

Text to Accompany:

OPEN-FILE REPORT 79-303

1983

FEDERAL COAL RESOURCE OCCURRENCE AND FEDERAL COAL DEVELOPMENT

POTENTIAL MAPS OF THE

WILBURTON QUADRANGLE,

LATIMER COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

[Report includes 22 plates]

Prepared for

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

BY

GEOLOGICAL SERVICES OF TULSA, INC.

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

and

B. T. BRADY

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, DENVER, COLORADO

This report was prepared under contract to the U.S. Geological Survey, and has not been edited for conformity with Geological Survey editorial standards or stratigraphic nomenclature. Opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of the Geological Survey.

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction.....	1
Purpose	1
Location	1
Accessibility	1
Physiography	1
Climate and vegetation	2
Land status	2
General Geology	2
Previous work	2
Stratigraphy	3
Structure	5
Coal Geology	6
Hartshorne coal bed and upper and lower splits	6
Unnamed local coal bed	7
Upper and lower McAlester coal beds	7
Chemical analyses of coal	7
Isolated data points	9
Coal Resources	9
Coal Development Potential	11
Development potential for surface-mining methods	11
Development potential for subsurface mining and in-situ coal gasification methods	12
References	29

ILLUSTRATIONS

Plates 1-22. Coal Resource Occurrence and Coal Development Potential maps:

1. Coal data map.
2. Boundary and coal data map.
3. Coal data sheet.
4. Isopach map of the upper McAlester coal bed.
5. Isopach map of the lower McAlester coal bed.
6. Structure contour map of the upper and lower McAlester coal beds.
7. Interburden isopach map of the upper and lower McAlester coal beds and overburden isopach and mining ratio map of the upper McAlester coal bed.
8. Overburden isopach and mining ratio map of the lower McAlester coal bed.

Plates 1-22. Coal Resource Occurrence and Coal Development Potential maps:
(continued)

9. Areal distribution and identified resources map of the upper McAlester coal bed.
10. Areal distribution and identified resources map of the lower McAlester coal bed.
11. Isopach map of an unnamed local coal bed.
12. Structure contour map of an unnamed local coal bed.
13. Overburden isopach map of an unnamed local coal bed.
14. Areal distribution and identified resources map of an unnamed local coal bed.
15. Isopach map of the Hartshorne coal bed and upper and lower splits.
16. Structure contour map of the Hartshorne coal bed and upper and lower splits.
17. Interburden isopach map of the upper and lower splits of the Hartshorne coal bed and overburden isopach and mining ratio map of the Hartshorne coal bed and upper split.
18. Overburden isopach and mining ratio map of the lower split of the Hartshorne coal bed.
19. Areal distribution and identified resources map of the Hartshorne coal bed and upper split.
20. Areal distribution and identified resources map of the lower split of the Hartshorne coal bed.
21. Coal development potential map for surface mining methods.
22. Coal development potential map for subsurface mining methods and in-situ coal gasification methods.

TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1. Average chemical composition of coal beds in the Wilburton quadrangle, Latimer County, Oklahoma	8
2. Coal Reserve Base data for surface-mining methods for Federal coal land (in short tons) in the Wilburton quadrangle, Latimer County, Oklahoma	14
3. Coal Reserve Base data for subsurface mining and in-situ gasification methods for Federal coal land (in short tons) in the Wilburton quadrangle, Latimer County, Oklahoma	15
4. Source and reliability of data used on Plate 1	16
5. Oil and gas test holes	25

INTRODUCTION

Purpose

These maps and accompanying text were compiled to support the land-planning work of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). The work was undertaken by Geological Services of Tulsa, Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma, at the request of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) under contract number 14-08-0001-17989. The resource information was gathered in response to the Federal Coal Leasing Amendments Act of 1976 (Public Law 94-377). Published and unpublished publicly available information was used. No new drilling or field mapping was done to supplement this study, nor were any confidential or proprietary data used.

Location

The Wilburton 7.5-minute quadrangle is located on the west side of the Howe-Wilburton mining district in the southern part of the southeastern Oklahoma coal field. The quadrangle is entirely in Latimer County; the town of Wilburton is the county seat. The city of McAlester is 29 miles (47 km) west of the quadrangle, and the city of Tulsa is approximately 90 miles (145 km) northwest of the quadrangle.

Accessibility

The town of Wilburton lies in the south-central part of the quadrangle. Oklahoma Highway 2 runs northward from Wilburton approximately 35 miles (56 km) to U.S. Interstate 40. U.S. Route 270 runs west from Wilburton to McAlester where it joins U.S. Route 69, and east to Poteau where it joins U.S. Highway 59.

Many improved and unimproved roads and jeep trails provide access to hilly and mountainous areas for local ranchers and gas well operators.

The Chicago, Rock Island, and Pacific Railroad maintains a railroad line which crosses the southern half of the quadrangle.

Physiography

The Wilburton quadrangle is in the Arkoma basin on the northern edge of the Ouchita Mountains in the Arkansas Valley physiographic province (Hendricks, 1939). The Choctaw fault, at the southern edge of the basin, cuts across the southern part of the quadrangle.

Topographically, the northern half of the Wilburton quadrangle is dominated by the Sans Bois Mountains, which reach a height of 1,460 feet (445 m), and rise fairly abruptly out of the surrounding land. The southern half of the quadrangle is dominated by east-trending ridges and valleys. The ridges range in altitude from 800 to 900 feet (244 to 274 m), and the valley floors are about 600 to 650 feet (183 to 198 m) above sea level. A fairly broad valley cuts across the southern third of the quadrangle, ranging in width from about 1.5 miles (2.4 km) in the east to at least 3 miles (4.8 km) in the west.

The coal beds of the area crop out to the south of the Sans Bois Mountains in sweeping arcs in the valleys of the area. Virtually all the coal bed outcrops occur between the altitudes of 600 and 700 feet (183-214 m).

A number of manmade lakes and smaller ponds exist within the quadrangle, and the area is drained by several small creeks and streams.

Climate and vegetation

The climate in southeastern Oklahoma is, for the most part, fairly moderate. Winters are short, and extremely cold weather is rare. Summers, however, are generally long and hot. The mean annual temperature is about 62°F (17°C); the average daily temperature ranges from about 41°F (5°C) in January to about 82°F (28°C) in July, occasional periods of even hotter days are not unusual (Hendricks, 1939). Annual precipitation in the area averages approximately 41 inches (104 cm) spread throughout the year with rains generally abundant in the spring, early summer, fall and winter (Hendricks, 1939).

The area supports a wide variety of vegetation, with oaks, blackjacks, hickories, elms and hackberries being most common. On the higher mountains and ridges pines can also be found. In parts of the valleys that have not been cleared for farming, thick stands of water and willow oaks, hickories, cottonwoods, willows, and wild plums may be present (Hendricks, 1939).

Land status

There are no designated Known Recoverable Coal Resource Areas (KRCRA) within the Wilburton 7.5-minute quadrangle. The Federal Government holds title to the coal mineral rights for approximately 10,630 acres (27 percent) of the quadrangle. As of October 19, 1979, none of this land was leased.

GENERAL GEOLOGY

Previous work

Much work has been done on the southern Oklahoma coal field. The first geologic study of the Howe-Wilburton district, of which the Wilburton 7.5-minute quadrangle is a part, was published by Chance (1890) and included a map showing the outcrops of the area's most important coal beds. In 1897, Drake published his study on the coal fields of the Indian Territory, which consisted of a map and text of the principal coal beds, general stratigraphy, and structural features.

From 1899 to 1910, Taff and his associates published several reports on the Oklahoma coal lands. These included several investigations carried out for the U.S. Geological Survey on the extent and general character of local stratigraphy, including coal beds. Much of Taff's work was a part of Senate Document 390 (1910), which represented a compilation of material collected for the purpose of determining the value and extent of coal deposits in and under the segregated coal lands of the Choctaw and Chickasaw Nations in Oklahoma.

The Oklahoma Geological Survey in a 1914 bulletin on the geology of east-central Oklahoma emphasized the geologic structure and oil and gas possibilities of the area. Further studies on the southern Oklahoma coal lands were carried out by Shannon and others (1926); Moose and Searle (1929); and Hendricks (1939). These, along with later works by Knechtel and Oakes in the 1940's, and Houseknecht and Iannacchione (1982), added greatly to the knowledge of Oklahoma coals, particularly in terms of their quality, chemical composition, and extent.

