ , in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , sec. 3, T. 4 S., R. 83 W., 4.5 km north of Wolcott, Eagle County, Colo.

Drainage area--29,988 m<sup>2</sup>.

Records available--June 1965 to October 1973.

Gage--Water-stage recorder in reservoir. Altitude of gage is 2,210 meters (from topographic map).

Runoff and discharge determinations--Contents of reservoir and volume of inflow determined from a stage-capacity curve of the reservoir.

Capacity--Reservoir capacity 3,280 m<sup>3</sup>.

Remarks--Records good except those for snow-melt periods, which are fair.

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1965</u>			
July 18-----	26.4	47	1.6
July 23-----	4.8	10	.3
July 25-----	5.8	12	.4
July 30-----	5.6	5	.2
July 31-----	8.1	41	1.4
Aug. 3-----	10.2	42	1.4
Aug. 14-----	19.6	143	4.8
Aug. 19-----	6.6	39	1.3
Sept. 3-----	11.7	63	2.1

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 65.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 1--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1965--Continued</u>			
Sept. 5-----	19.3	281	9.4
Sept. 6-----	--	4	.1
Sept. 18-----	6.8	15	.5
Sept. 19-----	6.1	30	1.0
Sept. 20-----	9.6	79	2.6
Sept. 29-----	9.9	39	1.3
Oct. 17-----	5.3	2	.1
Oct. 18-----	1.3	5	.2
Dec. 29-31-----	SM	85	2.8
Total for 1965-----		942	31.5
<u>1966</u>			
Mar. 9-----	SM	54	1.8
Mar. 10-----	SM	195	6.5
Mar. 11-----	SM	153	5.1
Mar. 12-----	SM	132	4.4
Mar. 13-----	SM	100	3.3
Mar. 14-----	SM	142	4.7
Mar. 15-----	SM	88	2.9
Mar. 16-----	SM	30	1.0
May 12-----	10.4	1	T
Aug. 20-----	6.9	2	.1

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 65.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at  
Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 1--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1966--Continued</u>			
Sept. 14-----	10.2	6	.2
Oct. 3-----	9.9	6	.2
Oct. 14-----	9.7	1	T
Oct. 15-----	4.3	1	T
Total for 1966-----		911	30.2
<u>1967</u>			
Mar. 2-----	SM	1	T
Mar. 3-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 8-----	SM	1	T
Mar. 9-----	SM	1	T
Mar. 10-----	SM	48	1.6
Mar. 11-----	SM	240	8.0
Mar. 12-----	SM	141	4.7
Mar. 13-----	SM	15	.5
Mar. 15-----	SM	69	2.3
Mar. 16-----	SM	38	1.3
Mar. 17-----	SM	11	.4
Mar. 19-----	SM	2	.1
Aug. 3-----	12.7	5	.2
Aug. 31-----	10.4	10	.3

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 65.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 1--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1967--Continued</u>			
Sept. 8-----	7.9	2	.1
Sept. 11-----	10.7	17	.6
Sept. 12-----	5.6	27	.9
Sept. 18-19-----	15.5	43	1.4
Sept. 25-26-----	10.4	90	3.0
Oct. 6-----	7.9	4	.1
Total for 1967-----		767	25.6
<u>1968</u>			
Aug. 10-----	11.4	16	.5
Aug. 11-----	9.1	26	.9
Aug. 14-----	7.4	1	T
Sept 3-----	9.7	12	.4
Total for 1968-----		55	1.8
<u>1969</u>			
Mar. 22-----	SM	175	5.8
Mar. 23-----	SM	20	.7
Mar. 27-----	SM	120	4.0
Mar. 28-----	SM	229	7.6
Mar. 29-----	SM	200	6.7
Mar. 30-----	SM	192	6.4

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 65.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at  
Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 1--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1969--Continued</u>			
Mar. 31-----	SM	153	5.1
Apr. 1-----	SM	53	1.8
Apr. 2-----	SM	63	2.1
Apr. 3-----	SM	16	.5
June 15-----	11.2	2	.1
June 16-----	4.6	4	.1
June 17-----	12.2	39	1.3
June 23-----	1.5	10	.3
June 24-----	49.5	311	10.4
July 22-----	10.9	33	1.1
July 26-----	5.1	2	.1
Oct. 2-----	11.9	25	.8
Oct 3-----	5.6	12	.4
Oct 14-----	4.8	5	.2
Total for 1969-----		1,664	55.5
<u>1970</u>			
To Feb. 24-----	SM	242	8.1
Feb. 24-----	SM	28	.9
Feb. 25-----	SM	92	3.1
Feb. 26-----	SM	58	1.9
Feb. 27-----	SM	53	1.8

