

200)
R290
no. 80-123

c 2 in processx

✓
U.S. Geological Survey



[Reports-Open file series]

TW
TW
TW

EXPERIMENTS USING A CONVERTIBLE DATA COLLECTION PLATFORM

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

OPEN-FILE REPORT 80-123



1
2
3 UNITED STATES
4 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
5 GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

6 EXPERIMENTS USING A CONVERTIBLE DATA COLLECTION PLATFORM
7 *Oct*

8 by Stanley R. Address
9

10 U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
11

12 OPEN-FILE REPORT 80-123
13
14
15- 303818
16
17

18 Greenbelt, Maryland
19

20 February 1980
21
22
23
24
25

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT	1
BACKGROUND	1
THE LANDSAT DATA COLLECTION SYSTEM (DCS)	2
THE GEOSTATIONARY OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE (GOES) DCS	2
HISTORY OF THE CONVERTIBLE DATA COLLECTION PLATFORM (CDCP)	3
THE LABARGE CDCP	3
THE CONVERTIBLE DATA COLLECTION PLATFORM EXPERIMENT . . .	4
SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	6
RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTS	7
Michigan State University	7
National Park Service	8
University of Chile	9
USGS-EROS Data Center (EDC)	10
USGS-WRD Glaciology Project	11
USGS Office of Earthquake Studies	11
Water and Power Resources Service	12
Bonneville Power Administration	14
USDA Forest Service Firescope Program	15
Chevron Oil Field Research Corporation	15
University of Alaska	16
Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission	16

1 EXPERIMENTS USING A CONVERTIBLE DATA COLLECTION PLATFORM

2 by

3 Stanley R. Address

4 ABSTRACT

5 Experiments under the sponsorship of the U.S. Geological Survey
6 in the use of a convertible data collection platform (CDCP) were
7 performed by 13 organizations. Success in its use was related
8 directly to the resources applied by the experimenter. After design
9 faults were corrected by the manufacturer, most of the problems
10 encountered were associated with power supplies, sensor, and sensor
11 interfaces. In general, the CDCP and the Geostationary Operational
12 Environmental Satellite systems performed as specified. Based on
13 the experience gained, the Bonneville Power Administration is
14 embarking on an operational implementation of the system, and the
15 other organizations are continuing experimental deployment.

16 BACKGROUND

17 Measurements of geophysical, environmental, hydrologic, and
18 meteorologic parameters have long been a costly and sometimes difficult
19 aspect of many research and operational activities of both the Government
20 and private sectors. The expense of such data collection comes from the
21 large number of sites where information is needed and the requirement
22 for either on-site personnel or trips to collect recorded data. The
23 instances where ground-based telemetry systems have been employed have
24 shown them to be expensive and not very reliable. In addition, the wide
25 geographic dispersion of the data collection points has made centralized
26 data processing and analysis difficult. As many sources of information
27 are located in remote areas, data have been lost due to equipment
28 malfunctions between routine service calls.

29 In the late 1960's and early 1970's, the National Aeronautics and
30 Space Administration (NASA) undertook the installation of satellite
31 telemetry relay systems as a means of ameliorating these problems. The
32 first of these systems was used to track and position balloons and
33 buoys. Further experiments and improved technology led to the
34 development of a data collection system specifically designed for relay
35 of ground-based measurements. This was the Landsat (formerly called the
36 Earth Resources Technology Satellite (ERTS)) system.

THE LANDSAT DATA COLLECTION SYSTEM (DCS)

The Landsat DCS was one of the first spacecraft telemetry systems designed specifically to collect data from a sensor system at the measurement site. Although initially justified as a system for collection of ground-based measurements needed for ground truth to accompany Landsat imagery, the system proved itself to be useful for the collection of information desirable for more conventional needs. Several design parameters which might have been satisfactory if the system had been used for its original intent, namely as an ancillary data-gathering device used in conjunction with imagery, were disadvantageous when the system was used only for in-situ data collection. Among these parameters was the requirement for mutuality in visibility between spacecraft and ground reception station and spacecraft and data collection platform (DCP). This requirement led to nonuniform collection times and nonsynoptic reporting. In addition, the DCP transmitted instantaneous data which was limited to eight coded sensor readings of eight bits each. Therefore, even if the system were operating perfectly, much data would be lost and reliance on recorded-type data would have to be retained (National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 1971).

In an effort to alleviate some of these problems, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) developed a memory device for use with the DCP. The device stored data on a first-in-first-out basis that were regularly entered during a several hour period. However, if the spacecraft was not in position to receive the transmission or if the transmission encountered interference, the data were lost. An additional problem prevented the Landsat DCS from being considered for operational use. Because NASA considered the spacecraft experimental, there was no guarantee that the satellite series would be continued. For this reason, few organizations were willing to invest in the capital equipment needed for operational use.