Several estimates as to original and remaining coal reserves have been published, among them the figures published in papers by Trumbull (1957) and Friedman (1974). Nonproprietary information from coal test holes drilled in various years in the Wilburton quadrangle was obtained from USGS files.

Stratigraphy

The Arkoma basin, once part of the larger Ouachita geosyncline, formed as a result of subsidence beginning in Mississippian time and continuing through Early and Middle Pennsylvanian. Strata in the basin are thought to have been deposited in a deltaic environment with sediment coming primarily from eroding highlands to the northeast, north, and northwest (Branan, 1968). Evidence that the basin was becoming full is provided by coal beds occurring in the upper Atoka and lower Desmoinesian section. Sedimentation continued until Late Pennsylvanian time, when the Arbuckle Orogeny of southern Oklahoma took place (Branan, 1968). In Early Permian time, Ouachita mountain building to the south of the basin compressed Arkoma basin strata into a series of long, narrow, east-trending anticlinal and synclinal folds (see section on Structure below).

Most of the rock units cropping out in the Wilburton quadrangle are of Pennsylvanian age, and include the Atoka Formation, as well as the Hartshorne, McAlester, Savanna, and Boggy Formations of the lower Desmoinesian Krebs Group. The Hartshorne, McAlester, and Boggy Formations are coal bearing in this quadrangle. South of the Choctaw fault are noncoal-bearing older rocks associated with the Ouachita Province. They lie south of the Oklahoma coal field and will not be discussed in detail here.

The Atoka Formation was named by Taff and Adams in 1900. It is the oldest exposed formation north of the Choctaw fault in the quadrangle, and crops out across the central and west-central section of it (Hendricks 1939). The formation consists mostly of black to gray sandy shale interbedded with ridge-forming brown or light-gray sandstone. The sandstone is highly variable in character, both from bed to bed and within a single bed. In most exposures it is fine grained, silty and irregularly bedded; however, locally it may be coarse grained, clean, and massive to thick bedded. The Atoka Formation thickens somewhat across the quadrangle, from about 5,000 feet (1,524 m) in the northwest to 6,500 feet (1,981 m) at the Choctaw fault in the southeast (Hendricks, 1939).

The Hartshorne Formation, which forms the basal unit of the Desmoinesian Series, crops out in a single band trending east across the center of the quadrangle (Hendricks, 1939). It is most probably conformable with the underlying Atoka Formation (McDaniel, 1961; Oakes and Knechtel, 1948), although the

sharp and irregular contact between the Hartshorne and Atoka Formations has led some observers to conclude that a minor unconformity separates them, at least locally (Hendricks, 1939; Branson, 1962). The contact between the Hartshorne Formation and the overlying McAlester Formation is conformable (Hendricks, 1939).

The boundaries of the Hartshorne Formation have been modified several times since the unit was first mapped by H. M. Chance in 1890. Then called the "Tobucksy" sandstone, the formation was renamed the Hartshorne sandstone by Taff in 1899. Early workers defined the formation such that the upper Hartshorne coal was considered to be part of the overlying McAlester Formation. However, Oakes and Knechtel (1948) recognized a convergence of the lower and upper Hartshorne coals in northern LeFlore and eastern Haskell Counties, and redefined the formation to include both coals. The Hartshorne coals, undivided to the north, split into lower and upper Hartshorne coals along a northeast-trending line. This split line cuts across the northern part of the Wilburton quadrangle (plate 15). The current definition of the Hartshorne Formation is one proposed by McDaniel (1961), which supports the boundaries suggested by Oakes and Knechtel (1948), but formally divides the formation into lower and upper members where applicable (on the basis of the coal split line). The Hartshorne Formation is highly variable in character and thickness throughout the Howe-Wilburton district. In general it contains interbedded sandstone and shale which tend to become discontinuous as the upper and lower coals merge. The sandstone is mainly fine grained, white to brown, silty and micaceous; and the shale is gray and sandy. Plant fossils are abundant in the shales. The Hartshorne is roughly 250 feet (76 m) thick in the Wilburton quadrangle.

Conformably overlying the Hartshorne is the McAlester Formation, which has an average thickness of about 2,000 to 2,100 feet (610 to 640 m) in the Wilburton quadrangle. The McAlester crops out in a band running northwest to east across the area. The McAlester Formation consists mainly of various unnamed shale units, but also includes one named shale member and several sandstone members. In ascending order, the named members are the McCurtain Shale Member, and the Warner, Lequire, Cameron, Tamaha, and Keota Sandstone Members. Between successive sandstones, and above the Keota Sandstone Member, is an unnamed shale unit. The thickness of each individual member or unit was estimated from well logs in the area.

The lowermost unit of the McAlester Formation is the McCurtain Shale Member, a dark-gray, clayey shale with numerous siderite concretions and plant material (Hendricks, 1939). The McCurtain Member contains a few thin sandstone units, including a locally persistent thin sandstone with an associated unnamed local coal, found approximately 350 feet (107 m) above the base of the member.

The most persistent sandstone of the McAlester Formation is the Warner Sandstone Member, a fine-grained, argillaceous unit which forms the first prominent escarpment stratigraphically above the Hartshorne Formation. The Warner directly overlies the McCurtain Shale Member. It is highly variable in thickness (Oakes and Knechtel, 1948), and has a locally persistent coal associated with it. Above the Warner Member is an unnamed shale unit which is dark gray

silty, and fissile, and in the Wilburton area has an average thickness of 200 feet (61 m) (Russell, 1960). Siderite concretions are common, and a few thin sandstones can be found within it.

Overlying the unnamed shale unit is the Lequire Sandstone Member of the McAlester Formation. The Lequire contains lenticular sandstone beds interbedded with siltstone and shale, and locally a thin coal. Units between the Lequire and Keota Sandstone Members are highly variable in thickness and lateral extent. They include two unnamed shale units and the Cameron and Tamaha Sandstone Members.

The Cameron Member in the Wilburton area is a thick (\pm 80 ft, 24 m), massive to thin-bedded, fine-grained sandstone. The overlying shale includes the economically important lower and upper McAlester coals. The Tamaha Sandstone Member includes a complex of sandstone lenses rather than being a single bed (Oakes and Knechtel, 1948) and averages only about 20 feet (6 m) in thickness. The Keota Sandstone Member, separated from the Tamaha by a fairly thick (200 feet, 61 m) unnamed dark-gray shale unit, is the uppermost sandstone member of the McAlester Formation. It is generally a silty, buff, fine-grained sandstone, 30 to 70 feet (9 to 21 m) in thickness. Both the Tamaha and Keota Sandstones tend to be erratic and discontinuous (Russell, 1969). A dark, fissile to blocky shale with siderite concretions marks the top of the McAlester Formation.

The Savanna Formation crops out in a series of alternating shale and sandstone bands across the north-central part of the Wilburton quadrangle (Hendricks, 1939). In some places the contact with the underlying McAlester Formation appears to be gradational, whereas in others it is highly irregular. The sandstones of the Savanna Formation form prominent ridges, as evidenced by the southern edge of the Sans Bois Mountains. These sandstone beds are generally brown, dense, fine grained, and micaceous, and the interbedded shales are brown to grayish green.

The Boggy Formation is the upper unit of the Krebs Group in the Desmoinesian Series. It lies conformably on the Savanna Formation, and is the youngest consolidated unit exposed in the area. In the Wilburton quadrangle, it crops out to the northeast in the Sans Bois Mountains, where it is about 850 feet (259 m) thick (Russell, 1960). The Boggy consists of alternating shale and sandstone units and its lower boundary is defined as the base of the Bluejacket Sandstone Member (Russell, 1960).

The Secor coal, part of the Boggy Formation, crops out in the extreme northeast corner of the Wilburton quadrangle (Russell, 1960). No information on its thickness or quality was available.

Quaternary deposits of alluvium cover some stream valleys and flood plains in the area.