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 65.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs atBoco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 1--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1970--Continued</u>			
Feb. 28-----	SM	80	2.7
Mar. 1-----	SM	69	2.3
Mar. 2-----	SM	83	2.8
Mar. 3-----	SM	58	1.9
Mar. 4-----	SM	9	.3
Sept. 5-----	12.7	1	T
Sept. 13-----	15.2	16	.5
Oct. 6-----	15.5	2	.1
Oct. 7-----	11.4	4	.1
Oct. 10-----	10.4	4	.1
Total for 1970-----		799	26.6
<u>1971</u>			
To Feb. 23-----	SM	147	4.9
Feb. 23-Mar. 19--	SM	147	4.9
Mar. 19-26-----	SM	1,011	33.7
May 14-----	5.3	1	T
May 23-----	7.6	1	T
Aug. 28-----	7.9	5	.2
Sept. 3-----	19.8	25	.8
Sept. 7-----	22.1	161	5.4
Total for 1971-----		1,498	49.9

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 65.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at  
Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 1--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1972</u>			
To Feb. 16-----	SM	44	1.5
Feb. 21-----	SM	15	.5
Feb. 22-----	SM	136	4.5
Feb. 23-----	SM	43	1.4
Feb. 24-----	SM	49	1.6
Feb. 25-----	SM	4	.1
Feb. 27-----	SM	86	2.9
Feb. 28-----	SM	175	5.8
Feb. 29-----	SM	74	2.5
Mar. 2-----	SM	30	1.0
Mar. 3-----	SM	111	3.7
Mar. 4-----	SM	22	.7
Mar. 5-----	SM	20	.7
Apr. 12-----	11.9	2	.1
Sept. 19-----	22.4	27	.9
Sept. 20-----	3.3	5	.2
Sept. 23-----	4.1	7	.2
Oct. 15-----	14.2	28	.9
Oct. 23-----	SM	21	.7
Total for 1972-----		899	29.9

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 65.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 1--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1973</u>			
Apr. 25-----	7.4	2	.1
May 6-----	9.9	1	T
Total for 1973-----		3	.1

<sup>1</sup> SM in precipitation column indicates inflow was derived from snowmelt.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco Mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 2

Location--Lat.  $39^{\circ}44'32''$ , long.  $106^{\circ}40'57''$ , in SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , sec. 34, T. 3 S., R. 83 W., 5 km north of Wolcott, Eagle County, Colo.

Drainage area--39,215 m<sup>2</sup>.

Records available--June 1965 to October 1973.

Gage--Water-stage recorder in reservoir. Altitude of gage is 2,220 meters (from topographic map).

Runoff and discharge determinations--Contents of reservoir and volume of inflow determined from a stage-capacity of the reservoir.

Capacity--Reservoir capacity 1,910 m<sup>3</sup>.

Remarks--Records good except those for snow-melt periods, which are fair.

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1965</u>			
July 18-----	21.6	117	3.0
July 23-----	4.8	11	.3
July 25-----	3.8	20	.5
July 31-----	8.1	89	2.3
Aug. 3-----	8.6	83	2.1
Aug. 14-----	23.4	369	9.4
Aug. 19-----	6.9	92	2.4
Sept. 3-----	12.2	184	4.7
Sept. 5-----	19.8	389	9.9
Sept. 18-----	6.6	30	.8

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 74.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at  
Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued  
 Observation reservoir 2--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<b>1965--Continued</b>			
Sept. 19-----	5.8	47	1.2
Sept 20-----	9.4	116	3.0
Sept 29-----	8.9	67	1.7
Oct. 17-----	5.6	17	.4
Oct. 18-----	1.3	7	.2
Dec. 29-30-----	SM	190	--
Total for 1965-----		1,700	43.4
<b>1966</b>			
To Mar. 8-----	SM	247	6.3
Mar. 9-----	SM	259	6.6
Mar. 10-----	SM	259	6.6
Mar. 11-----	SM	174	4.4
Mar. 12-----	SM	102	2.6
Mar. 13-----	SM	60	1.5
Mar. 14-----	SM	57	1.4
Mar. 15-----	SM	10	.3
May 12-----	9.6	10	.3
Aug. 2-----	6.9	4	.1
Aug. 3-----	8.1	16	.4
Aug. 12-----	6.1	4	.1
Aug. 19-----	8.9	37	.9

