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1. Introduction
The Dead Sea Rift zone extends from the northern end of the Red Sea for a distance in excess of 1000 km. Along a great portion of this fault zone there are present several linear topographic fault controlled depressions. Within the purview of plate tectonics, the Dead Sea Rift is a transform plate boundary connecting the Red Sea, where crustal spreading is occurring, northward to a zone of plate convergence. The Arabian plate lies to the east of the rift whereas on the west several smaller plates, the largest of which is the Sinai microplate, form a part of the larger African plate (Figure 1-1).

In the latter part of 1983 the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan installed a seismic network of 8 stations, ultimately to be expanded to 32 stations, including some three-component stations, with the objective of discerning the overall pattern of microearthquake activity in Jordan (Kovach et al, 1986). The goal is to understand the tectonic activity in this region and thus provide a firm data base for estimating earthquake hazard and risk.

2. Historical Seismicity
A large amount of literature has been written concerning the historical seismic record of the Middle East. In general, there are three main sources of data: (1) earthquake listings or catalogs; (2) descriptions of specific events and (3) inferences from archaeological excavations.


Specific seismic events have been analyzed or their effects described by Arieh et al, (1977, 1982), Ben-Menahem et al, (1977), Blanckenhorn (1905, 1925, 1927), El-Isa et al, (1984), El-Isa and Mustafa (1986), Sieberg (1932b), Tristram (1865, 1874), Vered and Striem (1977) and Watson (1895). Archaeological evidence for earthquake events in the period from 1050 B.C. to 1070 A.D. (Timna area and at Ram, 40 km east of Aqaba, Figure 2-1) can be found in Avi-Yonah (1975-1976).

Figure 2-1 is a compilation of earthquake epicenters for Jordan and its near vicinity from 2150 B.C. to 1981 for events of magnitude 4.1 or greater. The first major earthquake to be recorded instrumentally was the July 11, 1927, $M_L = 6.25$ event near Jericho ($32.0^\circ$ N, $35.5^\circ$ E). Magnitudes of the earlier events have been inferred from seismic intensity-magnitude
Figure 1-1: Geographic Location of the Dead Sea Rift Zone.
Figure 2-1: Historical Seismicity of Jordan and Vicinity Compiled from Various Sources.
relations which have been calibrated for Middle East earthquakes (Ben-Menahem, 1979). Certainly for the very ancient events (before the beginning of the Christian era) the epicentral locations can only be inferred from archaeological findings or religious accounts in the Bible or Talmudic literature (Ben-Menahem pp. 261-266, 1979).

Most of the seismic events are located along the axis of the Dead Sea Rift. These events, together with microearthquake data, point to a recurrence interval of about 250 years for a $M_L = 6.4$ earthquake along the rift (Ben-Menahem, Aboodi, Vered and Kovach, 1977; Ben-Menahem and Aboodi, 1981). Vered (1978) has utilized the geologic record of total fault displacement and the rate of seismic activity to estimate that the maximum expected earthquake along the rift zone would be in the range $M_L = 7.5-8$.

3. Seismic Stations and Future Expansion of Jordan Network

The operating seismograph stations of the Jordan network and the location of stations on the western side of the Dead Sea Rift are shown in Figure 3-1 (Regional Catalog of Earthquakes, 1984). Geographic coordinates and elevations of the seismic stations are listed in Table 3-1. A detailed description of the Jordan seismic network together with the operational procedures can be found in Kovach et al, (1986).

In the near future a number of additional seismic stations will be installed in Jordan to achieve a 32-station network, including a number of three-component stations. This will then provide a network for continuous monitoring of all areas in Jordan. The planned locations of these additional stations are shown in Figure 3-1.

4. Crustal Structure of Western Jordan

A number of seismic refraction profiles have been obtained in 1977 and 1984 in the vicinity of the Dead Sea Rift zone (Ginzburg et al, 1979; El-Isa et al, 1986). The location of these profiles are shown on the index map (Figure 4-1).