Structure

The Wilburton quadrangle lies within a zone of folded Pennsylvanian rocks characterized by broad, shallow synclines and narrow anticlines (Russell,

1960). The axes of these structures are commonly en echelon, and in general run parallel to the frontal margin of the adjacent Ouachita salient, marked by the Choctaw fault. The principal surface structures in the Wilburton quadrangle are shown on plate 1. One of the more dominant features in the area is the Sans Bois syncline, the axis of which passes through the northern quarter of the Wilburton quadrangle. The form of the syncline is altered somewhat by a structural high on the axis in T. 6 N., R. 19 E., where the structure is undulating and irregular and not easily recognized as synclinal (Russell, 1960). The dip of beds along the axis of the syncline ranges from 3° to 7°. Dips on the flanks of the syncline are 10° to 14°.

The eastern tip of the Adamson anticline extends into the quadrangle in sec. 35, T. 6 N., R. 18 E. This structure has very steep dips on the northwest side (40° to 70°) and gentler dips on the southeast side (17° to 40°).

The Wilburton anticline is a poorly defined fold that begins in sec. 13, T. 5 N., R. 18 E., and extends southwestward out of the quadrangle for about 5 miles (8 km), where it passes beneath the Choctaw fault (Hendricks, 1939). Roughly paralleling that anticline is the Hartshorne syncline, which also extends southwestward out of the quadrangle. No strike and dip information is associated with these folds was available.

The only major fault in the quadrangle is the Choctaw fault, which marks the northern edge of the Ouachita thrust zone.

COAL GEOLOGY

Several major coal beds have been identified and mapped in the Wilburton quadrangle. They include, in ascending order, the Hartshorne coal bed and its lower and upper splits, an unnamed local coal bed, the lower McAlester (Stigler) coal bed, and the upper McAlester (Stigler Rider) coal bed. In addition to these are the Secor coal bed, which has been mapped in the northeast corner of the quadrangle by Russell (1960), but for which no data were available, and several other minor local coals. In the Wilburton quadrangle, there are measurements of four local coals which exceed the Reserve Base thickness of 1 foot (0.3 m) used in this area and are treated as isolated data points. These include local coals measured in data points 10, 11, 12, 67, and 71 (see plate 1 for locations and plate 3 for correlations).

Hartshorne coal bed and lower and upper splits

The Hartshorne coals occur at or near the top of the Hartshorne Formation. The split line for the Hartshorne coal bed crosses the north half of the quadrangle. The split line is defined in this report as the 1-foot (0.3-m) interburden isopach line (plate 17). North of this line only one coal seam is present; south of it the bed is split into lower and upper Hartshorne coals. The structure on these coals is presented on plate 16, and the thickness of the interburden between the splits is shown on plate 17. The interburden ranges in thickness from 1 foot (0.3 m) at the split line to at least 60 feet (18 m).

Only the upper and lower splits of the Hartshorne coal crop out in this quadrangle. They crop out in a band trending east-west across the center part of

the quadrangle and dip north-northeast. Data available from USGS files (see table 4) on recent coring in the west-central part of the quadrangle in sec. 1, T. 5 N., R. 18 E., indicate that the lower Hartshorne coal crop line was incorrectly located in previous work done in the area. The crop line has been adjusted in this report (plate 1) to conform to the more recent data.

The Hartshorne coal is, in general, thicker than either the upper or lower split, and measurements of it (estimated from gas well logs) range from 5 feet (1.5 m) in the northeast to 10 feet (3.0 m) in the northwest. Measurements of the upper Hartshorne coal split range from 2 feet (0.6 m) to 5 feet (1.5 m). The isopach map (plate 15) indicates that this coal thins to 1 foot (0.3 m) or less in the east-central part of the quadrangle. The lower Hartshorne coal split is generally slightly thicker than its upper counterpart, measuring as much as 6 feet (1.8 m) in several bore holes. Isopach measurements of both the lower and upper Hartshorne coals in the west-central part of the quadrangle have been corrected for the steep dip (greater than 25°) there.

Both the lower and upper Hartshorne coals have been mined extensively (see plate 1).

Unnamed local coal bed

An unnamed local coal bed in the McAlester Formation has been correlated in several well logs in the quadrangle. It occurs approximately 800 feet (244 m) above the underlying Hartshorne Formation and 750 feet (229 m) below the lower McAlester coal. Its occurrence was documented only through well logs, and was interpreted as a coal because of its log response. The subcrop of this coal (plate 12) was determined by geometric triangulation, using regional dip and depth to coal. This bed attains a thickness of about 4 feet (1.2 m) at depth to the north, but toward the south in the shallow subsurface it appears to be less than 1 foot (0.3 m) thick.

Upper and lower McAlester coal beds

The lower and upper McAlester coal beds crop out north of the lower and upper Hartshorne coals, as much as 0.5 mile (0.8 km) south of the front edge of the Sans Bois Mountains. A small normal fault interrupts the lower McAlester crop line in sec. 31, T. 6 N., R. 19 E., and the coal beds dip north to northeast. The structure of these two coals is shown on plate 6. From about 30 feet to at least 60 feet (9 to 18 m) of interburden separates them (see plate 7).

Isopach measurements for both the lower and upper McAlester coals have come mostly from well logs; a few more exact measurements came from bore holes and measured sections. The upper McAlester coal is about 1 to 4 feet (0.3 to 1.2 m) in thickness. The lower McAlester coal is 1 to 3 feet (0.3 to 0.9 m) in thickness; the upper McAlester coal has been strip-mined in sec. 32, T. 6 N., R. 19 E., and secs. 3 and 4, T. 5 N., R. 19 E.

Chemical analyses of coal

Chemical analyses were available only for the lower and upper Hartshorne coal beds in this quadrangle, and not for the upper McAlester, lower McAlester, or

Table 1. Average chemical composition of coal beds in the Wilburton quadrangle, Latimer County Oklahoma. Data shown are from Shannon and others (1926) and Hendricks (1939).

ANALYSIS (%)	UPPER HARTSHORNE COAL BED				LOWER HARTSHORNE COAL BED			
	FORM OF ANALYSIS	# OF SAMPLES	AVERAGE	RANGE	# OF SAMPLES	AVERAGE	RANGE	
PROXIMATE								
Moisture	A	11	3.4	2.8-3.9	7	2.0	1.0-2.3	
Volatile Matter	A	11	37.1	36.0-38.0	7	38.5	37.8-39.6	
	C	7	38.2	37.1-39.4	1	39.2	----	
Fixed Carbon	A	11	54.1	52.7-55.9	7	52.0	48.5-54.6	
	C	7	56.1	54.7-57.6	1	55.2	----	
Ash	A	11	5.4	4.5-6.4	7	6.1	5.0-8.5	
	C	7	5.7	4.8-6.7	1	5.6	----	
ULTIMATE								
Sulfur	A	11	1.0	0.8-1.2	7	1.6	0.8-2.6	
	C	7	1.0	0.9-1.2	1	1.9	----	
Hydrogen	-	-	-	----	-	-	----	
Carbon	-	-	-	----	-	-	----	
Nitrogen	-	-	-	----	-	-	----	
Oxygen	-	-	-	----	-	-	----	
Heating Value								
Calories	A	10	7,582	7,383-7,650	-	-	----	
	C	6	7,771	7,678-7,849	-	-	----	
Btu/lb	A	10	13,594	13,290-13,770	6	13,701	13,053-14,141	
	C	6	13,988	13,820-14,130	-	-	----	

Form of analysis: A, as-received; C, moisture-free. NOTE: To convert Btu/lb to kJ/kg, multiply by 2.326.

the unnamed local coal bed. Available analyses are summarized in table 1. Average analyses are shown, as well as the range for all samples used to calculate each average value.

The coals are listed according to Btu/lb, as determined on a moisture-free and mineral-matter-free (mmf) basis. The as-received Btu/lb values shown on table 1 were converted to moisture-mmf Btu/lb figures according to the following formula (American Society for Testing and Materials, 1980):

$$\text{Moisture-mmf Btu/lb} = \frac{\text{as rec'd Btu/lb} - 50 S}{[100 - (1.08 A + 0.55 S)]} \times 100$$

where S = sulfur, A = ash

Based on the average Btu/lb shown on table 1, both the lower and upper Hartshorne coals are classified as high-volatile A bituminous coals; the lower Hartshorne has an average of 14,446 Btu/lb on a moisture mmf basis, and the upper Hartshorne has an average of 14,302 Btu/lb on a moisture mmf basis.