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 74.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at  
Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued  
Observation reservoir 2--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1966--Continued</u>			
Aug. 20-----	8.1	79	2.0
Sept. 14-----	9.4	48	1.2
Sept 25-----	4.8	2	.1
Oct. 2-3-----	10.7	58	1.5
Oct. 13-----	1.5	6	.2
Oct. 14-----	1.5	11	.3
Total for 1966-----		1,443	36.8
<u>1967</u>			
Mar. 2-----	SM	9	.2
Mar. 3-----	SM	58	1.5
Mar. 8-----	SM	79	2.0
Mar. 9-----	SM	132	3.4
Mar. 10-15-----	SM	404	10.3
Mar. 15-----	SM	43	1.1
July 31-----	11.9	16	.4
Aug. 2-----	5.3	15	.4
Aug. 3-----	10.2	63	1.6
Aug. 27-----	4.1	4	.1
Aug. 29-----	1.3	9	.2
Aug. 31-----	11.2	76	1.9

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 74.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at  
Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued  
 Observation reservoir 2--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1967--Continued</u>			
Sept. 8-----	8.1	23	.6
Sept. 11-----	9.7	69	1.8
Sept. 12-----	5.3	76	1.9
Sept. 18-----	9.9	72	1.8
Sept. 19-----	6.1	53	1.4
Sept. 25-----	7.6	22	.6
Sept. 26-----	8.9	143	3.6
Oct. 6-----	7.1	9	.2
Oct. 28-----	SM	2	.1
Total for 1967-----		1,377	35.1
<u>1968</u>			
Mar. 7-----	SM	6	.2
Mar. 17-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 19-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 20-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 23-----	SM	4	.1
Mar. 24-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 25-----	SM	12	.3
Mar. 26-----	SM	15	.4
Mar. 27-----	SM	10	.3

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 24.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 2--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1968--Continued</u>			
Mar. 28-----	SM	17	.4
Mar. 29-----	SM	28	.7
Mar. 30-----	SM	51	1.3
Mar. 31-----	SM	21	.5
Apr. 1-----	SM	7	.2
Apr. 6-----	4.6	6	.2
July 9-----	8.1	2	.1
Aug. 10-----	10.7	4	.1
Aug. 11-----	5.1	5	.1
Total for 1968-----		196	5.0
<u>1969</u>			
Mar. 22-----	SM	22	.6
Mar. 23-----	SM	14	.3
Mar. 27-----	SM	118	3.0
Mar. 28-----	SM	301	7.7
Mar. 29-----	SM	324	8.3
Mar. 30-----	SM	289	7.4
Mar. 31-----	SM	277	7.1
Apr. 1-----	SM	160	4.1
Apr. 2-----	SM	196	5.0
Apr. 3-----	SM	171	4.4

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 74.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 2--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1969--Continued</u>			
Apr. 4-----	SM	247	6.3
Apr. 5-----	SM	96	2.5
Apr. 6-----	SM	80	2.0
Apr. 7-----	SM	12	.3
Apr. 8-----	SM	17	.4
Apr. 9-----	SM	16	.4
Apr. 10-----	SM	14	.3
Apr. 11-----	SM	16	.4
June 11-----	15.7	6	.2
June 13-----	2.3	2	.1
June 14-----	10.9	10	.3
June 23-----	5.3	12	.3
June 24-----	42.4	313	8.0
July 3-----	3.3	4	.1
July 19-----	8.1	4	.1
July 20-----	7.6	2	.1
Aug. 12-----	5.3	4	.1
Aug. 28-----	5.1	2	.1
Oct. 2-----	12.2	14	.3
Oct. 3-----	6.4	5	.1
Total for 1969-----		3,018	77.0