The north-south profile from Lake Tiberias to the Gulf of Aqaba roughly parallels the axis of the Dead Sea Rift zone. The upper crust, as deduced from an analysis of the seismic refraction and wide angle reflection data, consists of low velocity material (2.5 km/sec) overlying a 4.0 km/sec layer representing the Paleozoic-Mesozoic sequence of sandstones and limestones. Below the 4.0 km/sec material the crust has a velocity of 6 km/sec at its upper boundary increasing to 6.7 km/sec at its base. The total thickness of the crust is 29-30 km and the measured upper mantle velocity is 7.8 km/sec.
Figure 3-1: Location of Seismograph Stations in Jordan and Adjacent Areas and Future Stations of the Jordan Network.
Table 3-1: Seismic Stations in Jordan and Vicinity, Geographical Locations and Elevations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION NAME</th>
<th>CODE NAME</th>
<th>LATITUDE Deg Min</th>
<th>LONGITUDE Deg Min</th>
<th>ELEVATION (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BURMA</td>
<td>BURJ</td>
<td>32 12.81</td>
<td>35 45.76</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JARASH</td>
<td>JARJ</td>
<td>32 14.25</td>
<td>35 56.78</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUDAYSIAT</td>
<td>MDSJ</td>
<td>31 37.92</td>
<td>36 15.12</td>
<td>970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER RUMMAN</td>
<td>RUMJ</td>
<td>32 10.92</td>
<td>35 49.65</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALT</td>
<td>SALJ</td>
<td>32 00.56</td>
<td>35 41.08</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAFREIN</td>
<td>KFNJ</td>
<td>31 51.70</td>
<td>35 40.56</td>
<td>-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL MASLUBIYA</td>
<td>MASJ</td>
<td>31 43.74</td>
<td>35 43.10</td>
<td>822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAKAWIR</td>
<td>MKRJ</td>
<td>31 33.13</td>
<td>35 38.45</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMTA*</td>
<td>HMTJ</td>
<td>32 13.25</td>
<td>35 48.74</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMIYA*</td>
<td>SMAJ</td>
<td>32 09.30</td>
<td>35 46.98</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAMIT</td>
<td>ADI</td>
<td>33 04.90</td>
<td>35 14.40</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT. HERMON</td>
<td>HRI</td>
<td>33 16.26</td>
<td>35 44.76</td>
<td>1060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT. CARMEL</td>
<td>CRI</td>
<td>32 40.92</td>
<td>35 02.70</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT. GILBOA</td>
<td>MGI</td>
<td>32 26.82</td>
<td>35 26.28</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZUR NATHAN</td>
<td>ZNT</td>
<td>32 14.34</td>
<td>35 01.92</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT. MONTAR</td>
<td>MOI</td>
<td>31 44.16</td>
<td>35 21.06</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEIT GUVRIN</td>
<td>GVI</td>
<td>31 37.98</td>
<td>34 54.54</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOROTH</td>
<td>DOR</td>
<td>31 30.48</td>
<td>34 41.16</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT. AMASSA*</td>
<td>ASI</td>
<td>31 19.80</td>
<td>35 05.70</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA’ALE NOAH</td>
<td>NOH</td>
<td>30 41.40</td>
<td>34 57.78</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT. RAMON</td>
<td>RMN</td>
<td>30 29.88</td>
<td>34 37.74</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARRAN</td>
<td>PRN1</td>
<td>30 20.22</td>
<td>34 59.88</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JERUSALEM</td>
<td>JER</td>
<td>31 45.78</td>
<td>35 13.20</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSOR</td>
<td>BSO</td>
<td>31 16.02</td>
<td>34 29.10</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACTESH KATAN</td>
<td>MKT</td>
<td>30 56.88</td>
<td>35 09.12</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No longer operational
The east-west profile through Be’er Sheva’ reveals a somewhat similar crustal section with the exception of the upper mantle velocity. The sedimentary cover varies in thickness from 4 km in the east to 6 km in the west and consists of a low velocity (2.5 km/sec) layer, corresponding to Tertiary chalks and marls, which overlies 4.2 km/sec material again representing the Paleozoic-Mesozoic section. The crust has a velocity of 6.0 km/sec in the upper part increasing to 6.7 km/sec at the crust-mantle boundary. An upper mantle velocity of 8.0 km/sec is observed.

In 1984 a number of seismic refraction profiles were obtained in Jordan with observations being made out to distances of approximately 200 km along four lines (Figure 4-1). The derived crustal section of the north-south profile, which passes, slightly west of Amman, is shown in Figure 4-2. For orientation shot-point 2 is located very close to Amman.

The upper sedimentary layer ranges in velocity from 2.9 to 4.1 km/sec and overlies an upper crustal layer to a depth of 18 km in which the velocity ranges from about 5.8 km/sec to 6.35 km/sec. An intracrustal zone between 18 and 20 km depth is present with a velocity increasing from 6.35 to 6.65 km/sec. The lower crust has a constant velocity of 6.65 km/sec overlying a 4.5 km thick transition zone in which the velocity increases from 6.65 to 8.0 km/sec. A northward dipping crust-mantle boundary is present varying from a depth of about 32 km beneath shot-point 3 to 35 km beneath shot-point 1. The upper mantle velocity is 8.1 km/sec.

For purposes of earthquake hypocenter locations in Jordan and vicinity we have adopted a step-wise approximation to the model shown in Figure 4-2. This velocity model is shown by the dashed line in Figure 4-3 and is compared with the old velocity model previously used for epicentral locations in Jordan (Kovach et al, 1986).