Isolated data points

In instances where single or isolated measurements of coal beds thicker than 1.0 foot (0.3 m) are encountered, the standard criteria for construction of isopach, structure-contour, mining-ratio, and overburden isopach maps are not available. The lack of data concerning these beds limits the extent to which beds can be reasonably projected in any direction, and usually precludes their correlation with other, better known beds. For this reason, isolated data points have been mapped on separate figures for non-isopached coal beds. These illustrations/maps are not included in this report, but are kept on file at the USGS office in Denver. However, coal reserves from these isolated data points are included in tables 2 and 3, and in the Reserve Base tonnages shown on plate 2.

All isolated data points in the Wilburton quadrangle are measurements of unnamed local coals.

COAL RESOURCES

Data from drill holes, mine measured sections, outcrops, well logs, and mine maps were used to construct outcrop, isopach, and structure-contour maps of the various coal beds in the Wilburton quadrangle. The source of each indexed data point shown on plate 1 is identified in table 4.

A system for classifying coal resources has been devised by the U.S. Bureau of Mines and the USGS, and published in U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1450-B (1976). Under this system, resources are classified as either Identified or Undiscovered. Identified Resources are specific bodies of coal whose location, rank, quality, and quantity are known from geologic evidence supported by specific measurements; Undiscovered Resources are bodies of coal which are thought to exist on the basis of broad geologic knowledge and theory.

Identified Resources may be subdivided into three categories of reliability of occurrence, according to their distance from a known point of coal-bed measurement. Listed in order of decreasing reliability, these categories are measured, indicated, and inferred. Measured coal is that which is located within 0.25 mile (0.4 km) from a measurement point, indicated coal extends 0.5 mile (0.8 km) beyond measured coal to a distance of 0.75 mile (1.2 km) from the measurement point, and inferred coal extends 2.25 miles (3.6 km) beyond indicated coal, or a maximum distance of 3 miles (4.8 km) from the measurement point.

Undiscovered Resources may be either hypothetical or speculative. Hypothetical resources are those undiscovered coal resources that may reasonably be expected to exist in known coal fields under known geologic conditions. They are located beyond the outer boundary of inferred resources (see above) in areas where the coal-bed continuity is assumed, based on geologic evidence. Hypothetical resources are those more than 3 miles (4.8 km) from the nearest measurement point.

Speculative resources are Undiscovered Resources that may occur in favorable areas where no discoveries have yet been made. Speculative resources have not been estimated in this report.

Coal resources for the lower and upper McAlester coal beds, an unnamed local coal bed, and the Hartshorne coal bed and its lower and upper splits were calculated using data obtained from their coal isopach maps (plates 4, 5, 11, and 15, respectively). The coal-bed acreage (measured by planimeter and calculated using the trapezoidal method [modified from Hollo and Fifadara, 1980]) multiplied by the average thickness of the coal bed, and by a conversion factor of 1,800 short tons of coal per acre-foot (13,238 metric tons per hectare-meter) for bituminous coal yields the coal resources in short tons. Coal resource tonnages were calculated for Identified Resources in the measured, indicated, and inferred categories, and Undiscovered Resources in the hypothetical category, for unleased Federal coal land. All coal beds thicker than 1 foot (0.3 m) that lie less than 3,000 feet (914 m) below the ground surface are included in these calculations. These criteria differ from those stated in U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1450-B, which calls for a minimum thickness of 28 inches (70 cm) and a maximum depth of 1,000 feet (305 m) for bituminous coal. Narrow strips between mines where undisturbed coal is less than 244 feet (75 m) from the nearest mine are considered to have no reserves and included within mined-out areas. Mine boundaries are only approximately located (as stated in the explanation on plate 1), and therefore these narrow areas may not actually exist. For this reason, they are considered to have no reserves, and have not been planimeted.

Reserve Base and Reserve tonnages are shown on plates 9, 10, 14, 19, and 20, and have been rounded to the nearest 10,000 short tons (9,072 metric tons). In this report, Reserve Base coal is the gross amount of Identified Resources that occurs in beds 1 foot (0.3 m) or more thick and under less than 3,000 feet (914 m) of overburden. Reserves are the recoverable part of the Reserve Base coal. In the southeastern Oklahoma coal field, a recovery factor of 80 percent

is applied toward surface-minable Reserve Base coal, and a recovery factor of 50 percent is applied toward subsurface-minable coal. No recovery factor is applicable for in-situ coal gasification methods.

The total tonnage per section for Reserve Base coal, including surface, subsurface-minable, and in-situ gasification coal are shown in the northwest corner of the Federal coal lands in each section on plate 2. All values shown on plate 2 are rounded to the nearest 10,000 short tons, and total approximately 110.27 million short tons (100.04 million metric tons) for the entire quadrangle, including tonnages in the isolated data points. Reserve Base tonnages from the various development-potential categories for surface and subsurface mining and in-situ coal gasification methods are shown in tables 2 and 3.

Geological Services of Tulsa has not made any determination of economic recoverability for any of the coal beds described in this report.

COAL DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL

Coal development potential areas are drawn to coincide with the boundaries of the smallest legal land subdivisions shown on plate 2. In sections or parts of sections where no land subdivisions have been surveyed by the Bureau of Land Management, approximately 40-acre (16-hectare) parcels have been used to show to limits of the high-, moderate-, or low-development potentials. A constraint imposed by the BLM specifies that the highest development potential affecting any part of a 40-acre (16-hectare) lot, tract, or parcel be applied to that entire lot, tract, or parcel. For example, if 5 acres (2 hectares) within a parcel meet the criteria for a high-development potential; 25 acres (10 hectares), a moderate-development potential; and 10 acres (4 hectares), a low-development potential; then the entire 40 acres (16 hectares) are assigned a high-development potential. For purposes of this report, any lot or tract assigned a coal-development potential contains coal in beds with a nominal minimum areal extent of 1 acre (0.4 hectare).

Development potential for surface-mining methods

Areas where the coal beds of Reserve Base thickness are overlain by 150 feet (46 m) or less of overburden are considered to have potential for surface mining and are assigned a high-, moderate-, or low-development potential based on their mining-ratio values (cubic yards of overburden per ton of recoverable coal). The formula used to calculate mining-ratio values for surface mining of coal is as follows:

$$MR = \frac{t_o}{t_c} (rf) \quad \text{where MR} = \text{mining-ratio value}$$

$$t_o = \text{thickness of overburden in feet}$$

$$t_c = \text{thickness of coal in feet}$$

$$rf = \text{recovery factor (80 percent for this quadrangle)}$$

cf = conversion factor to yield MR
value in terms of cubic yards
of overburden per short tons
of recoverable coal:

0.896 for bituminous coal

Note: To convert mining ratio to cubic meters of overburden per metric ton of recoverable coal, multiply MR by 0.8428.

Areas of high-, moderate-, and low-development potential for surface-mining methods are defined as areas underlain by coal beds having respective mining-ratio values of 0 to 10, 10 to 15, and greater than 15. These mining-ratio values for each development-potential category are based on economic and technological criteria and were provided by the USGS.

Areas where the coal data are absent or extremely limited between the 150-foot (46-m) overburden line and the coal outcrop are assigned unknown-development potential for surface-mining methods. This applies to areas where coal beds 1.0 foot (0.305 m) or more thick are not known but may occur, and to those areas influenced by isolated data points. Limited knowledge pertaining to the areal distribution, thickness, depth, and attitude of the coals in these areas prevents accurate evaluation of development potential in the high, moderate, or low categories. The areas influenced by isolated data points in this quadrangle contain approximately 0.07 million short ton of coal available for surface mining.

The coal-development potential for surface-mining methods is shown on plate 21. Tonnage values are summarized in table 2. Of Federal coal land not subject to currently outstanding coal lease, permit, license or preference-right lease application having a known development potential for surface mining, 13 percent is rated high, 2 percent is rated moderate, and 10 percent is rated low. The remaining Federal land (75 percent) is classified as having unknown or no development potential for surface-mining methods.