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p.74.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 2--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup>	Inflow	
	(mm)	m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1970</u>			
To Feb. 24-----	SM	660	16.8
Feb. 24-----	SM	53	1.4
Feb. 25-----	SM	79	2.0
Feb 26-----	SM	43	1.1
Feb. 27-----	SM	14	.3
Feb. 28-----	SM	30	.8
Mar. 1-----	SM	28	.7
Mar. 2-----	SM	37	.9
Feb. 3-----	SM	17	.4
Oct. 7-----	2.8	9	.2
Oct. 8-----	3.0	2	.1
Oct. 9-----	1.0	5	.1
Total for 1970-----		977	24.9
<u>1971</u>			
To Feb. 23-----	SM	387	9.9
Mar. 12-----	SM	80	2.0
Mar. 13-----	SM	99	2.5
Mar. 14-----	SM	9	.2
Mar. 15-----	SM	20	.5
Mar. 16-----	SM	7	.1
Mar. 17-----	SM	75	1.9

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 74.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 2--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1971--Continued</u>			
Mar. 20-----	SM	7	.1
Mar. 21-----	SM	75	1.9
Mar. 22-----	SM	42	1.1
Mar. 23-----	SM	90	2.3
Mar. 24-----	SM	355	9.0
Mar. 25-----	SM	158	4.0
Mar. 26-----	SM	120	3.1
Mar. 27-----	SM	40	1.0
Sept. 7-----	23.4	44	1.1
Sept. 17-----	2.8	3	.1
Total for 1971-----		1,611	41.1
<u>1972</u>			
To Feb. 14-----	SM	2	.1
Feb. 21-----	SM	80	2.0
Feb. 22-----	SM	175	4.5
Feb. 23-----	SM	75	1.9
Feb. 24-----	SM	62	1.6
Feb. 25-----	SM	4	.1
Feb. 27-----	SM	88	2.2
Feb. 28-----	SM	147	3.7
Feb. 29-----	SM	52	1.3

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 74.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs atBoco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 2--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup>	Inflow	
	(mm)	m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1972--Continued</u>			
Mar. 2-----	SM	12	.3
Mar. 3-----	SM	78	2.0
Mar. 4-----	SM	16	.4
Mar. 5-----	SM	32	.8
Mar. 6-----	SM	20	.5
Total for 1972-----		843	21.5
<u>1973</u>			
Apr. 1-----	SM	5	.1
Apr. 6-----	SM	5	.1
Apr. 15-----	3.3	4	.1
Apr. 25-----	8.9	2	.1
May 1-----	7.1	2	.1
May 6-----	9.9	5	.1
May 19-----	8.6	2	.1
Total for 1973-----		25	.6

<sup>1</sup> SM in precipitation column indicates inflow was derived from snowmelt.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco Mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 3

Location--Lat.  $39^{\circ}44'31''$ , long.  $106^{\circ}40'59''$ , in SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , sec. 34, T. 3 S., R. 83 W., 5 km north of Wolcott, Eagle County, Colo.

Drainage area--20,640 m<sup>2</sup>.

Records available--June 1965 to October 1973.

Gage--Water-stage recorder in reservoir. Altitude of gage is 2,220 meters (from topographic map).

Runoff and discharge determinations--Contents of reservoir and volume of inflow determined from a stage-capacity curve of the reservoir.

Capacity--Reservoir capacity 1,978 m<sup>3</sup>.

Remarks--Records good except those for snow-melt periods, which are fair.

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1965</u>			
July 18-----	21.6	41	2.0
July 25-----	3.8	5	.2
July 30-----	5.6	2	.1
July 31-----	8.1	28	1.4
Aug. 3-----	8.6	35	1.7
Aug. 14-----	23.4	170	8.2
Aug. 19-----	6.9	32	1.6
Sept. 3-----	12.2	67	3.2
Sept. 5-----	19.8	179	8.7
Sept. 18-----	6.6	16	.8
Sept. 19-----	5.8	23	1.1
Sept. 20-----	9.4	54	2.6

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 23.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 3--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1965--Continued</u>			
Sept. 29-----	8.9	32	1.6
Oct. 17-----	5.6	7	.4
Oct. 18-----	1.3	5	.2
Dec. 29-30-----	SM	75	3.6
Total for 1965-----		771	37.4
<u>1966</u>			
Mar. 9-10-----	SM	63	3.0
Mar. 10-----	SM	141	6.8
Mar. 11-----	SM	100	4.8
Mar. 12-----	SM	73	3.5
Mar. 13-----	SM	51	2.4
Mar. 14-----	SM	48	2.3
Mar. 15-----	SM	6	.3
Mar. 25-----	SM	6	.3
May 12-----	9.6	1	.1
Aug. 19-----	8.9	4	.2
Aug. 20-----	8.1	21	1.0
Aug. 30-----	5.3	1	.1
Sept. 7-----	2.3	1	.1
Sept. 14-----	9.4	10	.5
Oct. 3-----	8.6	11	.5