5. Epicentral Locations and Overall Seismicity Pattern

Our experience in Jordan indicates that accurately locating local earthquakes requires considerable efforts. Accurate station coordinates (+-0.1 km if possible) are needed together with an adequate station distribution. A reasonable crustal model, based on controlled explosions is necessary and reliable P and S wave arrival times are essential. It needs to be emphasized that no computer program will give correct answers if the input data contain errors. It should also be pointed out that small travel time residuals and small standard errors do not necessarily guarantee accurate hypocenter solutions.

The program HYPO71 is now in routine use for the determination of hypocenters and earthquake magnitudes in Jordan.
Figure 4-1: Index Map Showing Location of Seismic Refraction Profiles in the Vicinity of the Dead Sea Rift Zone.
Figure 4-2: Interpreted Crustal Velocity Section for North-South Profile in Jordan. Shot-Point 2 is Near Amman (El-Isa et al, 1986).
Figure 4-3: Adopted Crustal Velocity Model for Western Jordan Compared with Velocity Model Previously Used (Kovach et al, 1986).
HYPO71 has been the main computer program used by the U.S. Geological Survey for locating local earthquakes and has recently been adapted for use on portable personal computers (Lee and Valdes, 1985) making it possible for field or office use in the rapid analysis of earthquake observations. Previously, a modification of the hypocentral location program LME83 described by Shapira (1983) was utilized.

To test the dependence of the hypocentral locations on the adopted crustal model 7 events were relocated with HYPO71 using the old and new crustal velocity models. In addition, published arrival time data (P and S-wave) (Regional Catalog of Earthquakes, ISC, 1984) from seismic stations located on the western side of the Dead Sea Rift were also used to improve the station azimuthal coverage. The results are presented in Table 5-1. It can be seen that the latitude location differences range from 0.2 to 2.0 km implying that an adequate azimuthal distribution of stations is of paramount importance.

Work is now proceeding on the development of station corrections to improve the location accuracy of events which occur within the Jordan seismic network. The development of station corrections (station delay times to be applied to the observed arrival times) is an evolving trial and error procedure but it appears that the corrections shown in Table 5-2 are the first step.

Table 5-3 shows the comparison of two events located within the Jordan network with and without the above station corrections being applied. It can be seen that the application of station corrections significantly reduces the RMS error of the time residuals.

The local magnitudes $M_L$ of the seismic events detected by the Jordan seismic network are estimated from the time duration of the seismic signal utilizing the relation

$$M_L = -0.87 + 2 \log (T) + 0.0035D$$

where $T$ is the signal duration in seconds, measured from onset to the point where the signal amplitude is twice the ambient background noise and $D$ is the epicentral distance in kilometers.

Figure 5-1 is an epicentral map of western Jordan for all of the seismic events located within and near the network for the year 1985. Also shown on the map marked by a small x are the location of quarries. There are five principal clusters of events. These clusters are centered: (1) near BURJ and RUMJ (possible construction sites); (2) along the east-west trending fault zone through Zarqa; (3) along the west-southwest trending fault zone through Amman and Na'ur; (4) in the vicinity of MASJ and (5) along the east-west trending fault zone near MKRJ bounded by
Table 5-1: Comparison of Hypocenter Locations Using Old and New Crustal Velocity Models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Origin Time</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Focal Depth</th>
<th>RMS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hr min sec</td>
<td>Deg Min</td>
<td>Deg Min</td>
<td></td>
<td>sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old</td>
<td>84-04-05</td>
<td>23 47 44.2</td>
<td>32 9.93</td>
<td>35 29.76</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td>84-04-26</td>
<td>15 02 47.0</td>
<td>32 47.50</td>
<td>35 22.58</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old</td>
<td>84-05-30</td>
<td>10 16 44.6</td>
<td>32 17.39</td>
<td>35 21.44</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td>84-08-02</td>
<td>11 04 6.7</td>
<td>31 44.28</td>
<td>35 30.68</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old</td>
<td>84-08-10</td>
<td>02 50 46.6</td>
<td>32 4.86</td>
<td>36 13.25</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td>84-08-24</td>
<td>06 02 26.1</td>
<td>32 39.35</td>
<td>35 14.94</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RMS* see Table 5-3
Table 5-2: Trial Station Delays for Some Jordan Seismic Stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Delay (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BURJ</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JARJ</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDSJ</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALJ</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASJ</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKRJ</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5-3: Comparison of Hypocenter Locations Using HYPO71 With and Without Station Corrections and LME83 Without Station Corrections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Origin Time</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>RMS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hr min sec</td>
<td>Deg Min</td>
<td>Deg Min</td>
<td>km</td>
<td>sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>86-05-05</td>
<td>10 30 49.30</td>
<td>31 43.84</td>
<td>35 39.83</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 30 49.55</td>
<td>31 44.83</td>
<td>35 43.20</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 30 49.40</td>
<td>31 45.20</td>
<td>35 40.56</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>86-05-26</td>
<td>15 12 13.90</td>
<td>31 53.96</td>
<td>35 44.40</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 12 14.17</td>
<td>31 54.41</td>
<td>35 46.11</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 12 13.70</td>
<td>31 54.24</td>
<td>35 45.30</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = HYPO71 with station corrections.
B = HYPO71 without station corrections.
C = LME83 without station corrections.

