



TABLE 1.--ANALYTICAL DATA--CONTINUED

[illegible]

Scale 1:250,000

TABLE 2.—STATISTICAL SUMMARY																					
Element	Number of Samples										Statistics from Analytic Values								Limits of Determination		
	Analytical values										Range of values		Geometric mean		Geometric distribution		Arithmetic mean		Standard deviation		Lower limit
Fe 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	300	0.2-10	5.8	2.0	7.1	0.1	0.0	0.05	20	0		
Co 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	303	0.027-0	1.2	2.0	1.5	0.8	0.0	0.02	10	0		
Mg 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	303	0.05-1.0	2.4	1.4	1.9	0.5	0.1	0.05	10	0		
Th 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	303	0.005-1.0	5.0	1.7	2.4	0.4	0.2	0.002	1	0		
Fe ppm	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	20-1000	100.2	2.8	30.0	178.8	1.5	1000				
Co ppm	263	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0.5-500	4.9	2.1	3.0	0.8	0.2	5	1000			
As ppm	303	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.007-700	59.6	1.3	600.0	41.4	200	1000	10000			
Fe 1ppm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1000		
Co 1ppm	0	0	1	37	0	0	0	0	0	268	10-1000	25.7	2.3	2.3	31.0	41.6	30	10000			
Mg 1ppm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	268	10-1000	44.1	2.1	590.2	46.0	1.2	1000				
Th 1ppm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	268	1-2	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.1	0.3	1	1000			
Fe ppm	11	208	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	10-1000	111.9	1.7	122.1	68.1	10	1000				
Co ppm	254	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10-1000	52.8	2.5	28.7	75.6	10	1000				
Mg ppm	271	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10-100	24.7	1.8	31.8	48.6	5	1000				
Th ppm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	268	5-100	31.7	3.1	80.1	114.1	1	1000				
Fe ppm	0	0	306	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	20	1000		
Co ppm	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5-110	25.8	2.0	31.7	27.4	1	1000				
Mg ppm	30	70	70	1	0	0	0	0	0	197	10-2000	25.7	2.9	69.9	223.2	10	10000				
Th ppm	382	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	150-200	238.3	1.4	216.7	76.4	10	1000				
Fe ppm	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5-100	20.9	1.7	26.5	19.6	1	100				
Co ppm	306	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	10	1000		
Mg ppm	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	295	100-2000	312.3	1.9	402.0	252.6	100	1000				
Th ppm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	306	10-100	178.3	1.7	119.3	90.7	10	10000				
Fe ppm	355	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	50	10000		
Co ppm	1	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	277	10-10	20.9	1.7	26.1	13.1	10	100				
Mg ppm	243	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	100-1000	896.5	2.5	1950.7	1260.7	200	10000				
Th ppm	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	183	20-1000	115.1	1.9	138.8	105.0	10	1000				
Co ppm	0	0	0	0	0	36	270				5-1000	71.9	5.3	844.4	559.6	5	--	--			
Mg ppm	0	0	0	0	36	270					10-1000	16.7	2.8	103.1	469.4	5	--	--			
Th ppm	0	0	0	0	36	270					5-100	2.4	0.7	11.2	10.0	1	--	--			

Geologic fieldwork was begun in this report and Subels Island 1:200,000 quadrangles in 1977 under the Alaska Mineral Resource Assessment Program. This report publishes the sample locations and results of analyses for 36 rock samples collected during 1977 and during a brief reconnaissance of the area in 1976.

Results of 150 stream-sediment and 550 heavy mineral concentrates which were also collected during 1977 will be published separately by Detro and others (1978).

Geochemical work in these quadrangles will continue through the 1978 field season. Final reports and maps are planned for publication in early 1979.

Samples are primarily single grab samples, although a few are composite. In most cases, samples were chosen because of obvious mineralization or alteration of the outcrop. Thus the reader is cautioned that the sample population is heavily biased in favor of mineralized areas. The summary statistics provided in Table 2 should not be interpreted as representative of composition of the rock units in the area. No attempt has been made in this report to differentiate those few samples selected to provide background data.

Samples were crushed to minus-0.25 inches (minus-42 mesh) using a chipmunk crusher. Each sample was split and one fraction ground to minus-150 mesh using a vertical pulverizer with ceramic plates.

Samples were analyzed for thirty elements with a 25-arc emission spectrograph using a slit-slit, semiquantitative method described by Grimes and Marranzino (1961). In addition, an atomic absorption spectrophotometric method described by Ward and others (1969) was used to determine the concentration of copper lead, and zinc.

Explanation of data

For the semiquantitative spectrographic analyses, results are reported as six steps per order of magnitude (1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, and 7.0) or powers of 10 of these numbers. These values are approximate geometric midpoints of the class intervals shown below.

Reported value	Class Interval Limits
1.0	0.63 - 1.2
1.5	1.2 - 1.8
2.0	1.8 - 2.6
3.0	2.6 - 3.8
5.0	3.8 - 5.6
7.0	5.6 - 8.0

The precision of the semiquantitative spectrographic method has been evaluated by Matsuda and Grimes (1976). Reproducibility of a determination within plus or minus one and two reporting value(s) is approximately 33 and 96 percent respectively.

Analyses done by the atomic absorption method are not reported on the six-step scale; they are more sensitive and more precise than spectrographic analyses.

Analytical data are shown in Table 3. A statistical summary is presented in Table 2. In both tables the following symbols are used: "An" indicates the particular element was not detected in the sample; a less-than sign (<) followed by a value means the element was present but in an amount below the lower limit of determination; a greater-than sign (>) followed by a value means the element was present in an amount exceeding the upper limit of determination; two dashes (--) indicate the element was not analyzed for that element. "Analytical values" are those which fall on or between the lower and upper limits of determination.

References cited

Detra, D. E., Cooley, E. F., Gay, G. W., O'Leary, R. M., Holloway, C. D., and Yount, M. E., 1978, Results and statistical summary from analyses of stream sediment and heavy mineral concentrate samples, Chigalik and Sutevik Island quadrangles, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1370-A, 100 p.

Grimes, D. J., and Morozinski, A., 1969, Direct-current arc and alternating-current spark emission spectrographic field methods for the semiquantitative analysis of geologic materials: U.S. Geol. Survey Circ. 591, 6 p.

Matcock, J. M., and Grimes, D. J., 1976, Analytical precision of one-sixth order semiquantitative spectrographic analysis: U.S. Geol. Survey Circ. 738, 25 p.

Ward, F. A., Nakagawa, H. M., Harris, T. F., and Van Stickle, G. H., 1969, Atomic-absorption methods of analysis useful in geochemical analysis: U.S. Geol. Survey Circ. 617, 10 p.