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 3--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1966--Continued</u>			
Oct. 14-----	9.7	2	.1
Oct. 15-----	4.6	1	.1
Total for 1966-----		540	26.2
<u>1967</u>			
Mar. 2-----	SM	1	.1
Mar. 3-----	SM	5	.2
Mar. 8-----	SM	1	.1
Mar. 9-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 10-----	SM	30	1.4
Mar. 11-----	SM	132	6.4
Mar. 12-----	SM	92	4.5
Mar. 13-----	SM	14	.7
Mar. 15-----	SM	44	2.2
Mar. 16-----	SM	39	1.9
Mar. 17-----	SM	10	.5
Mar. 18-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 19-----	SM	1	.1
Aug. 2-3-----	10.9	19	.9
Aug. 31-----	8.4	20	1.0
Sept. 8-----	6.9	5	.2
Sept. 11-----	9.4	18	.9

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 23.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 3--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1967--Continued</u>			
Sept. 12-----	5.1	30	1.4
Sept. 18-19-----	14.0	52	2.5
Sept. 25-----	5.3	11	.5
Sept. 26-----	8.1	58	2.8
Oct. 6-----	6.4	4	.2
Total for 1967-----		593	28.7
<u>1968</u>			
Mar. 25-----	SM	18	.9
Mar. 26-----	SM	15	.7
Mar. 27-----	SM	12	.6
Mar. 28-----	SM	20	1.0
Mar. 29-----	SM	21	1.0
Mar. 30-----	SM	14	.7
Mar. 31-----	SM	2	.1
Apr. 6-----	4.6	1	.1
Aug. 10-----	10.4	19	.9
Aug. 11-----	5.1	6	.3
Sept. 3-----	8.6	14	.7
Total for 1968-----		142	7.0
<u>1969</u>			
Mar. 22-----	SM	69	3.3

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 83.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 3--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1969--Continued</u>			
Mar. 23-----	SM	15	.7
Mar. 27-----	SM	65	3.2
Mar. 28-----	SM	150	7.3
Mar. 29-----	SM	106	5.1
Mar. 30-----	SM	92	4.5
Mar. 31-----	SM	79	3.8
Apr. 1-----	SM	27	1.3
Apr. 2-----	SM	53	2.6
Apr. 3-----	SM	43	2.1
Apr. 4-----	SM	32	1.6
Apr. 5-----	SM	18	.9
Apr. 6-----	SM	7	.4
May 5-----	10.9	12	.6
May 15-----	4.6	4	.2
June 11-----	15.2	7	.4
June 14-----	10.4	16	.8
June 16-----	3.6	2	.1
June 17-----	13.0	60	2.9
June 24-----	42.4	327	15.8
July 19-----	7.9	7	.4
July 20-----	6.6	6	.3

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 83.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 3--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1969--Continued</u>			
July 22-----	11.4	46	2.2
July 26-----	7.4	18	.9
Aug. 12-----	5.1	5	.2
Aug. 28-----	5.1	4	.2
Sept. 10-----	4.8	1	.1
Sept. 13-----	3.8	2	.1
Oct. 2-----	12.7	42	2.0
Oct. 3-----	5.6	15	.7
Total for 1969-----		1,330	64.4
<u>1970</u>			
To Feb. 24-----	SM	154	7.5
Feb. 24-----	SM	32	1.6
Feb. 25-----	SM	44	2.2
Feb. 26 to Mar. 5	SM	185	9.0
Mar. 19-----	SM	4	.2
Apr. 11-----	10.2	5	.2
Aug. 21-----	9.9	12	.6
Sept. 5-----	12.7	15	.7
Sept. 12-----	7.1	2	.1
Sept. 13-----	13.0	27	1.3
Sept. 21-----	6.9	1	.1

