

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

TEXT TO ACCOMPANY:  
COAL RESOURCE OCCURRENCE  
AND  
COAL DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL  
MAPS  
OF THE  
COYOTE DRAW QUADRANGLE,  
CAMPBELL COUNTY, WYOMING

BY  
INTRASEARCH INC.  
DENVER, COLORADO

OPEN FILE REPORT 79-049

1979

This report is preliminary, and has not been edited or reviewed for conformity with United States Geological Survey standards or stratigraphic nomenclature.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. GEOLOGY	4
III. DATA SOURCES	9
IV. COAL BED OCCURRENCE	11
V. GEOLOGICAL AND ENGINEERING MAPPING PARAMETERS	14
VI. COAL DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL	17
Table 1.--Strippable Coal Reserve Base Data (in short tons) for Federal Coal Lands in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming.	20
Table 2.--Coal Reserve Base Data (in short tons) for Underground Mining Methods for Federal Coal Lands in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming.	21
Table 3.--Coal Reserve Base Data (in short tons) For In-Situ Gasification for Federal Coal Lands in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming.	22
SELECTED REFERENCES	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>MAPS</u>	<u>PLATES</u>
1.	Coal Data Map	1
2.	Boundary and Coal Data Map	2
3.	Coal Data Sheet	3a, b
4.	Isopach and Mining Ratio Map of Wyodak Coal Bed	4
5.	Structure Contour Map of Wyodak Coal Bed	5
6.	Isopach Map of Overburden and Interburden of Wyodak Coal Bed	6
7.	Areal Distribution of Identified Resources of Wyodak Coal Bed	7
8.	Identified Resources of Wyodak Coal Bed	8
9.	Isopach and Mining Ratio Map of Wildcat Coal Bed	9
10.	Structure Contour Map of Wildcat Coal Bed	10
11.	Isopach Map of Overburden of Wildcat Coal Bed	11
12.	Areal Distribution of Identified Resources of Wildcat Coal Bed	12
13.	Identified Resources of Wildcat Coal Bed	13
14.	Isopach and Mining Ratio Map of Moyer Coal Bed	14
15.	Structure Contour Map of Moyer Coal Bed	15
16.	Isopach Map of Overburden of Moyer Coal Bed	16
17.	Areal Distribution of Identified Resources of Moyer Coal Bed	17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>MAPS</u>	<u>PLATES</u>
18.	Identified Resources of Moyer Coal Bed	18
19.	Isopach Map of Oedekoven Coal Bed	19
20.	Structure Contour Map of Oedekoven Coal Bed	20
21.	Isopach Map of Overburden of Oedekoven Coal Bed	21
22.	Areal Distribution of Identified Resources of Oedekoven Coal Bed	22
23.	Identified Resources of Oedekoven Coal Bed	23
24.	Coal Development Potential for Surface Mining Methods	24

CONVERSION TABLE

<u>To Convert</u>	<u>Multiply By</u>	<u>To Obtain</u>
inches	2.54	centimeters (cm)
feet	0.3048	meters (m)
miles	1.609	kilometers (km)
acres	0.40469	hectares (ha)
tons (short)	0.9072	metric tons (t)
cubic yards/ton	0.8428	cubic meters per metric ton
acre feet	0.12335	hectare-meters
Btu/lb	2.326	kilojoules/kilogram (kJ/kg)
Btu/lb	0.55556	kilocalories/kilogram (kcal/kg)
Fahrenheit	$5/9 (F-32)$	Celsius

## I. Introduction

This report and accompanying maps set forth the Coal Resource Occurrence (CRO) and Coal Development Potential (CDP) of coal beds within the Coyote Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming. This CRO and CDP map series includes 25 plates (U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 79-049). The project is compiled by IntraSearch Inc., 1600 Ogden Street, Denver, Colorado under KRCRA Northeastern Powder River Basin, Wyoming Contract Number 14-08-0001-17180. This contract is a part of a program to provide an inventory of unleased federal coal in Known Recoverable Coal Resource Areas (KRCRA) in the western United States.

The Coyote Draw Quadrangle is located in Campbell County in northeastern Wyoming. It encompasses parts of Townships 48 and 49 North, Ranges 70 and 71 West, and covers the area: 44°07'30" to 44°15' north latitude; 105°15' to 105°22'30" west longitude.

