

PRELIMINARY STAGE-DISCHARGE RELATIONS FOR BLACK WARRIOR RIVER
AT WILLIAM BACON OLIVER LOCK AND DAM, AT TUSCALOOSA, ALABAMA

By G. H. Nelson, Jr. and C. O. Ming

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Open-File Report 84-056

Prepared in cooperation with the

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, MOBILE DISTRICT

Montgomery, Alabama

1983

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

JAMES G. WATT, Secretary

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Dallas Peck, Director

For additional information write to:

District Chief
U.S. Geological Survey
520 19th Avenue
Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35401

Copies of this report can be
purchased from:

Open-File Services Section
U.S. Geological Survey
Box 25425, Federal Center
Lakewood, Colorado 80225

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--------------------------------|------|
| Abstract..... | 1 |
| Introduction..... | 2 |
| Description of study area..... | 2 |
| Stage-discharge relations..... | 3 |
| Methods..... | 3 |
| Tailwater..... | 4 |
| Summary..... | 4 |
| References..... | 4 |

ILLUSTRATIONS (Attached)

- Figure 1. Map showing location of William Bacon Oliver lock and dam
2. Plot showing limit curves defining the ranges in stage for William Bacon Oliver lock and dam, tailwater

TABLES

| | |
|--|---|
| Table 1. List of current-meter measurements made since March 1960 at Oliver Dam..... | 5 |
| 2. List of mean daily discharges for selected periods at Oliver Dam..... | 6 |

CONVERSION FACTORS

For use of readers who prefer to use metric units, conversion factors for terms used in this report are listed below:

| <u>Multiply</u> | <u>By</u> | <u>To obtain</u> |
|--|-----------|--|
| foot (ft) | 0.3048 | meter (m) |
| mile (mi) | 1.609 | kilometer (km) |
| square mile (mi ²) | 2.590 | square kilometer (km ²) |
| cubic foot per second (ft ³ /s) | 0.02832 | cubic meter per second (m ³ /s) |
| acre-foot (acre-ft) | 1,233 | cubic meter (m ³) |

National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929): A geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of both the United States and Canada, formerly called "Mean Sea Level."

Water-surface elevation is referred to as stage in this report. Stage and elevations used in this report are references to NGVD of 1929.

PRELIMINARY STAGE DISCHARGE RELATIONS FOR BLACK WARRIOR RIVER
AT WILLIAM BACON OLIVER LOCK AND DAM AT TUSCALOOSA, ALABAMA

By G. H. Nelson, Jr. and C. O. Ming

ABSTRACT

The construction of William Bacon Oliver lock and dam (Oliver Dam) completed in April 1940, has resulted in changes to the stage-discharge relations in the vicinity. The scarcity of current-meter measurements, coupled with backwater conditions makes definition of single stage-discharge relation impossible. However, limit curves can be defined that would encompass such a relation. Backwater is defined as water backed up or retarded in its course as compared with water flowing under normal or natural conditions. This results in a rise in stage above its normal water level while the discharge remains unaffected. This is usually caused by temporary obstruction(s) to the flow downstream. Backwater at Oliver Dam tailwater results primarily from flood plain flows returning to the main channel during recessions. The discharges obtained from 18 current-meter measurements, along with mean daily discharge values for a gaging station upstream were plotted versus tailwater stage. The plot illustrates, by the scatter of data points, variations in backwater. Curves drawn to envelope the extreme plot patterns showing possible ranges of several feet for any given discharge.

INTRODUCTION

Oliver Dam is an integral part of the Black Warrior River Navigational System. Changes resulting from its completion has also resulted in changes in some streamflow characteristics in the vicinity. Definition for one characteristic, the stage-discharge relation, is needed for future planning.

The purpose of this report is to define the ranges in stage and discharge of potential stage-discharge relations (rating curves) for the tailwater and to portray the scatter of the plotted data points by the use of limit curves. The scope of work was limited to plotting available current-meter measurements made since March 1960, and 27 mean daily discharge values versus tailwater stage for three floods since 1979.

This report has been prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District. Appreciation is expressed to the Corps for their assistance.

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

Oliver lock and dam is located on the Black Warrior River at Tuscaloosa, Tuscaloosa County (fig. 1). The lock is 95 feet wide, 460 feet long, and has a lift capability of 28 feet. The dam consists of a fixed crest spillway section that is 900 feet long. Normal pool elevation is 122.9 feet.

Drainage area at the dam is about 4,830 square miles. William Bacon Oliver Lake (fig. 1), formed by the dam, has a storage capacity of 12,340 acre-feet at normal pool elevation.

The reach of the river downstream from Oliver Dam to Warrior lock and dam is about 77 miles in length (fig. 1). It is characterized by a well developed meandering channel with moderate to steep sloped banks. Its banks are densely covered with trees and undergrowth. The flood plain is relatively wide and level, and is wooded except for scattered areas cultivated for crops or pasture. Major tributaries to the reach are Big Sandy and Fivemile Creeks.

STAGE-DISCHARGE RELATIONS

Definition of a single stage-discharge relation for the tailwater is impossible due to backwater effects. Backwater is defined as water backed up or retarded in its course as compared with water flowing under normal or natural conditions. This results in a rise in stage above normal level while the discharge remains unaffected. Backwater is usually caused by temporary obstruction(s) to flow downstream. Backwater at Oliver Dam tailwater occurs in varying degrees caused primarily by flood plain flow returning to the main channel during recessions. At times, backwater may also be partially caused by seasonal changes in vegetation and minor changes in channel geometry.