THE GEOSTATIONARY OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE (GOES) DCS

During the period that the Landsat data collection system experiment was being conducted, the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) was developing a system of its own, designed to operate on a geosynchronous spacecraft. The advantages of geosynchronism alleviated many of the data collection problems associated with the Landsat system. Because the spacecraft was always in view of both the receiving station and the collection platform, the system could transmit at specific times. In addition, the availability of multiple frequency channels allowed a large capacity system (10,000 platform messages/6 hours) (Flanders, A.F., 1973). The GOES design also permitted longer messages which, in turn, required memory to play an important part in the data collection platform design. Another advantage of the system was its ability to interrogate platforms by command. Since this aspect is designed to be used for emergency

1 operations only, it is not further discussed. One other point important
2 to note in this system is its operational nature. Multiple spacecraft
3 in orbit provide for redundancy in case of failure, and because the
4 system is operational and expected to continue for many years, capital
5 costs can be amortized over a longer time. The additional feature of
6 centralized data reception lends itself to centralized data processing,
7 which in almost all instances is an advantage to a user of large amounts
8 of data.

9 HISTORY OF THE CONVERTIBLE DATA COLLECTION PLATFORM (CDCP)

10 In an effort to aid in the policy decisions faced by DCS
11 experimenters and potential users, the USGS undertook an engineering
12 study to lessen the risk associated with which system to choose, Landsat
13 or GOES. At the time the program was conceived, the Landsat DCS was a
14 tried and proven system with an uncertain future and the GOES system was
15 untested but had potential for a long-term operation and might better
16 fit user needs. To reduce the risk associated with capital equipment
17 investments for either system, the USGS issued a contract based on an
18 unsolicited proposal to Ball Bros. Research Corporation,¹ Boulder, Colo.
19 The contract was to design, develop, and manufacture a prototype data
20 collection platform capable of operating with both the Landsat and GOES
21 systems. This platform was the CDCP. The contract was successfully
22 completed, and a prototype platform was delivered and tested by the
23 USGS. Based on this experience, the USGS decided to go ahead with a
24 large-scale deployment of this new platform.

25 A production contract, competitively won by LaBarge, Inc., Tulsa,
26 Okla., was issued by the USGS, and as part of the contract the USGS
27 procured several platforms to be used for experiments. The production
28 CDCP incorporated the results of experience gained from development of
29 the Landsat DCP and included the innovation of microprocessor control.

30 THE LABARGE CDCP

31 The LaBarge CDCP consisted of the CDCP power system (user-
32 supplied), a CDCP test set, transmitting antennas (GOES and Landsat),
33 the CDCP unit, and user-supplied sensors and interface electronics, if
34 needed. The CDCP is packaged in a sealed stainless steel cylindrical
35 drum, 11.5 inches in diameter and 14.5 inches high, and includes pin

36
37 ¹The use of manufacturer, brand, and firm names is for
38 description only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S.
39 Geological Survey.

connectors on the top for sensor, power, test set, and antenna inputs. Each platform has the capacity for analog data utilizing two memory words of four bits each and digital data using four memory words. The platform stores a combination of analog and digital data in a memory as large as 208 words. Utilizing the microprocessor control, the CDCP can be programmed by means of the test set to accept analog data from as many as eight sensors or digital data from as many as four digital recorders, or a combination of analog and digital data. These data can be entered in multiples of 6-minute or 15-minute increments. As many as 255 increments can be stored in the buffer memory. The data can be transmitted at intervals ranging from 15 minutes to 63 hours and 45 minutes (255 increments, each 15 minutes long). The power supply required is 12-VDC \pm 1.5-VDC.

THE CONVERTIBLE DATA COLLECTION PLATFORM EXPERIMENT

In March 1975, the USGS announced the availability of the LaBarge CDCP's for experimental use. This announcement was issued in order to expand the types and numbers of applications for use of the satellite telemetry technology. The only stipulation attached to the loan of the CDCP equipment was that the organization that received the CDCP's would have to furnish periodic progress reports and that the measurements telemetered through the system would be of geophysical, meteorological or environmental parameters.

Responses and inquiries regarding this announcement came from a broad spectrum of potential users, both in organizations which received the announcement directly and in organizations that had heard of the announcement through other sources. The background of the respondents ranged the entire gamut from previous Landsat DCS users to those with no experience in telemetry data collection. In addition, some requests were received from the Water Resources Division (WRD) of the USGS. After most of the WRD requests were satisfied, the following organizations were selected to receive the CDCP equipment for experimentation:

<u>Organization</u>	<u>Application</u>	<u>Number of CDCP's</u>
Michigan State University	Pesticide Application Management	3
National Park Service	Water Quality and Meteorology	4
University of Chile	Hydrometeorology	3
USGS EROS Data Center	Hydrometeorology	4
USGS-WRD, Glaciology Project	Glaciology	2

1	USGS Geologic Division, Office of Earthquake Studies	Earthquake Research	7
2			
3	Water and Power Resources Service	Hydrometeorology	3
4	Bonneville Power Administration	Hydrometeorology	5
5			
6	USDA-Forest Service Firescope Program	Forest Fire Meteorology	2
7	Chevron Oil Field Research Corporation	Oceanography-Oil Well Platform	1
8			
9	University of Alaska	Volcano Monitoring	2
10	Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission	Environmental Hydrology	2
11	USGS Geologic Division, Branch of Theoretical and Applied Geophysics	Magnetic Observatories	2

13 Decision criteria for selecting the above experimenters included
 14 not only application but geographic location. The USGS-WRD acted as the
 15 primary interface with NOAA for establishing time allocations within the
 16 dedicated GOES channels which were assigned. In addition, The USGS
 17 agreed to use its computer to provide data communication so that some of
 the experimenters could get their data back. Most non-USGS users and
 almost all non-U.S. Government users made their own arrangements for
 receipt of data from NOAA.