Several maintained gravel roads traverse the northwest quarter and southern half of the Coyote Draw quadrangle. Numerous gravel roads intersect these improved roads to form a network of accessibility over much of the area. The Burlington-Northern trackage traverses the quadrangle from north to south through the eastern half of the study area.

The most prominent drainage feature is Dry Donkey Creek which flows northward through the central portion of the quadrangle. Gold Mine Draw flows southward and drains the southern half of the study area.

Both of these drainages eventually flow into the Belle Fourche River to the east. A maximum elevation of 4929 feet (1502 m) occurs at the top of Sharp Butte, located in the west-central portion of the quadrangle. The minimum elevation of approximately 4435 feet (1352 m) occurs at the junction of Dry Donkey Creek and the northern quadrangle boundary. The somber grays, yellows, and browns of outcropping sandstones, shales, and siltstones contrast strikingly with the brilliant reds, oranges, and purples of "clinker," and deep greens of the juniper and pine tree growth.

The thirteen to fourteen inches (33 to 36 cm) of annual precipitation that falls in this semi-arid region accrues principally in the springtime. Summer and fall precipitation usually originates from thunderstorms, and infrequent snowfalls of six inches (15 cm) or less generally characterize winter precipitation. Although temperatures ranging from less than  $-25^{\circ}\text{F}$  ( $-32^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) to more than  $100^{\circ}\text{F}$  ( $38^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) have been recorded near Arvada, Wyoming, average wintertime minimums and summertime maximums approach  $+5^{\circ}$  to  $+15^{\circ}\text{F}$  ( $-15^{\circ}$  and  $-9^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and  $75^{\circ}$  to  $90^{\circ}\text{F}$  ( $24^{\circ}$  to  $32^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), respectively.

Surface ownership is divided among fee, state, and federal categories with the state and federal surface generally leased to ranchers for grazing purposes. Details of surface ownership are available at the Campbell County Courthouse in Gillette, Wyoming. Details of mineral ownership on federal lands are available from the U. S. Bureau of Land Management in Cheyenne, Wyoming. Federal coal

ownership is shown on Plate 2 of the Coal Resource Occurrence maps. The non-federal coal ownership comprises both fee and state coal resources.

The Coal Resource Occurrence and Coal Development Potential program pertains to unleased federal coal and focuses upon: 1) the delineation of lignite, subbituminous, bituminous, and anthracite coal at the surface and in the subsurface on federal land; 2) the identification of total tons in place as well as recoverable tons; 3) categorization of these tonnages into measured, indicated, and inferred reserves and resources, and hypothetical resources; and 4) recommendations regarding the potential for surface mining, underground mining, and in-situ gasification of the coal beds. This report evaluates the coal resources of all unleased federal coal beds in the quadrangle which are five feet (1.5 m) or greater in thickness and occur at depths down to 3000 feet (914 m). No resources or reserves are computed for leased federal coal, state coal, or fee coal.

Surface and subsurface geological and engineering extrapolations drawn from the current data base suggest the occurrence of approximately 1.2 billion tons (1.1 billion metric tons) of total unleased federal coal-in-place in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle.

The suite of maps that accompany this report set forth and portray the coal resource and reserve occurrence in considerable detail. For the most part, this report supplements the cartographically displayed information with minimum verbal duplication of CRC-CDP map data.

## II. Geology

Regional. The thick economic coal deposits of the Powder River Basin in northeastern Wyoming occur mostly in the Tongue River Member of the Fort Union Formation and in the lower part of the Wasatch Formation. Approximately 3000 feet (914 m) of the Fort Union Formation that includes the Tongue River, Lebo, and Tullock Members of Paleocene age, are unconformably overlain by approximately 700 feet (213 m) of the Wasatch Formation of Eocene age. These Tertiary formations lie in a structural basin flanked on the east by the Black Hills uplift, on the south by the Hartville and Casper Mountain uplifts, and on the west by the Casper Arch and the Big Horn Mountain uplift. The structural configuration of the Powder River Basin originated in Late Cretaceous time, with episodic uplift thereafter. The Cretaceous Cordillera was the dominant positive land form throughout the Rocky Mountain area at the close of Mesozoic time.

