



MAP G - IRON, NICKEL AND COBALT RESOURCE POTENTIAL

MINERAL RESOURCE POTENTIAL OF THE SILVER CITY
1° X 2° QUADRANGLE, NEW MEXICO - ARIZONA

By
D. H. Richter and W. N. Sharp
1984



Notes for map G:
Areas of iron, nickel, and cobalt potential are outlined. Remainder of the quadrangle, hardrock terranes and basin-fill is low (L) in iron, nickel, and cobalt potential, or unassessed (U).
Screened base shows smoothed contacts of the basin and range physiography—hardrock terranes of the ranges; and, alluvium and basin-fill deposits.
For details refer to USGS Open-File Report 83-924.
Areas of overlap have potential in two types of deposits. See table also on this sheet.

STATEMENT
This folio of maps of the Silver City 1° x 2° quadrangle essentially duplicates resource information shown on small-scale maps in Open-File Report 83-924. They are offered here, as a supplement to that report, at scale of 1:250,000 to provide work sheets for better land and resource planning—activities that require more precise locations of resource area boundaries and, more specific potential estimates and confidence levels with these estimates. The various commodities generally are dealt with individually, one element to a map sheet. Exceptions are with metals that are virtually everywhere closely associated in nature.
Further insight into geologic and basic resource information can be obtained from published reports and maps listed in the Reference of Open-File Report 83-924. The published and planned sheets of the Silver City USMAP Folios are listed along with other resource-focused publications.

Mineral resource potential is defined as the likelihood of the occurrence of mineral deposits in a defined area; it is not a measure of the resources, their size, or their profitability. High mineral resource potential is assigned to areas where geologic, geochemical, and geophysical characteristics indicate a geologic environment favorable for resource occurrence, where interpretations of data indicate a high degree of likelihood for resource accumulation, where data support occurrence and (or) genetic models indicating presence of resources, and where evidence indicates that mineral concentration has taken place. Assignment of high resource potential requires positive knowledge that resource-forming processes have been active in at least part of the area; it does not require that occurrences or deposits be identified.
Moderate mineral resource potential is assigned to areas where geologic, geochemical, and geophysical characteristics indicate a geologic environment favorable for resource occurrence, where interpretations of data indicate a reasonable chance for resource accumulation, where an application of genetic and (or) occurrence models indicates favorable ground.
Low mineral resource potential is assigned to areas where geologic, geochemical, and geophysical characteristics indicate the existence of resources is unlikely. This level of potential embraces areas with dispersed mineralized rock, as well as areas with few or no indications of mineralization. Use of the low potential category requires specific positive knowledge.
Unknown mineral resource potential is assigned to areas where the level of knowledge is so inadequate that classification of the area as high, moderate, or low would be misleading. The use of the phrase "no mineral resource potential" should be applied only to a specific commodity in a well-defined area. The no classification should not be used if there is the slightest possibility of occurrence; it is not appropriate as the summary rating for any area.

Unassessed potential category, in this region of the Basin/Range province, is used mostly for the basin-filled terranes. These areas can be assessed for potentials in specific commodities by lateral/vertical projection of bedrock geology, development/projection of geologic structure, cataloging and evaluating geophysical information, drilling information, and subsurface chemical data (water/core)—altogether, an involved research procedure, requiring too much time for this phase of the study.
Expressions of the certainty of the mineral resource assessment incorporate an evaluation of (1) the adequacy of the geologic, geochemical, geophysical and resource data base available at the time of the assessment, (2) the adequacy of the occurrence/genetic model used as the basis for a specific evaluation, and (3) an evaluation of the likelihood that the expected mineral endowment of the area is, or could be, economically extractable.

Levels of certainty, as used for assessments, are denoted by letters A-F:
A - The available data are not adequate for determination of the level of mineral resource potential. Level A is used with an assignment of unknown mineral resource potential.
B - The available data are adequate to suggest the geologic environment and the level of mineral resource potential, but evidence is insufficient to precisely establish the likelihood of resource occurrence, or occurrence and (or) genetic models are not known well enough for predictive resource assessment purposes.
C - The available data give a good indication of the geologic environment and the level of mineral resource potential, but additional evidence is needed to precisely establish the likelihood of resource occurrence, the activity of resource-forming processes, or available occurrence and (or) genetic models are minimal for predictive applications.
D - The available data clearly define the geologic environment and the level of mineral resource potential, and indicate the activity of resource-forming processes. Key evidence for interpretation of the presence or absence of specified types of resources is available, and occurrence and (or) genetic models are adequate for predictive resource assessment purposes.

IRON AREAS

Skarn-associated Fe replacement deposits
Economic significance - (high) Large replacement masses; some ore remains in known deposits.
Other commodities - Cu, Zn.

Area of Favorability	Criteria		Levels of potential and certainty. See plot.
	Known deposits	Inferred favorable geologic environment	
A-1	X		A-1 M/D
A-2		X	A-2 M/B
A-3		X	A-3 M/B
A-4		X	A-4 M/B
A-5		X	A-5 M/B
A-6		X	A-6 M/B

Sedimentary Fe deposits
Economic significance - (low) Large low grade deposits.
Other commodities - none.

Area of Favorability	Criteria		Levels of potential and certainty. See plot.
	Known deposits	Inferred favorable geologic environment	
B	X		B M/D

NICKEL AND COBALT AREAS

Ni-Co-Ag (U) vein deposits
Economic significance - (low) Veins in small area only. Nickel and cobalt probably more a curiosity than a resource.

Area of Favorability	Criteria		Levels of potential and certainty. See plot.
	Known deposits	Inferred favorable geologic environment	
C	X		C M/D

Level of Resource Potential

	O/A	H/B	H/C	H/D	
H	Unknown Potential	High Potential	High Potential	High Potential	Favorable
M		Moderate Potential	Moderate Potential	Moderate Potential	
L		Low Potential	Low Potential	Low Potential	
N		N/D	N/D	N/D	
		A	B	C	D

Level of Certainty →

This map is preliminary and has not been reviewed for conformity with U.S. Geological Survey editorial standards.