18 Since CDCP's are basically radio transmitters, Federal
 19 Communications Commission licences were required in order to operate
 20 them. All Department of the Interior users obtained their licences via
 the Departmental Office of Telecommunications. Similar procedures were
 used by other U.S. Governmental users. Non-Government users had to make
 application directly to the FCC, and this caused some lengthy delays
 during the experiment startup phase.

21 A series of training sessions, beginning in November 1975, were
 22 held by LaBarge for users of their platforms. These sessions were held
 23 at the LaBarge plant in Tulsa, Okla. and at other locations throughout
 24 the country. The training program was necessary in order to provide
 insight into the unique interfacing and power requirements of the
 platform and to provide demonstrations of the programming of the
 platform's microprocessor. Programming to accommodate timing

requirements and interface formats is accomplished by means of the LaBarge test set, an item of hardware separate from the platform. At least one test set was sent to each user.

Manufacturing delays caused late delivery of the platforms to the users. In several instances, platforms arrived at their destination at a time either too late to accomplish the experimental objective during that particular year or at a time when adverse weather and logistics precluded immediate installation.

Many users of the platforms experienced difficulties during the checkout phase. In some instances, problems were caused by faulty components, and in other instances design faults were found. These difficulties necessitated return of the platforms to the manufacturer for reworking, and the users experienced further delays in their plans for experimentation.

The delays led to cancellation or premature termination of several experiments due to loss of funds or shifts of personnel.

SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Thirteen of the fifteen organizations that received platforms experimented with them. Two platforms were not tested, primarily because personnel changed or budgets were restricted between the time the proposal for experiment was approved and the time when the platforms were received.

One experiment, conducted by Chevron Oil Field Research Corporation, cannot as yet be classified as to successfulness since the platform is still in a laboratory bench-test due to delays caused by personnel shifts. Nine experiments can all be considered successful to some degree. Although no formal reports from the Bolivian experiment have been received, correspondence indicates that it was successful. The Bonneville Power Administration is the only experimenter to complete the experiment phase and consider the system operational, although the Water and Power Resources Services and the University of Chile experiments may be considered to be almost in the same category. Since most of the experiments were not conducted at permanent installation sites, it is difficult to determine what the term operational means in this context. If experimental success is determined by a conversion to an operational mode, only one experiment meets this criterion. However, if usefulness of the system to accomplish a specific task is the criterion, then most of the experiments can be considered successful.

1 Three experiments were failures, but for different reasons. The
2 USGS magnetic observatory experiment is in this category because the
3 platform did not meet the technical requirements for data collection of
4 this type. The Ohio River Valley Sanitary Commission experiment failed
5 because the system did not operate for a sufficient time to either prove
6 or disprove its usefulness. The University of Alaska volcano monitoring
7 experiment failed because the harsh environment of the active volcano's
8 atmosphere corroded the equipment and made it unusable.

9 Similar technical problems faced most of the investigators and it
10 is the belief of this author that an effective working group to share
11 experiences of the various organizations might have reduced somewhat the
12 efforts required by individuals. An interagency working group has since
13 been established to overcome this problem at the Federal level and to
14 publish a newsletter for public distribution.

15 Once the technical problems with the CDCP components supplied by
16 LaBarge were solved and repairs made (a lengthy process), almost all
17 problems experienced by investigators were in the sensor or power-
18 supplies. Sensor technology and requirements are so broad they almost
19 prohibit standard sensor packages, but an effort should be made in the
20 future to provide for more flexibility in the CDCP input interface.
21 With respect to power supplies, consideration should be given to
22 developing a reliable source of portable power. This may even be a
23 family of power sources (for example, wind, solar, battery) to
24 accommodate various environmental conditions. It probably would also
25 make engineering sense to provide the power supply as part of the CDCP
26 package as a user option, thus eliminating one major problem. The
27 following sections provide synopses of the experimental results.

28 RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTS

29 Michigan State University

30 The purpose of the experiment was to obtain near real-time soil
31 temperature data in order to understand pest insect development rates
32 and thereby know when to apply pesticides.

33 Platforms were deployed in three locations: East Lansing, Gull
34 Lake and Cass City, Mich. Thermistors were used to measure soil
35 temperature at five depths ranging from the surface to 6 inches below
36 the surface. The data were collected every 30 minutes. The
37 climatic environment included temperature ranges of from -15°F to +90°F,
38 20- to 25-inches of annual precipitation, and winds up to 30 miles per
39 hour.