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 83.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 3--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1970--Continued</u>			
Sept. 22-----	3.0	2	.1
Oct. 6-----	14.7	5	.2
Oct. 7-----	12.4	11	.5
Oct. 10-----	9.1	6	.3
Total for 1970-----		505	24.5
<u>1971</u>			
To Feb. 23-----	SM	46	2.2
Mar. 12-----	SM	25	1.2
Mar. 13-----	SM	65	3.2
Mar. 14-----	SM	14	.7
Mar. 16-----	SM	28	1.4
Mar. 20-----	SM	8	.4
Mar. 21-----	SM	80	3.9
Mar. 22-----	SM	45	2.2
Mar. 23-----	SM	106	5.1
Mar. 24-----	SM	323	15.7
Mar. 25-----	SM	199	9.6
Mar. 26-----	SM	107	5.2
May 14-----	6.1	3	.1
May 23-----	7.4	1	.1
Aug. 26-----	13.5	5	.3

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 83.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs atBoco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 3--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1971--Continued</u>			
Aug. 28-----	6.1	6	.3
Sept. 3-----	17.5	31	1.5
Sept. 7-----	23.1	129	6.3
Total for 1971-----		1,221	59.2
<u>1972</u>			
To Feb. 14-----	SM	49	2.4
Feb. 22-----	SM	41	2.0
Feb. 23-----	SM	25	1.2
Feb. 24-----	SM	25	1.2
Feb. 27-----	SM	35	1.7
Feb. 28-----	SM	95	4.6
Feb. 29-----	SM	36	1.7
Mar. 2-----	SM	9	.4
Mar. 3-----	SM	69	3.3
Mar. 4-----	SM	9	.4
Mar. 5-----	SM	31	1.5
Mar. 6-----	SM	16	.8
June 18-----	11.7	1	.1
Sept. 19-----	19.8	11	.5
Sept. 20-----	3.0	7	.4
Sept. 23-----	4.6	9	.4

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 83.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs atBoco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 3--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup>	Inflow	
	(mm)	m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1972--Continued</u>			
Oct. 15-----	14.7	18	.9
Oct. 20-21-----	SM	21	1.0
Total for 1972-----		507	24.6
<u>1973</u>			
Apr. 15-----	1.6	2	.1
Apr. 25-----	7.6	2	.1
May 1-----	6.9	2	.1
May 6-----	9.9	7	.4
June 14-15-----	12.4	1	.1
July 18-----	6.4	1	.1
July 21-----	3.3	1	.1
Total for 1973-----		16	.8

<sup>1</sup> SM in precipitation column indicates inflow was derived from snowmelt.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco Mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 4

Location--Lat.  $39^{\circ}44'28''$ , long.  $106^{\circ}41'00''$ , in NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , sec. 3, T. 4 S.,

R. 83 W., 4.5 km north of Wolcott, Eagle County, Colo.

Drainage area--32,902 m<sup>2</sup>.

Records available--June 1965 to October 1973.

Gage--Water-stage recorder in reservoir. Altitude of gage is 2,215 meters (from topographic map).

Runoff and discharge determinations--Contents of reservoir and volume of inflow determined from a stage-capacity curve of the reservoir.

Capacity--Reservoir capacity 2,820 m<sup>3</sup>.

Remarks--Records good except those for snow-melt periods, which are fair.

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1965</u>			
July 18-----	21.6	38	1.2
July 23-----	4.8	7	.2
July 25-----	3.8	9	.3
July 30-----	5.6	4	.1
July 31-----	8.1	47	1.4
Aug. 3-----	8.6	65	2.0
Aug. 14-----	23.4	231	7.0
Aug. 19-----	6.9	47	1.4
Sept. 3-----	12.2	80	2.4
Sept. 5-6-----	19.8	284	8.6
Sept. 18-----	6.6	38	1.2
Sept. 19-----	5.8	36	1.1

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 4--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1965--Continued</u>			
Sept. 20-----	9.4	96	2.9
Sept. 29-----	8.9	49	1.5
Oct. 17-----	5.6	9	.3
Oct. 18-----	1.3	7	.2
Total for 1965-----		1,047	31.8
<u>1966</u>			
To Mar. 9-----	SM	301	9.1
Mar. 9-10-----	SM	10	.3
Mar 10-----	SM	243	7.4
Mar. 11-----	SM	178	5.4
Mar. 12-----	SM	131	4.0
Mar. 13-----	SM	92	2.8
Mar. 14-----	SM	125	3.8
Mar. 15-----	SM	81	2.5
Mar. 16-----	SM	57	1.7
Mar. 19-----	SM	58	1.8
May 11-----	6.6	2	.1
May 12-----	9.6	11	.3
Aug. 19-----	8.1	7	.2
Aug. 20-----	6.4	22	.7
Aug. 30-----	5.3	1	T