Development potential for subsurface mining and in-situ coal gasification methods

Areas considered to have a development potential for conventional subsurface-mining methods are those areas where the coal beds of Reserve Base thickness are between 150 and 3,000 feet (46 and 914 m) below the ground surface and have dips of 15° or less. Coal beds lying between 150 and 3,000 feet (46 and 914 m) below the ground surface, dipping greater than 15°, are considered to have a development potential for in-situ coal gasification methods.

Areas of high-, moderate-, and low-development potential for conventional subsurface-mining methods are defined as areas underlain by coal beds at depths of, respectively 150-1,000 feet (46-305 m), 1,000-2,000 feet (305-610 m), and 2,000-3,000 feet (610-914 m).

Areas where the coal data are absent or extremely limited between 150 and 3,000 feet (46 to 914 m) below the ground surface are assigned unknown-development potentials. This applies to areas where coal beds of Reserve Base thickness are not known, but may occur, and to those areas influenced by isolated data points. The areas influenced by isolated data points in this quadrangle contain approximately 3.42 million short tons (3.10 million metric tons) of coal available for conventional subsurface mining.

The coal development potential for conventional subsurface-mining methods is shown on plate 22. A summary of all tonnage values is presented in table 3. Of the Federal land having a known development potential for either conventional subsurface-mining or in-situ gasification methods, 44 percent is rated high, 23 percent is rated moderate, and 3 percent is rated low. Of the remaining Federal land in the quadrangle, 18 percent is classified as having unknown or no development potential for conventional subsurface-mining methods.

Based on criteria provided by the USGS, coal beds of Reserve Base thickness dipping 15° to 35° , regardless of tonnage, have a low-development potential for in-situ coal gasification methods. Beds dipping from 35° to 90° , with a minimum of 50 million short tons of coal in a single unfaulted bed or multiple, closely spaced, approximately parallel beds have a moderate-development potential for in-situ coal gasification methods. Coal lying between the 150-foot (46-m) overburden isopach and the outcrop is not included in total coal tonnages available because it is needed for coverage and containment in the insitu process.

In the Wilburton quadrangle, 21 percent of the Federal coal land has a low-development potential for in-situ coal gasification. However, much of this land (43 percent) also has development potential for subsurface mining (see plate 22). No Federal coal land in the quadrangle is rated as having a moderate-development potential for in-situ coal gasification.

Table 2. Coal Reserve Base data for surface-mining methods for Federal coal lands (in short tons) in the Wilburton quadrangle, Latimer County, Oklahoma

Coal Bed or Coal Zone	High Development Potential	Moderate Development Potential	Low Development Potential	Unknown Development Potential	TOTAL
Upper McAlester	30,000	10,000	1,150,000	-----	1,190,000
Lower McAlester	210,000	110,000	1,360,000	-----	1,680,000
Unnamed Local	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Upper Hartshorne	30,000	10,000	70,000	-----	110,000
Hartshorne	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Lower Hartshorne	670,000	180,000	480,000	-----	1,330,000
Isolated Data Points	-----	-----	-----	70,000	70,000
Totals	940,000	310,000	3,060,000	70,000	4,380,000

Table 3. Coal Reserve Base data for subsurface mining and in-situ gasification methods for Federal coal lands (in short tons) in the Wilburton quadrangle, Latimer County, Oklahoma.

Coal Bed or Coal Zone	High Subsurface Development Potential	Moderate Subsurface Development Potential	Low Subsurface Development Potential	Low In-Situ Development Potential	Unknown Development Potential	TOTAL
Upper McAlester	6,130,000	1,660,000	-----	470,000	-----	8,260,000
Lower McAlester	4,920,000	1,270,000	-----	740,000	-----	6,930,000
Unnamed Local	-----	1,050,000	350,000	-----	-----	1,400,000
Upper Hartshorne	8,700,000	16,580,000	10,200,000	7,160,000	-----	42,640,000
Hartshorne	-----	-----	-----	40,000	-----	40,000
Lower Hartshorne	6,890,000	15,650,000	13,160,000	7,670,000	-----	43,370,000
Isolated Data Points	-----	-----	-----	-----	3,250,000	3,250,000
Totals	26,640,000	36,210,000	23,710,000	16,080,000	3,250,000	105,890,000

TABLE 4. SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF DATA USED ON PLATE 1.

Listed below is a point by point accounting as to the source and reliability of all information shown on Plate 1. Also presented are any notes or comments pertaining to individual data points.

DATA POINT #	LOCATION	INCREASING RELIABILITY	←					REFERENCE	NOTES/COMMENTS
			1	2	3	4	5		
1	SW NE	Location						Mobil Oil Company,	Hartshorne missing in sec. between 3950 & 4350 on log. KB 18.5' above GL. 2.5"E log KB is 18' above GL. 2 1/2" E log.
	Section 14	Overburden					T. Johnson #1, 1970		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						
2	SW NE	Location						Mobil Oil Company,	
	Section 15	Overburden					H. Johnson #1, 1969		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						
3	SW NE	Location						Mobil Oil Company,	
	Section 17	Overburden					D. Weaver D-1, 1967		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						
4	C NE	Location						Snee & Eberly, Scruggs 1-14, 1977	
	Section 14	Overburden							
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness	x						
5	SW NE	Location						Monsanto Company, Wildlife #1, 1969	
	Section 24	Overburden							
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness	x						
6	SE SW	Location						Monsanto Company, Cave #1, 1966	
	Section 19	Overburden							
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						
7	NW SE	Location						Mobil Oil Company,	
	Section 20	Overburden					D. Weaver B-1, 1966		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						
8	NE SW	Location						Mobil Oil Company,	
	Section 21	Overburden					D. Weaver B-1, 1967		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						
9	SE SW	Location						Austral Oil Company,	
	Section 27	Overburden					Young Ranch 1-27, 1966		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						
10	NW SW	Location						Austral Oil Company,	
	Section 28	Overburden					Young Ranch 1-28, 1966		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						
11	NW SE	Location						Shell Oil Company,	
	Section 29	Overburden					Kilpatrick 1-29, 1965		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						

DATE POINT #	LOCATION	INCREASING RELIABILITY					REFERENCE	NOTES/COMMENTS
		1	2	3	4	5		
	NW SE	Location					Mobil Oil Company, Hackney #1, 1966	KB 17.5' above GL. 2.5" E & D logs.
12	T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden			x			
	SE SE	Coal Thickness		x				
	SE SE	Location				x	Senate Document 390, 1910, p. 63, Bore Hole #18	
13	Section 25	Overburden				x		
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x		
	SE SE	Location				x	Pan American Petroleum Co. USA Anderson-Pritchard #1, 1968	KB 18.6' above GL. 2.5" E log.
	Section 25	Overburden				x		
14	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness		x				
	NW SE	Location				x	Senate Document 390, 1910, p. 63, Bore Hole #17.	No coal.
	Section 25	Overburden				x		
15	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x		
	NW SE	Location				x	Pan American Petroleum Co. Doremus #1, 1963	KB 18.7' above GL. 1" Sonic log. 2" Electric log.
	Section 26	Overburden				x		
16	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness		x				
	SE NE	Location				x	Russell, D.T., 1960, p. 46, Measured Section #8	
17	Section 35 & 26	Overburden		-	-	-		
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x		
	SE NE	Location				x	USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #42	Hit old mine at 19 ft.
	Section 35	Overburden				x		
18	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x		
	SE NE	Location				x	USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #43	Mixed coal & smut.
	Section 35	Overburden				x		
19	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x		
	SE NE	Location				x	USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole # 44	
	Section 35	Overburden				x		
20	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x		
	SE NE	Location				x	USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #45	Hit old mine, U & L splits are together here. Lower splitworked by Old #19 Mine
	Section 35	Overburden				x		
21	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness		x				
	NE SE	Location				x	Hendricks, T., 1939, Plates 27, 34, Measured Sections # 2, 3, 5, 6	4 sample average from M K & T Mine #19. Location within mine not known.
	Section 35	Overburden				x		
22	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x		
	SW SW	Location				x	Hendricks, T., 1939, Plates 27, 34, Measured Section #48	M K & T #18 Mine
	Section 36	Overburden				x		
23	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x		
	SE NE	Location				x	Moose & Searle, 1929, p.46 Measured Section A	M K & T Mine #19. Located with USGS Mine Map.
	Section 35	Overburden				x		
24	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x		