Problems developed in the sensor interface equipment when the duration of the drain on the 12-volt supply to the external electronics exceeded 70 seconds prior to instrumentation polling. If allowed to continue, the power drain would have amounted to two to three times that available for the platform. This problem was corrected by installation of a 60-second power delay in each of the external interface electronic assemblies.

Two of the three LaBarge platforms failed during the test. These were repaired by the manufacturer. In addition, two of the gel cell batteries failed and were replaced by the manufacturer.

The CDCP was extremely useful in evaluating an on-line pest management system. The incorporation of the CDCP in the system provided a unique data collection capability.

National Park Service

The National Park Service conducts research and environmental monitoring as an aid in the planning and managing of relatively undisturbed land under their jurisdiction. Many of the Nation's parks, although quite large and in some instances quite isolated, are nevertheless affected by man. In an effort to understand these affects, the Park Service employs monitoring systems. The remoteness of many of the monitored sites influenced the decision by the Park Service to test the CDCP/GOES system as a means of obtaining real-time information to aid in their management decisions.

The Park Service experiment was divided into four phases. Phase I had the following objectives:

- 1) Establish feasibility of a portable continuous monitoring station;
- 2) Meet a 60-day or greater maintenance cycle requirement;
- 3) Provide near real-time capabilities;
- 4) Provide dependable values; and
- 5) Simplicity.

To accomplish Phase I, four CDCP's, each with two multisensor packages, were deployed in the Great Smokey Mountains National Park. The first package was a water quality monitor package that measured dissolved oxygen, hydrogen ion concentration, oxidation reduction potential, temperature and conductivity. The second package was a meteorological package that measured wind direction and speed, temperature, dew point, surface pressure and cumulative precipitation. In addition, the CDCP's contained a power-source monitoring sensor.

1 The operational experience with the system, to date, indicates that
2 with the exception of vandalism and several other problems associated
3 with vandalism, the majority of problems were caused by failures in the
4 sensor/interface packages and the power supplies. The data obtained are
5 comparable to data manually sampled at the site although the
6 experimenters are hesitant to conclude that this will be true over the
7 long-term. System reliability cannot be predicted due to the short time
8 of operation, but it is felt that downtime could be significantly
9 reduced if a complete parts inventory were maintained.

10 Based upon the results of Phase I, the following assessments of the
11 original objectives were made:

- 12 1) It is feasible to employ these portable continuous water
13 quality and atmospheric monitoring stations;
- 14 2) These units meet the 60-day or greater maintenance cycle;
- 15 3) These units provide near real-time (every 3 hours) data
16 response capabilities;
- 17 4) Values are comparable to field survey data collected using
18 standard methods; and
- 19 5) The units are not as simple to operate and maintain as had
20 been hoped; however, if sufficient spare components are
21 stocked, service and maintenance delays will be minimized.

22 Thus, the Phase I objectives (acquisition, calibration, testing,
23 and positioning) were sufficiently met.

24 University of Chile

25 This experiment was unique in several ways. It utilized the
26 Landsat spacecraft DCS. The University of Chile modified an existing
27 STADAN tracking and receiving site, originally built by NASA, to receive
28 and process the DCS data. Finally, the program grew into a multiuse,
29 multipurpose system.

30 The purpose of the experiment was to demonstrate the use of
31 spaceborne data relay to the Government agencies and industrial concerns
32 of Chile. The three sites chosen for the initial demonstration were
33 the:

- 34 1) El Yeso Dam;
- 35 2) Rapel Dam; and
- 36 3) Navy Mole, Valparaiso.

37 The El Yeso Dam is located about 90 miles southeast of Santiago in
38 the Andes Mountains. The Dam is at about 10,000 feet above sealevel in
39 an area with a severe winter climate. The purpose of the experiment was
40 to determine the amount of snow melt which feeds the impoundment and
41 is utilized for agricultural irrigation. A sensor measured wind

speed, air temperature, humidity, snow temperature and solar radiation. The station operated successfully for 4 months before being moved to the Eschaurren Glacier, several kilometers away.

The second site was the Rapel Dam, a large hydroelectric generating plant. At the last report, this station operated for 7 months without problems. The parameters measured were river stage, air temperature, humidity, wind speed and solar radiation.

Finally, the third site was at the Navy Mole in Valparaiso. This station also operated for 7 months without problems, according to the last report. The sensors at the station were designed to measure coastal meteorological data such as sea level, water temperature, air temperature, air pressure and wind speed. The only problems encountered were those associated with the sensor interface during the startup phase.

Because the results from the experiment were so successful, the Chileans procured 12 additional platforms of which 3 are operating in the Antarctic Palmer Peninsula (Araya, 1978). The experimenters are now shifting to the GOES system for areas beyond the range of their receiving stations.

USGS/EROS Data Center (EDC)

The purpose of the EROS Data Center experiments were twofold. One was to test the CDCP in a typical hydrometeorological installation but in a severe climate such as that found in the upper Great Plains region. The second was to use the sites in conjunction with training conducted by the Applications Assistance Branch of EDC.