Outcrops of the Wasatch Formation and the Tongue River Member of the Fort Union Formation cover most of the areas of major coal resource occurrence in the Powder River Basin. The Lebo Member of the Fort Union Formation is mapped at the surface northeast of Recluse, Wyoming, east of the principal coal outcrops and associated clinkers (McKay, 1974), and presumably projects into the subsurface beneath much of the basin. One of the principal characteristics for separating the Lebo and Tullock Members (collectively referred to as the Ludlow Member east of

Miles City, Montana) from the overlying Tongue River Member is the color differential between the lighter-colored upper portion and the somewhat darker lower portion (Brown, 1958). Although geologists working with subsurface data, principally geophysical logs, in the basin are trying to develop criteria for subsurface recognition of the Lebo-Tullock and Tongue River-Lebo contacts, no definitive guidelines are known to have been published. Hence, for subsurface mapping purposes the Fort Union Formation is not divided into its member subdivisions for this study.

During the Paleocene epoch, the Powder River Basin tropic to subtropic depositional environment included broad, inland flood basins with extensive swamps, marshes, freshwater lakes, and a sluggish but active northeastward discharging drainage system, superimposed on a near base level, emerging sea floor. Much of the vast areas where organic debris collected was within a reducing depositional environment. Localized uplifts began to disturb the near sea level terrain of northeastern Wyoming, following retreat of the Cretaceous seas. However, the extremely fine-grained characteristics of the Tongue River Member clastics suggest that areas of recurring uplift peripheral to the Powder River Basin were subdued during major coal deposit formation.

The uplift of areas surrounding the Powder River Basin created a structural basin of asymmetric characteristic, with the steep west flank located on the eastern edge of the Big Horn Mountains. The axis

of the Powder River Basin is difficult to specifically define, but is thought to be located in the western part of the basin, and to display a north-south configuration some 15 to 20 miles (24 to 32 km) east of Sheridan, Wyoming. Thus, the sedimentary section described in this report lies on the east flank of the Powder River Basin, with gentle dips of two degrees or less disrupted by surface structure thought to relate to tectonic adjustment and differential compaction.

Some coal beds in the Powder River Basin exceed 200 feet (61 m) in thickness. Deposition of these thick, in-situ coal beds requires a discrete balance between subsidence of the earth's crust and in-filling by tremendous volumes of organic debris. These conditions in concert with a favorable ground water table, non-oxidizing clear water and a climate amenable to the luxuriant growth of vegetation produce a stabilized swamp critical to the deposition of coal beds.

Deposition of the unusually thick coal beds of the Powder River Basin may be partially attributable to short distance water transportation of organic detritus into areas of crustal subsidence. Variations in coal bed thickness throughout the basin relate to changes in the depositional environment. Drill hole data that indicate either the complete absence or extreme attenuation of a thick coal bed probably relate to location of the drill site within the ancient stream channel system servicing this low land area in Early Cenozoic time. Where thick

coal beds thin rapidly from the depocenter of a favorable depositional environment, it is not unusual to encounter synclinal structure over the maximum coal thickness due to the differential compaction between organic debris in the coal depocenter, and fine-grained clastics in the adjacent areas.

The Wasatch Formation of Eocene age crops out over most of the central part of the Powder River Basin and exhibits a disconformable contact with the underlying Fort Union Formation. The contact has been placed at various horizons by different workers: however, for the purpose of this report, in northwestern Campbell County, Wyoming, the contact is positioned near the top of the Roland coal bed as mapped by Olive (1957) and is considered to disconformably descend in the stratigraphic column to the top of the Wyodak-Anderson coal bed (Roland coal bed of Taff, 1909) along the eastern boundary of the coal measures. No attempt is made to differentiate the Wasatch and Fort Union Formations on geophysical logs or in the subsurface mapping program that is a part of this CRO-CDP project.

Although Wasatch and Fort Union lithologies are too similar to allow differentiation in some areas, most of the thicker coal beds occur in the Fort Union section on the east flank of the Powder River Basin. Furthermore, orogenic movements peripheral to the basin apparently increased in magnitude during Wasatch time causing the deposition of friable, coarse-grained to gritty arkosic sandstones, fine- to very

fine-grained sandstones, siltstones, mudstones, claystones, brown to black carbonaceous shales, and coal beds. These sediments are noticeably to imperceptibly coarser than the underlying Fort Union clastics.