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs atBoco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 4--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1966--Continued</u>			
Sept. 14-----	9.7	30	.9
Sept. 25-----	4.8	2	.1
Oct. 2-3-----	6.6	18	.6
Oct. 3-----	4.1	11	.3
Oct. 11-----	2.0	1	T
Oct. 13-----	1.3	4	.1
Oct. 14-----	2.0	12	.4
Oct. 16-----	4.8	1	T
Total for 1966-----		1,398	42.5
<u>1967</u>			
Mar. 2-----	SM	1	T
Mar. 3-----	SM	4	.1
Mar. 4-----	SM	7	.2
Mar. 9-----	SM	12	.4
Mar. 10-----	SM	62	1.9
Mar. 11-----	SM	219	6.7
Mar. 12-----	SM	126	3.8
Mar. 13-----	SM	17	.5
Mar. 15-----	SM	73	2.2
Mar. 16-----	SM	85	2.6
Mar. 17-----	SM	83	2.5

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 4--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1967--Continued</u>			
Mar. 18-----	SM	41	1.2
Mar. 19-----	SM	28	.9
May 2-----	--	1	T
May 4-----	3.0	1	T
May 5-----	1.5	2	.1
Aug. 3-----	11.7	28	.9
Aug. 7-----	3.8	1	T
Aug. 29-30-----	4.8	2	.1
Aug. 31-----	10.4	31	.9
Sept. 8-----	8.1	11	.3
Sept. 11-----	9.7	31	.9
Sept. 12-----	5.3	43	1.3
Sept. 18-----	9.7	41	1.2
Sept. 19-----	6.1	33	1.0
Sept. 25-----	8.6	21	.6
Sept. 26-----	5.1	52	1.6
Sept. 28-----	.5	4	.1
Oct. 4-----	2.0	1	T
Oct. 6-----	7.1	9	.3
Oct. 31-----	SM	1	T
Total for 1967-----		1,071	32.6

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs atBoco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 4--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1968</u>			
Mar. 24-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 25-----	SM	7	.2
Mar. 26-----	SM	12	.4
Mar. 27-----	SM	4	.1
Mar. 28-----	SM	6	.2
Mar. 29-----	SM	25	.7
Mar. 30-----	SM	90	2.7
Mar. 31-----	SM	49	1.5
Apr. 1-----	SM	30	.9
Apr. 2-----	SM	6	.2
Apr. 5-----	SM	12	.4
Apr. 6-----	4.6	6	.2
Total for 1968-----		249	7.6
<u>1969</u>			
Mar. 22-----	SM	23	.7
Mar. 23-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 27-----	SM	16	.5
Mar. 28-----	SM	112	3.4
Mar. 29-----	SM	169	5.1
Mar. 30-----	SM	211	6.4
Mar. 31-----	SM	268	8.1

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at  
Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 4--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup>	Inflow	
	(mm)	m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1969--Continued</u>			
Apr. 1-----	SM	168	5.1
Apr. 2-----	SM	268	8.1
Apr. 3-----	SM	263	8.0
Apr. 4-----	SM	270	8.2
Apr. 5-----	SM	202	6.1
Apr. 6-----	SM	181	5.5
May 5-----	11.7	4	.1
May 15-----	5.1	1	T
June 17-----	12.7	16	.5
June 23-----	8.6	5	.1
June 24-----	42.4	289	8.8
July 19-----	8.6	5	.1
July 20-----	8.1	9	.3
July 22-----	11.2	17	.5
July 26-----	4.6	2	.1
Aug. 12-----	5.3	1	T
Aug. 28-----	5.1	2	.1
Sept. 13-----	4.6	1	T
Oct. 2-----	13.2	7	.2
Oct. 3-----	6.6	4	.1
Oct. 10-----	5.6	4	.1