Of the four CDCP units that EDC had, one was in a backup and the other three were placed in sites at the Redfield James Valley Research and Extension Center at Redfield, on the James River near Huron, and at the Sioux Falls airport observation well, all in South Dakota. The CDCP at the Redfield site collected air and soil temperatures as well as precipitation data. The CDCP at the James River site measured river stage, and the Sioux Falls site measured water table depth. Instruments utilized were a Fisher Porter rain gauge and Frontier electronic thermometer, which measures ambient air temperature and soil temperature at six depths ranging from 2 to 72 inches. At Huron and Sioux Falls, standard digital stage recorders provided the input to the CDCP. Data at the Redfield and Huron sites were updated every 15 minutes and transmitted every 3 hours, whereas data from Sioux Falls were collected hourly and transmitted every 3 hours.

The Redfield CDCP was initially installed below ground in order to maintain a platform temperature above 20°F, but water seepage into the installation damaged the interface and led to a shutdown in May 1978, after 6 months of operation. As a result, the platform is now installed

above ground in a double-insulated enclosure. All three platforms experienced the extreme temperature variations typical of the climate. Interface problems were encountered with the Redfield CDCP, which required contractor repair, but no interface problems were experienced with the platforms at the other sites. All four CDCP's experienced mechanical/electronic problems which required return of the CDCP's to LaBarge for correction. Two of the platforms were be returned twice. The average turnaround time for the LaBarge repairs was 3 months.

Operational delays occurred in the NOAA data transfer interface during the startup phase but were solved.

In general, the experiments indicate that the systems were useful when operational. The data provided valuable information for South Dakota agriculture and demonstrated spacecraft technology to the agricultural community. The Sioux Falls CDCP also provided valuable information concerning water table decline during summer drought periods.

USGS-WRD Glaciology Project

The intent of the glaciology application of spaceborne telemetry was to measure and transmit meteorologic, stream-flow, and subglacial water-pressure data. These data required eight analog channels, which were updated every 15 minutes. The CDCP's were located at the South Cascade Glacier research station in the North Cascade Mountains of Washington State. Because environmental conditions were severe, installation and checkout could only be accomplished during the summer months.

Successful preliminary tests were conducted during the summer of 1978. During these tests wind speed, direction, and atmospheric pressure were relayed.

During these tests two problems were encountered which caused delays in the implementation of the full experiment. The first problem was a large voltage drop due to the length of the supply cables which ran from the station battery bank to the platform. The second problem was that the platform design did not provide adequate signal ground isolation and, therefore, required more interface electronics than were originally planned. Both problems are solvable and the solution is being implemented.

USGS Office of Earthquake Studies

The experiment of the Office of Earthquake Studies was a continuation of experiments conducted on the use of spacecraft data relay under the Landsat program. The purpose of the experiment was to continuously monitor surface deformation in remote areas. Of the seven CDCP's loaned to the experimenters, three were used for spares. The other four were distributed as follows:

- 1) Middleton Island - Gulf of Alaska;
- 2) Cape Yakataga - South Central Alaska;
- 3) Yakutat - South Central Alaska; and
- 4) Fig Tree Valley - Anza Borrego Desert, Calif.

This was the only United States experiment conducted under the CDCP program to use the Landsat spacecraft DCS.

The sensor utilized in the experiment was a two-component shallow borehole tiltmeter which measured surface tilt associated with surface deformation near active faults. This tilt was transformed into changes in voltages on a pair of orthogonal sensing electrodes placed on the lens surface of a finely tuned bubble level. The output was converted from a 0 to ± 8 VDC to a 0 to ± 5 VDC input to be compatible with the CDCP.

Because the experiment used the Landsat spacecraft as the relay, the transmissions were relayed about 4-5 times/day with 4-5 data points received per successful transmission. The Alaskan stations experienced moderate temperatures and heavy rainfall due to the temperate nature of the Alaskan coast. The California site experienced very high summertime temperatures, 125°F, and little or no rainfall.

Power-supply problems were the only ones which contributed to station downtime. Measurement accuracy was not as good as expected. This lack of accuracy was due to the compression of the analog value range needed to match the CDCP interface. This problem has solutions, one of which is to shift to a digital output sensor.

Generally, the experiment was considered successful and, in fact, data could not have been obtained from the Gulf of Alaska site with any other equipment on a cost-effective basis.

Water and Power Resources Service

The U.S. Department of the Interior, Water and Power Resources Service, carried the CDCP experiment technologically further than any other experimenter in this program. There are many reasons for this; however, the three main reasons were experience, resources, and need. The Service has had a great deal of experience utilizing the CDCP and was one of the principal investigators utilizing the Landsat DCP. This experience has been carried forward to the CDCP/GOES system. The Service has an experienced engineering team and able contractor support, both of which were needed to carry out the goals of the experiment. Finally, real-time meteorological data from remote areas was genuinely needed in order to carry out the Service's objectives.

1 The experiment in which the CDCP's were employed was the Sierra
2 Cooperative Pilot Project (SCPP) and the subexperiment which directly
3 utilized the CDCP was the development, installation and testing of the
4 Automated Environmental Surface Observation Platform (AESOP). The
5 sponsor for these experiments was the Service's "Project Skywater". This
6 project is the Service's precipitation management research program,
7 which is designed to determine the feasibility of weather modification
8 to meet the demands of the Nation for clean water. The project is
9 concerned with developing socially acceptable technologies for enhancing
10 snowfall in the mountains and rainfall during the growing season.