The Coyote Draw Quadrangle is located in an area where surface rocks are classified into the Tongue River and Lebo Members of the Fort Union Formation and the Wasatch Formation. Although the Tongue River Member is reportedly 1200 to 1300 feet (366 to 396 m) thick (Olive, 1957), approximately 250 to 400 feet (76 to 122 m) are exposed in this area. Olive (1957) correlated coal beds in the Spotted Horse coal field with coal beds in the Sheridan coal field (Baker, 1929) and Gillette coal field (Dobbin and Barnett, 1927), Wyoming, and with coal beds in the Ashland coal field (Bass, 1932) in southeastern Montana. This report utilizes, where possible, the coal bed nomenclature used in previous reports. The Wyodak coal bed, named the D coal bed by Dobbin and Barnett (1928), is equivalent to the Anderson, Canyon, and all or part of the Cook coal beds to the north and west of the Coyote Draw Quadrangle. Due to problematic correlations outside of the Gillette area, the Wyodak coal bed has been informally referred to by many authors to represent the combined Anderson, Canyon, and Cook coal beds in the area surrounding the Wyodak strip mine east of Gillette. The Wildcat, Moyer, and Oedekoven coal beds were informally named by IntraSearch (1978b, 1979, 1978a).

Local. The Coyote Draw Quadrangle lies on the eastern flank of the Powder River Basin, where the strata dip gantly westward. The Tongue River Member of the Fort Union Formation crops out over about half of the quadrangle. The Fort Union Formation is composed of very fine-grained sandstones, siltstones, claystones, shales, carbonaceous shales, and numerous coal beds. The upper limit of the Fort Union Formation is placed at the top of the D coal bed (Wyodak coal bed) by Dobbin and Barnett (1927). The Wasatch Formation crops out over the remainder of the quadrangle, and consists of friable, coarse-grained to gritty arkosic sandstones, fine-to very fine-grained sandstones, siltstones, mudstones, claystones, brown to black carbonaceous shales, and coal beds.

### III. Data Sources

Areal geology of the coal outcrops and associated clinker is derived from the Preliminary Geologic Map and Coal Resources of the Coyote Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming, (Galyardt, 1974). Galyardt's W-1, W-2, W-3 coal beds are collectively referred to by IntraSearch as the Wyodak coal bed in this report.

The major source of subsurface control, particularly on deep coal beds, is the geophysical logs from oil and gas test bores and producing wells. Some geophysical logs are not applicable to this study, for the logs relate only to the deep potentially productive oil and gas zones. More than eighty percent of the logs include resistivity,

conductivity, and self-potential curves. Occasionally the logs include gamma, density, and sonic curves. These logs are available from several commercial sources.

All geophysical logs available in the quadrangle are scanned to select those with data applicable to Coal Resource Occurrence mapping. Paper copies of the logs are obtained, interpreted, and coal intervals annotated. Maximum accuracy of coal bed identification is accomplished where gamma, density, and resistivity curves are available. Coal bed tops and bottoms are picked on the logs at the midpoint between the minimum and maximum curve deflections. The correlation of coal beds within and between quadrangles is achieved utilizing a fence diagram to associate local correlations with regional coal occurrences.

In some parts of the Powder River Basin, additional subsurface control is available from U. S. Geological Survey open-file reports that include geophysical and lithologic logs of shallow holes drilled specifically for coal exploration. A sparse scattering of subsurface data points are shown on published and unpublished CRO-CTP maps compiled by the U. S. Geological Survey, and where these data are utilized, the rock-coal intervals are shown on the Coal Data Map (Plate 1). Inasmuch as these drillholes have no identifier headings, they are not set forth on the Coal Data Sheets (Plate 3). The geophysical logs of these drill holes were unavailable to IntraSearch to ascertain the accuracy of horizontal location, topographic elevation, and down-hole data interpretation.

The reliability of correlations, set forth by IntraSearch in this report, vary depending upon: the density and quality of lithologic and geophysical logs; the detail, thoroughness, and accuracy of published and unpublished surface geological maps; and interpretative proficiency. There is no intent on the part of IntraSearch to refute nomenclature established in the literature or used locally by workers in the area. IntraSearch nomenclature focuses upon the suggestion of regional coal bed names applicable throughout the eastern Powder River Basin. It is expected and entirely reasonable that some differences of opinion regarding correlations as suggested by IntraSearch exist. Additional drilling for coal, oil, gas, water, and uranium, coupled with expanded mapping of coal bed outcrops and associated clinkers will broaden the data base for coal bed correlations and allow continued improvement in the understanding of coal bed occurrences in the eastern Powder River Basin.