Normally, little or no backwater effect is evident during rising stage of a flood. The effect is often greatest during the recession. This results in different stages for a given discharge; usually a lower stage during rises and a higher stage during recessions. The most useful presentation of a stage-discharge relation at this time would be limit curves that are the boundaries of possible rating curves.

Methods

Discharge versus stage was plotted to define limit curves for the tailwater. Eighteen current-meter measurements are available (table 1). The measurements were made using conventional methods from a highway bridge upstream. Selected computed discharge values with corresponding stages were also used as additional data to better define the curves. These discharge values were computed by the automatic data processing unit for the gaging station upstream. Verification of and improvements to the curves will necessitate acquiring additional current-meter measurements.

Tailwater

Tailwater limit curves define a probable range in stage and discharge resulting from backwater effects (fig. 2). The curves are based on 18 discharge measurements made since March 1960 (table 1) and 27 mean daily discharge values selected from three separate floods since March 1979. The limit curve representing rising stages is labeled "TR" and that representing falling stages is labeled "TF".

SUMMARY

The stage-discharge relation for the tailwater section at Oliver Dam is affected by backwater that makes definition of a single stage-discharge relation impossible. Backwater is defined as water backed up or retarded in its course as compared with water flowing under normal or natural conditions. This results in a rise in stage above normal water level while the discharge remains unaffected. Backwater is usually caused by temporary obstruction(s) to flow downstream. As a useful alternative, limit curves have been developed to define possible extremes of a series of stage-discharge relations. Backwater at Oliver Dam tailwater results primarily from flood plain flows returning to the main channel during recessions. The curves are preliminary and represent conditions of flow that existed between March 1960 and April 1981. Verification of and improvements to the curves will necessitate acquiring additional current-meter measurements.

REFERENCES

- U.S. Geological Survey, 1982, Water resources data for Alabama, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Data Report AL81-1, 540 p.

Table 1. List of current-meter measurements made since March 1960

at Oliver Dam.

| Measurement number | Date | Tailwater Stage (ft) | Discharge (ft ³ /s) |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| 380 | Mar. 1, 1960 | 97.30 | 8,020 |
| 382 | Feb. 22, 1961 | 148.55 | 211,000 |
| 384 | Feb. 24, 1961 | 145.72 | 147,000 |
| 385 | Mar. 14, 1961 | 109.80 | 18,800 |
| 386 | Dec. 18, 1961 | 142.08 | 132,000 |
| 387 | Feb. 23, 1962 | 128.00 | 47,000 |
| 389 | Jan. 9, 1964 | 117.65 | 44,900 |
| 391 | Mar. 15, 1964 | 136.53 | 139,000 |
| 392 | Apr. 6, 1964 | 135.90 | 102,000 |
| 393 | Feb. 12, 1965 | 133.22 | 82,400 |
| 394 | Feb. 14, 1966 | 128.68 | 49,000 |
| 395 | Apr. 27, 1966 | 133.66 | 90,000 |
| 397 | Dec. 20, 1967 | 129.71 | 61,400 |
| 398 | Jan. 11, 1968 | 135.75 | 121,000 |
| 401 | Feb. 23, 1971 | 132.28 | 69,400 |
| 402 | Mar. 14, 1975 | 134.83 | 95,000 |
| 403 | May 15, 1976 | 135.51 | 99,000 |
| 404 | Apr. 13, 1979 | 147.70 | 255,000 |

Table 2. List of mean daily discharges for selected periods at Oliver Dam.

| Date | Mean Tailwater Elevation (ft) | Mean Discharge (ft ³ /s) |
|---------|--|---|
| 1979 | | |
| Mar. 2 | 106.53 | 15,600 |
| Mar. 3 | 113.63 | 43,300 |
| Mar. 4 | 139.73 | 154,000 |
| Mar. 5 | 138.23 | 111,000 |
| Mar. 6 | 135.22 | 82,100 |
| Mar. 7 | 128.26 | 45,900 |
| Mar. 8 | 121.08 | 27,700 |
| Mar. 9 | 116.73 | 23,200 |
| Mar. 15 | 114.25 | 13,200 |
| Apr. 13 | 145.95 | 233,000 |
| Apr. 14 | 144.27 | 190,000 |
| Apr. 15 | 140.38 | 124,000 |
| Apr. 16 | 134.90 | 76,100 |
| Apr. 17 | 126.50 | 35,200 |
| Apr. 18 | 119.99 | 23,300 |
| 1980 | | |
| Apr. 12 | 130.96 | 104,000 |
| Apr. 13 | 137.57 | 96,300 |
| Apr. 14 | 138.14 | 134,000 |
| Apr. 15 | 133.15 | 93,300 |
| 1981 | | |
| Mar. 30 | 123.46 | 67,500 |
| Mar. 31 | 126.95 | 54,400 |
| Apr. 1 | 120.98 | 37,100 |
| Apr. 2 | 117.32 | 29,600 |
| Apr. 3 | 112.68 | 23,800 |
| Apr. 4 | 108.29 | 14,700 |
| Apr. 5 | 113.06 | 32,000 |