11 The AESOP was conceived when it became apparent that the standard
12 meteorological reporting network was extremely sparse in most Project
13 Skywater sites. The AESOP was meant to supplement the normal NOAA and
14 Federal Aviation Administration reporting stations and to emulate
15 manned meteorological stations in every way possible; that is, real-time
16 reporting of hourly measurements of the same parameters using similar
17 methods in making those measurements. The AESOP was originally designed
18 for summertime application as part of the High Plains Cooperative
19 Program (Price, G.R. and Scheetz, V.R., 1977), but with the advent of
20 the SCPP it was decided to test the AESOP in the severe wintertime
21 Sierra environment.

22 The AESOP is composed of the CDCP and transmitting antennas, data
23 sensors, power source and interface electronics. The sensors are very
24 high precision devices that measure wind speed and direction,
25 temperature, humidity, air pressure, and precipitation. Three complete
26 sets of hourly data are held in the memory of the platform, and the
27 oldest data set is purged each hour as new data are added. The entire
28 contents of the memory is transmitted hourly, so that each hour the
29 present data and those from the previous 2 hours are transmitted. The
30 AESOP power supply is standard 120-VAC, plus standard battery backup and
31 optional solar cells. The interface circuitry was designed to provide
32 data using averaging intervals and formatting to conform to standards of
33 the Federal Meteorological Handbook of Surface Observations and the
34 design manual for NOAA's Remote Automation Meteorological Observation
35 Station.

36 The three sites for the AESOP's were at Sunflower Hill, Onion Creek
37 and Mosquito Ridge, all in the Sierra Nevada in the American River Basin
38 in California. Because the snowpack can be very deep, two of the
39 AESOP's were placed on 20-foot towers and one on a 10-foot tower.
40 Statistics show that 1 year in 10, even the 20-foot tower would be under
41 snow.

42 Data from the AESOP's correlated well with those from manned
43 observations. Occasional power outages were related to a recently
44 developed solar power supply. Processed data was sent by NOAA directly
45 to the Water and Power Resources Service Offices in Denver and the SCPP
46 Offices via conventional high-speed data lines.

The AESOP's have generally proven to be valuable tools to the field director and forecasters during the SCPP field season by providing real-time information on the surface meteorology, including precipitation, in the test area. Real-time decisions based on these data can now be made. It is planned to add as many as five more AESOP's to support the SCPP.

Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration

The Bonneville Power Administration experiment was unique in that it was keyed to the potential use of the CDCP/GOES system for a routine operational activity, namely the collection of hydrologic and meteorologic hydromet data.

The Columbia River Treaty with Canada and a memorandum of understanding between U.S. Government agencies led to the requirement that the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) establish a hydromet network in the Pacific Northwest. The program for the installation and operation of this network was to be accomplished in four phases with Phase I starting in 1969. By 1976, three of the four phases were complete, and the stations in operation utilized microwave or VHF radio relay to transmit the data. In studying the phase IV implementation, it was determined that 9 of the 12 proposed sites did not have reliable VHF paths to nearby BPA microwave links. Alternative communications systems were evaluated including meteorburst, but the cost was prohibitive. It was at this time that BPA proposed the experiment to test the CDCP/GOES system for this purpose.

The five CDCP's that were used in the experiment were located as follows: One unit was used as a spare and another unit placed on the roof of the BPA building in Portland, Oreg. to be used as a test and demonstration unit; one unit was placed at Summit, Mont. and another at Ukiah, Oreg. Both of these sites experience extremely cold wintertime temperatures. The last unit was placed at McNary Substation, Oreg. in an area that experiences very high summertime temperatures. All platforms were delivered, and the last one at McNary Substation was activated in November 1976. The only meteorological parameter measured was rainfall, although measurements of battery voltage, cabinet or shelter temperature, and a reference voltage were made and transmitted. Data were collected every 15 minutes and were transmitted every 3 hours. The data link from NOAA returning to BPA was via telephone dialup, and although some difficulties with this link were experienced at first, they disappeared as new and updated equipment was brought on line at NOAA. During the experiment, four of the platforms were returned to the manufacturer for repair - three for design modifications and one because a crystal oscillator was faulty.

Temperature and performance correlations were performed, and it was observed that when the temperature fell below 5°F, the crystal oscillator caused the carrier frequency to drift. This was corrected by adjustments. High temperature had no significant effect on the system.

During the test, the data returned averaged 92 percent and during the final 4 months of the experiment was 96 percent. All problems associated with return messages not received were determined to be due to either the sensor interface or the NOAA/BPA data stream.

Based upon the experience gained in the experiment, BPA has proposed to implement phase IV of their hydromet system using the GOES system. To aid in accomplishing this, the USGS transferred the title of the experimental platforms to the BPA (Bonneville Power Administration, 1978).