The topographic map of the Coyote Draw Quadrangle is published by the U. S. Geological Survey, compilation date, 1971. Land ownership data is compiled from land plats obtained from the U.S. Bureau of Land Management in Cheyenne, Wyoming. This information is current to October 13, 1977.

#### IV. Coal Bed Occurrence

Fort Union Formation coal beds that are present in all or part of the Coyote Draw Quadrangle include, in descending stratigraphic order, the Wyodak, Wildcat, Moyer, and Oedekoven coal beds. A complete suite

of maps (structure, isopach, mining ratio, overburden/interburden, identified resources and areal distribution of identified resources) is prepared for each of these coal beds.

No physical and chemical analyses are known to have been published regarding the coal beds in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle. However, the general "as received" basis proximate analyses for central and southern Campbell County, Wyoming coal beds are as follows:

All analyses except BTU/LB are reported in percent.

COAL BED NAME	ASH	FIXED CARBON	MOISTURE	VOLATILES	SULFUR	BTU/LB
Wyodak (U)	5.852	33.938	29.060	31.150	0.435	8172

(U) - U. S. Geological Survey & Montana Bureau of Mines & Geology - 1973

The Coal Data Sheet, Plate 3, shows the downhole identification of coal beds within the quadrangle as interpreted from geophysical logs from U. S. Geological Survey and Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology drill holes, and oil and gas test bores and producing sites. Inasmuch as the Wildcat coal bed underlies most of the quadrangle, it is designated as datum for the correlation diagram.

The Wyodak coal bed of this report comprises several coal beds separated, for the most part, by thin non-coal intervals. This coal zone crops out over most of the quadrangle, averages approximately 44 feet (13 m) thick, and ranges from 21 to 66 feet (6 to 20 m) in thickness. Non-coal intervals within the zone range from 5 to 145 feet (1.5 to 44 m) thick. Where the Wyodak coal bed is neither eroded or burned, it occurs less than 350 feet (107 m) beneath the surface.

From 430 to 545 feet (131 to 166 m) of sediments separate the Wildcat coal bed from the overlying Wyodak coal bed. The Wildcat coal bed averages approximately 8 feet (2.4 m) thick, and ranges from 0 to 14 feet (0 to 4 m) in thickness. The Wildcat coal bed is absent from the northeastern and eastern portion of the quadrangle, and where present, lies 300 to 900 feet (91 to 274 m) beneath the surface. Structural contours on the Wildcat coal bed define a gentle westward dip of one to two degrees.

The Moyer coal bed lies 18 to 150 feet (5 to 46 m) beneath the Wildcat coal bed, and averages 12 feet (4 m) thick. Thicknesses for the Moyer coal bed range from 0 to 23 feet (0 to 7 m), with the maximum thickness occurring in the northwest quadrant of the study area. The Moyer coal bed is absent from the extreme northeastern and eastern portions of the Coyote Draw Quadrangle. The Moyer coal bed dips one to two degrees west, and lies more than 500 feet (152 m) beneath the surface throughout ninety percent of the study area.

The Oedekoven coal bed lies 26 to 100 feet (8 to 30 m) beneath the Moyer coal bed and averages approximately 8 feet (2.4 m) thick where it is present in the quadrangle. Absent from the entire northern half of the study area, the Oedekoven coal bed ranges from 0 to 11 feet (0 to 3 m) thick in the southern half of the quadrangle. Non-coal intervals of 4 to 25 feet (1.2 to 8 m) separate units of the Oedekoven coal bed in the southern half of the quadrangle. The Oedekoven coal bed dips approximately  $1.5^{\circ}$  to the west, and lies more than 500 feet (152 m) beneath the surface throughout the Coyote Draw Quadrangle.