RMS* is here defined as the root-mean-square error of the time residuals except N, the number of observations, has been replaced by N-4 in accordance with recent modifications made to the program HYPO71 (Lee and Valdes, 1985).

\[
RMS = \left[ \frac{\sum R_i^2}{N-4} \right]^{1/2}, \text{ where } R_i \text{ is the time residual}
\]
Figure 5-1: Epicentral Map of Western Jordan for the Year 1985.
the faults between which are the Zerqa main geothermal hot springs. In addition, there is a diffuse zone of activity south of latitude 31° 30'N but these events should be interpreted with caution because of their location with respect to the seismic network.

With few exceptions, the epicenter locations show a strong correlation with mapped fault zones or their logical extensions when the approximately 6 km diameter circle of location uncertainty is taken into consideration. The distributed pattern of seismicity suggests that in this region, shear due to the differential motion of the Arabian plate relative to Sinai is accommodated in part on easterly and northerly trending faults in a broad zone rather than just along the Dead Sea fault zone itself. Geothermal activity associated with the cooling of the young basalts in the Zerqa Ma'in and Wadi Mawjib areas may constitute another source of earthquake activity. The cluster of earthquakes observed south and east of station Maslubiya has no surface expression in either mapped faults or young volcanism.

6. Tectonic Framework and Focal Plane Solutions

Before discussing a few seismic focal mechanisms it is useful to review some aspects of the tectonic evolution and setting of the Dead Sea Rift. The structure of the Dead Sea Rift is primarily the result of left lateral strike-slip motion mixed with uplifting along its sides. The net result is to produce rift faulting which traverses a topographically and structurally uplifted region. In a plan view the rift zone changes direction so that blocks along its two sides cannot fit together once strike-slip motion has occurred. As a result along some sections of the rift zone gaps are opened producing structural and topographic depressions, the so-called rift valleys. Along other segments local compression arises (Figure 6-1). Topographically, the boundaries of the gaps or depressions produced along the rift are controlled by dip-slip faulting. However, much of the present strike-slip motion takes place on en-echelon faults which strike at an angle to the main overall trend of the rift. As a consequence rhomb shaped grabens or pull apart basins are produced together with intervening segments of structural and topographic saddles (Figure 6-2). The slip along the Dead Sea Rift Zone is believed to have taken place in several stages inasmuch as in the southern part of the rift the pull-apart basins are only about 40-50 km in length whereas a total strike-slip movement of about 105 km has occurred. The slip which produced these basins cannot be greater than their length so the additional movement necessary to produce the 100 km or so of total left-lateral offset must have occurred at an earlier time, probably in a direction more aligned with the overall trend of the rift (Figure 6-3).

Focal mechanisms for several earthquakes in the vicinity of the Dead Sea Rift Zone were determined using the grid searching program FPFIT (Reasenberg and Oppenheimer, 1985). First
Figure 6-1: A Model for the Overall Structure of the Dead Sea Rift (Freund, 1965).
Figure 6-2: Model for the Formation of Rhomb Grabens or Pull-Apart Basins Along the Northern Segment of the Dead Sea Rift (Freund and Garfunkel, 1976).
Figure 6-3: Cartoon showing the Presumed Development of the Dead Sea Basin (Freund and Garfunkel, 1976).
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Figure 6-4: Focal Plane Solutions for Some Earthquakes Near the Dead Sea Rift Zone.
motion polarities from stations on the west side of the rift were kindly provided by Shapira (personal communication). A few general observations can be made concerning the focal plane solutions shown in 6-4.

The two events of August 2, 1984, located in the northern end of the Dead Sea exhibit normal faulting with the axis of tension (the axis of minimum compressive stress) oriented SW-NE. A normal faulting mechanism is compatible with the concept of a rhomb graben or pull-apart structure for the Dead Sea basin in which the northern part of the basin is bounded by a northwesterly trending normal fault.

Further north, the events of April 5 and May 30, 1984, possess thrust fault mechanisms. As mentioned earlier local compression is to be expected along certain segments of the rift zone, particularly in the regions between the northerly trending pull-apart basins.

Viewed collectively, the focal plane solutions exhibit a diversity of faulting mechanisms which provide some insight into the tectonic framework of the Dead Sea Rift Zone. However, it should be borne in mind that individual earthquake mechanism solutions do not necessarily always reflect the actual tectonic stress field.
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