USDA Forest Service Firescope Project

The purpose of the experiment was to test the feasibility of using CDCP's in a network of meteorological stations in the Firescope area of Southern California to provide data input to a computer simulation of wildland fire spread.

Two platforms were located in San Bernardino Mountains of southern California. The environmental conditions were typical of temperate mountainous terrain. Air temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed/direction data were relayed at 3-hour intervals.

Several weeks after installation, the platforms experienced a timing problem which was corrected by the manufacturer. A faulty solar panel regulator caused excessive battery drain. This problem was corrected. There were some problems which resulted in readout descriptions such as "unexpected message received" or "expected message not received". The experimenters believe this to be an anomaly in the data link not associated directly with the CDCP. The special signal conditioning unit which was used to interface the sensors with the CDCP failed, and USDA is currently contracting for an improved version.

The test and experiment ran from July 1975 to April 1976 and was considered to be successful and useful.

Chevron Oil Field Research Corporation

The object of the experiment was to monitor wave heights measured from an offshore oil platform. The experiment is similar to one conducted successfully using the Landsat system. Personnel shifts and platform alterations have caused delays to the point that as of this writing the platform is still in the laboratory checkout phase. However, experience based on Landsat DCP's suggests that the experiment should be successful if brought to completion.

University of Alaska

The purpose of the experiment was to monitor atmospheric pressure and temperature, as well as soil temperature, on an active volcano in Alaska. Although the CDCP and sensor package were tested in the laboratory and were apparently working upon installation, no messages were received via the GOES spacecraft. The experimenters were unable to visit the site on Mount Wrangell for 5 months. Upon revisit it was discovered that the harsh chemical atmosphere had virtually destroyed the installation, making further experimentation impossible.

Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (Orsanco)

This experiment was intended to relay water quality parameters via the GOES satellite to the Orsanco Office in Cincinnati, Ohio. The experiment was largely unsuccessful.

The primary problem encountered with the LaBarge CDCP's was their inability to properly store bits in the transmit interval memory. A clock in the CDCP controls an output pulse at precise 6- or 15-minute intervals. (15-minute pulses were used in this application.) These output pulses are stored in a memory counter. When a specified number is attained, the function (transmit cycle) occurs and the memory is zeroed. The problem that occurred was that extraneous bits (more than one per 15-minute interval) entered the memory advancing the cycle by an additional 15 minutes. There was no way for the computer to check a reference value and reset or shut down, or even determine that its time cycle was incorrect. After several phone conversations with LaBarge engineers, both CDCP's used in the experiment were returned to LaBarge for modifications. The CDCP's were returned twice, but the modifications failed to correct the problem. The result of the malfunction was that one or more of the 15-minute transmission periods were early, interfered with other transmissions, and sounded alarms at the receiving station during each transmission. Also, no usable data were obtainable after a failure. The system usually failed less than 2 weeks after each startup.

Only one unit was field tested after its return from LaBarge. Neither unit experienced problems under laboratory conditions, leading to a conclusion that stray electromagnetic interference (EMI) might be a cause of the problem.

The computer interface for the return link of the data was never used because of these transmission problems.

Because of this experience and a change in personnel, ORSANCO decided to terminate the experiment.

USGS Geologic Division, Branch of Theoretical and Applied Geophysics

The purpose of the experiment was to relay data on the Earth's magnetic field. The sensors to be employed were magnetometers. After a technical evaluation, it was decided that the resolution and frequency of sampling of the relay system was not adequate. Therefore, it was decided that the only potential use of the CDCP would be for gathering housekeeping data. This use is currently under consideration.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

Based upon the success of the experiments conducted under this program, it can be concluded that the convertible data collection platform can play a significant role in obtaining data from remote areas. As no future spacecraft of the Landsat series will have a DCS, the CDCP should be modified to eliminate those portions associated with the Landsat DCS, thus eliminating complexity and reducing costs. More attention should be given to flexibility in the input to data collection platforms to accommodate a larger variety of sensors without the need for elaborate interface electronics. A significant effort should be made to develop a more reliable family of power supplies. The technology of satellite data relay should be promoted in order to bring in new users and develop new applications. More effective coordination is required among users of these systems to prevent duplication of problem solving effort. Finally, additional effort should be devoted to providing:

- o Adequate technical support to operate the equipment;
- o Additional training for maintenance and installation personnel;
and
- o Improved maintenance and repair of equipment.