V. Geological and Engineering Mapping Parameters

The correct horizontal location and elevation of drill holes utilized in subsurface mapping are critical to map accuracy. IntraSearch plots the horizontal location of the drill hole as described on the geophysical log heading. Occasionally this location is superimposed or near to a drillsite shown on the topographic map, and the topographic map horizontal location is utilized. If the ground elevation on the geophysical log does not agree with the topographic elevation of the drillsite, the geophysical log ground elevation is adjusted to conformance. If there is no indication of a drillsite on the topographic map, the "quarter, quarter, quarter" heading location is shifted within a small area until the ground elevation on the heading agrees with the topographic map elevation. If no elevation agreement can be reached, the well heading or data sheet is rechecked for footage measurements and ground elevation correctness. Inquiries to the companies who provided the oil and gas geophysical logs frequently reveal that corrections have been made in the original survey. If all horizontal location data sources have been checked and the information accepted as the best available data, the drillsite elevation on the geophysical log is modified to agree with the topographic map elevation. IntraSearch considers this agreement mandatory for the proper construction of most subsurface maps, but in particular, the overburden isopach, the ratio, and Coal Development Potential maps.

Subsurface mapping is based on geologic data within and adjacent to the Coyote Draw Quadrangle area. Data from geophysical logs are used to correlate coal beds and control contour lines for the coal thickness, structure, and overburden maps. Isopach lines are also drawn to honor selected measured sections where there is sparse subsurface control. Where isopach contours do not honor surface measured sections, the surface thicknesses are thought to be attenuated by oxidation and/or erosion, hence not reflective of total coal thickness. Isopach lines extend to the coal bed outcrops, the projections of coal bed outcrops, and the contact between porcellanite (clinker) and unoxidized coal in place. Attenuation of total coal bed thickness is known to take place near these lines of definition; however, the overestimation of coal bed tonnages that results from this projection of total coal thickness is insignificant to the Coal Development Potential maps. Structure contour maps are constructed on the tops of the main coal beds. Where subsurface data is scarce, supplemental structural control points are selected from the topographic map along coal outcrops.

In preparing overburden isopach maps, no attempt is made to identify coal beds that occur in the overburden to a particular coal bed under study. Mining ratio maps for this quadrangle are constructed utilizing a ninety-five percent recovery factor. Contours of these maps identify the ratio of cubic yards of overburden to tons of recoverable coal. Where ratio control points are sparse, interpolated

points are computed using coal structure, coal isopach, and topographic control. On the Areal Distribution of Identified Resources Map (ADIR), coal bed reserves are not calculated where the coal is less than 5 feet (1.5 m) thick, where the coal occurs at a depth greater than 500 feet (152 m), where non-federal coal exists, or where federal coal leases and preference right lease applications exist.

Coal tonnage calculations involve the planimetry of areas of measured, indicated, inferred reserves and resources, and hypothetical resources to determine their areal extent in acres. An Insufficient Data Line is drawn to delineate areas where surface and subsurface data are too sparse for CRO map construction. Various categories of resources are calculated in the unmapped areas by utilizing coal bed thicknesses mapped in the geologically controlled area adjacent to the insufficient data line. Acres are multiplied by the average coal bed thickness and 1770 (the number of tons of subbituminous C per acre-foot, 13,018 metric tons per hectare-meter) to determine total tons in place. Recoverable tonnage is calculated at 95 percent of the total tons in place. Where tonnages are computed for the CRO-CDP map series, resources and reserves are expressed in millions of tons. Frequently the planimetry of coal resources on a sectionized basis involves complexly curvilinear lines (coal bed outcrop and 500-foot stripping limit designations) in relationship with linear section boundaries and circular resource category boundaries. Where these relationships occur, generalizations of complex curvilinear lines are discretely utilized, and resources and/or reserves are calculated within an estimated two to three percent plus or minus accuracy.

VI. Coal Development Potential

Stripable Coal Development Potential. Areas where coal beds are 5 feet (1.5 m) or more in thickness and are overlain by 500 feet (152 m) or less of overburden are considered to have potential for surface mining and are assigned a high, moderate, or low development potential based on the mining ratio (cubic yards of overburden per ton of recoverable coal). The formula used to calculate mining ratios is as follows:

$$MR = \frac{t_o (0.911)}{t_c (rf)}$$

where MR = mining ratio  
t<sub>o</sub> = thickness of overburden  
t<sub>c</sub> = thickness of coal  
rf = recovery factor  
0.911 = conversion factor (cu.yds/ton)

A surface mining potential map is prepared utilizing the following mining ratio criteria for coal beds 5 feet to 40 feet (1.5 to 12 m) thick:

1. Low development potential = 15:1 and greater ratio.
2. Moderate development potential = 10:1 to 15:1 ratio.
3. High development potential = 0 to 10:1 ratio.