DATE POINT #	LOCATION	INCREASING RELIABILITY					REFERENCE	NOTES/COMMENTS
		1	2	3	4	5		
25	SW NW	Location					USGS Files, M K & T Mine #19	1450' S 300' E of NWC of Section 36.
	Section 36	Overburden	-	-	-	X		
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness						
26	SE NW	Location					Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 218, Measured Section A with USGS Mine Map.	M K & T #19 Mine. Located
	Section 36	Overburden	-	-	-			
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				X		
27	SW NW	Location					Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 218, Measured Section B with USGS Mine Map.	M K & T #19 Mine. Located
	Section 36	Overburden	-	-	-			
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				X		
28	SW NW	Location					Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 218, Measured Section C with USGS Mine Map.	M K & T #19 Mine. Located
	Section 36	Overburden	-	-	-			
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				X		
29	SE NW	Location					Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 218, Measured Section D with USGS Mine Map.	M K & T #19 Mine. Located
	Section 36	Overburden	-	-	-			
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				X		
30	SW NW	Location					Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 218, Measured Section E with USGS Mine Map.	M K & T #19 Mine. Located
	Section 36	Overburden	-	-	-			
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				X		
31	NW SW	Location			X		Shannon, et al, 1926, p. 46, Measured Section #17	Approximately located in M K & T #19 Mine using USGS Mine Map.
	Section 36	Overburden	-	-	-			
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				X		
32	SW SW	Location			X		Russell, 1960, p. 45, Measured Section #7	Line Measured Section which measured coal thickness in strip pit.
	Section 36	Overburden	-	-	-			
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				X		
33	NW SE	Location				X	USGS Files, M K & T Mine #19	250' E, 150's of Center, Section 36.
	Section 36	Overburden	-	-	-			
	T 6 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				X		
34	CE/2 NW	Location			X		Russell, 1960, p. 45-50, Measured Section #10	LM is faulted out. Russell Hackney, 1960, p.18 #1 log (data point #12).
	Section 31	Overburden	-	-	-			
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness				X		
35	SW NE	Location				X	USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #17	
	Section 31	Overburden				X		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-			
36	SW NE	Location				X	USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #16	
	Section 31	Overburden				X		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-			
37	SW SE	Location				X	USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #15	
	Section 31	Overburden				X		
	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-			

DATA POINT #	LOCATION	INCREASING RELIABILITY					REFERENCE	NOTES/COMMENTS
		1	2	3	4	5		
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #11	
38	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #12	
39	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #13	
40	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	CNE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #14	
41	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #7	
42	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #6	
43	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #5	
44	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #4	
45	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #3	
46	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #2	
47	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	SE NE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #1	
48	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	NE SE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #10	No coal reached.
49	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						
	NE SE	Location					USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #9	No coal reached.
50	Section 31 T 6 N R 19 E	Overburden Coal Thickness						

DATA POINT #	LOCATION	INCREASING RELIABILITY	→					REFERENCE	NOTES/COMMENTS
			1	2	3	4	5		
	NE SE	Location							
	Section 31	Overburden							
51	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #8	No coal reached.
	NW SW	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
52	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #18	
	SW NW	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
53	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #19	
	NE SW	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
54	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #25	
	NW SE	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
55	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #24	
	NE SW	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
56	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #23	No coal reached.
	NE SW	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
57	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #22	No coal reached.
	NE SW	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
58	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #21	No coal reached.
	SE SW	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
59	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Bore Hole #20	No coal reached.
	NW SE	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
60	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1958, Mine Map CW Allen Strip pit	2400' FEL. 1250' FSL.
	C SE	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
61	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1958, Mine Map CW Allen Strip pit	350' FEL. 450' FSL.
	SE SE	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
62	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Mine Map CW Allen Strip pit, Bore Hole #26	
	SE SE	Location							
	Section 32	Overburden							
63	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	-	-	-	-		USGS Files, 1957, Mine Map CW Allen Strip pit, Bore Hole #27	

DATA POINT #	LOCATION	INCREASING RELIABILITY	↑					REFERENCE	NOTES/COMMENTS
			1	2	3	4	5		
	CSW								
	Section 34								
64	T 6 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x					Wilshire-Camerina, Young Unit #1, 1965	Top of Harts coal can only be estimated, thickness can't. KB 18' above GL.
	NW SE	Location							
65	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness						USGS Files, 1958, Mine Map CW Allen Strip pit	2100' FEL. 1780' FSL.
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x						
	C NW	Location							
	Section 3	Overburden							
66	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x					Pan American Petroleum Corporation, Reusch #1, 1964	KB 15.77' above GL. 2.5" E & S logs.
	NE NW	Location							
	Section 4	Overburden							
67	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x					Pan American Petroleum Corporation, Choctaw Tribe T-3, 1965	KB 20.7' above GL. 1" E log.
	NW NW	Location							
	Section 4	Overburden							
68	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness						USGS Files, 1958, Mine Map CW Allen Strip pit	1200' FWL, 550' FNL.
	SE SW	Location							
	Section 5	Overburden							
69	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness						Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 221, Measured Section D	Degnan-McConnell #5 Mine. Located with USGS Mine Map.
	SW SW	Location							
	Section 5	Overburden							
70	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness						Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 221, Measured Section A	Degnan-McConnell #5 Mine. Located with USGS Mine Map.
	SE NW	Location							
	Section 6	Overburden							
71	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness	x					Pan American Petroleum Corporation, McTierman #1, 1965	KB 20.8' above GL. 2.5" E log & Sonic (large scale)
	SW SW	Location							
	Section 6	Overburden							
72	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness						USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #14	
	SW SW	Location							
	Section 6	Overburden							
73	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness						USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #17	
	SW SW	Location							
	Section 6	Overburden							
74	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness						USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #16	
	SW SW	Location							
	Section 6	Overburden							
75	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness						USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #15	
	SW SW	Location							
	Section 6	Overburden							
76	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness						USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #12	

DATA POINT #	LOCATION	INCREASING RELIABILITY				REFERENCE	NOTES/COMMENTS
		1	2	3	4		
77	SW SW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #13	
	Section 6				x		
	T 5 N R 19 E				x		
78	NW SE					Hendricks, T., 1939, Plates 27 & 34, Measured Section #49	Missouri, Kansas & Texas Coal Company #15 Mine.
	Section 1						
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
79	NW NE					USGS Files, M K & T Mine #19	3600' S, 400' E of center of section.
	Section 1						
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
80	NE SW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #27	
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
81	NE SW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #28	
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
82	NE SW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #29	
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
83	NE SW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #30	
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
84	SE NW					USGS Files, 1953 Bore Hole #31	
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
85	SE NW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #32	
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
86	SE NW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #33	
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
87	SE NW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #34	
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
88	SE NW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #35	
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		
89	NE NW					USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #36	Hit old mine at 18 ft.
	Section 1				x		
	T 5 N R 18 E				x		

DATA POINT #	LOCATION	INCREASING RELIABILITY	↑					REFERENCE	NOTES/COMMENTS
			1	2	3	4	5		
	NE NW	Location							Hit old mine at 30 ft.
	Section 1	Overburden				x	USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #37		
90	T 5 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	SE NW	Location							USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #38
	Section 1	Overburden				x			
91	T 5 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NW NW	Location							USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #39
	Section 1	Overburden				x			
92	T 5 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NW NW	Location							USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #40
	Section 1	Overburden				x			
93	T 5 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NW NW	Location							USGS Files, 1953, Bore Hole #41
	Section 1	Overburden				x			
94	T 5 N R 18 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NE NW	Location							Hendricks, 1939, Plates 27 & 34, Measured Section #50
	Section 7	Overburden				x			
95	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NE NW	Location							Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 220, Measured Section C
	Section 8	Overburden				x			
96	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NE NW	Location							Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 220, Measured Section E
	Section 8	Overburden				x			
97	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	SE NW	Location							Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 220, Measured Section A
	Section 8	Overburden				x			
98	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NE NW	Location							Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 221, Measured Section C
	Section 8	Overburden				x			
99	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NE NW	Location							Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 220, Measured Section D
	Section 8	Overburden				x			
100	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NE NW	Location							Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 220, Measured Section B
	Section 8	Overburden				x			
101	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness				x			
	NE NW	Location							Fieldner, et al, 1922, p. 221, Measured Section B
	Section 8	Overburden				x			
102	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness				x			