SELECTED REFERENCES

- Ames, S.A., Martel, R.J., and Perkins, B.F., 1970, Data flow in the synchronous meteorological satellite system: Eascon 70, pp. 55-65.
- Araya, M.F., 1978, Automatic collection of environmental ground data in Chile by employing earth orbiting satellites: Proceedings Twelfth International Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment, Manila.
- Araya, M.F., 1978, Main aspects of Chilean project to establish meteorological data collection system in the Antarctic by employing earth orbiting satellites: Proceedings Reunion of Expertises on Antarctica Telecommunications, Washington.
- Arndt, A.E., Burgess, J.L., and Reed, D.L., 1970, System study for the random access measurement system (RAMS): GSFC, Document X-752-70-376, 412 pp.
- Bonneville Power Administration, 1978, Geostationary operational environmental satellite data collection system (GOES-DCS) remote station test program: Test Report TR78-004, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland.
- Burge, R.E., Herrmann, R., and Mathews, R.C., 1978, Satellite retrieval of remote monitoring data: a phase one progress report: National Park Service, Southeast Regional Office, Atlanta.
- Carter, W.D., and Paulson, R., 1978, Introduction to monitoring dynamic environmental phenomena of the world using satellite data collection systems, 1978: USGS Circular (In Press).
- Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC), Signal processing for the ERTS-A data collection system: GSFC Contract NAS5-11242.
- Cote, C.E., 1970, The interrogation, recording and location system experiment: Geoscience Electronics Symposium Transactions, IEEE, Special Issue.
- Cote, C.E., The Nimbus 4/IRLS meteorological experiment: National Telemetry Conference 71 Record, pp. 203-211.
- Flanders, A.F., 1973, NOAA requirements and programs, earth resources technology satellite: Data Collection System Proceedings, NASA, Wallops Island, Virginia, May 30-31, 1973.
- Hartzell, C.L., et al, 1975, Colorado River Basin pilot project comprehensive meteorological data report: Western Scientific Services, Inc., Fort Collins, Colorado.

- Herrmann, R., Stoneburner, D., Larson, G., Mathews, R., and Burge, R., 1978, Water quality monitoring for remote natural areas: Pecora IV, Memorial Symposium, The Application of Remote Sensing Data to Wildlife Management, Oct. 1978.
- Kahan, A.M., 1974, Use of the ERTS-1 satellite data collection system in monitoring weather conditions for control of cloud seeding operations: NASA Type III Final Report, Bureau of Reclamation, July 1974.
- Kuberry, R.L., et al, 1978, Geomagnetic monitoring system for the international magnetospheric study: USGS Unpublished Draft, Denver.
- LaBarge, Inc., 1976, Instruction manual for the convertible data collection platform (CDCP) and related equipment: Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Lally, V.E., and Ricket, A.B., 1967, Project ghost: Science I, pp. 203, 2-7.
- Laughlin, C.R., 1966, The omega position location equipment (OPLE) experiment: GSFC, Report X-731-66-20.
- Masterson, J.E., 1972, Location of and data retrieval from drifting buoys by satellite: Proceedings of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) Technical Conference on the Means of Acquisition and Communication of Ocean Data, Tokyo, Japan, October 2-7, 1972.
- Mathews, R.C., Jr., 1979, Ecological survey of Abrams Creek, Great Smoky Mountains National Park: National Park Service SER Management and REPT Series #28, (In Press).
- Morgan, E.L., Eagleson, K.W., Herrmann, R., and Stoneburner, D.L., 1977, Remote biomonitoring by satellite for water quality assessment in an impacted park stream: Third Annual Scientific Research Meeting of the Uplands Field Research Laboratory, Great Smoky Mountains National Park, June 1977.
- Morgan, E.L., Eagleson, K.W., McCollough, N., and Herrmann, R., 1977, Biological water quality monitoring from remote stations and NASA GOES satellite: Fourth Joint Conference on Sensing of Environmental Pollutants, New Orleans.
- Morgan, E.L., Herrmann, R., Stoneburner, D.L., and Eagleson, K.W., 1977, Automated biomonitoring applications to remote water quality sensing platforms for rapid environmental assessment: S.I.L., XX Congress, Copenhagen.

1 National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 1971, Earth resources
2 technology satellite data user's handbook: ERTS Project Office,
3 National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space
4 Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland.

5 National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 1975, Landsat
6 newsletter number 1: Missions Utilization Office, National
7 Aeronautics and Space Administration, Goddard Space Flight
8 Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, January 1975.

9 Price, G.R., and Scheet, V.R., Automatic environmental surface
10 observation platform (AESOP) for the high plains experiment
11 (HIPLEX): Final Report to Bureau of Reclamation, Electronic
12 Techniques, Inc., Fort Collins, Colorado.

13 Reynolds, R.R., and Lovkay, J. Jr., 1972, Design development of
14 the remote automatic meteorological observation station (RAMOS):
15 Equipment Development Laboratory, National Weather Service,
16 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S.
17 Department of Commerce, Washington, DC.

18 Stevens, S.R., 1972, Report on technical and scientific aspects
19 of the EOLE/CAS-A project: National Aeronautics and Space
20 Administration, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt,
21 Maryland.

22 U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Department
23 of Transportation, 1975, Federal meteorological handbook number 1,
24 surface observations: United States Departments of Commerce,
25 Defense and Transportation, U.S. Government Printing Office,
26 Washington, DC.

27 U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1975, Snow survey phase of project
28 skywater: Final Report - Fiscal Year 1975, U.S. Department of
29 Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service.

30 U.S. Department of Interior, 1976, Use of the Landsat-2 data
31 collection system in the Colorado River Basin weather
32 modification program (followon investigation): Final
33 Report, Bureau of Reclamation, February 1976.

USGS LIBRARY-RESTON



3 1818 00072742 8