The following mining ratio criteria is utilized for coal beds greater than 40 feet (12 m) thick:

1. Low development potential = 7:1 and greater ratio.
2. Moderate development potential = 5:1 to 7:1 ratio.
3. High development potential = 0 to 5:1 ratio.

The surface mining potential is high for ninety percent of the Coyote Draw Quadrangle. Where the Wyodak is neither eroded or burned, the thickness and shallow burial depth of the coal bed accounts for the

high development potential for surface mining in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle. The deep coal beds are usually more than 500 feet (152 m) underground, hence development potential is low where the Wyodak is absent. Table 1 sets forth the estimated strippable reserve base tonnages per coal bed for the quadrangle.

Underground Mining Coal Development Potential. Subsurface coal mining potential throughout the Coyote Draw Quadrangle is considered low. Inasmuch as recovery factors have not been established for the underground development of coal beds in this quadrangle, reserves are not calculated for coal beds buried more than 500 feet (152 m) beneath the surface. Table 2 sets forth the estimated coal resources in tons per coal bed.

In-Situ Gasification Coal Development Potential. The evaluation of subsurface coal deposits for in-situ gasification potential relates to the occurrence of coal beds more than 5 feet (1.5 m) thick buried from 500 to 3000 feet (152 to 914 m) beneath the surface. This categorization is as follows:

1. Low development potential relates to: 1) a total coal section less than 100 feet (30 m) thick that lies 500 feet (152 m) to 3000 feet (914 m) beneath the surface, or 2) coal beds 5 feet (1.5 m) or more in thickness that lie 500 feet (152 m) to 1000 feet (305 m) beneath the surface.

2. Moderate development potential is assigned to a total coal section from 100 to 200 feet (30 to 61 m) thick, and buried from 1000 to 3000 feet (305 to 914 m) beneath the surface.

3. High development potential involves 200 feet (61 m) or more of total coal thickness buried from 1000 to 3000 feet (305 to 914 m).

The coal development potential for in-situ gasification on the Coyote Draw Quadrangle is low, hence no CDP map is generated for this map series. The resource tonnage for in-situ gasification with low development potential totals approximately 5.3 million tons (4.8 million metric tons) (Table 3). None of the coal beds in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle qualify for a moderate or high development potential rating.

Table 1.--Strippable Coal Reserve Base Data (in short tons) for Federal Coal Lands in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming.

Development potentials are based on mining ratios (cubic yards of overburden/ton of recoverable coal).

Coal Bed	High Development Potential (0-10:1 Mining Ratio)	Moderate Development Potential (10:1-15:1 Mining Ratio)	Low Development Potential (15:1 Mining Ratio)	Total
Mildcat	--	--	9,330,000	9,330,000
Moyer	--	--	--	--
Oedekoven	--	--	--	--
Myodek	High Development Potential (0-5:1 Mining Ratio)	Moderate Development Potential (5:1-7:1 Mining Ratio)	Low Development Potential (7:1 Mining Ratio)	
	597,050,000	60,730,000	1,480,000	659,260,000
TOTAL	597,050,000	60,730,000	10,810,000	668,590,000

Table 2.--Coal Reserve Base Data (in short tons) for underground mining methods for Federal Coal Lands in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming.

Coal Bed Name	High Development Potential	Moderate Development Potential	Low Development Potential	Total
Wildcat	--	--	183,770,000	183,770,000
Moyer	--	--	308,660,000	308,660,000
Oedekoven	--	--	33,080,000	33,080,000
TOTAL	--	--	525,510,000	525,510,000

Table 3.--Coal Reserve Base Data (in short tons) for In-Situ Gasification for Federal Coal Lands in the Coyote Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming.

Coal Bed Name	High Development Potential	Moderate Development Potential	Low Development Potential	Total
Wildcat	--	--	183,770,000	183,770,000
Moyer	--	--	308,660,000	308,660,000
Oedekoven	--	--	33,080,000	33,080,000
TOTAL	--	--	525,510,000	525,510,000