DATA POINT #	LOCATION	INCREASING RELIABILITY	→					REFERENCE	NOTES/COMMENTS
			1	2	3	4	5		
103	SE NE	Location						USGS Files, Degnan McConnell, Mine #5	Degnan-McConnell Mine #5. 1700' S of 300' W of NE Corner.
	Section 8	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
104	SE SW	Location						Hendricks, 1939, plates #13 & 34, Measured Section #13	Great Western Mining Co. Mine #4.
	Section 9	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
105	SW SE	Location						Hendricks, 1939, plates #13 & 34, Measured Section #13	Great Western Mining Co. Mine #3.
	Section 9	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
106	SE SE	Location						Hendricks, 1939, plates #14 & 34, Measured Section #14	Great Western Mining Co. Mine #7.
	Section 9	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
107	SW SE	Location						Hendricks, 1939, plates #27 & 34, Measured Section #61	Great Western Mining Co. Mine #2.
	Section 10	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
108	SE SW	Location						Hendricks, 1939, plates #27 & 34, Measured Section #15	Great Western Mining Co. Mine #1.
	Section 10	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
109	NE SE	Location						USGS Files, 1905, Great Western Coal & Coke #6	
	Section 10	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
110	SE SE	Location						Hendricks, 1939, plates #27 & 34, Measured Section #16	Great Western Mining Co. Mine #6.
	Section 10	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
111	Sen EL NE	Location						USGS Files, 1907, Bore Hole #2	
	Section 10	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
112	NE SW	Location						Shannon, et al, 1926, p. 46, Measured Section # 16	Hailey-Ola Coal Company Mine #4
	Section 12	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
113	SW NW	Location						USGS Files, 1907, Bore Hole #1	
	Section 12	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							
114	NW SE	Location						USGS Files, Hailey-Ola Mine #4	500' E 20' S of center of section.
	Section 12	Overburden	-	-	-	-			
	T 5 N R 19 E	Coal Thickness							

TABLE 5. OIL AND GAS TEST HOLES

Note: "Top Log Int." refers to the measured depth to the top of the interval logged by the particular sonde. Driller log total depth, referenced to K.B. or D.F., has been abbreviated to T.D. (Note: This may vary from T.D. referenced to G.L.). The measured depth at which coal is reported on the scout card appears in the column titled "Scout Card Coal". The column titled "Harts./Drill./Scout" contains the measured depths drilled to the top of the Hartshorne Sandstone, as reported by the driller logs and the scout cards.

* Logged interval stratigraphically below Hartshorne Coals.

Sec-Tn-Rg	Operator/Farm Location	Driller Logs Coal Reported Thickness & Depth	Scout		Harts./Top Log Int.			T.D. Year
			Card Coal	Coal	Drill. Scout	Gamma Elec.	Dens. Sonic	
1-5-18	Sinclair/USA Anderson #1 SW SW NE	NR	NR	NR	NR	2100	11525	
12-5-18	Ambassador/#1 R. Robinson NE SW NW 1300 FSL 2170 FWL	NR	NR	NR	NR	250	12087	
13-5-18	Ambassador/#1 W..Austin CSW	NR	NR	NR	NR	92	10188	
24-5-18	Ambassador/#1 James CNW	NR	NR	NR	NR	1991	8988	
24-5-18	Ambassador/#1-A James NE SW NW 3960 FSL 1670 FWL	NR	NR	NR	NR	100	10085	
25-5-18	Skelly Oil/G. Vernum #1 NW NW SE NW	NR	NR	NR	NR		10614	1966
26-5-18	Sinclair/#1 Watts Jones Unit	NR	NR	NR	NR		10407	1964
2-5-19	Pan Amer./#1 Kier SE NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	2498	15380	
3-5-19	Pan Amer. Petro/#1 Reusch CNW	NR	NR	NR	NR	2498	2498	
4-5-19	Pan Amer./#1 USA Choctaw T T T 3 SE NE NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	6600	6600	12300
5-5-19	Pan Amer./#1 USA Choctaw T T 14 1000' SW CNE SW	NR	NR	NR	NR	100	100	1964
6-5-19	Pan Amer./#1 USA J. W. McTierman CSE NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	11470	1964
7-5-19	Pan Amer./#1 Quaid NE SE NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	2009	2009	11485
		NR	NR	NR	NR	0	2009	1965
		NR	NR	NR	NR	482	1772	1964
		NR	NR	NR	NR	30	100*	12000
		NR	NR	NR	NR	30	30	1964

Sec-Tn-Rg	Operator/Farm Location	Driller Logs Coal Reported Thickness & Depth	Scout Card Coal		Harts. Drill. Scout		Top Log Int. Gamma Elec.		T.D. Year		
			Coal	Coal	Scout	Scout	Gamma	Sonic			
8-5-19	Sinclair/#1 D. J. Bishop C S/2 SE NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	318	5250*	12550	1965
8-5-19	Hadson Ohio/E. Okla. State College (1-8) CNW NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	2519	4800	11720	1978
17-5-19	Akers/#1 J. H. Gray C E/2 NE	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	75	3925	1953	1953
17-5-19	Aker & Wabash/#1 J. U. Gray (Twin) C E/2 NE									4406	1953
17-5-19	Humble/#1 J. D. Humphrey SE NE NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	2000	2000	12713	1965
17-5-19	Prospective I & T/Poteet 1-17 CSW							33*	4350	12601	1978
17-5-19	Texas O & G/Poteet 1 SW SW NE SW or 1575 FSL 1470 FWL	Abandoned Location									1978
18-5-19	Humble/#1 Colledge 100' NW of CSW or 1391 FSL, 1549 FWL	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	243	10520	12446	1962
19-5-19	Humble/#1 C. Sparks CNW or 1320 FSL 1320 FWL	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	80	2200*	12384	1963
19-5-19	Samson/#1 Dressen N/2 N/2 S/2 SW 1000 FSL 1320 FWL	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR			6720	1978
20-5-19	Humble/#1 J. A. Ray CNW	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	12200	12200	12400	1965
20-5-19	Ferguson/McKeown #1 S/2 S/2 S/2 SW 50' FSL 1320 FWL	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	2085		1965	7558
21-5-19	Humble/#1 E. Jewell CSE SW NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	30	3150	13360	1965
21-5-19	Ferguson/#1 V. F. W. N/2 S/2 SW 300 FSL 1320 FWL	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	100*		8092	1973
22-5-19	Skelly/#1 M. L. Johnson NW SE 1880 FSL 660 FWL	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	254	750	9545	
29-5-19	Superior/#1 Babb CSE NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	2350		1968	1968
30-5-19	Austral Oil/#1-30 Diamond SE NW NW	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	6300	1700	11203	1966
13-6-18	Mobil/#1 C.L. Peppers SW NE NE	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	274		10558	1964
		NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	3462	459		

