



Base from U.S. Geological Survey, 1959
SCALE 1:250,000
Geology generalized from Patton and others, 1980

ARSENIC IN NONMAGNETIC AND MODERATELY MAGNETIC HEAVY-MINERAL-CONCENTRATE SAMPLES

DISCUSSION

Introduction

These geochemical maps show some results of a reconnaissance geochemical survey done in the Medfra quadrangle, Alaska in 1978 and 1979 as part of the Alaska Mineral Resource Assessment Program. The maps show the distribution and abundance of arsenic and bismuth in 370 nonmagnetic (C3 fraction) and 422 moderately magnetic (C2 fraction) heavy-mineral-concentrate samples, and arsenic in 513 minus-80-mesh stream-sediment samples and 355 ash of aquatic-bryophyte (mosses) samples on a subdued topographic and generalized geologic base. The maps of this report are presented largely to aid users in making their own interpretations. Additional individual element plots for selected elements are in King and others, 1983a,b,c,d.

SAMPLING, PREPARATION, AND ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

Most of the samples were taken from channels of active streams with upstream catchment areas averaging about nine km². Samples were taken from first or second order streams whenever possible. Larger, or third order, streams were sampled when helicopter landing sites along first or second order tributary streams were not available. Minus-2-mesh stream sediment was collected for the stream-sediment samples by wet sieving at the sample sites with a stainless-steel screen. Heavy-mineral-concentrate samples were collected by panning the minus-2-mesh stream sediment to remove most of the light-mineral fraction.

Samples of aquatic bryophytes were collected from stream channels beneath the water level mainly from the silty sides of the stream channels but also from deadwood and boulders where they were attached. Samples were partially washed in the stream at the sample sites to remove large quantities of silt and sand. No attempt was made to differentiate the various species of bryophytes that were collected. All samples were partially dried in the field and later completely dried in an oven at the laboratory. After drying, the stream-sediment samples were sieved with an 80-mesh (0.177 mm) screen and the 80-mesh stream-sediment samples and 355 ash of aquatic-bryophyte (mosses) samples on a subdued topographic and generalized geologic base. The maps of this report are presented largely to aid users in making their own interpretations. Additional individual element plots for selected elements are in King and others, 1983a,b,c,d.

SAMPLING, PREPARATION, AND ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

Most of the samples were taken from channels of active streams with upstream catchment areas averaging about nine km². Samples were taken from first or second order streams whenever possible. Larger, or third order, streams were sampled when helicopter landing sites along first or second order tributary streams were not available. Minus-2-mesh stream sediment was collected for the stream-sediment samples by wet sieving at the sample sites with a stainless-steel screen. Heavy-mineral-concentrate samples were collected by panning the minus-2-mesh stream sediment to remove most of the light-mineral fraction.

After oven drying the samples of aquatic bryophytes, most remaining silt and sand was removed by hand and compressed air, followed by several rinses with tap water. The samples were again oven dried, during a 24-hour period with a maximum temperature of 500°C. The ash was passed through a 0.119 mm sieve (140 mesh) to remove most remaining sand grains. The ash of the samples ranged from 8 to 72 percent with a mean weight of 36 percent of the dry material. The ash of aquatic bryophytes that are free of sediment should be approximately 10 percent of the original dry weight (Brooks, 1972, p. 178). Thus, most samples contained various undetermined amounts of sediment.

Minus-80-mesh stream sediment samples and the nonmagnetic and moderately magnetic heavy-mineral-concentrate samples were analyzed semiquantitatively for 31 elements using a six-step emission spectrographic method outlined by Grimes and Marzinzio (1968). The method was modified slightly for the concentrate samples to eliminate spectral interferences. Ash of aquatic-bryophyte samples was analyzed for 33 elements by a semiquantitative emission spectrographic method for plant materials described by Mosier (1972) and modified by Curry and others (1975). All of the analytical results are available in King and others (1980).

References cited

Brooks, R. R., 1972, *Geobotany and biogeochemistry in mineral exploration*: New York, Harper and Row, 290 p.

Curry, K. J., Cooley, E. F., and Dietrich, J. A., 1975, An automatic filter positioner device for emission spectroscopy, *Applied Spectroscopy*, v. 29, no. 3, p. 274-275.

Grimes, D. J., and Marzinzio, A. F., 1968, Direct-current arc and alternating-current spark emission spectrographic field methods for the semiquantitative analysis of geologic materials: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 591, 6 p.

King, H. D., Risoli, D. A., Cooley, E. F., O'Leary, R. M., Spiesman, D. L., Jr., Spiesman, D. L., Jr., and Galland, D. W., 1980, Final results and statistical summary of analyses of geochemical samples from the Medfra quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-811F, 134 p.

King, H. D., Cooley, E. F., Gruzensky, A. L., and Spiesman, D. L., Jr., 1983a, Distribution and abundance of gold and silver in nonmagnetic and moderately magnetic heavy-mineral-concentrate and minus-80-mesh stream-sediment samples and silver in ash of aquatic bryophyte samples, Medfra quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-811H.

King, H. D., Tripp, R. B., O'Leary, R. M., and Cooley, E. F., 1983b, Distribution and abundance of copper, lead, and zinc in nonmagnetic and moderately magnetic heavy-mineral-concentrate, minus-80-mesh stream-sediment, and ash of aquatic-bryophyte samples, Medfra quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-811I.

King, H. D., Risoli, D. A., and Tripp, R. B., 1983c, Distribution and abundance of molybdenum, tin, and tungsten in nonmagnetic and moderately magnetic heavy-mineral-concentrate samples and tin in minus-80-mesh stream-sediment and ash of aquatic bryophyte samples, Medfra quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-811J.

King, H. D., O'Leary, R. M., Risoli, D. A., and Galland, D. W., 1983d, Distribution and abundance of antimony and mercury in minus-80-mesh stream-sediment and antimony and mercury in nonmagnetic and moderately magnetic heavy-mineral-concentrate samples, Medfra quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-811K.

Mosier, E. L., 1972, A method for semiquantitative spectrographic analysis of plant ash for use in biogeochemical and environmental studies: *Applied Spectroscopy*, v. 26, no. 6, p. 636-641.

Patton, W. M., Jr., Moll, E. F., Duro, J. T., Jr., Silberman, M. L., and Chapman, R. M., 1980, Preliminary geologic map of the Medfra quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-811A, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.

Ward, F. N., Nakagawa, H. M., Harms, T. F., and Vansickle, E. H., 1969, Atomic-absorption methods of analysis useful in geochemical exploration: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1289, 45 p.

DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDANCE OF ARSENIC AND BISMUTH IN NONMAGNETIC AND MODERATELY MAGNETIC HEAVY-MINERAL-CONCENTRATE SAMPLES AND ARSENIC IN MINUS-80-MESH STREAM-SEDIMENT AND ASH OF AQUATIC-BRYOPHYTE SAMPLES, MEDFRA QUADRANGLE, ALASKA

By
H. D. King, E. F. Cooley, and D. L. Spiesman, Jr.