SELECTED REFERENCES

- Baker, A. A., 1929, The northward extension of the Sheridan coal field, Big Horn and Rosebud Counties, Montana: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 806-B, p. 15-67.
- Bass, N. W., 1932, The Ashland coal field, Rosebud, Powder River, and Custer Counties, Montana: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 831-B, p. 19-195.
- Brown, R. W., 1958, Fort Union Formation in the Powder River Basin, Wyoming: Wyo. Geol. Soc. Guidebook, Thirteenth Annual Field Conf., p. 111-113.
- Tobbin, C. E., and Barnett, V. H., 1927, The Gillette coal field, northeastern Wyoming, with a chapter on the Minturn district and northwestern part of the Gillette field by W. T. Thom, Jr.: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 796-A, p. 1-50.
- Galyardt, G. L., 1974, Preliminary geologic map and coal resources of the Coyote Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming: U. S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report 74-350, scale 1:24,000.
- Glass, G. B., 1975, Review of Wyoming coal fields, 1975: Wyoming Geol. Survey Public Information circ. 4, p. 10.
- IntraSearch Inc., 1978a, Coal resource occurrence and coal development potential of the Cabin Creek Northeast Quadrangle, Sheridan and Campbell Counties, Wyoming and Powder River County, Montana: U. S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report, 78-064, 21 p.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1978b, Coal resource occurrence and coal development potential of the Rocky Butte Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming: U. S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report 78-830, 22 p.

- IntraSearch Inc., 1979, Coal resource occurrence and coal development potential of the Larey Draw Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming: U. S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report, 79-023, 29 p.
- Jacob, A. F., 1973, Depositional environments of Paleocene Tongue River Formation: Am. Assoc. of Petroleum Geologists Bull., vol. 56, no. 6, p. 1038-1052.
- McKay, E. J., 1974, Preliminary geologic map of the Bertha 2 NW (Rocky Butte) Quadrangle, Campbell County, Wyoming: U. S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report 74-173, scale 1:24,000.
- Olive, W. W., 1957, The Spotted Horse coal field, Sheridan and Campbell Counties, Wyoming: U. S. Geol. Survey, Bull. 1050, 83 p.
- Schell, E. M., and Mowat, G. D., 1972, Reconnaissance map showing some coal and clinker beds in the Fort Union and Wasatch Formations in eastern Powder River Basin, Campbell and Converse Counties, Wyoming: U. S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report, scale 1:63,360.
- Taff, J. A., 1909, The Sheridan coal field, Wyoming: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 341-B, p. 123-150.
- U. S. Bureau of Mines and U. S. Geological Survey, 1976, Coal resource classification system of the U. S. Bureau of Mines and U. S. Geological Survey: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1450-B, 7 p.
- U. S. Geological Survey and Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, 1973, Preliminary report of coal drill-hole data and chemical analyses of coal beds in Sheridan and Campbell Counties, Wyoming, and Big Horn County, Montana: U. S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report 73-351, 51 p.

- U. S. Geological Survey and Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, 1974,  
Preliminary report of coal drill-hole data and chemical analyses  
of coal beds in Campbell County, Wyoming: U. S. Geol. Survey  
Open-File Report 74-97, 241 p.
- U. S. Geological Survey and Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, 1976,  
Preliminary report of coal drill-hole data and chemical analyses  
of coal beds in Campbell and Sheridan Counties, Wyoming; Custer,  
Prairie, and Garfield Counties, Montana; and Mercer County, North  
Dakota: U. S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report 76-319, 377 p.
- U. S. Geological Survey and Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, 1976,  
Preliminary report of coal drill-hole data and chemical analyses  
of coal beds in Campbell, Converse, and Sheridan Counties of  
Wyoming; and Big Horn, Richland, and Dawson Counties, Montana:  
U. S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report 76-450, 382 p.
- U. S. Geological Survey and Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, 1977,  
Preliminary report on 1976 drilling of coal in Campbell and Sheridan  
Counties, Wyoming; and Big Horn, Dawson, McCone, Richland,  
Roosevelt, Rosebud, Sheridan and Wibaux Counties, Montana: U. S.  
Geol. Survey Open-File Report 77-283, 403 p.
- U. S. Geological Survey and Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, 1978,  
Preliminary report of 1977 coal drilling in eastern Montana and  
northeastern Wyoming; geophysical logs for Campbell and Converse  
Counties, Wyoming: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 77-721 E,  
202 p.

Warren, W. C., 1959, Reconnaissance geology of the Birney-Broadus coal field, Rosebud and Powder River Counties, Montana: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1072-J, p. 561-585.

Weimer, R. J., 1977, Stratigraphy and tectonics of western coals, in Geology of Rocky Mountain Coal, A Symposium, 1976: Colorado Geol. Survey Resource Series 1, p. 9-27.