Sec-Tn-Rg	Operator/Farm Location	Driller Logs Coal Reported Thickness & Depth	Scout		Harts. Top Log Int.		T.D.	
			Card	Coal	Drill. Scout	Gamma Elec.	Dens. Sonic	Year
13-6-18	Galaxy/#1 State "A" SE NW SE NW, 710 FSL 1930 FWL of NW/4	NR	NR	NR	NR	4014	10565	1970
14-6-18	Snee & Eberly/Scruggs #1 CNE	NR	NR	4122	184	1064	10800	1977
23-6-18	Cleary/#1-23 Carver	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	9265	1975
23-6-18	NE NE NW NE, 20 FNL 1300 FWL of NE/4	NR	NR	4268	NR	NR	12262	1976
24-6-18	Cleary/#2-23 Carver CE/2	NR	NR	4180	NR	NR	11685	1969
24-6-18	Monsanto/#1 Wildlife SE SW SW NE, 200 FSL 500 FWL of NE/4	NR	NR	3460	95	7200	11750	1968
25-6-18	Pan Amer./#1 Anderson-Prichard NW NW SE SE, 1020 FSL 1240 FEL	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	13138	1963
26-6-18	Pan Amer. NW SE	NR	NR	2174	60	48	1963	1967
35-6-18	Pan. Amer./#1 C. Wilson SE NW SE, 100' NW/C	NR	NR	NR	2200	2500	11204	1966
35-6-18	Amoco/#1 C. Wilson SW SW NE SE, 1391 FSL 1391 FW of SE/4	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	1250	1972
36-6-18	Texas Pacific/#1 Federal Church SE NW SE 1550 FSL 1550 FEL	NR	NR	NR	1145*	2500	11692	1970
14-6-19	Mobil/#1 T. Johnson 1220 FSL 100 FWL of NE/4	NR	NR	NR	40	NR	11133	1979
14-6-19	Pitco/#1-14 Shaw CN/2 SW SW	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	10400	1973
14-6-19	Cox/#1 Huffman CNW	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	10459	1960
15-6-19	Mobil/#1 H. Johnson 1190 FSL 1003 FWL of NE/4	NR	NR	NR	40	7600	10500	1969
16-6-19	Sunset Intl./#1 Weaver 1933 FNL 1933 FEL	NR	NR	NR	3269	NR	1542	NR
16-6-19	Weaver/H. English #1 NW SE	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
17-6-19	Mobil/#1 D. Weaver "D" CSW NE	NR	NR	2910	46	46	11092	1967
17-6-19	Long et. al./#2-17 Weaver "D" C E/2 SE	NR	NR	2907	993	993	10818	1976

Sec-Tr-Rg	Operator/Farm Location	Driller Logs Coal Reported Thickness & Depth	Scout		Harts.		Top Log Int.		T.D. Year
			Card Coal	Scout Coal	Drill. Scout	Gamma Elec.	Dens. Sonic		
18-6-19	Coquina/#1 Robbers Cave 1485 FSL 165 FWL of SE/4	10' @ 3161	3161	3180	3180				10700 1973
19-6-19	Monsanto/#1 Cave 1420 S of Center, NW SW SE	NR	NR	3074	307			7050	11550
20-6-19	Mobil/#1 D. Weaver CNW SE	NR	NR	2938	48			48	11296
21-6-19	Mobil/#1 D. Weaver "F" CNW SE	NR	NR	NR	53			55	11376
22-6-19	Mobil /#1 C. O. Harrison 1920 FSL 1980 FWL	NR	NR	NR	53				1967
23-6-19	An-Son/#1 Jankowsky 1180 FSL 1460 FWL of NW/4	NR	NR	3500					11050 1969
23-6-19	Cox/#1 Jankowsky CSE NE SW	NR	NR	NR					11683 1972
23-6-19	Headington/Jankowsky 1-A NW SE NW	NR	NR	NR					13255 1976
27-6-19	Austral/#1-27 Young Ranch NE SE SW	NR	NR	NR					11641 1971
28-6-19	Austral/#1-28 Young Ranch NE NW SW	NR	NR	NR					11300 1966
29-6-19	Shell/#1-29 Kilpatrick SW NW SE	NR	NR	3068	120			118	11492
30-6-19	Mobil/#1 Hackney SE NW SE or 1500 FSL 1310 FWL	NR	NR	3068	120			5200	1966
31-9-19	Pan Amer./#1 East Okla. A & M College CNW SE	NR	NR	NR	305			305	11783
32-6-19	Pan Amer./#1 Quaid "B" NW SE	NR	NR	NR	305			305	1966
33-6-19	Pan Amer./#1 Adams NE SE SW	NR	NR	2728	60			56	11835
34-6-19	Wilshire/#1 Young 1320 FSL 1320 FWL	NR	NR	NR	1925			1925	11145
				NR	1925			1925	1965
				NR					11138
				NR	2050			2050	1966
				NR					11177
				NR	2386			2386	1965
				NR	2622			4500*	11538
				NR	2622		63		1965

REFERENCES

- American Society for Testing and Materials, 1980, Gaseous fuels; coal and coke; atmospheric analysis: 1980 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, part 26, p. 225-226.
- Branan, C. B., Jr., 1968, Natural gas in Arkoma Basin of Oklahoma and Arkansas, in Natural gases of North America: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir 9, p. 1616-1635.
- Branson, C. C., 1962, Pennsylvanian system of the Mid-continent, in Branson, C.C., editor, Pennsylvanian system in the United States: American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Symposium, p. 431-460.
- Chance, H. M., 1890, Geology of the Choctaw coal field: American Institute of Mining Engineers Transactions, v. 18, p. 653-661.
- Drake, N. F., 1897, A geological reconnaissance of the coal fields of the Indian Territory: American Philosophical Society Proceedings, v. 36, p. 326-419.
- Fieldner, A. C., Selvig, W. A., and Paul, J. W., 1922, Analyses of mine and car samples of coal collected in the fiscal years 1916 to 1919: U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 193, p. 214-230.
- Friedman, S. A., 1974, Investigation of the coal reserves in the Ozarks section of Oklahoma and their potential uses: Oklahoma Geological Survey, final report to Ozarks Regional Commission, 117, p.
- _____ 1978, A field guidebook to Desmoinesian coal deposits in part of the Arkoma Basin, eastern Oklahoma: American Association of Petroleum Geologists, National Annual Meeting, Oklahoma City, Preconvention Field Trip 2, April 8-9, 1978, 62 p.
- Hendricks, T. A., 1939, Geology and fuel resources of the southern part of the Oklahoma coal field; part 4, The Howe-Wilburton District, Latimer and LeFlore Counties: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 874-D, p. 254-300.
- Hollo, R., and Fifadara, H., 1980, TI-59 reservoir engineering manual: Keplinger and Associates, Incorporated, Houston, Texas, 220 p.
- Houseknecht, D. W., and Iannacchione, A. T., 1982, Anticipating facies-related coal mining problems in Hartshorne Formation, Arkoma Basin: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 66, no. 7, p. 923-30.
- Knechtel, M. M., 1949, Geology and coal and natural gas resources of northern LeFlore County, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Geological Survey Bulletin 68, 76 p.
- McDaniel G. A., 1961, Surface stratigraphy of the Hartshorne Formation, LeFlore, Latimer, and Pittsburg Counties, Oklahoma, in Arkoma Basin and north-central Ouchita Mountains of Oklahoma, Tulsa-Ft. Smith Geological Societies Field Conference, 1961, Guidebook: Tulsa Geological Society, p. 66-71.

- Moose, J. E., and Searle, V. C., 1929, A chemical study of Oklahoma coals: Oklahoma Geological Survey Bulletin 51, 112 p.
- Oakes, M. C., and Knechtel, M. M., 1948, Geology and mineral resources of Haskell County, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Geological Survey Bulletin 67, 134 p.
- Russell, Dearl T., 1960, Geology of northern Latimer County, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Geological Survey Circular 50, 57 p.
- Shannon, C. W., and others, 1926, Coal in Oklahoma [revised and edited by C. L. Cooper]: Oklahoma Geological Survey Bulletin 4, 109 p.
- Snider, L. C., 1914, Geology of east-central Oklahoma: Oklahoma Geological Survey Bulletin 17, 25 p.
- Taff, J. A., 1899, Geology of the McAlester-Lehigh coal field, Indian Territory: U.S. Geological Survey 19th Annual Report, part 3, p. 423-456.
- _____ 1902, The southwestern coal field: U.S. Geological Survey 22d Annual Report, part 3, p. 367-413.
- _____ 1905, Progress of coal work in Indian Territory: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 260-I, p. 382-401.
- Taff, J. A., and Adams, G. I., 1900, Geology of the eastern Choctaw coal field, Indian Territory: U.S. Geological Survey 21st Annual Report, part 2, p. 257-311.
- Trumbull, J.V.A., 1957, Coal resources of Oklahoma: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1042-J, p. 307-382.
- U.S. Bureau of Mines and U.S. Geological Survey, 1976, Coal resource classification system of the U.S. Bureau of Mines and U.S. Geological Survey: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1450-B, 7 p.
- United States Congress Documents, 1910, Coal lands in Oklahoma: 61st Congress, 2d Session, Senate Document 390, 372 p.
- Wilson, C. W., Jr., and Newell, N. D., 1937, Geology of the Muskogee-Porum district, Muskogee and McIntosh Counties, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Geological Survey Bulletin 57, 155 p.