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

## Observation reservoir 4--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup>	Inflow	
	(mm)	m <sup>3</sup>	mm
Total for 1969-----		2,520	76.6
<u>1970</u>			
To Feb. 24-----	SM	493	15.0
Feb 24-25-----	SM	47	1.4
Feb. 25-----	SM	80	2.4
Feb. 26-----	SM	42	1.3
Feb. 27-----	SM	30	.9
Feb. 28-----	SM	43	1.3
Mar. 1-----	SM	37	1.1
Mar. 2-----	SM	55	1.7
Mar. 3-----	SM	35	1.0
Mar. 4-----	SM	16	.5
Mar. 5-----	SM	2	.1
Mar. 6-----	SM	5	.1
Nar, 7-----	SM	9	.3
Mar. 8-----	SM	44	1.3
Mar. 9-----	SM	15	.4
Mar. 14-----	SM	25	.7
Mar. 15-----	SM	6	.2
Mar. 16-----	SM	11	.3
Mar. 23-----	SM	12	.4
Mar. 24-----	SM	32	1.0

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at  
 Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued  
 Observation reservoir 4--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
Total for 1970-----		1,039	31.6
<u>1971</u>			
To Feb. 23-----	SM	263	8.0
Mar. 12-----	SM	9	.3
Mar. 13-----	SM	44	1.3
Mar. 14-----	SM	71	2.2
Mar. 18-----	SM	3	.1
Mar. 20-----	SM	3	.1
Mar. 21-----	SM	46	1.4
Mar. 22-----	SM	64	1.9
Mar. 23-----	SM	165	5.0
Mar. 24-----	SM	463	14.1
Mar. 25-----	SM	344	10.5
Mar. 26-----	SM	290	8.8
Mar. 27-----	SM	124	3.8
Sept. 7-----	23.4	24	.7
Sept. 17-----	2.8	5	.1
Total for 1971-----		1,918	58.3
<u>1972</u>			
To Feb. 14-----	SM	4	.1
Feb. 21-----	SM	1	T
Feb. 22-----	SM	104	3.1

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at

Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued

Observation reservoir 4--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1972--Continued</u>			
Feb. 23-----	SM	84	2.5
Feb. 24-----	SM	74	2.2
Feb. 25-----	SM	12	.4
Feb. 27-----	SM	73	2.2
Feb. 28-----	SM	180	5.5
Feb. 29-----	SM	79	2.4
Mar. 2-----	SM	21	.6
Mar. 3-----	SM	143	4.3
Mar. 4-----	SM	30	.9
Mar. 5-----	SM	90	2.7
Mar. 6-----	SM	75	2.3
Mar. 7-----	SM	17	.5
Mar. 8-----	SM	7	.2
Total for 1972-----		994	30.2
<u>1973</u>			
Mar. 20-----	SM	6	.2
Mar. 23-----	SM	1	.1
Mar. 24-----	SM	1	.1
Mar. 25-----	SM	5	.1
Mar. 26-27-----	SM	48	1.5
Mar. 28-----	SM	46	1.4

<sup>1</sup> See footnote 1, p. 93.

Table 5.--Runoff measured in observation reservoirs at  
Boco mountain, June 1965 to October 1973--Continued  
 Observation reservoir 4--Continued

Date	Precipitation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	Inflow	
		m <sup>3</sup>	mm
<u>1972--Continued</u>			
Mar. 29-----	SM	5	.1
Mar. 31-----	SM	5	.1
Apr. 1-----	SM	17	.5
Apr. 2-----	SM	17	.5
Apr. 5-----	SM	7	.2
Apr. 6-----	SM	43	1.3
Apr. 7-----	SM	2	.1
Apr. 10-----	SM	10	.3
Apr. 11-----	SM	52	1.6
Apr. 12-----	SM	30	.9
Apr. 13-----	SM	60	1.8
Apr. 14-----	SM	49	1.5
Apr. 15-----	SM	4	.1
Apr. 16-----	SM	.9	.3
Apr. 17-----	SM	14	.4
June 1-----	3.6	1	T
June 2-----	5.3	1	T
June 3-----	10.7	2	.1
June 4-----	5.6	1	T
Total for 1973-----		436	13.2

<sup>1</sup> SM in precipitation column indicates inflow was derived from snowmelt.



POCKET CONTAINS  
— ONE ITEM



USGS LIBRARY-RESTON



3 1818 00073321 0